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Abstract

For many years, oxidative thiol modifications in cytosolic proteins were largely disregarded as in vitro artifacts, and considered unlikely to play significant roles within the reducing environment of the cell. Recent developments in in vivo thiol trapping technology combined with mass spectrometric analysis have now provided convincing evidence that thiol-based redox switches are used as molecular tools in many proteins to regulate their activity in response to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Reversible oxidative thiol modifications have been found to modulate the function of proteins involved in many different pathways, starting from gene transcription, translation and protein folding, to metabolism, signal transduction, and ultimately apoptosis. This review will focus on three well-characterized eukaryotic proteins that use thiol-based redox switches to influence gene transcription, metabolism, and signal transduction. The transcription factor Yap1p is a good illustration of how oxidative modifications affect the function of a protein without changing its activity. We use glyeraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase to demonstrate how thiol modification of an active site cysteine re-routes metabolic pathways and converts a metabolic enzyme into a pro-apoptotic factor. Finally, we introduce the redox-sensitive protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP1B to illustrate that reversibility is one of the fundamental aspects of redox-regulation. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 11, 997–1014.

Reactive Oxygen Species and Oxidative Stress

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) include a broad variety of different chemical oxidants, including hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide anion (O₂⁻), singlet oxygen (¹O₂), and hydroxyl radicals (·OH). Inside the cells, reactive oxygen species are constantly generated as side products of neutrophil-mediated phagocytosis (46), cellular respiration (20), and various NADPH oxidases (131). This ROS production appears not to be just a harmful byproduct of aerobic metabolism, but seems to involve a tightly regulated process (41). It allows low levels of ROS to serve as important signaling molecules in processes such as gene transcription, protein tyrosine de- and phosphorylation, stress protection, apoptosis, and metabolism (29, 41, 118, 128). Similarly, reactive nitrogen oxide species (RNS), which include the free radical nitric oxide (·NO), peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), also play significant roles as redox active molecules (89). In the presence of oxygen, endogenously produced ·NO can rapidly lead to S-nitrosation of protein thiols (SNO) and glutathione (GSNO), presumably via the formation of other reactive nitrogen oxide species, such as N₂O₅, NO₂, and thiol radicals (116). Over 200 different proteins have been found to be targeted by S-nitrosation, including metabolic enzymes, phosphatases, transcription factors, and others (48).

To maintain redox homeostasis under conditions where ROS and RNS concentrations begin to increase (see below), cells can draw on a wide arsenal of enzymatic and nonenzymatic defense and repair strategies. For rapid ROS detoxification and scavenging, most cells use a combination of antioxidant enzymes with very high catalytic activity, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (Gpx), or of high cellular abundance, such as peroxiredoxins (>0.5% of total cell protein) (107). The original oxidation status of cytosolic protein thiols is maintained and rapidly restored by the action of two redox balancing systems, thioredoxin (Trx) and glutaredoxin (Grx), which draw their reducing power ultimately from NADPH (49). The overall reducing environment of the cytosol of −230 to −260 mV, which represents the cellular standard redox potential, is preserved by the equilibrium between the small tripeptide glutathione (GSH) and its oxidized form (GSSSG).
Cysteine Thiols—Central Components of Redox-Sensitive Nanoswitches

Cysteine residues play important roles in the biochemistry of many proteins due to the unique chemical properties of their side chain. The high reactivity, redox properties, and ability of thiol groups to coordinate metal ions designate cysteines as the amino acids of choice to form key catalytic components of enzymes (10). Over the past 10 years, however, a new role for cysteine thiols emerged. They form the central building block of thiol-based redox switches in redox-regulated proteins. Redox-sensitive cysteines undergo reversible thiol modifications in response to reactive oxygen or nitrogen oxide species, thereby modulating protein function, activity, or localization. They play important roles in many signal transduction cascades (e.g., PTEN and PTP1B) (74, 150), gene transcription (e.g., p53) (102), as well as in the immediate defense against oxidative stress conditions (e.g., Hsp33, Hsf-1) (2, 52).

What makes cysteine residues particularly redox-sensitive and proteins potentially redox-regulated? Regulatory thiol modifications involve one or more cysteines, whose reactivity is largely determined by the cysteine’s structural environment and its pKa value. Most cytoplasmic protein thiols have pKa values of greater than 8.0, which render the thiol groups predominantly protonated and largely nonreactive at intracellular pH (43). Thiol groups of redox-sensitive cysteines, on the other hand, have characteristically much lower pKa values, ranging from as low as ~3.5 in thiol transferase to ~5.1–5.6 in protein tyrosine phosphatases. Under physiological pH conditions, these thiols are therefore present as deprotonated, highly reactive thiolate anions (RS-) (78, 81). The low pKa values of redox-sensitive cysteines arise primarily from stabilizing charge-charge interactions between the thiolate anion and neighboring positively charged or aromatic side chains (106, 152).

Thiolate anions, in contrast to their protonated counterparts, are highly susceptible to oxidation by ROS and RNS and can undergo a diverse spectrum of oxidative modifications (141). These include sulfenic (SOH), sulfinic (SO2H), and sulfonic (SO3H) acids, disulfide bonds (PrSSPr), or nitrosothiols (SNO) (27, 128, 129) (Fig. 1). Cysteine sulfenic acids and their deprotonated cysteine-sulfenates are remarkably reactive and versatile oxidation products, which are frequently formed (Fig. 1) first upon reaction of protein thiols with H2O2 (112). Due to their high reactivity, sulfenic acids are often considered as metastable intermediates that undergo or S-glutathionylation (R-S-SG), respectively. Overoxidation of disulfide bonds in the presence of strong oxidants can cause thiosulfinate (R’-SO-S-R”) or irreversible thiosulfonate (R’-SO2-S-R”) formation. Most oxidative thiol modifications are reduced by members of the glutaredoxin (Grx) system and thioredoxin (Trx) system (reductants), which draw their reducing power from cellular NADPH. Exposure of thiolate anions to reactive nitrogen oxide species causes S-nitrosothiol formation, whereas treatment with peroxynitrite yields S-nitrothiol formation. The exact mechanism by which individual RNS cause oxidative thiol modifications in vivo is still under investigation.

FIG. 1. Oxidative thiol modifications. Oxidation of cysteine thiol groups by H2O2 leads to sulfenic acid (R-SOH) formation. Sulfenic acids are either stabilized by nearby charges or react with neighboring thiols or proximal nitrogen to form disulfide bonds (R’-S-S-R”) or sulfenamide bonds (R’-S-NH-R”), respectively. In the presence of high H2O2 concentrations, overoxidation to sulfinic (R-SO2H) or sulfonic acid (R-SO3H) occurs. Although a few protein-specific sulfinic acid reductases have been identified, overoxidation is still considered to be largely irreversible in vivo. Alternatively, reaction of thiolate anions (RS-) with oxidized cysteines of other proteins or low molecular weight thiols such as glutathione (GSSG) leads to mixed disulfide bond formation (R’-S-S-R”).
further reactions to form stable modifications, such as disulfides with other protein thiols or S-glutathionylation with the small redox buffer component glutathione (27, 112, 128, 129). Importantly, most oxidative thiol modifications are fully reversible in vitro and utilize dedicated oxidoreductases, such as the thioredoxin or glutaredoxin system, to quickly restore the original redox state upon the cell’s return to nonstress conditions (26, 30, 119) (Fig. 1). It appears that it is the reaction rate with these dedicated oxidoreductases that often determines the lifespan of oxidized proteins and supports their accumulation even in an overall reducing environment (7, 77).

Extended and/or extensive exposure of proteins to oxidants can lead to the “overoxidation” of cysteine residues to form sulfenic and sulfonic acids (82). These oxidative modifications are considered largely irreversible in vivo, although a small number of protein-specific sulfonic acid reductases (e.g., sulfireodoxin, setrin) have recently been identified (16, 142). Moreover, strong oxidative stress conditions often result in excessive disulfide bonding, protein misfolding, aggregation, and degradation, and will eventually lead to cell death (28, 127).

The type and extent of oxidative modifications in redox-regulated proteins depends on the specific type of oxidant (75), its absolute level and persistency, the subcellular location of oxidant production and its distance to the target protein (29, 41). Even small changes in the basal level of intracellular ROS and RNS can cause oxidative modifications in proteins that are specifically sensitive to these oxidants. Oxidative thiol modifications most often lead to changes in the structure of proteins and cause either their activation (e.g., OxyR, Hsp33) (52, 99) or inactivation (e.g., PTEN, GapDH) (120, 150). With the help of innovative in vivo and in vitro thiol trapping techniques (reviewed in refs. 72 and 149) and global redox proteomic methods (reviewed in ref. 76), the number of redox-regulated proteins that have been identified is steadily increasing (3, 27, 59). Redox-regulated proteins turn out to be involved in nearly every physiological process, including metabolism, signaling, cell growth, gene expression, transcription factor activation, differentiation, senescence, and apoptosis (41, 118, 128, 129). Interestingly, more often than not, redox-regulated proteins appear to be central components of these pathways, suggesting that protein redox regulation is the cell’s fundamental strategy to fine-tune cellular processes in response to ROS and RNS. Here, we focus on a subset of proteins with thiol-based redox switches, whose redox regulation influences distinct processes in eukaryotic cells: transcription, metabolism, and signal transduction.

Changing Gene Transcription to Protect Cells Against Oxidative Stress

The ability to rapidly adapt gene expression to environmental changes is crucial for the growth and survival of every organism. Over the past few years, an increasing number of transcription factors have been shown to be directly regulated by accumulating ROS (59). These include the activator protein-1 (AP-1), NF-κB, Myb, p53, USF (upstream stimulatory factor), NF-1 (nuclear factor 1), and others (9, 87, 101). Redox regulation of these proteins is mediated by the modification of one or more cysteine residues, which causes either the activation (e.g., AP-1, NF-κB) or inactivation (e.g., USF) of the respective transcription factor (87, 101).

We focus here primarily on AP-1-like transcription factors, which are well-characterized leucine-zipper (bZIP) DNA-binding proteins, that are implicated in the regulation of multiple cellular processes, including proliferation, differentiation, stress response, and apoptosis (35, 61, 134). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the AP-1-like transcription factor Yap1p plays an important role in the cellular response to oxidative stress and various xenobiotics (8, 68, 93). The Yap1p regulon includes as many as 70 genes encoding most of yeast’s antioxidant enzymes and components of the cellular thiol-reducing pathway, including Trx, Grx, GPx, SOD, and CAT (22, 54, 68).

Yap1—Changing the Redox State Means Switching Homes

The activation of Yap1p upon peroxide stress (i.e., H₂O₂) is triggered by highly conserved cysteine residues, which are reduced under nonstress conditions and rapidly form intramolecular disulfide bonds upon exposure to oxidative stress conditions (31, 143). The redox-active cysteines in Yap1p are clustered in two cysteine-rich domains (CRD) (Fig. 2). Each CRD contains three cysteines (Cys303, Cys310, and Cys315) positioned in the n-CRD at the center of the protein, and three cysteines (Cys598, Cys620, and Cys629) located in the c-CRD at the C-terminus of Yap1p. Embedded in the c-CRD is also a leucine-rich nuclear export signal (NES), which is directly responsible for Yap1p’s subcellular distribution and indirectly responsible for its functional regulation (69, 147).

Yap1p’s function as a transcription factor is controlled by redox-regulated changes in the accessibility of the NES (69, 70). In nonstressed yeast cells, the NES is exposed and Yap1p shuttles between the nucleus and the cytosol. Upon migration into the nucleus, Yap1p is rapidly exported in a process that is mediated by binding to the nuclear export receptor Crm1 (also called Xpo1) (70, 147). The outcome is a relatively low nuclear level of Yap1p and therefore a low constitutive activity in unstressed yeast cells. Exposure of yeast cells to H₂O₂, however, leads to rapid redox-mediated conformational changes in Yap1p, that disrupt the interaction with Crm1. This, in turn, leads to the nuclear accumulation of Yap1p and results in significantly increased antioxidant gene transcription (31, 69).

Yap1p—Member of a Two-Component Redox Relay

Over the past few years it became evident that Yap1p is not directly oxidized by H₂O₂ but functions in a two-component system with its partner protein glutathione peroxidase Gpx3 (also named Orp1), a protein originally identified as a hydroperoxide scavenger (54). Upon exposure to H₂O₂, the catalytic site cysteine Cys36 of Gpx3 attacks the O–O bond of the substrate, thereby forming a sulfenic acid (Cys36-SOH) at its active site cysteine (81) (Fig. 2). Cys36-SOH subsequently reacts with Cys598 of Yap1p’s c-CRD to form a mixed Yap1p-Gpx3 disulfide intermediate. Rearrangement of this disulfide by intramolecular thiol-disulfide exchange with Cys303 of Yap1p then leads to the formation of the first inter-domain disulfide bond between Cys303-Cys598 in Yap1 and the regeneration of reduced Gpx3 (32, 81). Triggered by this inter-domain disulfide bond formation, Yap1p undergoes further conformational changes that apparently mask the NES and disrupt the Yap1p–Crm1 interaction (31, 96) (Fig. 2)
Subsequently, additional disulfide bonds between cysteines of n-CRD and c-CRD of Yap1p form (see below) presumably in a similar Gpx3-mediated process (29). Upon return to nonstress conditions, the disulfide bonds are reduced by the thioredoxin-system, leading to the reversal of the structural rearrangements and to the re-exposure of NES (31). Thus, Crm1-mediated nuclear export of Yap1p can resume and Yap1’s transcriptional activity returns to its low pre-stress levels (143).

A similar relay system has also been described for the redox-regulation of the mammalian AP-1 transcription factor that is formed upon dimerization of c-Fos and c-Jun. In contrast to Yap1p, however, which requires the sulfenic acid in Gpx3 for its oxidative activation, mammalian AP-1 uses the reduced cysteines of the redox factor Ref-1 for its reductive activation (139, 145). In the c-Fos/c-Jun AP-1 transcription factor, a single conserved cysteine residue in the DNA-binding domains of each of the two individual proteins appears to form the molecular redox switch (1). In vitro treatment of AP-1 with alkylating agents, such as N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) has been shown to cause a decrease in transcriptional activity while exposure to reductants, such as DTT, or mutation of the cysteines results in enhanced DNA binding (1, 97). Once oxidized and inactivated, AP-1 cannot be directly reduced and activated by thioredoxin (Trx) (1). Activation of AP-1 requires Ref-1, which appears to draw its electrons from thioredoxin and ultimately from NADPH (146).

**Redox-Mediated Fine-Tuning of Yap1p’s Functional Activity**

In vitro studies demonstrated that Yap1p’s activation by H$_2$O$_2$ involves a multistep formation of three inter-domain disulfide bonds. This finding led to a model suggesting that all six cysteine residues in Yap1p are important for optimal H$_2$O$_2$ sensing and signal transduction (96). Transcriptional activity of Yap1p appears to be directly determined by the balance between Gpx3-mediated disulfide bond formation in Yap1p, which is regulated by intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ levels, and thioredoxin-mediated disulfide bond reduction, which is regulated by intracellular NADPH levels (32), suggesting that the cellular ratio of H$_2$O$_2$ to NADPH ultimately determines the number of disulfide bonds that form and the level of Yap1 activity (96).

In vitro activation generates at least three oxidation forms of Yap1p, which differ in the number of disulfide bonds (96). As mentioned above, formation of the Cys303–Cys598 disulfide bond seems to initiate the activation of Yap1p by directly causing conformational changes that bury the NES. Formation of the second inter-domain disulfide bond between Cys330 and Cys629 appears to further increase Yap1p’s transcriptional activity. Both inter-domain disulfide bonds seem to be required for the recruitment of Rox3p to the TRX2 promoter, a prerequisite for maximum Yap1p-mediated TRX2 expression (45). Moreover, Yap1p mutant strains carrying substitutions at either Cys310 or Cys629 show a mixture of oxidized and reduced Yap1p species upon H$_2$O$_2$ stress in vivo. This result suggests that the formation of the Cys303–Cys629 bond stabilizes the Cys303-Cys598 disulfide and prevents its premature reduction (143). The arrangement of the third disulfide bond between Cys315 and Cys620 appears to be important for maintaining Yap1p in the nucleus and upholding its activity in the later stages of the H$_2$O$_2$ response (96).

Noteworthy at this point is the observation that a third protein appears to be involved in peroxide-induced Yap1p oxidation. In vivo studies showed that the protein Ybp1 forms an H$_2$O$_2$-induced complex with the c-CRD-containing region of Yap1p, thereby stimulating nuclear accumulation and activity of the transcription factor (137). Although Ybp1 has several cysteine residues, this interaction appears to be based on a nonredox function of Ybp1 (29). Because Δybp1/Agpx3 double mutants show very similar effects on the regulation of Yap1p’s activity as the individual single mutants, a model was proposed in which Ybp1 and Gpx3 act in the same pathway (137) (Fig. 2). The H$_2$O$_2$ stress-induced stimulation of the Yap1p–Ybp1 complex formation, together with the cytoplasmic localization of Ybp1, suggests that the molecular function of Ybp1 might be important for disulfide bond formation or signal transduction between Gpx3 and Yap1p (95, 137). Alternatively, Ybp1 might function as mediator in the formation of the Gpx3–Yap1p disulfide intermediate or in preventing Gpx’s sulfenic acid intermediate from reacting with its own second cysteine, which would short-cut the oxidation relay.

**Activation of Yap1p—More Than One Way to Get the Response**

In contrast to the activation of Yap1p in response to ROS, such as peroxide, which depends on Gpx3 and/or Ybp1, activation of Yap1p in response to diamide, electrophiles, and...
dissulfide bonds (8, 31). In the presence of diamide, thiol modification of the three cysteines in c-CRD seems sufficient to disrupt interaction between Yap1p and Crm1 and to promote the nuclear accumulation of Yap1p (67). Diamide-mediated thiol modifications might either lead to the formation of an intra-domain disulfide bond between two of the three cysteines in c-CRD (67) or cause individual cysteine modification, such as the formation of sulfenylhydrazine (8, 31, 67). Activation of Yap1p without disulfide bond formation was also observed in response to the superoxide generator and alkylating agent menadione and N-ethylmaleimide under anaerobic conditions as well as in a Δgpx3 strain (8). Based on these findings, it is likely that other non-ROS inducers of Yap1p, such as cadmium or selenate, activate Yap1p by either binding to or chemically modifying individual C-terminal cysteines (8, 31). This type of activation is similar to the diethylmaleate-mediated activation of the Yap1 homologue Pap1 in Saccharomyces pombe, which is suggested to operate through covalent cysteine adduct formation (23).

Yap1p—A Prototype for Emerging Concepts in Redox Regulation?

Yap1p’s mechanism of activation combines a number of features that have become increasingly common among redox-regulated proteins. One concept that emerges is that redox-regulated disulfide bond formation causes extensive conformational changes in the affected proteins, which either lead to the folding of previously unfolded regions (e.g., Yap1p, Pap1) (23, 143) or to the unfolding of previously folded regions (e.g., Hsp33, RsrA) (52, 98). Whether disulfide bond formation mediates folding or unfolding of the protein depends largely on the individual protein, its function, and the mechanism of regulation. Interestingly, it appears not to correlate with the final activity of the oxidized protein. Disulfide bond formation in Yap1p, for instance, converts a poorly folded region into a compactly folded protein domain in a process that effectively buries the NES and activates the transcriptional response (143). In contrast, ROS-mediated disulfide bond formation in the redox-regulated chaperone Hsp33 causes large portions of the protein to adopt a natively unfolded protein conformation (52). This unfolding is crucial for the exposure of a substrate-binding site in Hsp33, which is capable of binding proteins that undergo stress-induced unfolding and protects bacteria against oxidative damage (52).

In the anti-sigma factor RsrA, on the other hand, disulfide-mediated unfolding abolishes its binding to the sigma factor, which is released and induces antioxidant gene transcription mediated unfolding abolishes its binding to the sigma factor, which is released and induces antioxidant gene transcription in Streptomyces coelicolor (98). Extensive biophysical studies on other redox-regulated proteins will reveal how many redox-regulated proteins use this rapid and fully reversible mechanism to translate changes in their redox state into functional changes.

A second mechanistic aspect that might turn into a new paradigm is that thiol-based regulatory switches such as found in Yap1p or the related Pap1p do not function as primary ROS sensors. Instead, both redox-regulated transcription factors use peroxidases as ROS sensors, which relay the redox signal from their active site cysteine to the acceptor protein and trigger disulfide bond formation (138). It is conceivable that other thiol peroxidases serve as central relay stations for yet to be identified redox-regulated proteins in vivo.

Redox Theme With Variations—The Nrf2-Keap1 Connection

In multicellular organisms, protection against ROS, nitric oxide, heavy metals, and electrophilic compounds is primarily mediated by the redox-sensitive Nrf2-Keap1 signaling pathway (35, 65). Nrf2, the nuclear factor erythroid-2 related factor, functions as master transcription factor. It forms heterodimers with other transcription factors such as c-Jun, Jun B, Jun D, ATF3, and ATF4, thereby regulating the transcription of >200 eukaryotic genes. The gene products are involved in cellular protection against oxidative stress, in detoxification of electrophiles, and in proteasomal activity (65).

Under nonstress conditions, Nrf2 forms a tight complex with the redox-sensitive stress receptor protein Keap1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein-1). Keap1 functions as a negative regulator of Nrf2 by retaining the transcription factor in the cytoplasm and targeting it for ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation (64). Keap1 facilitates Nrf2’s proteasomal degradation by acting as a substrate adaptor protein for Cul-containing E3 ubiquitin ligases, which catalyze the ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation of Nrf2 (reviewed in ref. 151). Exposure of cells to ROS or other inducers, however, promotes the escape of Nrf2 and its subsequent translocation into the nucleus, where it activates the antioxidant response element (ARE) (94).

Stress sensing is mediated by the cysteine-rich protein Keap1. At least three (Cys151, Cys273, Cys288) of the 25 to 27 cysteines present in mammalian Keap1 appear to play critical roles. Interestingly, any type of thiol modifications at these three cysteine residues seem to be sufficient to induce conformational rearrangements in Keap1, which abrogate its binding to Nrf2 and activate the oxidative stress response (65). Recently, Keap1 was identified as a metal-binding protein, which binds zinc in a 1:1 stoichiometry (35). Mutant studies suggested that two of the three redox-sensitive cysteines (Cys273 and Cys288) are directly involved in zinc coordination and activation under reducing nonstress conditions (35). Exposure to ROS was found to induce disulfide bond formation and lead to zinc release and conformational rearrangements in Keap1, suggesting that Keap1 senses ROS via a redox-sensitive zinc center. This model is very reminiscent of the redox-regulated anti-sigma factor RsrA (98) and the bacterial chaperone Hsp33 (52). Both proteins use redox-sensitive zinc-centers as ROS-sensors and undergo dramatic conformational changes upon disulfide bond formation and zinc release. The additional layers of regulation that are involved in the Nrf2-Keap1 signaling pathway have been expertly reviewed in the past (151), and their discussion goes beyond the scope of this review.

GapDH—Putting Cellular Metabolism Under Redox-Control

A variety of key metabolic enzymes in eukaryotic cells have been identified to contain redox sensitive active site cysteine residues, which become oxidatively modified upon exposure to ROS and/or RNS. These redox-regulated metabolic enzymes include among others carbonic anhydrase, creatine kinase (CK), and glyeraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
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Presence of H₂O₂, for instance, GapDH’s active site cysteine depends on the type and concentration of oxidants. In the oxidative stress conditions, the active-site thiolate in GapDH was shown to rapidly become the target of both reversible oxidative modifications by a variety of different reactive oxygen and nitrogen oxide species. In addition, GapDH appears to play an important role in a plethora of other processes that are unrelated to its glycolytic function (126). These include transcription (153), regulation of Ca²⁺-homeostasis (100), membrane fusion, microtubule bundling, phosphotransferase activity, nuclear RNA export, and DNA replication and repair (24, 126). Recent studies have furthermore shown an active role of GapDH in apoptosis (47) and an involvement in Huntington’s disease (83). The proapoptotic role of GapDH in these diseases seems to be dependent upon its nuclear accumulation and its formation of insoluble aggregates (28, 114).

GapDH functions as a homotetramer. Each subunit contains one active site cysteine and a second, somewhat less conserved cysteine three amino acids apart. Upon exposure to oxidative stress conditions, the active-site thiolate in GapDH was shown to rapidly become the target of both reversible and irreversible thiol modifications, whose nature largely depends on the type and concentration of oxidants. In the presence of H₂O₂, for instance, GapDH’s active site cysteine was found to be oxidized to either sulfenic, sulfinic, sulfonic acid, or engaged in an intramolecular disulfide bond with the neighboring Cys154 (75). Incubation with HNO (nitroxyanion) or the NO-donor DEA/NO (diethyamine NONOate), on the other hand, was shown to cause S-nitrosation (47, 88), S-glutathionylation (26, 88, 120), carbonylation (21), and ADP-riboseylation (34). All these modifications cause the reversible or irreversible inhibition of GapDH enzyme activity and lead to the attenuation of glycolysis in vivo.

Re-Routing the Metabolic Flux to Protect Cells Against Oxidative Damage

Oxidative stress-induced inhibition of GapDH as a central component of glycolysis leads to the rapid re-routing of glucose-6-phosphate into the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP). This serves as immediate response to protect against oxidative insults (44, 104) (Fig. 3). The PPP is one of the key routes for nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) production in the eukaryotic cytoplasm. The product NADPH serves as electron donor for both glutathione reductase (Grx) and thioredoxin reductase (TrxR), and is therefore essential to restore and maintain the reducing environment of the cytosol (6). Oxidative inactivation of triose phosphate isomerase (TPI) causes a similar re-direction of the metabolic flux from glycolysis to PPP. TPI, which directly precedes GapDH in glycolysis, catalyzes the isomerization of dihydroxyacetone phosphate to GAP. Yeast cells with reduced TPI activity are highly resistant to treatment with the oxidant diamide (103), supporting the model that re-routing the glucose flux maintains the cytoplasmic NADPH/NADP⁺-equilibrium and counteracts oxidative stress. When cells return to nonstress conditions, reduced glutathione and thioredoxin (77) rapidly restore GapDH activity and therefore the fine-tuned metabolic flux of glucose.

These findings show that ROS-mediated changes in the activity of glycolytic enzymes trigger important and immediate response mechanisms that control the redox state of the cell and protect cells against increased oxidative damage. These observations also form the basis for discussions that inhibitors of glycolysis such as 2-deoxy-D-glucose (71) might serve as potential therapeutics for oxidative stress-related neuronal disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease (104).

ROS-Mediated GapDH Aggregation and Its Role in Apoptosis

Both nitrosative and oxidative stress conditions have been shown to lead to intermolecular disulfide bond formation between GapDH subunits, causing extensive GapDH oligomerization both in vitro and in vivo (28, 92) (Fig. 3). Interestingly, these aggregates were very similar in their characteristic features (e.g., Congo red staining) to amyloid-like fibrils previously reported for α-synuclein (66) and ß-amyloid (14). The ability of thiol reductants such as dithiothreitol (DTT) to dissolve these aggregates was dependent on the extent of oxidation, supporting the model that intermolecular disulfide bonds are involved in aggregate formation. Two cysteines appear to play the major role in this oxidative stress-induced aggregation process, the active site cysteine (Cys149 in rabbit GapDH, Cys150 in yeast GapDH) and, to a lesser extent, Cys281 (28, 92). The precise mechanism by which these large disulfide-linked GapDH oligomers form has yet to be determined. Given that the active site cysteine is located at the bottom of GapDH’s catalytic site, the distance between these cysteines on the individual subunits is too far to allow inter-subunit disulfide bond formation to occur (92). It is conceivable, however, that initial thiol modification of Cys150 causes extensive conformational changes that lead to the exposure of additional cysteines such as Cys281 and allow them to undergo intermolecular disulfide bond formation (92).

Importantly, in vivo studies demonstrated that the degree of GapDH aggregation correlates well with the rate of oxidative stress-induced cell death (92). These results suggested that insoluble GapDH aggregates are either cytotoxic per se, or promote apoptosis indirectly by interacting with other intracellular aggregate-prone proteins, such as tau or α-synuclein (91, 110) (Fig. 3). This mechanism appears to contradict an earlier model proposed by Haraguchi et al. in which NO-induced alterations of the GapDH/Siah1 signaling cascade were thought to cause the GapDH-dependent cell death under nitrosative stress conditions (47) (Fig. 3). According to this model, S-nitrosation of Cys150 in GapDH of HEK293 cells stimulates the interaction between the ATP-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase Siah1, and Lys225 in GapDH (47, 50). Siah1, which possesses a nuclear localization signal (NLS), escorts GapDH into the nucleus where the association between GapDH and Siah1 appears to stabilize the E3-ligase (47). Stabilization of Siah1, in turn, increases the rate of degradation for a set of different target proteins and ultimately
triggers apoptosis (109) (Fig. 3). As so often in biology, it is more than likely that both mechanisms are linked to oxidative stress-induced cell death. More research is required to identify whether the two mechanisms work synergistically or independently, and to elucidate whether oxidant specificity of GapDH’s active site cysteine determines the apoptotic route that cells take (47). In either case, these studies demonstrate that GapDH, a protein best known for its central role in glycolysis, probably plays an equally important role as a redox-regulated proapoptotic protein (47, 92).

Role of GapDH’s Redox-Regulation in Signaling

Nuclear translocation of GapDH mediated by oxidative modifications of its active site cysteine appears to correlate with the initiation of cell death and the physiology of a number of neurodegenerative diseases (28, 47, 114, 135). In addition, GapDH translocation might also play a role in intracellular signaling (24, 47). GapDH has long been shown to associate with DNA (108), and translocation into the nucleus might further stimulate this interaction. It is conceivable that oxidative stress-mediated nuclear translocation of GapDH serves as mediator for relaying extranuclear stress signals into the nucleus, which would translate changes in the cellular redox state directly into changes in transcriptional activity (122). This is in line with data that show the direct involvement of metabolic enzymes or homologs such as GapDH or CtBP in transcription (121, 153). P38, a nuclear form of GapDH, was found to be a key component of OCA-S, a multicomponent Oct-1 co-activator complex, which is es-

---

**FIG. 3. Oxidative thiol modifications of GapDH—from cytoprotection to cytotoxicity.** (A) ROS-mediated inhibition of GapDH re-routes metabolic flux as immediate defense against oxidative insult. Oxidative stress-induced inhibition of GapDH as a central component of glycolysis leads to the rapid re-routing of glucose-6-phosphate into the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), one of the key routes for NADPH production in the eukaryotic cytoplasm. NADPH serves as electron donor for glutathione reductase and thioredoxin reductase, and is therefore essential to restore and maintain the reducing environment of the cytosol. (B) Model of GapDH/Siah1-signaling cascade-dependent cell death. Exposure of GapDH to RNS causes S-nitrosation of Cys150 in GapDH. S-nitrosation stimulates the interaction between the E3-ubiquitin ligase Siah1 and GapDH. Siah1, which possesses a nuclear localization signal (NLS), escorts GapDH into the nucleus where the association between GapDH and Siah1 appears to stabilize the E3-ligase. This stabilization, in turn, increases the rate of degradation for a set of different target proteins, and ultimately triggers cell death. (C) Model of apoptosis triggered by ROS-mediated GapDH aggregation. Exposure of GapDH to excess ROS leads to the initial disulfide bond formation between either Cys150 and Cys154 (intramolecular disulfide bond) or between two active site cysteines Cys150. The latter leads to extensive conformational changes and exposes additional cysteines (e.g., Cys281) that undergo further intermolecular disulfide bond formation. This results in the accumulation of insoluble aggregates composed of multiple GapDH subunits in the cytosol and the nucleus. The aggregates are either intrinsically cytotoxic or interact with other aggregation-prone proteins (e.g., Aβ, tau, α-synuclein) to cause cytotoxicity. For reasons of simplicity, only one subunit of the GapDH tetramer is shown.
sential for S phase-dependent histone H2B transcription during DNA replication (153). GapDH’s direct interaction with the promoter-bound Oct-1 supports the binding of the OCA-S complex to Oct-1. Noteworthy, both the interaction of GapDH with Oct-1 as well as the transcriptional activity of OCA-S are altered by the NAD+/NADH redox status (153). This NAD+/NADH influence might link the metabolic and/or redox state of the cell to histone transcription and DNA replication. However, the structural basis for NAD+/NADH modulation and the mechanism by which GapDH supports gene transcription have yet to be determined (153).

Very recently, the involvement of GapDH’s redox regulation in a multistep phosphorelay signaling in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* was reported (90). In phosphorelays, which are variations of the bacterial two-component signaling system, a histidine-containing phosphotransfer (HPt) protein mediates the phosphotransfer from a sensor kinase to a response regulator, which in turn activates a kinase cascade and eventually causes induction of the corresponding response genes (111). In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, osmotic and oxidative stress conditions activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) Spc1 (124) (Fig. 4). Activated Spc1 phosphorylates the Atf1 transcription factor, which induces several stress response genes, including *ctt1* encoding for cytoplasmic catalase (140). The transmission of the stress signals to Spc1 in *S. pombe* occurs via a multistep phosphorelay system consisting of the phosphotransfer protein Mpr1 and the response regulator Mcs4, which forms a complex with the stress-responsive Wis4/Win1 MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK) (5). Morigasaki *et al.* showed that the Mcs4-MAPKKK complex contains Tdh1, an isofrm of GapDH (90). Oxidative modification of Tdh1’s active site cysteine Cys152 was found to result in enhanced binding between Tdh1 and Mcs4, which appears to be essential for the interaction between Mcs4 and Mpr1 and promotes the transmission of H2O2 stimuli to the downstream components of the MAP-kinase cascade (i.e., Wis1, Spc1) (5) (Fig. 4). Mutation of the active site cysteine (C152S) or deletion of the tdh1 gene was demonstrated to cause a decrease in Mcs4 and Mpr1 interaction, resulting in a defect in H2O2-signaling through the phosphorelay. These results reveal that peroxide-induced oxidative modification of the redox-sensitive cysteine in GapDH plays a key role in transmitting H2O2 stimuli to a response regulator, which is part of a multistep phosphorelay cascade, thereby modulating cellular signaling (90). As GapDH is conserved in multiple eukaryotic organisms, GapDH might play an important role in other signaling relay systems in different species.

**GapDH—One of Many Redox-Regulated Metabolic Enzymes**

GapDH is only one of many metabolic enzymes whose activity is regulated by oxidative thiol modifications (155).

---

**FIG. 4. Role of redox regulation by GapDH in stress signaling.** In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, a multistep phosphorelay composed of the sensor kinase Mak2/Mak3, the histidine-containing phosphotransferase (HPt) Mpr1 and the Mcs4 response regulator transmit stress signals, such as H2O2, to the Spc1 MAPK cascade. This cascade is composed of the Wis4/Win1 MAPKKKs, the MAPKK Wis1, and Spc1 MAPK as final receptor. Wis4/Win1 and Mcs4 form a complex with the GapDH isoform Tdh1. H2O2-mediated oxidative modification of Tdh1’s active site cysteine Cys152 enhances the interaction between Tdh1 and the Mcs4 response regulator, which, in turn, promotes the interaction and phosphorelay signaling between the response regulator Mcs4 and the HPt protein Mpr1. This interaction is required for the transmission of the H2O2 stress signal to Spc1.
Creatine kinase, for instance, which plays a central role in controlling cellular energy homeostasis in energy-demanding tissues such as muscle and brain, contains one highly oxidation-sensitive active site cysteine Cys283 (105). ROS and RNS-mediated thiol modification of Cys283 leads to the reversible inactivation of creatine kinase, which appears to affect muscle performance and Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis (130). This inactivation seems to be of particular importance during oxidative stress conditions encountered in ischemia, cardiomyopathy, and several neurodegenerative disorders (reviewed in ref. 115). Similarly, both glycogen synthase and protein phosphatase-I are readily inactivated upon treatment with oxidized glutathione (38, 123). This inactivation might explain the high glycogenolytic activity that has been observed in liver tissue treated with thiol oxidants (115). Carboxic anhydrase 3, which maintains pH homeostasis in mammals, has been found to be sensitive to oxidative modifications of Cys186 and Cys181 (62). Although earlier results suggested already a role of carboxic anhydrase 3 in the cellular response to oxidative stress (19), it remains to be determined whether these observed oxidative thiol modifications are involved. Interestingly, for many of these enzymes, oxidative modifications such as S-glutathionylation have been considered to be a protective measure rather than a redox-regulatory feature, with the purpose to protect active site cysteines against irreversible overoxidation and inactivation (30, 32). However, because loss of enzyme activity is inevitably connected with these oxidative thiol modifications and rapid reactivation is usually achieved upon return to reducing nonstress conditions, this argument might be purely semantic.

### Redox Regulation of Eukaryotic Signal Transduction Cascades

Reversible protein tyrosine phosphorylation and dephosphorylation are important posttranslational protein modifications involved in a variety of cellular signal transduction cascades that control metabolism, motility, cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and survival (4, 11). Whereas phosphorylation reactions are carried out by specific tyrosine kinases, dephosphorylation reactions are catalyzed by members of a large superfamily of protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). In the human genome, 107 PTP encoding genes have been identified (4). Even though members of the PTP superfamily show only low sequence similarities and possess different topologies (12, 39), they are all characterized by an active site cysteine located within a highly conserved 11-residue motif [I/V][HCXGXXR[S/T]]. This active site cysteine exhibits a very low pKa value (~5.6 in PTP1B, ~4.7 in YOP phosphatase (78, 152)]. It is present as a thiolate anion at physiological pH, which renders the catalytic site cysteine highly reactive and promotes its nucleophilic attack at a phosphotyrosine (pTyr) substrate (11, 40). This reaction results in the formation of a covalent phospho-cysteine intermediate, which is subsequently hydrolyzed by an activated water molecule (33). The very same properties that make PTP’s active site cysteine such an excellent nucleophile, also makes it highly susceptible to oxidants such as H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and superoxide, and make the proteins highly sensitive to oxidative stress-mediated inactivation (33). By regulating tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent signaling events using redox-mediated posttranslational modification of PTP activity, ROS can actively function as second messenger (33, 106).

#### PTP1B—Using Cyclic Sulfenamide Formation as Redox Switch

PTP1B, a prototypic member of the PTP family, is widely expressed in multiple cell types and tissues including brain, liver, and skeletal muscle (36). It is considered to be the physiological regulator of glucose homeostasis via its control of leptin sensitivity and its function as a major negative regulator of the insulin pathway (36, 37). Furthermore, PTP1B appears to have oncogenic properties in various cancer types such as in colon cancer, where it activates Src by catalyzing the dephosphorylation of the negative regulator residue Tyr530 (154).

In active PTP1B, the catalytic cysteine Cys215 is reduced and located at the base of a cleft that lies within the pTyr substrate binding pocket (11, 12) (Fig. 5). Oxidation of Cys215 in the presence of mild oxidative conditions, such as 100 \(\mu\)M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), causes the reversible formation of a cyclic sulfenamide species (Fig. 6). This oxidation is accompanied by major changes in the structure of the active site and leads to the inhibition of enzyme activity (112, 136). The generation of a cyclic sulfenamide bond in PTP1B probably occurs through the initial oxidation of Cys215 to a sulfenic acid intermediate. This step is followed by a nucleophilic attack of the backbone nitrogen atom of Ser216 on the sulfened Cys215 forming cyclic sulfenamide and concomitantly releasing water (112, 136). Amino acids in the active site of PTP1B, such as the highly conserved His214, facilitate the cyclization by providing steric and/or electrostatic effects (112) (Fig. 5).

Upon formation of the active site sulfenamide, PTP1B undergoes dramatic tertiary structural rearrangements at its catalytic site (Fig. 5). These involve conformational rearrangements of both PTP and pTyr loops, which are central to catalysis and substrate recognition. In addition, converting the PTP loop into an open conformation exposes the \(\text{S}_\text{y} \) atom of Cys215, which might enhance the accessibility of the catalytic cysteine for reducing agents once nonstress conditions are restored (112). Reducing agents such as GSH or DTT have been shown to reduce the active site sulfenamide, reverse the conformational changes, and fully restore the phosphatase activity (112, 136). In contrast, however, in the presence of excess \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), overoxidation of the active site cysteine to sulfenic and sulfonic acid occurs. This process is irreversible and causes permanent inactivation of the phosphatase. Because cyclic sulfenamide formation has been found to be stable for >5 h in 50 \(\mu\)M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (112), it is thought that this oxidative thiol modification functions as a protective mechanism to prevent PTP1B against irreversible overoxidation (112, 136). A similar protection against overoxidation via sulfenamide bond formation was also shown for OhrR in Bacillus subtilis (73). In addition to sulfenamide bond formation, glutathionylation of the catalytic cysteine in PTP1B might also protect the enzyme against overoxidation (13). So far, what different roles glutathionylation and sulfenamide bond formation might play in the protection of the catalytic cysteine remains unclear. Salmeen et al. (113) suggest that the formation of a sulfenamide bond may be the first step in protection, followed by glutathionylation, which can occur as the enzyme is being reduced (Fig. 6).
Overoxidation of PTP1B—More Than a Dead-End Product?

A recently applied MS-based approach revealed the extent of oxidative thiol modification of PTP1B both in vitro and in vivo (79). This approach verified that only the active site cysteine of PTP1B is the target of thiol oxidative modifications. Surprisingly, however, quantitative analysis of the in vivo oxidation state of PTP1B in cancer cell lines that continuously produce ROS revealed that ~40% of PTP1B molecules were irreversibly overoxidized. Between 25% and 50% of all PTP1B molecules were found to be reversibly oxidized to either sulfenic acid or sulfonamide, whereas only 10%–15% of cellular PTP1B was found in its reduced and active form (79). These results indicated that the level of ROS in these cell lines is sufficient to cause terminal oxidation of a significant amount of PTP1B protein, despite the presence of high levels of glutathione (51). Interestingly, overoxidation of the active site cysteine in PTP1B appears to fully reverse the conformational changes that accompany ROS-mediated sulfenamide formation (112, 136). It is therefore not surprising that overoxidized PTP1B does not trigger the cellular machinery that is usually responsible for the rapid degradation of oxidatively damaged proteins (79). It will now be interesting to determine whether the overoxidized form of PTP1B is indeed an end product that is destined for degradation or whether it fulfills a yet to be determined alternative function in the cell. The latter would be reminiscent of 2-Cys peroxiredoxins, which are also highly susceptible to overoxidation under high H2O2 concentration (144). Sulfenic acid formation in 2-Cys peroxiredoxins leads to the inactivation of their peroxidase activity, but at the same time, appears to convert 2-Cys peroxiredoxins into proteins with molecular chaperone activity (57). This observation then raises the question as to whether PTP1B-specific sulfenic acid reductases exist, which, similar to sulfiredoxin in the case of overoxidized 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (16), return PTP1B into its original redox and functional state.

Other Redox-Regulated Signal Transduction Cascades in Eukaryotes

Many physiological ligands such as hormones, growth factors, and cytokines trigger intrinsic ROS production. ROS-mediated regulation of protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) appears therefore to represent a general mechanism by which ligands control PTP activity and therefore signal transduction cascades. ROS-induced active site thiol modifications were demonstrated for a variety of PTPs in different cell types and included formation of disulfide bonds (e.g., LMW-PTPs, Cdc25, and PTEN), cyclic sulfenamide (PTP1B and RPTPa) (112, 148), and a potentially stabilized sulfenic acid (e.g., VHR and LAR) (60, 85, 118). The active site cysteine of the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase with sequence homology to tensin), for instance, which regulates the overall activity of the PI3 kinase signaling pathway (132), is reversibly oxidized by either RNS or H2O2. Oxidative inactivation of PTEN leads to increased PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 (phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate) levels, increased Akt–phosphorylation and ultimately in cell growth (132). Reversible inactivation of MAP kinase phosphatase 3 (MKP3) upon H2O2 treatment, on the other hand, promotes TNFα-induced cell death (60). Interestingly, MKP3 does not form a disulfide with a single neighboring cysteine but can use multiple cysteines distributed in both the N-terminal and C-terminal domain to trap the sulfenic acid of the active site cysteine (Cys293) as a disulfide (118). The availability of multiple redox active cysteines, which has also been reported for other redox-sensitive proteins (86), might represent a general mechanism to prevent irreversible overoxidation of the active site sulfenic acids.

It is interesting to note at this point that ROS generated by distinct physiological stimuli often affect only a particular subset of redox-sensitive PTPs. This specificity is well illustrated by the PDGF-induced specific oxidation of SHP-2 (85) or the insulin-induced specific oxidation of PTP1B and TC-PTP (84). Furthermore, in-gel phosphatase assays revealed...
that ROS generated by cancer cells selectively affect a subset of cellular PTPs (79) and do not, as previously assumed, oxidize all redox-sensitive PTPs that are expressed. As of now, the reason behind this specificity is unknown. One explanation might be a more localized generation of ROS upon endogenous stimuli, which promotes oxidation of only those PTPs that are close to the source of ROS production. Alternatively, however, metabolites and/or proteins specific for individual signaling pathways might modulate the redox sensitivity of a subset of phosphatases, thereby making them more susceptible to ROS-mediated modification than others. Similar observations have been made with protein kinase C, whose redox regulation appears to be modulated by different retinoids (53).

Concluding Remarks

Over the past 10 years, a vastly increasing number of proteins have been identified that use thiol-based redox switches to quickly regulate their function, activity, or structure in response to changes in the redox homeostasis of the cell (3, 44, 48). As many of these redox-regulated proteins are central players of metabolic and signal transduction pathways, this strategy ensures that most cellular processes are under tight
redox control. Here, we reviewed current knowledge about three eukaryotic proteins with thiol-based redox switches, Yap1p, GapDH, and PTP1B, and provided a short overview of other redox-regulated proteins similarly involved in gene expression, metabolic pathways or signal transduction. We used these model proteins to illustrate the variety of mechanisms by which cysteine thiols sense and respond to reactive oxygen and nitrogen oxide species. However, as the field expands, so does the knowledge that no singly unifying concept can be used to characterize redox-regulated proteins. While some redox-regulated proteins harbor only one oxidative stress-sensitive cysteine (e.g., GapDH, PTP1B) (13, 112, 120), others make use of cysteine clusters (e.g., Yap1b) (31, 69) or even redox-sensitive zinc centers (e.g., Hsp33, RsrA) (52, 56). The nature of the oxidative thiol modifications depends largely on the type of oxidant as well as on the environment of the cysteine within the protein. Moreover, because most oxidative modifications affect cysteines in structurally or functionally important regions, variations in the type of oxidative modifications often create distinct conformational changes, which can result in different functional states. Finally, whereas only a few proteins harbor generally oxidative stress-sensitive cysteines (e.g., GapDH), most proteins have been found to be highly specific for distinct oxidative or nitrosative stressors (17); the factors that are important for this specificity have yet to be determined.

Oxidative and nitrosative stress is involved in many disease conditions, and numerous redox-regulated proteins have been identified that use their redox sensitivity to protect cells and organisms against these stress conditions. Despite the tremendous increase in the number of redox-regulated proteins identified, it is still unclear what makes cysteines particularly sensitive to specific oxidants in some proteins and not in others. Our challenge for the future will be to obtain a comprehensive view about what makes individual proteins redox-sensitive. Can we predict, based on sequence and structure similarities, the redox sensitivity of individual cysteines, and more importantly, a protein’s potential of being redox-regulated? This capability would greatly facilitate efforts to identify proteins that are potentially involved in the oxidative stress protection of the cell. A number of global proteomic techniques have been developed that allow the identification of redox-regulated proteins in cells and organisms (76, 77, 149). With the use of highly quantitative techniques such as the recently developed OxICAT method (75), the thiol oxidation status of hundreds of different proteins can be quantified in a single experiment. These techniques, in combination with extensive biochemical and structural studies, will have the potential to reveal novel redox-regulated proteins. These studies will deepen our understanding of redox regulation and further confirm the importance of oxidative thiol modifications as one of the key posttranslational modifications in pro- and eukaryotic organisms.
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