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**Background:** The long-term ingestion of alcohol diminishes hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis reactivity in alcohol-dependent men, potentially altering future relapse risk. Although sex differences in HPA axis functioning are apparent in healthy controls, disruptions in this system have received little attention in alcohol-dependent women. In this study, we assessed the basal secretory profile of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol, adrenocortical sensitivity in both the presence and absence of endogenous corticotropic pituitary activation, and feedback pituitary glucocorticoid sensitivity to dexamethasone.

**Methods:** Seven women 4- to 8-week abstinent alcohol-only dependent subjects and 10 age-matched female healthy controls were studied. All subjects were between 30 and 50 years old, not taking oral contraceptives, and were studied during the early follicular phase of their menstrual cycle. Circulating concentrations of ACTH and cortisol were measured in blood samples collected at frequent intervals from 2000 to 0800 hour. A submaximal dose of cosyntropin (0.01 μg/kg), a synthetic ACTH (1–24), was administered at 0800 hour to assess adrenocortical sensitivity. In a separate session, low-dose cosyntropin was also administered following high-dose dexamethasone (8 mg intravenous) to assess adrenocortical sensitivity in the relative absence of endogenous ACTH. In addition, the ACTH response to dexamethasone was measured to determine the pituitary glucocorticoid negative feedback. Sessions were 5 days apart, and blood draws were obtained every 5 to 10 minutes.

**Results:** Mean concentrations and pulsatile characteristics of ACTH and cortisol over 12 hours were not statistically different between the 2 groups. Healthy controls had a somewhat higher (p < 0.08) net peak, but not net integrated, cortisol response to cosyntropin relative to the alcohol-dependent women. There were no significant group differences in either the ACTH or cortisol response to dexamethasone nor in the net cortisol response to cosyntropin following dexamethasone.

**Conclusion:** Significant differences in pituitary–adrenal function were not apparent between alcohol-dependent women and matched controls. Despite the small n, it appears that alcohol-dependent women do not show the same disruptions in HPA activity as alcohol-dependent men. These findings may have relevance for gender-specific treatment effectiveness.
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A WEALTH OF literature supports disruptions of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity in alcohol-dependent men. Whereas increases in cortisol are observed during intoxication (Adinoff et al., 2003; Mendelson et al., 1971; Stokes, 1973) and withdrawal (Adinoff et al., 1991; Iranmanesh et al., 1989; Keedwell et al., 2001; Mendelson et al., 1971), an attenuated adrenocorticoid response to both the behavioral and pharmacological activation of the HPA axis (Adinoff et al., 1998) has been observed during the early weeks of abstinence. Diminished glucocorticoid reactivity has been reported in alcohol-dependent subjects following an alcohol challenge (Merry and Marks, 1972), insulin-induced hypoglycemia (Chalmers et al., 1978; Costa et al., 1996), exercise (Coiro et al., 2007), operative trauma (Margraf et al., 1967), nicotine (Coiro and Vescovi, 1999), corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) stimulation (Adinoff et al., 2005b; Bailly et al., 1989), cosyntropin stimulation (Adinoff et al., 2005a; Wand and Dobs, 1991), hyperthermia (Vescovi et al., 1997), cold pressor (Errico et al., 1993), mental arithmetic (Errico et al., 1993), and public speaking (Lovallo et al., 2000). The clinical relevance of these findings has been suggested by recent studies demonstrating that attenuated basal glucocorticoid concentrations (Kiefer et al., 2006) or a hyporeactive adrenocortical response (Junghanns et al., 2003) predict prospective relapse.
These findings, however, have been almost exclusively assessed in alcohol-dependent men. The aforementioned referenced studies measuring pituitary–adrenal responsivity (Chalmers et al., 1978; Coiro et al., 2007; Coiro and Vescovi, 1999; Costa et al., 1996; Ehrenreich et al., 1997; Errico et al., 1993; Junghanns et al., 2003; Knudsen et al., 1987; Lovallo et al., 2000; Merry and Marks, 1972; Vescovi et al., 1997; Wand and Dobs, 1991), for example, consisted of 276 alcohol-dependent men and no alcohol-dependent women. There are several likely reasons accounting for this representational bias. First, there are more male than female alcohol-dependent subjects (Kandel et al., 1997), though this clearly would not account for the absence of women in this literature. Second, many studies are conducted in the Veterans Administration hospitals, which treat primarily male subjects. Perhaps more important, however, is the difficulty in correctly studying neuroendocrine parameters in female alcohol-dependent subjects. Women are more likely to suffer from co-morbid psychiatric disorders than their male counterparts (Brady et al., 1993; Najavits et al., 1997), requiring the exclusion of many, if not most, female subjects. Most problematic is that the neuroendocrine system in women should optimally be assessed during a period of relative hormonal stability, requiring a similar hormonal phase of life (i.e., premenopausal) between subjects, a matched (and preferably quiescent) menstrual phase, and absent the use of synthetic hormonal treatment (i.e., oral contraceptives) (Kirschbaum et al., 1999) that may alter HPA axis responsivity. To assess menstrual phase without resorting to the frequent measurement of gonadotropic hormones also requires the presence of a functionally intact uterus and regular menses. Given the irregular menses frequently observed in alcohol-dependent women, particularly during short-term abstinence, these collective difficulties can be daunting.

Nevertheless, stress-hormone reactivity in alcohol-dependent women requires investigation. Because of significant gender differences in HPA axis reactivity to both behavioral and pharmacological stimuli (Shalev et al., 2008; Uhart et al., 2006), coupled with gender-specific genetic modulators (Shalev et al., 2008), findings in men may not generalize to women. Increased rates of stress-related psychiatric disorders in alcohol-dependent women, such as Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (Brady et al., 1993; Najavits et al., 1997) or Major Depressive Disorder, suggest that biological processes underlying stress may also differ in female compared to male alcohol-dependent subjects. Given the role of stress in relapse and the aforementioned putative relationship between hypoadrenocortical reactivity and relapse risk, an understanding of pituitary–adrenal responsiveness takes on increased importance in this vulnerable population. Finally, the finding of adrenocortical hyporeactivity in alcohol-dependent subjects is not universally observed (Adinoff et al., 1990; Anthenelli et al., 2001; Brady et al., 2006; George et al., 1994; Inder et al., 1995; Munro et al., 2005), indicating the need for additional studies in both genders. In addition, few studies have separately assessed the contribution of the adrenal cortex relative to higher-level organs (hypothalamus or pituitary gland) in alcohol-dependent patients.

In this study, we conducted a series of experiments to assess the pituitary–adrenal function in abstinent alcohol-dependent women and compared their results to age-matched healthy controls. All subjects were without a lifetime history of Axis I disorders (other than alcohol-dependence in the patient sample or nicotine dependence in either group), were not taking oral contraceptives, and were studied immediately after the onset of their menses. As previous research suggests that the blunted pituitary–adrenal response in alcohol-dependent subjects is because of an attenuated adrenocorticoid response—even in the presence of sufficient ACTH stimulation (Adinoff et al., 2005b)—we focused our investigation upon the adrenal cortex. (Pituitary ACTH is secreted in response the release of hypothalamic eCRH. The release of ACTH into the bloodstream then induces the release of cortisol from the adrenal cortex.) Several paradigms, therefore, were performed to assess the integrity of the adrenal cortex. First, basal pulsatile characteristics of ACTH and cortisol were assessed from 2000 to 0800 hour. Because of safety limitations on the amount of blood withdrawn, measures of basal pulsatility were limited to the period of greatest ACTH and cortisol activity (e.g., 2000 to 0800 hour). Second, adrenocorticoid sensitivity was assessed in response to cosyntropin, a synthetic ACTH (ACTH1-24). This paradigm allowed us to directly determine responsivity of the adrenal cortex without the confound of concurrent pituitary ACTH stimulation. In order to avoid a maximal glucocorticoid response, or ceiling effect, that could obscure potential group differences, we assessed adrenocortical sensitivity with a very low dose (0.01 μg/kg) of cosyntropin [0.3% of the clinically recommended dose (250 μg) used in the cosyntropin stimulation test in the diagnosis of endocrine disorders]. Even in this procedure, however, group differences in endogenous basal concentrations of ACTH may alter glucocorticoid responsivity. A third paradigm, therefore, assessed adrenocorticoid responsivity in the near absence of endogenous ACTH. Suppression of pituitary ACTH was accomplished by administering high-dose dexamethasone the night prior to cosyntropin administration. Dexamethasone administration also allowed the assessment of negative glucocorticoid feedback upon the pituitary corticotrophs.

Given the paucity of previous studies in this area, we predicted that the alcohol-dependent women would respond comparatively to the alcohol-dependent men. Thus, our original hypothesis was that adrenocortical reactivity would be blunted in alcohol-dependent women, relative to control women, following cosyntropin both with and without dexamethasone pretreatment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Alcohol-Dependent Subjects (see Table 1)**

Seven female alcohol-dependent subjects, ranging in age from 30 to 43 y/o, were recruited from patients requesting treatment for
alcohol dependence at Homeward Bound, Inc. or the Dallas VA Medical Center. All 7 subjects participated in both study sessions. Patients reported an alcohol intake of at least 80 gms of absolute alcohol on a daily basis for at least 2 weeks prior to the cessation of drinking, and had at least a 10-year history of problematic drinking. Patients with other lifetime DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric disorders (such as anxiety, post-traumatic stress, schizophrenia, or mood disorders) not associated with alcohol use (i.e., present prior to heavy drinking or following at least months abstinence), other Substance Use Disorders (or use of another drug more than once weekly) within the previous 12 months (excluding caffeine or nicotine use disorders), medical disorders (i.e., hypertension, diabetes, chronic pain, or cardiac or pulmonary disorders), or major head trauma were excluded from the study. Exclusion criteria also included use of any medications that may interfere with HPA axis functioning (i.e., psychotropics, antihypertensives, hypoglycemic agents, oral hypoglycemics) within 2 weeks of the study. Beck Depression Inventory (Beck et al., 1979) (BDI) scores above 15 at the time of assessment, or an alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase 3.0 times greater than the clinical laboratory’s upper limit of normal.

Healthy Controls

Eleven healthy control women, ranging in age from 30 to 44 years old, were individually age-matched within a 5-year period with alcohol-dependent subjects. Controls reported no lifetime history of any DSM-IV Axis I Disorder (except Nicotine Use Disorders), reported no medical disorders, and were on no medications. Controls with a single first-degree relative or two second-degree relatives with any DSM-IV Axis I Disorder (except Nicotine Use Disorders), medical disorders, psychiatric disorders (i.e., hypertension, diabetes, chronic pain, or cardiac or pulmonary disorders), or major head trauma were excluded.

Clinical Assessment (See Table 1)

All subjects obtained a history and physical exam, routine clinical laboratory testing, electrocardiogram, and urine drug screens. Psychiatric and substance use disorders were assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV (SCID)-Lifetime (First et al., 1996). Alcohol-dependent subjects were detoxified from alcohol, and then housed on a residential treatment unit until the studies were initiated. Urine drug screens were obtained 3 times weekly and breathalyzers were obtained whenever the patient left the unit unaccompanied by staff. Separate informed consents from both the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center and the Dallas VA Medical Center Internal Review Boards (IRBs) were obtained after the study was fully explained, and subjects were financially compensated for their participation. In the patient population, the Drinker Inventory of Consequences – Lifetime Consequences (DrInC-2L) (Miller et al., 1995) was used to assess lifetime severity of alcohol-related problems, and a Time-Line Follow Back (Sobell and Sobell, 1978) (TLFB) was used to assess 1-month, 90-day, 1-year, and lifetime drinking history.

Procedure

All neuroendocrine studies were performed at the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. The studies were performed in 2 separate sessions. The initial session was initiated the day after the onset of menses. In order to assess each subject during the early follicular phase of their menstrual cycle, the sessions were separated by 5 days rather than the 1-week interval used in prior studies of male subjects. Session order was balanced. A nicotine patch was placed on all nicotine-dependent subjects upon arrival to the GCRC and was continued throughout their hospitalization. All smokers reported smoking more than 10 cigarettes daily and were administered one 21 mg patch every 24 hours.

Session A. This study included a 24-hour urine collection for urinary free cortisol, 12-hour sampling for the measurement of plasma ACTH and cortisol secretory dynamics and 2-hour cosyntropin stimulation test. Because of IRB limitations on the amount of blood obtained (350 ml over 6 weeks), it was necessary to limit our assessment of circadian pulsatility to a 12-hour period. The interval from 2000 to 0800 hour was chosen because of the increased pulsatility observed during this period. Subjects were brought to the GCRC at 1800 hour on Day 1. A 24-hour urine collection was initiated at 2000 hour on Day 1 for urinary free cortisol and continued until 2000 hour on Day 2. An intravenous catheter was inserted in each arm at 1900 hour on Day 2. Blood sampling (2cc/sample) at 10-minute intervals was initiated at 2000 hour continued through 0800 hour on Day 3. Sampling was obtained manually by nursing staff. Significant efforts were made to avoid waking subjects while asleep; the intravenous port was 6 inches from the subject, allowing blood drawer without subject contact, and a focused light was used to avoid unnecessary illumination. Following the 0800 hour blood draw on Day 3, cosyntropin 0.01 µg/kg was administered intravenously over one minute. Blood sampling frequency was increased immediately following cosyntropin administration every five minutes through 0900, and then decreased every 10 minutes through

### Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Alcohol-Dependent Subjects and Healthy Controls (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alcohol-dependent (n = 7)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 11)</th>
<th>t or X²</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>36.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>37.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.536</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (yrs)</td>
<td>12.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine dependent (smokers)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.481</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>30.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>15.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>−3.10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 10.2</td>
<td>18.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>−2.34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGTP</td>
<td>130.5 ± 118.6</td>
<td>9.5 ± 7.8</td>
<td>−3.23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons between groups were by t-test or chi-squared.
1000 hour. Intravenous lines were removed following the final 1000 hour blood draw.

**Session B.** This study included the pituitary and adrenocortical response to dexamethasone and the cosyntropin stimulation test following dexamethasone. Subjects arrived at the GCRC at 2000 hour, and intravenous lines were placed into both arms at 2100 hour. Blood samples for the measurement of ACTH and cortisol were obtained every 10 minutes from 2200 through 2300 hour. Dexamethasone 8 mg in 50 ml of D5W was administered intravenously from 2300 to 2330 hour. Blood sampling was continued from 2300 to 0500 hour, and then restarted at 0700 to 0800 hour every 10 minutes. (Blood sampling was not obtained from 0500 to 0700 hour because of limitations on blood volume.) The cosyntropin test was performed as described in Session A. Cosyntropin was administered at 0800 hour to assure that dexamethasone (administered 9 hours previously) would continue to maximally suppress endogenous ACTH release throughout the cosyntropin paradigm.

**Assays**

Plasma concentration of ACTH was measured by immunoradiometric assay (IRMA), using reagents from DiaSorin (Stillwater, Minnesota). This assay has a low-end sensitivity of 1.5 pg/ml, with intra-assay coefficient of variation of 2.5 to 5.4% in the concentration range of 33 to 773 pg/ml. Inter-assay coefficient of variation is 3.2 to 5.7% in the concentration range of 8.7 to 257 pg/ml. Serum concentration of cortisol was measured by radioimmunoassay (RIA) using reagents from DiaSorin. This assay has a low-end sensitivity of 0.21 pg/dl, with intra-assay coefficient of variation of 6.6 to 7.7% in the concentration range of 2.9 to 47.1 pg/dl. Inter-assay coefficient of variation is 8.8 to 9.8% in the concentration range of 3.7 to 36.9 pg/dl. RIA kits from DiaSorin were used for the measurement of urinary free cortisol.

**Statistics**

**Demographics.** Students t-test (interval data) and chi square contingency table analyses (nominal data) were used to compare demographic characteristics of the 2 groups. Items of interest included age, education, employment status, marital status, liver function, and smoking status. Descriptive statistics were used to quantify drinking characteristics of the alcohol-dependent group including years of problem drinking, drinking days (90 days prior to drinking cessation and lifetime), and days abstinent at the time of testing. Students t-test was used to compare mean scores on the BDI and the DrInC-2L.

**Basal ACTH and Cortisol Pulsatile Characteristics.** Because there is a circadian rhythm for the 2 hormones of interest, the assumption that all secretion was pulsatile leads to unreasonably long half-lives for ACTH and cortisol, resulting in a poor fit of the calculated pulses to the actual data. Therefore, pulsatile characteristics were assessed by the Smoothing Baseline Pulse Pulses (SBPP) algorithm (Guo et al., 1999), which allows for a changing baseline. In general, missing values were not an issue in these data, but equally spaced observations are required for analysis in pulse detection algorithms. Missing values were handled in the following way: if the concentration at the time point preceding the missing time point was lower than at the point after the missing time point, the missing concentration was replaced with the concentration value of the point preceding the missing time. This was carried out in order to avoid creating the possibility of a pseudo-pulse. Missing values that were part of a decreasing trend were linearly interpolated. For various reasons, some series had to be excluded from the secondary analysis, mainly because they did not show pulsatility. Pulsatile analysis was completed on seven alcohol-dependent and nine control subjects for ACTH and cortisol analyses. A Student t-test was used to compare group means. A brief description of some of summary measures from SBPP is given in the following paragraph:

- **Total Probability**
  - The sum of the probabilities of being a pulse at each point.

- **Adjusted Input**
  - The sum of input at each time point times the probability of input at each point. It is a weighted total input.

- **Number of Inputs**
  - The number of input locations with a probability > 0.5. SBPP models the probability of input at each time point and we are using the 0.5 cut off to distinguish large input (where input is most likely occurring) from small (unlikely) input locations. This measure allows the comparison of input frequencies between the 2 groups, but is not a measure of pulse number.

- **Average Baseline**
  - The mean of the baseline values estimated at each point. SBPP allows for a changing baseline so baseline itself is no longer a parameter.

- **Mean Amplitude**
  - The net mean height of pulses after subtracting the changing baseline.

- **Time × Group Analysis.** A repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the mean hormone level over 4 3-hour blocks (i.e., 2000–2250, 2300–0150, 0200–0450, and 0500–0800 hour) was performed in order to incorporate all subjects into the circadian analysis.

- **Pharmacologic Challenge Studies.** Cortisol secretion following cosyntropin was compared between the hours of 0800 and 1000 hour by the area under the curve method (AUC), or net integrated response, and by net peak cortisol for both Studies A and B. The net integrated response was calculated by taking the average hormone concentration between consecutive measurement points, multiplying by the time interval between the points, summing across time, and netting out basal hormone levels multiplied by the total time interval over which measurement occurred (i.e., 120 minutes). Four subjects revealed a negative integrated cortisol response following cosyntropin (without dexamethasone pretreatment) as their final cortisol concentrations decreased below their basal measures. Thus, the integrated cortisol response was also determined over 60 minutes for this study. Basal hormone values were based on mean levels between the hours of 0730 and 0800. The response to dexamethasone was determined using mean ACTH or cortisol response from 2330 to 0500 hour. For each analysis, Students t-test was used to compare group means. Missing values were estimated based on the mean of hormone measures taken directly before and after the missing values. Two subjects (one patient, one control) had several continuous data points missing following dexamethasone (after 0030 hour). Because of the stability of these measures, missing values were estimated as for the single missing measures just described. Because of technical problems, data from one control was included only for Study A and another control was included only for Study B.

- **Effect Sizes.** Effect sizes (assuming an \( a = 0.05 \) and \( b = 0.80 \)) were determined using DSTPLAN software.
Correlation Analysis. The relationship between drinking history (i.e., drinks in previous 30 days, lifetime drinks, days abstinent) and smoking history (nicotine pack years) and various neuroendocrine measures [basal cortisol concentration prior to cosyntropin administration (without dexamethasone), integrated cortisol response following cosyntropin, mean ACTH response to dexamethasone, and integrated cortisol response following cosyntropin with dexamethasone pretreatment] was assessed by (Pearson) correlation.

RESULTS

Subject Characteristics (see Table 1)

The 7 alcohol-dependent women were similar in race \((p < 0.19)\) but more likely to be unemployed \((p < 0.06)\) and smokers \((p < 0.008)\) relative to the healthy controls. Alcohol-dependent subjects scored 35.8 ± 4.7 on the DrInC and reported 18.1 ± 17.2 years drinking. TLFB revealed 49,311.6 ± 36,246.4 lifetime standard drinks and heavy drinking over the 90 days prior to admission \((1253.1 ± 477.0 / \text{day})\). Alcohol-dependent subjects were abstinent 41.1 ± 16.4 days (19 to 68 days) at the time of their first study session.

Urinary Free Cortisol (see Table 3)

There was not a significant difference in urinary free cortisol between the alcohol-dependent and control groups.

Secretory Characteristics and Mean Basal Concentrations of ACTH and Cortisol (see Table 2 and Figs. 1 and 2)

Secretory characteristics were determined by SBPP, an algorithm to assess pulsatility that allows for a changing baseline. One healthy control was excluded from the SBPP analysis because of several missing measurements. As a reasonable fit was not detected for ACTH profile of 2 patients, these subjects were also excluded from the SBPP analysis on ACTH. Neither ACTH nor cortisol pulsatile characteristics significantly differed between the 2 groups. As expected, the Time × Group ANOVA analyses showed a significant within group Time effect \([F(3,45) = 72.1, p < 0.0001]\) for ACTH 3-hour blocks. However, there was no Group \([F(1,24) = 0.33, p = 0.58]\) or Group × Time \([F(3,24) = 0.15, p = 0.93]\) effect. Similarly, there was a significant Time effect \([F(3,42) = 98.9, p < 0.0001]\) but not a Group \([F(1,14) = 1.97, p = 0.18]\) or Group × Time \([F(3,42) = 0.60, p = 0.62]\) effect for the cortisol 3-hour blocks. Exploratory post hoc assessment (Table 2) of each 3-hour block period revealed a nonsignificant decrease \((p < 0.07)\) in cortisol during the 0200–0500 hour block in alcohol-dependent subjects compared to the controls but no other significant group differences for either hormone (see Fig. 2).

Cosyntropin Stimulation Test (see Table 3 and Fig. 3)

The net peak cortisol response in the control female group was similar to that previously observed in male controls (Adinoff et al., 2005a) (men: 8.7 ± 3.5, women: 7.8 ± 1.7; \(t = 0.73, \text{df} = 18, p = 0.47)\). The cortisol response to low-dose cosyntropin, as described by net integrated response (integrated response from 0800 to 1000 hour minus the mean basal concentration from 0730 to 0800), was not significantly different between the 2 groups. As the net integrated response in 4 subjects (3 controls, 1 patient) was negative as a result of their final cortisol concentrations decreasing to less than basal measures, the integrated net response from 0800 to 0900 hour (during which cortisol levels remained above basal

Table 2. ACTH and Cortisol (mean ± SD) Pulsatile Characteristics as Determined by Smoothing Baseline Pulse Pulses (SBPP) Analysis and Mean ACTH and Cortisol Concentrations Over 12 Hours (2000 to 0800 Hour) and in 3-Hour Blocks. See Text for Description of SBPP Measures.
concentrations) was also determined. There was not a between-group difference between net integrated response during this 1-hour period (control subjects = 3099.0 ± 618.3 μg/dl/1 hour; patients: 4111.78 ± 581.3 μg/dl/1h; t = 0.93, df = 15, p = 0.36). The net cortisol peak response to cosyntropin was somewhat higher in the control group relative to the patients, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (p < 0.08). As seen in Fig. 3, cortisol concentrations were somewhat higher (from 15 to 25 minutes) following cosyntropin administration in the control relative to the patient group, although the early (5 to 10 minutes) and later (30 to 120 minutes) time points were nearly identical between groups.

Dexamethasone Suppression of ACTH and Cortisol (Table 3 and Fig. 4)

Dexamethasone 8 mg was administered intravenously at 2300 hour, and the ACTH and cortisol response was assessed from 2300 to 0500 hour. ACTH was somewhat lower in the control group relative to the patients, although this difference did not reach statistical significance (p < 0.08). As seen in Fig. 3, cortisol concentrations were somewhat higher (from 15 to 25 minutes) following cosyntropin administration in the control relative to the patient group, although the early (5 to 10 minutes) and later (30 to 120 minutes) time points were nearly identical between groups.

prior to cosyntropin administration, ACTH and cortisol mean concentrations (0730 to 0800 hour) were similar between the groups. The net peak cortisol response in the control female group was nearly identical to that previously observed in male controls (Adinoff et al., 2005a) (men: 15.8 ± 2.9, women: 16.1 ± 3.0; t = 0.20, df = 18, p = 0.84). The net integrated and net peak cortisol responses to the cosyntropin challenge were nearly identical between the alcohol-dependent and control women.

Correlations Between Neuroendocrine Measures and Drinking and Smoking History

The relationship between drinking history (i.e., drinks in previous ninety days, lifetime drinks, days abstinent) and neuroendocrine measures that demonstrated a trend toward statistical significance between groups (mean basal cortisol 0200–0500 hour, mean cortisol peak response following cosyntropin) were considered. Correlations between neuroendocrine variables and pack years smoking were also assessed. There were no significant correlations observed.
These findings suggest that adrenocortical reactivity, pituitary corticotroph sensitivity, and ACTH and glucocorticoid circadian rhythmicity does not significantly differ between abstinent alcohol-dependent women and healthy control women. Although there was a suggestion of a blunted cortisol peak response in the alcohol-dependent group following cosyntropin, neither the net integrated response to cosyntropin nor the peak or integrated cortisol response to cosyntropin following dexamethasone, more rigorous assessments of adrenocortical reactivity, significantly differed between groups. These findings are notably different from those previously reported in male alcohol-dependent subjects using a nearly identical paradigm (Adinoff et al., [2003]).
2005a). The primary shared finding in both male (Adinoff et al., 2005a) and female alcohol-dependent subjects were somewhat lower basal cortisol concentrations in the early morning (0200 to 0500 hour). Even though statistically marginal, however, relatively higher basal ACTH concentrations and lower cortisol concentrations in the early morning hours and somewhat higher post-dexamethasone ACTH concentrations in alcohol-dependent women, relative to controls, could suggest a disruption in feed forward and feedback mechanisms.

The small n of our sample was a major limiting factor. This limitation was mitigated, at least in part, by the carefully selected subject sample (no lifetime history of Axis I disorders occurring in the absence of recent or ongoing alcohol use, no recent use of psychotropics, a confined period of abstinence, no ongoing use of oral contraceptives, premenopausal women studied during the early follicular phase of their menstrual cycle), the heavy drinking in all patients (at least 450 drinks in the 90 days prior to admission), frequent plasma sampling, and the use of multiple endocrine measures. The 2 groups were also age-matched, although they differed in education levels and family history of other psychiatric disorders (the latter was cause for exclusion only in the controls).

Further limitations included: (i) diurnal measures using only 12 hours of sampling. This time course, however, includes the period most likely to demonstrate pathological alterations (during peak activity). (ii) The use of manual blood withdrawal techniques may have disrupted sleep patterns, affecting ACTH and cortisol pulsatility. Although efforts were taken to avoid awakening subjects, we did not obtain objective measures of sleep disruption or potential mood disturbances that could have resulted. (iii) The administration of pituitary–adrenal challenges are typically performed in the late afternoon or early evening, although Dickstein and colleagues (1997) has reported that the cortisol response to a submaximal dose of cosyntropin is similar at 0800 and 1600 hour. (iv) In order to study all women during the same time of their menstrual phase, the alcohol-dependent women were studied following a somewhat longer period of abstinence (41.4 ± 16.4 days) than the previously reported male subjects (31.0 ± 4.0 days). (v) Alcohol-dependent women smoked significantly more than their control counterparts. This confound generally plagues most clinical laboratory research of substance abusers, as most substance-dependent patients smoke and most healthy volunteers without medical or psychiatric morbidity, particularly in the age range of our patient population, do not. Anthenelli and colleagues (2001) has reported that nicotine dependence does not account for the HPA axis alterations observed in alcohol-dependent men. Although the acute nicotine use stimulates the HPA axis (Mendelson et al., 2008), our nicotine-dependent subjects had not smoked for several hours prior to study initiation and nicotine patches were placed well before study onset. Although subjects were not assessed for nicotine withdrawal symptoms, recently abstinent smokers show stable cortisol circadian concentrations when placed on a nicotine patch (Teneggi et al., 2002).

Despite the potential confounds, many of the findings were unequivocally negative. For instance, the glucocorticoid response to cosyntropin following dexamethasone was nearly identical in both groups, and effect sizes for our primary measures (net AUC cortisol response) were small. Approximately 400 subjects per group would have been required to detect significant group differences in the net AUC cortisol following either cosyntropin or cosyntropin following dexamethasone. In contrast, this paradigm revealed one of the strongest group differences in the male populations (Adinoff et al., 2005a). In addition, the numerical elevation in ACTH response to dexamethasone in the alcohol-dependent women (suggesting a muted pituitary corticotroph sensitivity to glucocorticoid feedback) was also in the opposite direction to the increased pituitary corticotroph sensitivity (i.e., decreased ACTH response) observed in the male patient population.

The absence of glucocorticoid hyporeactivity in the alcohol-dependent women was unexpected. As noted, adrenocortical hyporeactivity has been previously reported in male alcohol-dependent subjects. In addition, Heim and colleagues (2001) has observed that nondepressed women with childhood abuse show a blunted glucocorticoid response to cosyntropin compared to nonabused control group. Although we did not assess abuse in our study population, previous work in this area would suggest that the alcohol-dependent women had experienced significantly more childhood abuse than our healthy control group (Felitti et al., 1998; Kendler et al., 2000; Sher et al., 1997). Nevertheless, neither the chronic use of alcohol nor presumed trauma history appeared to result in altered adrenocortical reactivity.

Most studies demonstrating a blunted cortisol response in alcohol-dependent men have used stimuli that induce glucocorticoid release indirectly through pituitary ACTH (Adinoff et al., 2005b; Bailly et al., 1989; Chalmers et al., 1978; Coiro et al., 2007; Coiro and Vescovi, 1999; Costa et al., 1996; Errico et al., 1993; Lovallo et al., 2000; Margraf et al., 1967; Merry and Marks, 1972; Vescovi et al., 1997). As some (Coiro et al., 2007; Coiro and Vescovi, 1999; Vescovi et al., 1997), but not all (Adinoff et al., 2005b; Bailly et al., 1989), of these paradigms also report a concurrent blunting of ACTH stimulation, the apparent adrenocortical hyporesponsivity may be a consequence of an attenuated upper-level stimulus. Other studies do not report differences in glucocorticoid responsiveness in alcohol-dependent subjects even in the presence of a muted ACTH response (Adinoff et al., 1990; Inder et al., 1995) or an exaggerated, not subdued, glucocorticoid response is observed (Anthenelli et al., 2001; George et al., 1994). In fact, Brady and colleagues (2006) reported a blunted ACTH response in alcohol-dependent men and women following a cold pressor task that was more marked (albeit non-significantly) in women than men. Cortisol concentrations, however, showed no between-group (alcohol-dependent vs. controls) or gender (men vs. women) differences (Brady et al., 2006). Using only single basal measures during abstinence,
ADRENOCORTICAL RESPONSIVENESS IN ALCOHOL-DEPENDENT WOMEN

Gianoulakis et al. (2003) reported that plasma cortisol was higher in 30 to 60 y/o alcohol-dependent men in treatment relative to sex-matched nondrinkers, whereas female alcohol-dependent women did not significantly differ from their non-drinking comparison group.

Alcohol-dependent men and women differ in their genetic, social and personality vulnerabilities to alcohol dependence, their initial onset of disease progression, and the long-term consequences of heavy alcohol use (Nolen-Hoeksema and Hilt, 2006; Walitzer and Deering, 2006). The unanticipated findings in the present study emphasize the importance in assessing the neurobiological disruptions in both male and female alcohol-dependent subjects that may underlie these clinical differences. Of potential relevance is evidence suggesting that long-acting naltrexone is effective in preventing alcohol relapse in men and not women (Garbutt et al., 2005). A possible mechanism of naltrexone is its disinhibiting effects upon the HPA axis, thus mitigating the pituitary–adrenal suppression that accompanies chronic alcohol dependence in men (Adinoff et al., 1998). If alcohol-dependent women do not suffer from a similar deficit in the HPA axis, then naltrexone may, at least in part, lose some of its effectiveness. Future studies should continue to explore HPA deficits in female alcohol-dependent women, including higher level (i.e., prefrontal cortex, limbic system, hypothalamus, pituitary) disruptions, the relationship of HPA alterations to prior trauma and adverse life events, the predictive effects of HPA axis alterations and relapse, and the effects of pharmacological interventions upon the HPA axis.
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