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CHAPTER TWENTY-FIVE

How Religion Was Done

Gary Beckman

I understand “religion” here as the totality of beliefs and practices within a particular society that structure the relationship of men and women to the unseen but ever-present beings and powers with whom they share their world. These para-human elements might include deities, demons, the dead, and impersonal forces such as fate or cosmic harmony.

In regard to the Ancient Near East, the quantity and quality of source material available for the study of religion vary greatly across the different eras and cultures. For most of the third millennium BCE we have little beyond artistic representations of worship on seals (Winter 1986) and steles, stone slabs, or pillars (Canby 2001), and records of disbursements for cultic purposes extracted from economic archives (Sallaberger 1993: 305-14). In addition, we can draw inferences concerning religious ceremonies from building inscriptions deposited in temples such as the cylinders of Gudea (Edzard 1997) and hymns composed for the gods on behalf of the rulers of the Ur III kingdom (Klein 1989).

In contrast, for the first millennium we can avail ourselves of voluminous instructions for the performance of the state cult in both Assyria (Van Driel 1969: 139-69; Menzel 1981; Pongratz-Leisten 1994) and Babylonia (Thureau-Dangin 1921; Beaulieu 2003b). Furthermore, we have numerous texts describing magical rites (Abusch and Van Der Toorn 1999). This is not to mention the extensive discussion of procedures and requirements for worship presented in the Hebrew Bible, particularly in the book of Leviticus (De Vaux 1961; Miller et al. 1987).

Second millennium sources currently known include a few rituals from the Mesopotamian world (Thureau-Dangin 1939; Westenholz 1994), many texts pertinent to the cult and pantheon of the Middle Euphrates region (Fleming 1992, 2000; Beckman 2002a, in press a), and the tablets discovered at the ancient Syrian port city of Ugarit (Del Olmo Lete 1999; Pardee 2002). But above all we may consult the extensive archives compiled by the Hittite scribes to assist the kings of Hatti, in what is now central Turkey, in fulfilling their obligations toward the gods. Since the topic of Hittite worship is so vast and the cult of Hatti is in many ways representative of that of the Ancient Near East in general, I will limit...
myself in this chapter to a sketch of how religion was realized in action among the Hittites.

Polytheism

Two points should be borne in mind when we approach the study of the beliefs and cult of any of the civilizations of early western Asia. First there is the difference between traditional polytheistic religious systems like those of Egypt, Mesopotamia, and Hatti, and the "revealed" monotheistic faiths of Judaism, Islam, and Christianity with which most of us are familiar. In contrast to these "religions of the book," which are based on an authoritative text or texts, traditional polytheisms possess no single legitimate (and legitimatizing) written statement of their beliefs, no "scripture." Therefore they are not centered on dogmas whose acceptance is obligatory for all members of the community. Under such conditions heresy is as impossible as orthodoxy.

This leads us directly to the second consideration: the absence of concern with belief brought with it indifference on the part of society to the spiritual life of the individual. In the cuneiform texts of the Ancient Near East we encounter no self-conscious reflections upon religious experience, no theological treatises, spiritual autobiographies, or private devotional materials. The ancients knew what they were about when they "did" religion and saw no need to write theoretical explanations for the benefit of those not already participating in the system. In particular, they did not engage in proselytizing, an activity that would necessarily have called for the composition of religious propaganda and catechisms.

Re-imagining Hittite Religion

Therefore we must reconstruct Hittite religion, for example, from the chance remains of cultic structures and sites, from iconographic representations of deities and worshippers, and above all from the practical documents generated in furtherance of the monarch's cultic responsibilities. To grasp the difficulties inherent in the task, we might imagine an attempt to form a picture of the theology and cultic practice of the contemporary Roman Catholic Church on the basis of the plan of a ruined cathedral a few pages torn from a hymnal, receipts for the delivery of wine, and several medallions depicting the Virgin or Saint Christopher (Oppenheim 1977: 173).

Our problem, then, is to re-imagine the religious conceptions in the service of which the Hittite scribes composed the records that have come down to us. Fortunately there are a great many of these, including regulations for the conduct of temple personnel (McMahon 1997), inventories of temple furnishings (Hazenbos 2003) and of their storerooms (Košak 1982), instructions for the performance of worship (McMahon 1995) and white magic (Unal 1988; Franz-Szabó 1995), prayers and vows to the gods (De Roos 1984; Singer 2002), and reports compiled by divinatory experts (Beal 2002). In addition, historical and literary compositions frequently describe the interaction of gods and men.
The Seamless Universe of the Hittites

These sources show clearly that the Hittites conceived of the universe they inhabited as a continuum, with no strict disjunction between the sphere of humans and that of the gods. Rather, the two groups of beings, although vastly different in power, were interdependent, and both ultimately drew their sustenance from the realm of plants and animals alongside of which they lived (Collins 2002). A third category of being was that of the defunct human being, or ancestral spirit. As elsewhere in the ancient world (Schmidt 1996), Hittite ghosts were owed remembrance and periodic gifts of food and drink from their descendants, and could make their continued presence in society manifest in a manner most unpleasant for the living should these obligations be neglected (Archi 1979).

That the gods too relied upon humans for support is clear from a complaint uttered by King Muršili II (1321–1295 BCE) at a time when an epidemic raged in Hatti:

Listen to me, O gods, my lords. [Send away] the turmoil from my heart, [Let] the plague [be removed] from Hatti. Send [it] to the enemy lands … If you, the gods, my lords, [do not send] the plague [away] from Hatti, the bakers of offering bread and the libation bearers [will die]. And if they die off, [the offering bread] and the libation will be cut off for the gods, [my lords]. Then you will come to me, O gods, [my lords], and hold this to be a sin [on my part] (saying), “Why [don’t you give] us offering bread and libations?” (Beckman 1997a: 159)

Here Muršili reminds the deities that it is in their self-interest to halt the plague, since without worship by humans, they themselves would literally go hungry. In return for the honor and support they supplied to the gods, men and women relied upon the divine masters to uphold their side of the bargain by assuring the fertility upon which everything depended. An excerpt from another royal prayer illustrates this expectation:

To the king, queen, princes, and to [all] of Hatti give life, health, strength, long years, and joy (in) the future! And also give to them future thriving of grain, vines, fruit, cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, mules, asses – together with wild animals – and of human beings! (Singer 2002: 54–6)

Correspondingly, an angry or offended deity could punish an individual, or even all of Hatti, by inflicting such ills as disease like the plague just mentioned, sterility as in the myths of the “Vanishing God” type (Beckman 1997b), or military defeat (Beal 1995).

The Role of the King

Within the human–divine condominium the Hittite monarch occupied a pivotal position. Standing at the point of contact between the realm of men and the realm of the gods, the king both represented the Hittites before their pantheon and
directed the activities of the people on behalf of their divine overlords. This function as linchpin of the universe accounts for the three most important roles played by the ruler of Hatti: commander-in-chief of the military, chief judge, and high priest of all the gods (Beckman 1995: 529-33). In the first two positions the monarch protected and controlled his human subordinates; in the last he saw to the fulfillment of his people's obligations toward their immortal superiors. Of course, it was necessary for the king to delegate most of these duties most of the time, but we must never forget that all worship of the state cult was carried out under the authority of the monarch.

The Pampered Life of the Gods

The attention paid to the Hittite gods and goddesses by the royal establishment was undoubtedly similar to that received by the king himself from his servants. The deity's temple was simply his house, as the palace of the ruler was his residence. Both divine and royal employees were subject to strict purity regulations and behavioral regimens (McMahon 1997; Güterbock and Van Den Hout 1991). Among their many chambers, temples and palaces alike included workshops for the production of luxury goods and culinary delicacies as well as magazines for their storage (Güterbock 1975). Kings and gods owned estates in the countryside, and it was largely the produce of these establishments that supported their lavish lifestyles (Kengel 1975).

Indeed, the needs and desires of Hittite deities were thought to be identical to those of high ranking men and women. One text asks, "Are the minds of man and gods somehow different? No! ... (Their) mind is exactly the same" (Ehelof 1925: 4 i 21'-22'; McMahon 1997: 217). In each sanctuary, the god or goddess was pampered by the priesthood, the cult image was clothed in sumptuous garments, and the altar was piled high with fine food. Musicians and singers praised and serenaded the deity (De Martino 1995), while jesters and athletes entertained him or her with prairfalls and competitions of speed or strength (De Martino 1984; Carter 1988). The ceremonial of the royal court undoubtedly featured similar services and events.

The State Cult

Those activities performed regularly each day are only rarely described in the available texts, but we do know that the more important gods and goddesses of Hatti received daily bread and beverage offerings. Therefore temple employees were required to be at their posts "in the morning at the gods' breakfast." We are better informed about special ceremonies held for various deities, for unusual rites had to be prescribed carefully, lest they be performed incorrectly and thereby forfeit their effectiveness. Labeled with the Sumerian term meaning "festival, party," these acts of worship were carried out according to a regular schedule particular to each god or goddess. Some festivals were held monthly or yearly, while others, such as The Festival of the Sickle or The Festival of Cutting Grapes, took place in connection with events of the agricultural year (Güterbock 1970). A characteristic feature of ceremonies
performed in the autumn was the filling of storage vessels, while the opening of these same pots marked the spring rites.

Because he was the high priest of every deity, the periodic presence of the king or a high-ranking substitute, the queen, a prince of the immediate royal family, or even just a symbolic leather object (Güterbock 1989) was necessary at the celebration of the most important festivals of the leading deities in cities throughout Hatti. To accommodate this requirement, the festivals were organized into two series, known collectively as The Festival of the Crocus, in the spring (Güterbock 1960), and The Festival of Haste, in the autumn (Nakamura 2002). During the spring tour the monarch was on the road for no fewer than thirty-eight days, and sometimes officiated in one location in the morning and another in the afternoon.

Hittite festival texts make for dull reading, since they often present repetitive ceremonies in excruciating, if necessary, detail. For example:

The king and queen, seated, toast the War-god. The ƙayari-men [play] the large INANNA-instruments and sing. The crier cries out. The cup-bearer brings one snack-loaf from outside and gives [it] to the king. The king breaks [it] and takes a bite. The place-functionaries take the napkins from the king and queen. The crouching (cup-bearer) enters. The king and queen, standing, toast the (deified) Day. The jester speaks; the crier cries out; the hita-man cries, “what!” (Gosnet 1970)

Sacrifice

The focal point of the Hittite state cult was sacrifice (Beckman 2003), which may be defined as the rule-governed, ceremonial transfer of a foodstuff or other physical object from an individual or community of humans into the possession of a deity, demon, ghost, or personified numinous entity. The purpose behind this activity could be the continued sustenance of the para-human being in question or the securing of goodwill and thereby influence over him/her/its actions. Texts from the Hittite archives constitute the single largest body of material available for the study of sacrifice in the Ancient Near East. This corpus, however, is by no means homogeneous; in accordance with the multicultural nature of Hittite religion, sacrificial practice was not a rationalized system, but a continuously evolving composite of conceptions and procedures drawn from the Indo-European, Mesopotamian, Hurrian, and indigenous Hattic strata from which Hittite culture was constituted.

Those given offerings by the Hittites included innumerable gods and goddesses, the “Thousand Gods of Hatti,” many known only from their appearance in sacrificial lists (Beckman 2004). Deities conceived anthropomorphically customarily received homage in the form of statues or upright stones. Offerings to deified mountains and springs and gifts to sacred objects and places, such as the throne and various locations in temples, including the four corners, pillars, wall(s), windows, and hearth (Beckman in press b), were generally presented directly to the recipient. Kings, queens, and princes attained the posthumous status of minor deity, as indicated by the employment of the euphemistic expression “to become a god” in reference to their deaths. Their ghosts
might be allotted modest offerings in the course of their funerary rites and periodically afterwards (Kassian, Korolev, and Sidel'psev 2002; Otten 1951). Demonic forces like “the Strangler,” could also be appeased with appropriate gifts (Carruba 1966).

Participants and Locations of Sacrifice

The Hittite king was the usual offerant, or presenter of sacrifice, in ceremonies of state cult, but culinary specialists commonly handled the actual slaughter and butchering of animals. The queen might assist the monarch with an offering, or even preside in her own right, and a prince could be delegated to represent the royal house. Religious professionals and palace personnel also performed offerings in the state cult. Responsibility for the poorly documented routine sacrifices in provincial temples and shrines fell to local officials under the supervision of the provincial governor (Pecht-Daddi 2003). Some texts speak of the attendance of a “great congregation” at festivals, but this group was almost certainly composed of notables and not of the populace at large, for the typical Hittite temple was not large enough to accommodate a crowd.

Offerings were most often performed in a place clearly differentiated from the profane sphere. Monumental buildings or parts thereof—temple, chapel, enclosure, courtyard, and palace—were frequent locations for sacrifice in the state cult. In such settings, the divine image, the altar, or an offering-table provided the focus of activity. Sometimes the texts specify the time of day at which an offering should be made, and it might be prescribed for the predawn twilight, morning, midday, afternoon, evening, or nighttime.

Offering Materials

Foodstuffs were the most frequent offerings. These included raw products like honey, oil, and fruit; processed foods such as flour, ghee, and cheese; and a wide array of baked goods, some of special shape or decoration (Hoffner 1974). Potable liquids (wine, beer, and milk) were employed in the frequent libations. Hittite deities enjoyed a diet far richer than that of the ordinary peasant, as evidenced in their prodigious consumption of meat, sometimes in astounding quantities (in one festival 1,000 sheep and 50 oxen). The usual sacrificial animals in Hatti were the same domestic livestock whose meat humans also ate most frequently: sheep, goats, and bovines. Wild animals, such as gazelle, stag, bear, boar, and leopard, were only seldom offered. Dogs, swine, and horses were killed only for special purposes, primarily to appease chthonic or underworld forces or the dead (Collins 1990).

Sacrificial victims were to be “pure,” that is, healthy and unblemished. On occasion it was specified that a female animal be virgin, and sometimes the victim had be of the same gender as the offerant. As a general rule, black animals were appropriate offerings for chthonic gods, white or light-colored ones for all other divinities. Although eagles and falcons already appeared infrequently in early rites, the sacrifice of fowl, usually through incineration, was introduced into Hatti rather late, as part
a Hurro-Luwian cult borrowed from southern Anatolia and Syria. Severe sanctions hung over temple workers who might be tempted to substitute their own inferior animals for prize specimens intended for a deity.

Non-food items, including silver, precious objects, parcels of land, and dependent persons, are mentioned in vows by which an individual promised gifts to the gods in return for divine favor, usually in the form of healing (Otten 1965). When delivered, these pledges presumably became part of the furnishings of temples or of the working capital of their associated economic establishments.

Sacrificial Practice

The bewildering variety of Hittite sacrifices can be reduced to five basic types: (1) attraction offerings, in which converging paths of fruit, sweets, and colored cloth intended to draw in the honored deities were laid out around the ritual site; (2) bloodless offerings consisting primarily of baked goods and libations of beer and wine; (3) animal sacrifice followed by a communal meal; (4) burnt offerings (restricted to ceremonies adopted from Syrian or southeastern Anatolian sources); and (5) "god drinking."

These ideal types do not reflect any native Hittite terminological distinctions. Only in the fourteenth and thirteenth centuries BCE was an elaborate vocabulary borrowed from the Hurrian and Luwian languages to designate varieties of offering. Some of these numerous terms refer to the procedure to be followed (for example, "burnt offering"), others the material employed ("blood"), the purpose of the rite ("purification"), or the problem to be addressed ("sin").

The general principle underlying Hittite offering technique was that the material presented had to be destroyed, in whole or in part, in order to pass over to the intended recipient in the para-human world. Thus liquids were poured out (on the ground, offering table, or altar), breads were broken or crumbled, vessels were smashed, and animals were killed. Bearing in mind that any particular rite might show considerable variation, and that the scribes frequently omitted mention of one or more features, we may summarize Hittite sacrificial procedure as follows (Kühne 1993): the priest or officiant, with implements, and the offering itself were ritually purified, after which the officiant washed his or her hands with water. Either of these acts might be intensified through the use of an aromatic substance. If the offering was small, it was handed to the officiant; if it was large, he or she placed a hand upon it, thereby establishing participation in the ceremony. The offering was effected (through breaking, scattering, and libation) either by the officiant or by the officiant personally. In conclusion, the officiant bowed or prostrated him- or herself before the deity.

Bloody Offerings

Animal sacrifice was somewhat more complicated; after the initial ritual cleansing, the victim, perhaps decorated with ribbons or objects of precious metal, was driven into
the temple or sacrificial location and dedicated to the recipient. A “sample” of the animal – probably a lock of hair – was conveyed to the deity, after which the beast was led out once more. The victim was then killed and butchered or dismembered, usually at a location somewhat away from the immediate offering site. The animal’s meat might be accompanied by a joyous shout from the participants (Collins 1995b).

Then there followed the consumption of the slaughtered beast by the god(s) and worshippers (Collins 1995a). The gods preferred the fat and those organs thought to be the seat of life and the emotions – liver and heart above all, but also the gall bladder and kidneys. These entrails were roasted over the flame, chopped, and served to the recipient on bread. The remainder of the carcass was dismembered, cooked as a stew and shared by the humans present. The skin or hide of the victim became the property of the offerant, the officiant, or the butcher. Nothing was wasted.

Certain rites of the later period attributed particular importance to the victim blood (Beckman in press c). In these instances the throat of the animal was slit in the presence of the deity and the spurring blood directed from the neck arteries upward or downward toward the divine statue or symbol, or into a bowl or a pit. Purification was the purpose of the ceremony, the person or object to be cleanse might be smeared with the blood.

The later Hurro-Luwian burnt offerings were holocausts, offerings wholly consumed, after the performance of which little or nothing remained for the human participants. The victims – most often birds but sometimes also a sheep or goat – were incinerated in a portable brazier, optionally accompanied by condiments such as bread crumbs, honey, fruit, flour, or salt.

“Drinking a God”

A practice peculiar to the Hittites was “god-drinking,” which was performed only by the king or by the royal couple, often serially for a long list of divinities. This act expressed by a grammatical construction in which the deity is the direct object (“The king drinks the god X”), which some scholars believe we must understand as denoting a mystical participation by the royal person(s) in the essence of the god or goddess (Güterbock 1998). Others interpret “god-drinking” as a shorthand expression for “drinking to the honor of” or “toasting” a deity (Melchert 1981). I lean toward the latter view.

Ritual and Popular Religion

In addition to the periodic rites of the state cult, other ceremonies, designated by the Sumerian term SISKUR (“ritual”), were executed along with their attendant offerings only as need arose. These occasions included the (re)construction of sacred buildings, the purification of a defeated army (Beal 1995), rites of passage such as birth (Beckman 1983), puberty, and death (Kassian, Korolev, and Sidel’tsev 2002) and personal crises like impotence, insomnia, or family strife. It is these rituals th:
provide us with a window onto the popular religion of Hatti, in contradistinction to
the worship performed in the temples, locations where the common man or woman
would but rarely have set foot.

Many of the ritual texts were not composed in the Hittite capital of Hattuša, but
were rather collected by royal agents throughout the territories controlled by Hatti.
The tablets recording them were then deposited in the central archives so that the
information they contained might be available for immediate use should the ruler or a
member of the royal court be confronted with a situation they were intended to
counter. The diversity of the geographic origin of the ritual texts is apparent because
many of them are attributed to a particular “author” from a particular locality, for
instance: “Thus says Alli, the woman from Arzawa: If a person is bewitched, then
I treat him/her as follows . . .” (Jacob-Rost 1972). More than half of these authorities
on magic were women (Beckman 1993), and we may confidently recognize the
rituals as examples of “folk wisdom.” The practitioners of these rites were not the
priests and temple employees of the state cult, but were most often called “seer” if
male, or “old woman” if female.

Magical ceremonies might take place in a special small building reserved for
purifications, in an uncultivated place, or at some other location far removed from
habitations and agricultural plots—far instance, on a rock outcropping, on a river-
bank, at a spring or well, or simply “in the open air.” Some rites directed toward
cthonic deities required the digging of an artificial offering pit (Collins 2001).

Pollution and Analogic Magic

Although sacrifice was included in the program of rituals in order to appease the
anger of deities or other powers, the central activity in magic was the removal of the
impurity held to be responsible for the patient’s suffering. This impurity, conceived of
as a quasi-substance, might have been laid upon an individual by a hostile or offended
god, sent to him or her by a human enemy through the practice of black magic, or
even picked up through unwitting physical contact with ritual materials not properly
destroyed. It was the task of the practitioner to render pollution harmless, and this
was most often done by means of analogic magic, in which the ritual act was almost
invariably accompanied by an incantation explicitly setting forth the analogy and its
intended effect. For instance, as twigs are burned, an angry deity is addressed:

(The god) Telipinu is wrathful. His soul and [his] figure were stifled (like) kindling. As
they have burned this kindling, let the displeasure, wrath, (perceived) offense, and anger
of Telipinu likewise burn. As [mak] is meager (in fertility), and one does not take it to the
field to use as seed, nor does one make it into bread, [nor] does one place [it] in the
storehouse, so let the displeasure, [wrath], (perceived) offense, and anger of Telipinu
likewise become meager (in effect). (Beckman 1997d)

In another rite, the cleansing of a household from evils is accompanied by the
following speech:
As a ram mounts an ewe and she becomes pregnant, so let this city and house become a ram, and let it mount the Dark Earth in the steppe! And let the Dark Earth become pregnant with the blood, impurity, and sin! (Otten 1961)

“Scapegoats”

Substitute or “scapegoat” rituals constitute a special class of ceremony. In these rit the moral or literal pollution of a patient was disposed of by transferring it through direct contact to a living carrier, such as a goat or even a human prisoner. The substitute is thence upon driven off into the wilderness or hostile territory, or else simply killed, taking the impurity with him to the underworld. The few attestations of human sacrifice found in Hittite texts are examples of this phenomenon rather than true offerings (Kümmel 1967: 150–68).

Communication

In order for the interdependent universe of the Hittites and their gods to function smoothly, it was necessary that communication be maintained between their realms. As we have seen, the king addressed deities through prayers, and the later male scribes kept their wishes known to men and women by means of omens and oracles. Sometimes messages sent directly by the gods to humans, through dreams or portents such as eclipses or violent storms. Of course, the import of a portentous event had to be elucidated by a religious expert, while the content of a dream was usually a clear command or promise to the sleeper by a god or goddess.

A more important line of communication was provided by oracles, that is, established procedures through which humans solicited information from the gods. Innumerable records of augury (observation of the flight of birds within a demarcated area), extispicy (examination of the entrails of butchered animals or birds), a kind of mechanical “lot” oracle, and several other divinatory techniques have been recovered at the Hittite capital. In a complex operation, these various techniques were employed by the Hittites as checks on one another. A short excerpt will convey the flavor of these reports:

In regard to the fact that you, O deity of (the city of) Arusa, were ascertained to be angry with His Majesty, is this because the queen cursed (the court woman) Ammatalla before the deity of Arusna? Because Ammatalla began to concern herself with the deity, yet did not go back and forth (in service to the deity)? Because the son of Ammatalla has dressed himself in garments entrusted to his mother and was summoned to the palace? If you, O god, are angry about this, let the extispicy be unfavorable. [Here follows a description of features of the entrails. Result:] Unfavorable.

If you, O god, are angry only about this, let the duck oracle be favorable. Unfavorable.

(Reckman 1997c)

The text runs on for a total of more than 150 lines, in which the causes of the divine will and possible measures for its amelioration are thoroughly investigated.
Another prayer of Mursili II contains a plea to the gods to avail themselves of one of the means just mentioned in order to make known the cause of their evident displeasure:

Or if people are dying for some other reason, let me see it in a dream, or let it be established through an oracle, or let a prophet speak it! Or in regard to whatever I communicate (as a possible cause of the epidemic) to all the priests, let them investigate it through incubation! (Beckman 1997a)

Conclusion

Patterns of worship throughout the Ancient Near East, temporally and spatially, were similar to the picture just drawn for Hatti: the state, in the person of the king or of his delegates, transmitted to the divine patrons of human society a symbolic portion of the goods produced, plundered, or otherwise acquired by that society. These deliveries took place every day, and were supplemented with additional gifts on special occasions and in time of trouble. Sacrifice was the core of Ancient Near Eastern religion, at least of that portion we can most readily recover. Hymns, prayers, lofty pronouncements on morality — however beautifully written and edifying to us today — were at best of secondary importance.

And as for the Hittites, the religious beliefs and practices of the common people of Mesopotamia, Syria, and Palestine are far more poorly understood at present than those of the state and the literate elite. To judge from the case of Hatti, the ordinary men and women of these regions too probably paid scant attention to the great deities of their land, and directed their worship primarily to their own ancestors and to secondary deities and forces whose rank within the cosmos was analogous to their own place within human society.
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