File Cory 30481

MULTIDISCIPLINARY ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT AUTOMATION AND UTILIZATION

1973 Final Report

4A

2

1

HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH INSTITUTE THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104

DECEMBER 1973 CONTRACT No. DOT-HS-031-3-589

Prepared for: Department of Transportation National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Washington, D.C. 20590

•

f

÷

.

		TECH	NICAL REPORT STA	NDARD TITLE PAGE		
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Re	cipient's Catalog No			
		5. Re	port Date			
4. Title and Subtitle Multidicainlinary Acci	dent Investigation Re	-	December 1	.973		
port Automation and Ut	ilization, 1973 Final	6. P.	informing Organization	n Code		
Report						
7. Author(s)		8. Pe	rforming Organization	n Report No.		
J. C. Marsh IV		1	JM-HSRI-SA-	73-13		
0 D (O Adda		10. V	/ork Unit No.			
Highway Safety Researc	h Institute					
University of Michigan		11. 0	Contract or Grant No.	2 500		
Ann Arbor, Michigan 4	8105		DOT-HS-031-	3-589		
			13. Type of Report and Period Covered			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	in Cofoto Idministro	19	73 - Decemb	r 31. 1973		
National Highway Train	ransportation					
Washington, D.C. 205	90	14. 5	ponsoring Agency Co	ode		
15. Supplementary Notes						
16. Abstract				2		
This is the final	report of the 1973 N	lu⊥tıdı m+ilir	sciplinary	Accident		
Investigation (MDAI) F	contract accomplished	ots an	d a discuss	sion of da-		
ta preparation. data s	vstem and utilization	n of th	e data. Ar	pendices		
include a list of all	contract documentatio	on, acc	ident and i	injury cau-		
sation coding systems	and an index of all a	automat	ed MDAI cas	se reports.		
Over 6,000 clinic	al accident investiga	ations	have been o	conducted		
to-date (December 1973). These reports sponsored by the National High-						
Way Traffic Safety Administration, the Motor vehicle Manufacturers						
edited and processed into a common data base. All sponsors are also						
being provided direct access to the data base through the University						
of Michigan's time-sha	ared computer system v	via rem	ote batch a	and inter-		
active terminals. The	e data base contains o	lata re	corded on a	an annota-		
ted "Collision Performance and Injury Report."						
documentation of the MDAI report editing procedures, the development						
of accident and injury causation coding systems, the addition of new						
data bank variables and a unique feature of the 1973 contractthe						
active involvement of NHTSA data users.						
17 K W L	10 D:	- Statement				
Multidisciplinary Acci	dent Inves-	n sigrement				
tigation Reports; Computer Automa-						
tion of Accident Reports; Accident						
Data Processing; Accid	lent Data					
Data Utilization	Data Base;	\ \	21. No of Parat	22 Price		
19.Security Class of F	pt	,	41+ 110. UT F GQES	22. 17100		
Unclassified	Unclassified					

1

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-69)

ø

-

CONTENTS

Section 1: Program Summary1
Backgroundl
Contract Accomplishments2
Section 2: Discussion of Data Preparation
Case Processing
Ouplity Control and Documentation
Castien 2. Discussion of Data Sustan
Section St Discussion of Data System
Accident Data System
MDAI Data Bank
CPIR File Contents20
Special MDAI Files29
Section 4: Discussion of Data Utilization
Interaction with Data Users
Analysis Methodology
User Output Documentation43
Summary
Section 5: Conclusions and Recommendations49
Data Preparation and Quality Control
Damage Analysis Supplement51
Occupant Injury Causation and Occupant
Supplement
Accident Causation Analysis System
Accident and Traffic Unit Supplements
File Utilization (Access, Documentation, Training)
Data Utilization (Direct User Interaction)
References

.

Appendix A	A:	Contract Reports and Documentation61
Appendix H	B:	MDAI Data/Forms67
Appendix (C:	Accident Causal Analysis System
Appendix I Procedure Scale"	D: In	"An Occupant Injury Classification corporating the Abbreviated Injury
Appendix H	Ξ:	MDAI Team Case Vehicle Catalog
Appendix H	F :	DOT-HS Report Number Cross Index233
Appendix (G:	Accident Data Files239

FIGURES

1.	Sources	of	Data	and	Remote	Terminal	Users16

2. Sample First Page of Study Documentation.....45

TABLES

1.	Processed MDAI Case Vehicle Distributed by Teams9
2.	Summary of Files Presently Available in the Data System
3.	Analysis Functions Available in the ADAAS System
4.	Short Titles of Sixteen Study Topics43

SECTION 1

PROGRAM SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and formerly the National Highway Safety Bureau, have sponsored over 1,700 in-depth or multidisciplinary accident investigations (MDAI) over a period of more than five years.

The Canadian Department of Transportation also sponsors a series of MDAI teams throughout Canada and the Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association (formerly Automobile Manufacturers Association) sponsores clinical investigations of traffic accident crash and injury factors. Altogether more than 6,000 Level III (in-depth, clinical) accident investigations have been conducted as of December 1973.

Since 1969 HSRI has been engaged in the effort of editing the case reports, placing the information into digital form and making it accessible for retrieval or statistical analysis by computer techniques. Case reports from all sponsors are processed into a common data base that is then made available to all sponsors for direct analysis through the use of the Institute's Statistical Research System.

The NHTSA contract for Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation and Utilization (DOT-HS-031-3-589) provides for processing MDAI cases sponsored by NHTSA into the common data base in a manner that controls for data quality. NHTSA remote computer terminal access is then provided to the common data base of Level III cases from all sponsors as well as access to over 100 other Level I or police accident data files.

The unique feature of the 1973 MDAI Report Automation and Utilization contract was the active involvement of data system users. Under the alert and vigilant guidance of the contract technical manager, we sat down with data users with real problems in order to determine how the MDAI data system could be best utilized and adapted to their advantage. The remainder of this section covers the accomplishments achieved under each of nine tasks. The next three sections discuss, in turn, data preparation and quality control, data system and data utilization. The final section contains the conclusions and recommendations.

CONTRACT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The following will be a brief task-by-task review of 1973 accomplishments. Each area of contract activity will then be discussed more fully in subsequent sections. A complete compilation of all contract reports and documentation submitted to NHTSA is listed in Appendix A. The 1973 contract provided for nine specific tasks:

Task	Short Title
1	Case Processing
2	Quality Control
3	Editing Documentation
4	Accident Causation
5	File Utilization
6	User Products
7	OSIRIS Implementation
8	Special Files
9	New Variables

Tasks 1, 2, 3 - Data Preparation and Quality Control

The first three tasks cover the processing of MDAI cases into computer storage. From January 1973 through October (the last 1973 computer file update), 151 MDAI case vehicles were added to the computer file. Activity on this task was lower than anticipated due to delays in Task 9 (New Variables). A total of 4,201 case vehicles from all sponsors are currently (October 1973) in computer storage and available to NHTSA.

Case processing was interlinked with Task 9 (New Variables) so that cases processed after the first two months of the contract would include the new variables. Subsequent

delays in the selection of new variables produced a reduction in the number of cases processed. This did not severely impact the release of new MDAI cases by NHTSA because generally a second copy of the case documentation is forwarded to HSRI for processing. During this same period, 1,228 clinical cases from other sponsors were added to the CPIR data bank. Thus during the contract period, the number of case vehicles available for NHTSA analytical purposes increased 49 percent, from 2,822 to 4,201 cases.

Quality control was insured by adequate training of data editors, complete key verification of keypunched data, and computer checking of data inconsistencies. Feedback to field MDAI teams was provided in the form of individual case critiques; presentations on February 5, 1973 and November 27, 1973 to MDAI training courses at the University of Southern California (USC); and by documentation of the editing process and reference information. Update sheets to the 1972 edition of the editing manual were provided in July and the entire manual was updated and reissued December 1973.

Tasks 4, 9 - New Data Elements

The addition of new variables was a unique aspect of the 1973 contract as covered by Tasks 4 (Accident Causation) and 9 (New Variables). The rationale for adding new variables was to achieve a better balance between the needs of the data users and what the teams are already reporting. The intent was to code the results of existing investigative efforts rather than to think up new items for the field teams to investigate. A large proportion of the field investigative effort is expended in documenting pre-crash factors yet the vast majority of data coded into computer storage is crash-phase vehicle damage and injury production. The objective was to bring a better balance to the coded variables by adding new variables that reflected items currently reported by MDAI teams and items of interest to data file users.

An accident causation analysis system for coding and processing accident factors was developed. A preliminary code structure was submitted in February and a preliminary coding form was submitted in June. These were refined, documented and presented as Appendix C to this report.

The Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) system developed during the 1972 MDAI Report Automation contract was refined and updated twice in 1973. The OIC procedure was presented to the NATO-CCMS Accident Investigation Final Workshop in Brussels, Belgium, June 28-29 (Appendix D) and to the SAE Accident Investigation Practices Subcommittee meeting November 14 in Oklahoma City (1,2)*. The OIC was also presented at the two USC training courses in February and November.

Several coding forms were developed for recording the new variables independently of the existing forms. The Damage Analysis Supplement (DAS) and Occupant Supplement (OS) for recording Occupant Injury Classification data are both one page forms of primary importance that should be ultimately prepared by MDAI field teams. The other pre-crash data forms are still in the prototype stage requiring further testing and refinement prior to their general application.

Tasks 5, 6 - Utilization

A major emphasis in 1973 was the utilization of the already existing MDAI data system as provided for in Task 5 (File Utilization) and Task 6 (User Products). The file utilization Task 5 called for providing NHTSA with documentation, training, and access to the HSRI accident data analysis system** as well as some system enhancements. The user products Task 6 involved actively communicating with data users concerning their specific data needs.

During 1973, documentation on 36 new files was distributed *Numbers cite references in Reference Section. **Actual NHTSA computer funds for executing the analysis programs were contracted separately from Task 5.

to NHTSA users. Currently over 100 documented accident data files are being made available to NHTSA. Thirteen NHTSA staff attended a successful user training session with 40 attendees at HSRI on May 10-11. An earlier oral presentation was presented at NHTSA on January 22. The NHTSA computer users have utilized the data system for over two hours per day in 1973 and over sixty percent of the utilization was of accident files other than the MDAI/CPIR data.

System enhancement included a keyword data access system, an alphabetic data set list program and a procedure for sorting data listings. The keyword Automated Data Access and Analysis System (ADAAS) was installed in the first month of the contract. In a recent check, ADAAS was utilized by NHTSA users 84 times during October 1973. An alphabetic data set list program that translates number codes to alphabetic code value definitions before printing out a case and a procedure for sorting the output of a data set list program were both developed and provided.

The active utilization of the existing accident data analysis system to develop sixteen user products in response to specific and real user's needs was undoubtedly the most significant and unique aspect of the 1973 contract. A considerable amount of the contractual emphasis was placed on Task 6.

The only reason an information system is developed is to provide information products that will assist the user in his decision making process. A sizeable investment has been committed to developing the in-depth accident investigation data resources over the past five years. In 1973 ,the Office of Accident Investigation and Data Analysis undertook this task in order to emphasize the critical role of the "user" in the existing data system. The benefits of the task were realized through direct interaction with users in order to provide tangible analysis products in response to specific user requests.

Besides being the ultimate justification for a data system,

users also provide the feedback loop essential to successful evaluation towards a more responsive system. Active involvement of the user provided exposure to the capabilities and limitations of the existing accident data analysis system. This experience establishes a realistic base upon which the user can construct future requests and suggestions for future system enhancements (from new variables to new analytical methodologies). The experience also provides the data system managers and data analysts with a deeper understanding of users decision making problems.

Tasks 7, 8 - OSIRIS and Special Files

Tasks 6 and 7 were to be executed at the discretion of NHTSA. No activity was performed on either Task.

Task 9 - New Variables

The discussion of new variables was covered in conjunction with Task 4 above.

SECTION 2

DISCUSSION OF DATA PREPARATION

The data preparation process is considered in three different dimensions--namely case processing, quality control and documentation. The processing of MDAI cases follows the routine steps of logging, xeroxing, editing, coding, second editing, keypunching, computer case checking, analysis file updates and the correction of cases already in computer storage.

CASE PROCESSING

The steps involved in case processing are detailed in the "MDAI Report Automation Editing Manual and Reference Information" (3). Basically, the MDAI field teams submit their case documentation to NHTSA along with sets of 35mm slides. Copies of the original documentation and a set of 35mm slides are transmitted to HSRI by NHTSA where its arrival is recorded in a computer based log of cases to be processed and cases returned. Copies are made of the computer forms for use by the data editors who review all the case documentation in order to insure the validity of the data to be keypunched. Over 30 pages of forms are verified. Additional pages of supplementary forms are also coded from the original documentation (Appendix B).

The coding of additional data elements from the existing MDAI documentation was a unique aspect of this years' program. Prior to 1973, the vast majority of the data placed in computer storage was related to only the crash phase (e.g., collision performance and injury), yet the MDAI teams expended considerable effort in investigating and documenting pre-crash factors. It was also realized that each computer record acts as the primary surrogate by which each case is represented and retrieved. Any gap in that representation jeopardizes the full utilization of each case. Thus, a special task was initiated to identify new data elements that were regularly reported by MDAI teams, that were readily coded and that were meaningful for later utilization. The data element selection process was conducted in

close coordination with NHTSA staff. While schedule slippages in the completion of the data element selection process delayed and reduced the total number of cases processed, the resulting forms provide for improved crash and injury recording as well as considerably more human and environmental pre-crash data.

Four new supplements were created: Accident, Traffic Unit, Damage Analysis and Occupant supplements. The accident supplement records those elements common to all traffic units, such as the weather. This supplement is also used to record accident causation factors according to a newly developed scheme (Appendix C) based in part upon earlier work done by Indiana Univer-The data on this accident form are automatically sity (4,5). duplicated for each traffic unit. The traffic unit supplement is used to record data elements unique to each driver, case vehicle, or environment from the point of view of each driver's approach path. The damage analysis supplement records for each case vehicle, the Collision Damage Classification (CDC/VDI), the corresponding crash event, speed, configuration, crush, and other vehicle damage. These are the same data currently reported but coded in a more usable format. The occupant supplement records several new and expanded data elements as well as providing for coding the Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) developed under NHTSA sponsorship. The OIC was presented to the NATO/CCMS Final Accident Investigation Workshop in Brussels, on June 28-29 (Appendix D). In response to comments and coding experience the OIC was updated from an applications point of The OIC application procedure was presented to the SAE view. Subcommittee on Accident Investigation Practices, November 14, in Oklahoma City and then placed in the Editing Manual documentation (3). The damage analysis and occupant supplements have been documented and tested sufficiently to permit immediate application by the MDAI field teams in 1974.

Each of the four supplements are now being coded by HSRI from the original MDAI team documentation. The entire edited case and added coding is second edited or re-edited by a second staff person prior to keypunching and key verification. The

cards are then read into HSRI'S PDP 11/45 for checking, formatting and accumulation. Quarterly, the compiled cases are built in the Level III or in-depth data analysis files (Section 3). The last file update performed in October 1973 resulted in a data base of 4,201 case vehicle clinical investigations. Of these, 1,297 were MDAI case vehicles that were distributed by team as displayed in Table 1. A list of each MDAI case vehicle, with a DOT-HS publication number cross index is included in Appendix E and F.

TABLE 1

Processed MDAI Case Vehicles Distributed by Teams

TEAM	NUMBER	CASE	VEHICLES
AA - Ann Arbor, HSRI		121	
BA - Baylor College of Medicine		68	
BC - Boston University		33	
CB - Calspan III B		134	
GI - Georgia Institute of Technolog	ΞΥ	71	
IU - Indiana University		25	
MI - University of Miami		102	
ML - Maryland Medical/Legal Foundat	tion	61	
NM - University of New Mexico		75	
OS - Ohio State University		30	
RT - Research Triangle Institute		76	
RU - University of Rochester		52	
SC - University of Southern Califor	rnia	65	
SI - Stanford Research Institute (2	2)	7	
SR - Stanford Research Institute (]	L)	7	
SU - Stanford University		38	
SW - Southwest Research Institute		190	
TR - Trauma Research Group, UCLA		69	
UK - University of Kentucky		4	
UO - University of Oklahoma		4	
UU - University of Utah		18	
TOTAL		1297	

QUALITY CONTROL AND DOCUMENTATION

Quality control is one of the critical elements of the MDAI data management program. The computer forms become the primary surrogate for each MDAI case. While data file errors can be corrected, they may never be detected. For example, once a Volkswagen is incorrectly coded as an Opel it may be permanently lost to anyone subsequently conducting a study of Volkswagens. A lot of field investigative effort can be "misplaced" by a few coding errors. While it may be better to process ten cases correctly rather than a hundred of questionable quality, a dilemma immediately arises between getting the job done vs. doing it right. In light of this, a number of quality control steps are followed in an attempt to ensure the quality of the resulting automated data base. These steps were instituted with two goals in sight: (1) Institute a sufficient number of steps so that there are checks on the checks and, (2) Institute a program of field team feedback in order to perfect the quality of the coding as originally submitted.

Specifically the following steps were performed with the guidance and approval of the NHTSA contract technical manager:

- 1. All the editing criteria, corrections and interpretations of questions have been expanded and improved from 1972. The resulting editing manual increases inter-editor consistency and has greatly aided the training of new editors. The documentation provided in the 1973 MDAI data automation program has also been widely utilized by the MDAI field teams. Comments from each of the teams and by NHTSA staff have been incorporated in the 1974 MDAI Report Automation Editing Manual and Reference Information (3). This updated documentation should continue to reduce the variance with which the field data have been recorded.
- 2. In order to maintain and ensure the quality of the data editing process itself, several procedures were followed:(a) all MDAI case editing was reviewed by a second staff member and major differences resolved, (b) new data editors

were not permitted to process full MDAI cases until adequately trained on other clinical accident investigation data, and finally, (c) data editors receive some field training in the original preparation of data forms by assisting experienced field investigators. This field experience proved to be particularly valuable and should be emphasized in any future MDAI report automation programs.

- 3. All keypunched data were one hundred percent key verified. Also any keypunch errors discovered in subsequent quality control steps were checked against the source document and arrangements were made for corrections by the keypunch staff. All keypunching and verifying was performed by HSRI staff with an average of four years experience with CPIR computer forms.
- 4. A package of pre-build programs performs over 400 checks for invalid codes and internal data inconsistencies such as rear door damage on two-door cars. The IBM 1800 program documented in the 1973 MDAI Report Automation Program Review (6) was converted to the Institute's new PDP 11/45 computer with some enhancement. Basic pre-build programs were also written to process the accident, traffic unit, damage analysis and occupant supplements. Further data checks should be added to each of supplement processor programs.
- 5. The pre-build data checking programs produce weekly error comment lists that are reviewed with the original coding and documentation. Either the keypunched cards are corrected or the data are corrected in subsequent file processing steps.
- 6. Four times a year the cases compiled by the pre-build programs are used to update the data analysis files available to users. The new data are file built and univariate or oneway frequency distributions are computed for each numeric variable in the new data. This printout is reviewed for

wild codes and unusual distributions before the new data are added to the existing data base.

- 7. Data corrections continue to be made to the existing computer data base, in response to comments received by all the data analysts and file users. This form of feedback from file users is encouraged as a means of either educating the user or correcting the data file. In contrast to most accident data files where data are stored once-and-forever, the records in the MDAI file are subject to a continual correction process.
- 8. Three forms of feedback are also provided for the MDAI field teams. The editing manual and reference information compiled in item one above has been provided to each team and updated to reflect their comments. Secondly, individual case critiques have been prepared and supplied to each team's contract technical manager. The content, utility and team response to these case critiques should be reviewed in subsequent MDAI report automation projects. The third element of team feedback is in the form of two training seminar and/or discussion sessions to introduce MDAI field investigators to how the case documentation is processed, stored and used. A detailed presentation of the Occupant Injury Classification procedure was also provided as part of two MDAI training programs conducted by the University of Southern California on February 5 and November 27, 1973.

In summary, the goal or objective of the quality control task has been to provide a relatively noise free communications channel between the collision event and the data analyst.

There are many reasons that errors and unknown values occur in the data file. They can be due to weaknesses in the original investigations, the case documentation, the basic reference information provided to the teams and data editors, and in the data editing and processing itself. The approach taken

has been to provide for communication with field teams in order to continually improve the quality of the original data reporting, a series of checks in the report automation process, and finally, communication with data analysts in order to provide user understanding and continual improvement of data file quality.

SECTION 3

DISCUSSION OF DATA SYSTEM

This section describes the accident data system provided to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration as part of the MDAI report automation and utilization program. The description of the overall system is followed by a more detailed description of the MDAI data bank itself.

ACCIDENT DATA SYSTEM

As part of this contract, NHTSA has been provided with access to a data system that contains over a hundred accident data files, as listed in Appendix G. Table 2 is a summary of these data files. Figure 1 displays circles that locate the sources of Level I or police accident data and dots that locate the Level III or clinical investigation teams in the United States and Canada.

Access to the accident data system is provided through the University's Michigan Terminal System (MTS), a time-shared IBM 360/67 computer. The community of data users includes the NHTSA staff, six of the field MDAI teams* and the automobile industry analysts. Users access the data system via interactive terminals (e.g., teletypes) from the privacy of their own office. Remote batch terminals are also operating from NHTSA and Southwest Research Institute.

Documentation of the contents of each data file was provided in the form of complete sets of computer codebooks. The code values and code definitions used for each variable or data element are displayed in the codebooks along with the frequency of usage for each code value. Codebooks for 42 new or updated files were provided during 1973.

^{*}Calspan, HSRI, Indiana University, Southwest Research Institute, Stanford Research Institute and University of Southern California



FIGURE 1 Sources of Data and Remote Terminal Users

.

TABLE 2

Summary of Files Presently Available in the Data System

	DATA	LEVEL		
SOURCE	I (Police)	II	III	(MDAI)
MDAI Teams			х	
Michigan Washtenaw County (68-72) Oakland County (68-72)	X X		X X	
Texas Bexar County (69-72)	Х		х	
New York Calspan (70-72)	Х	Х	х	
Florida Dade County (69-72)	Х		х	
Washington Seattle (69-72)	Х			
Colorado Denver (69-72)	X			

In order to readily analyze these files, a fairly extensive package of statistical analysis tools have also been made available in the HSRI Statistical Research System (SRS) (7). The more commonly used analysis programs and data files were made accessible through a keyword processing program that does not require the analyst to learn how data files are stored or how programs are loaded for execution.

While computerized storage and analysis is the only practical method of handling large data bases, it does introduce several difficulties for the user, who, in most situations is not experienced in computer operations. Thus, the minute attention to detail required to operate computers tend to repel many potential users who are unaccustomed to this detail in human relationships. Computers are designed for detailed tasks,

however, and there is no reason that they should not be given the tasks of doing the operations that are difficult for the novice. The goal of the keyword Automated Data Access and Analysis System (ADAAS) is therefore clear: use of the computer itself to perform most of the detailed operations necessary to carry out an analysis task using the HSRI accident files. In implementing this goal, however, it is difficult to allow for all the possible manipulations that can be performed with MTS and SRS. Consequently, ADAAS is presently designed to handle the routine operations normally encountered; the user is still encouraged to use the full capabilities of SRS to carry out more sophisticated analysis operations.

The five basic ADAAS programs (Table 3) provide for (1) data set listing cases of interest (case retrieval), (2) bargraphs, (3) univariate or one-way frequency and percentage distributions, (4) analysis of variance, and (5) bivariate or two-way tables that compare any two variables and tables. The data set list program was modified to provide for the translation of numeric codes, in the MDAI file, into alphabetic equivalents. This automatic interpretation of code values has considerably enhanced the readibility of computer listings of MDAI cases. A procedure has also been provided for sorting or arranging the case listings in any user specified sequence, e.g., by vehicle make or model.

Thus, it can be seen that the HSRI accident data system is itself comprised of four major systems:

1. MTS - The Michigan Terminal System

MTS is the controlling operating system for all tasks done at the University of Michigan Computing Center.

- ADAAS The Automated Data Access & Analysis System ADAAS is a sublevel operating system (within MTS) to supervise the tasks required for accessing the HSRI accident data files.
- 3. SRS The Statistical Research System

SRS is a package of analysis programs called by ADAAS to provide for analysis of the accident data.

TABLE 3

Analysis Functions Available in the ADAAS System

FUNCTION	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLE
Data Set List	List the values of any selected variables for any chosen subset of the data file.	List case number, age and sex of driver, and severity of injury for all cases in- volving Fords damaged in the front and with a reported impact speed greater than 20 miles per hour
Bargraph	Print a pictorial display (bar- graph) for any va- riable and for any subset of the data	Two bargraphs showing the number of head-on and the number of rear-end accidents by hour of the day.
Univariate	Tabulate the dis- tribution of the number of cases at each level of some variable for any chosen subset, and also present the mean, standard de- viation, and kurto- sis.	Print the number of drivers in each age group for dri- vers involved in accidents during hours of darkness, and also print the average age and its standard devia- tion.
Analysis of Variance	Calculate the ave- rage value of some dependent variable for each level of another variable, and display this mean, the standard deviation, and se- veral statistics showing significan- ce of the associa- tion.	Display the average age of female drivers for each day for the week; then display similar tables for cases in which the driver was drinking or not drinking.
Bivariate	Tabulate a two-way table for any two variables and for any subset; present associated statis- tics when desired.	Display the number of acci- dents by severity and by day of the week; include also the row and column percen- tages with missing data ex- cluded.

4. HSRI Accident Files

An extensive set of accident data files maintained by HSRI. Data from country-wide sources and from a variety of investigative levels are incorporated.

It would be convenient (especially for the novice user) if the entire operation appeared homogeneous. To as large a degree as possible this has been accomplished: however, there are still many situations in which the division between systems becomes evident. To understand what is happening in these situations it is useful for the user to have an appreciation of the role of each system in the overall operation. Consequently a descriptive Data Users Operating Manual (8) has been prepared that provides a detailed description of the data file structure and contents, the Michigan Terminal System, the keyword access system (ADAAS) and the operation of the basic analysis programs. Further specifics on the data system can be found in the users The remainder of this section will discuss the manual (8). contents of the data files constructed and maintained under the MDAI report automation and utilization program.

MDAI DATA BANK

The primary MDAI file contains data recorded on an annotated CPIR Revision 3 plus eight supplementary pages. Several other special files have also been built and maintained from data recorded on the NHTSA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report (VCMR) and the accident, traffic unit, damage analysis and occupant supplements (Appendix B). Computer codebooks have been submitted separately for the special files. A discussion of the primary CPIR file organization and contents precedes a description of the special files.

CPIR FILE CONTENTS

Over 800 different variables (items of information) are recorded in the master file for each case. The majority of these items are taken from the Collision Performance and Injury

<u>Report</u> (CPIR), Long Form, submitted with each case (9). Because the primary emphasis of this form was to record vehicle crash damage and concurrent injury details, a number of additional precrash and administrative variables have been coded by the editors onto a second (supplementary) form.

Once the master file is created, three "working" or "analysis" files are created--centered respectively on the vehicle, the occupant, and the injury. The vehicle file contains one logical record for each case vehicle investigated; thus, if two vehicles involved in one head-on collision were reported on two CPIR forms, two computer records would be stored. The occupant file contains one record for each case vehicle occupant, whether injured or not. Finally, the injury file contains one record for each reported injury sustained by an occupant. A complete set of univariate descriptive statistics for each variable in the working files is provided to the data users.

The data file contains all the case vehicle passenger cars and light trucks investigated by both the NHTSA- and MVMA-sponsored teams, and from the teams sponsored by the Canadian Department of Transport. Large trucks, buses, motorcycles and pedestrians are not included as a "case vehicle" but may be noted as an "other vehicle"*.

As noted in the previous section, many new variables have been added as well as new cases. Thus the data file content has grown in width as well as length. In fact, the MDAI file can be considered a library of variables as well as a collection of MDAI case reports.

Vehicle File Contents

There are 576 variables or items of information stored for

*A separate summary file of large trucks, buses, motorcycles and pedestrians reported by Level III teams was initiated under separate sponsorship and made available to NHTSA in 1973.

each of the investigated MDAI case vehicles. These variables can be grouped under the following topics:

Case Identification Environment Vehicle Malfunctions Collision Description Other Vehicle Description Case Vehicle: Description Damage, Exterior Damage, Interior Case Vehicle Driver Crash, Post-Crash Pre-Crash Program Matrix Cells Occupant Summary

1. Accident Factors

The vehicle file contains the variables that describe the accident (the "Accident Factors"). There is no "accident file" as such. The individual vehicles involved in any one multiplevehicle "accident" would each constitute a case vehicle, and the environmental conditions common to all case vehicles for an accident would be identical. This situation can be identified because the team case number will be common to both records, but the vehicle number will increment by 1 for each case vehicles such as the road alignment, may be different for different case vehicles in the same collision.)

Accident Factors

Identification Date Time Case Number Publication Number Location Environment Pre-Crash Factors Case Vehicle Emergency Services Team Recommendations (Matrix Cells)

2. Vehicle Malfunction

Vehicle mechanical malfunctions are coded only for the case vehicle. If the "other vehicle" had a tire blow-out but was not investigated as a case vehicle, the malfunction would not be recorded. To be coded, a malfunction must be suspected or alleged to have contributed to the accident. (For example, if a brake failure contributed to the severity of an accident that could not have been avoided even with good brakes, a malfunction is recorded.) The following broad categories of vehicle malfunction are used:

Vehicle Malfunction

Brake System Exhaust System Suspension System Tires Electrical System Throttle Controls Driver Controls Power Train Fuel System Visibility Items Other: Unknown

3. Collision Description

The collision description is coded from the point of view of the case vehicle. Generally all the configuration questions are independent of each other and are coded in combinations. Thus, if a case vehicle sideswipes a truck, strikes a guardrail, and then rolls over in the same accident, all three events are recorded. This convention contrasts to the usual Level I or police accident data where only one event is coded per accident.

For those interested in analyzing the collision configuration variables, some words of caution are in order. The sequence of events is not coded, i.e., if both a sideswipe and a head-on are coded, either may have preceded the other. The reported impact speed is, by convention, that of the first impact --and this is not necessarily the most damaging impact.

Collision Description

```
Collision Configuration
Vehicle to Object
Rollover
Ran-Off-Roadway
Vehicle to Vehicle
Other
Number of Vehicles
Objects Contacted
Case/Other Vehicle Speeds
Direction of Rollover
Total Energy Available
```

Because of the necessity for adequate collision damage data, a Damage Analysis Supplement was implemented in 1973 that relates speeds, configurations, object contacted and inches of crush directly with the VDI/CDC. The Damage Analysis Supplement data are described later in the section.

4. Vehicle Damage

The vehicle file contains a very extensive description of the damage sustained by the case vehicle. Thirty-eight variables describe the overall vehicle damage in terms of cost, Vehicle Damage Index or Collision Deformation Classification, and sheet metal damage/crush (10). Case vehicle exterior damage is described as seen by walking around the vehicle counterclockwise: wheel and tires, front exterior, left exterior, rear exterior, right exterior. The descriptions of fire are included with exterior damage.

Exterior Damage

Cost Vehicle Damage Indexes (CDC's) Sheet Metal Damage/Crush Wheels and Tires Front Exterior: Hood Engine/Transmission Mounts Steering Flexible Coupling Telescoping Unit Fire Left Exterior: Pillars (A,B,C,D) Roof Side Rail Exterior Damage (Continued) Body Mount Doors Rear Exterior: Fuel Tank/Lines Trailer and Hitch Tailgate Trunk Lid Backlight Header Right Exterior: (like Left Exterior)

The case vehicle interior damage topics include the steering wheel, steering column, windshield, instrument panel, seats, and side interiors as outlined below:

Interior Damage

Steering Wheel Steering Wheel EA Device Steering Column Features Column Movement Column EA Devices Column Rotation Compartment Deformation Windshield Performance Front Interior (Panel) Damage and Occ. Contacts Seats Adjustors Head Restraints Rear Seats Windows Left/Right Side Damage and Occ. Contacts Roof

5. Vehicle Driver

The vehicle file is also logically the driver file, as there is only one driver per case vehicle. It should be noted that all drivers in a particular accident will be represented only if all vehicles are investigated (i.e., become case vehicles). For example, if a drunk driver in an old car runs a stop signal, and old cars are not investigated, he may not be represented in the data bank. Driver Factors

Impairment Driver Education Driver's Record Trip Plan Route Familiarity Psychological Physiological Pharmacological

6. Occupant Summary

The last vehicle file variables summarize the occupant information for the case vehicle. These summary variables are created automatically during the file building process, to provide the analyst the facility for occupant information on a vehicle-to-vehicle basis. For example, one may ask "what is the distribution of injury severity for the right front occupant in vehicles with a driver fatality?" Occupancy, Overall Injury Severity (AIS), and Restraint Usage are recorded for five summary seat positions (11). The Overall Case Vehicle Injury Severity (AIS) is summarized by recording the highest overall injury severity sustained by any one case vehicle occupant. This is a useful variable for splitting the file into three broad categories: property damage (AIS=0), injury producing (AIS=1-5) and fatality producing (AIS=6-10).

Occupant File Contents

There are 60 additional variables coded for each of the MDAI case vehicle occupants. Each occupant is recorded whether injured or not, and each occupant record repeats the first 576 vehicle variables for each occupant in the case vehicle. Thus, a case vehicle with three occupants would be processed into three occupant records, each containing identical information for the first 576 variables. One occupant record is processed for unoccupied case vehicles with the Occupant Number coded as (00), and the other variables as "unknown". The occupant variables can be grouped as follows:

Occupant File

Occupant Number Seating Age, Weight & Height Restraint System Areas Contacted Ejection Injury Injury, Details

Occupant Age, Weight and Height are automatically provided with bracketed ranges (e.g., 5-year, 25-lb., 6-inch ranges) during the file build process, although the analyst can transform each variable into other ranges at the time of analysis.

Occupant injury severity (tissue damage) is recorded according to the American Medical Association's Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) (11). The occupant file user should note that fatal categories do not match the definition of fatality used in Level I or mass accident data. The police will code a traffic fatality six months to a year after the collision. In the AIS, only occupants who die within 24 hours are coded as fatalities. Fatalities after 24 hours are coded as "Critical, survival uncertain". In order to record the true number of occupant fatalities, the "Treatment" question in the original CPIR has been expanded to "Treatment/Mortality", and a "Fatal after 24 hours" category has been added.

Injury File Contents

There are 10 variables coded for each injury sustained by a case vehicle occupant. For each injury an occupant receives, one injury record is stored with the first 636 variables repeated and 10 new injury variables, as below:

Injury File

Body Region Total Number of Injuries to Occupant Total Number of Injuries to Body Region Injury Number Counter Occupant Injury Counter Region Injury Counter <u>Injury File</u> (Continued) Overall Body Region AIS Injury Description Injury Diagnosis Injury Severity (AIS) Areas Contacted

The injury file contains one record for each specific injury coded on the CPIR occupant injury detail page. For each injury, the corresponding Body Region and Injury Type/Diagnosis is recorded as outlined below. The overall injury severity and four contact areas for the injured region are also recorded.

Body Region Codes (12) Internal Organs (13) Brain (14) Face

- (15) Head
- (16) Neck
- (17) Shoulder Girdle
- (18) Right Upper Limb
- (19) Left Upper Limb
- (20) Chest and Upper Back
- (21) Lower Back
- (22) Abdomen
- (23) Pelvic Girdle
- (24) Right Lower Limb
- (25) Left Lower Limb
- (26) Whole Body
- (00) Not Applicable

Injury Types

- (1) Fracture
- (2) Laceration
- (3) Contusion
- (4) Pain
- (5) Abrasion
- (6) Concussion
- (7) Burn
- (8) Hemorrhage
- (9) Other
- (0) Not Applicable

Some cautions must be observed when applying the injury file to problems of injury causation. First, no record is stored of which area of contact caused a specific injury, particularly if there was more than one injury to a body region. Second, two distinct injuries of the same type (e.g., two independently caused facial lacerations) are coded as one injury. Third, the categories of Internal Organs and Brain are not truly "geographical" regions of the body. This sometimes produces inconsistent coding of internal injuries, such as heart trauma. These inconsistencies result from the form in which the data have been reported, rather than from any limitations of the file construction.
Because of the necessity for adequate injury causation data, an Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) scheme was developed as part of the 1972 MDAI Report Automation contract and implemented as part of the Occupant Supplement file described later in this section.

SPECIAL MDAI FILES

Three other special or supplementary MDAI data forms are processed independently into separate computer files as described in the remainder of this section. The three special MDAI files are the:

- 1. NHBA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report File
- 2. Damage Analysis Supplement File
- 3. Occupant Supplement File (includes Occupant Injury Classification data).

Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report File

The Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report (VCMR) file contains one logical record for each case vehicle reported on a NHTSA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report form by the MDAI teams. A subset of the Collision Performance and Injury Report (CPIR) Revision 3 variables were merged automatically with each VCMR form processed in order to describe the case vehicle and other pre-crash variables. Hence, the VCMR file can be considered as an in-depth pre-crash accident factors file.

The first 220 variables were merged directly from the CPIR Revision 3 file and include data elements as outlined below:

Accident Identification Accident Environmental Factors Vehicle Malfunctions Collision Configuration, Objects Contacted, Speeds Case Vehicle Identification Case Vehicle Damage Case Vehicle Equipment, Fire Driver Factors Team Conclusions/Recommendations The remaining eighty variables record the NHTSA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report form data elements. The content of these elements are outlined below:

Tires:

Tread, Inflation, Damage, Wear, Repair, Defects Steering and Suspension:

Freeplay, Modifications, Degradation

Exhaust:

Defects

Drive Train:

Modifications, Defects

Brakes:

Fluid Level, Contamination, Leakage

General Information:

Switch Position, Windshield Wipers and Arms

Glass:

Position (open/closed), Condition (Dirt, Crack, etc.) Maintenance and Inspection:

Lubrication and Inspection Stickers

Damage Analysis Supplement File

The case vehicle Damage Analysis Supplement (DAS) file is designed to give a more complete view of the damage incurred by the case vehicle. It consists of three parts: the Damage Analysis, the Sequence of Crash Events, and the Side Door Beam Information. The purpose is to record new information about the damage to the case vehicle and restructure information already coded in the CPIR form into a format that will more specifically detail the manner in which the damage occurred. As noted earlier, the CPIR form does not relate speeds, objects contacted, or other vehicle CDC/VDI with the case vehicle CDC/ VDI's. Thus, although investigated, no record is stored of the circumstances in which case vehicle damage (CDC/VDI's) occurred.

The Damage Analysis portion of the file represents a

reorganization of damage information for the "case vehicle" and the associated "other vehicle" which allows for a direct comparison of concurrent damage between the two vehicles. The Collision Deformation Classification (CDC), Inches Crush, Configuration, Crash Event Number, and Impact Speed for the primary and secondary deformation of the "case vehicle" are recorded, along with the corresponding CDC, crush and speed for the "other vehicle". Provision for a Tertiary Collision Deformation Classification for the case vehicle has also been included. For multiple vehicle collisions the "other vehicle" is changed to be the one connected with each of the case vehicle impacts.

The Sequence of Events is recorded in the second set of variables. It is a chronological ordering of vehicle maneuvers and crash events that best describe the collision for the case vehicle, beginning with the first injury or damage-producing event. With each event there is an entry for the specific vahicle or object associated with that event. These events are numbered, enabling the specific deformations (and their associated Collision Deformation classifications) to be related to the appropriate event in the collision sequence. This identifies the nature of the damage and circumstances producing that damage.

The third set of variables is concerned with the side structure performance of the case vehicle. It provides information for analysis of direct damage to the side structure with and without door beams. It also includes information which relates the damage to the CDC's.

Occupant Supplement File

The case vehicle Occupant Supplement (OS) file is designed to record 17 additional data elements (variables) for each occupant as well as provide for the recording of injury causation using an expanded list of contact area codes and the Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) coding system. The 17 additional occupant questions expanded upon several CPIR questions and

provide for additional information as outlined below:

Posture Non-Impact Medical Condition Occupant Alcohol Involvement Seat Belt Buzzer Ignition Interlock Passive Restraint Restraint System Malfunction Restraint System Effectiveness Treatment/Mortality EMS Contributory Autopsy Performed Police Injury Severity Ten Occupant Contact Areas Highest Injury Severity (AIS) for each Body Region Highest Injury Severity (AIS) for each Lesion Type Highest Injury Severity (AIS) for each Body System/Organ

The OS occupant variables can be used for analysis by setting the injury and OIC counter variables equal to 0 and 1 in a filter. This restricts the OS file to one record per occupant. Appropriate CPIR data variables for the corresponding OS coded cases will be merged with the above outlined data in future OS file updates. These merged variables will include selected accident, case vehicle, and case vehicle occupant data elements.

The next set of variables record the specific injuries and contact points for each occupant injury. Each injury is described in terms of (1) four contact areas in rank order of confidence, (2) one primary Occupant Injury Classification, and (3) two associated OIC's that describe the lesions associated with each "blow" to a body region. Up to fifteen injuries are recorded per occupant. Analysis of occupant injuries can be performed by subsetting the OIC counter to 0 or 1, thus providing one injury record per injury.

The final set of variables records the individual Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) codes (1,2). Up to three OIC's can be recorded for each injury: a primary OIC and an optional two associated OIC's.

The OIC itself consists of four letters that record Body

Region, Aspect (area of body region), Lesion/Diagnosis, and Body System/Organ; followed by the 0 to 6 AIS injury severity digit. Each OIC is stored in its natural form as well as in a recoded form in which each letter is translated to a numeric equivalent for analysis purposes.

SECTION 4

DISCUSSION OF DATA UTILIZATION

The whole reason anyone collects, stores, retrieves and synthesizes information is to provide a user with products that will aid his decision making process. All too frequently either information users receive only empty promises or, conversely, large files of information are compiled with limited utilization planning. Such information system defects are not justified simply because of their common occurrence.

Rather than promise results from non-existent systems or build an ineffectively utilized accident data bank, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration undertook, in 1973, an effort to emphasize the relationship of the "user" as the critical component of an existing accident data system. This was done by simply providing the user with tangible accident information in response to specific user stated requests. As a result, all elements of the system benefited, including the data collectors, analysts, and users.

INTERACTION WITH DATA USERS

The utility of any information or data system is ultimately judged by its ability to satisfy users' needs. Users provide the data manager with the primary feedback loop essential to control the system; indeed the effectiveness of a data system should be expected to be enhanced by use.

While this point may be obvious, the user is often disregarded as noted by Alan Rees:

> "The information retrieval field has been plagued for many years by busy people spending large sums of money, designing or attempting to design phantom systems for non-existent people in hypothetical situations with unknown needs. It is not surprising that large numbers of theorists, hardware peddlers and promoters have ignored the user with the result that the needs of users are conspicuously absent in many discussions on system design and operation." (12)

It is possible to conduct user surveys via interviews and questionnaires in order to determine "user needs" as part of the information/data system design process. While helpful for for planning guidelines, the results of extensive user surveys are of limited value in detailed systems design because the respondent cannot easily define specific needs which he has never experienced. He, typically, is not even in a position to know what could be made available.

Many examples of data system development without regard to user requirements and of large scale user surveys based on phantom systems exist, even in the field of highway safety. This problem is particularly acute for accident data systems, where considerable effort and resources are expended compiling data files, with only limited results of value to users.

One ideal way to involve users in the development and evolution of a data system is to work with them in an attempt to help resolve some real problems, using an operational data file and data analysis system. For the purposes of this study, the in-depth Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation (MDAI) data file and the Highway Safety Research Institute Statistical Research System data analysis facility (as described in earlier sections) were utilized in order to exploit data systems currently available. Because of limited resources only a restricted range of users were included in the study. While limited in these respects the concepts expressed are equally applicable to other accident data systems and user communities.

We all have problems. There is no lack of questions to be answered. The dilemma for the user is knowing what resources are available and how to address each information or data resource. One of these resources is the data system used in this study. The products of this study were therefore only intended to help illuminate specific problems and assist the user formulating his next step. While essential, accident data systems are only one of many tools available to problem solvers.

The problem of what to expect from an accident data system and how to approach it are formidable to the novice user. Not uncommonly the novice user of data will expect the data system to respond with "go/no-go" decisions or to answer general questions such as "Is driver education good?" or "Are cars safer this year?" A key consideration, then, was the development of realistic expectations on the part of the users, that the data products are not necessarily all conclusive, e.g., they do not, generally, lead directly to standards writing. Just as in conducting a literature search, there is no guarantee at the outset that a conclusive answer will be found. Generally though, some new insight to the problem is almost always gained.

A frank revelation of just what the data system could and could not be expected to produce also provided a base line from which users could express their thoughts on how the system should be improved to be more responsive to their requirements. Suggestions ranged from the addition of new data elements to entirely new data collection procedures, and from the improvement of printout formats to entirely new analysis procedures.

The dilemma of how the user should approach and address the data system was more formidable. How does a safety engineer talk with a statistician? Just about as well as people talk with pets. Each group has its own language and interests. Typically the engineer either avoids the data analyst (knowing he does not understand statisticians) or he unilaterally prepares a problem statement (thinking he understands statisticians) only to have the statistician return in six to twelve months with an elegant exposition on the wrong problem or on a problem that has since changed.

The dilemma is readily resolved by a few Dr. Doolittles who can talk to the animals and people. In other words, what is required is an intermediary between the engineer and statistician. In this study, engineers with a background in the

data bank and analysis system filled the role. The following briefly outlines the procedures and steps used.

An accident data workshop was conducted for all interested staff. The emphasis was on what the data system could and could not provide and on how one conducts data analysis by use of examples. Subsequent face-to-face meetings were conducted with each of a limited number of users with specific problems of immediate interest. Each of these meetings were attended by the requestor, analysts, and contract technical manager.

The purpose of these initial meetings was to develop written problem statements that were responsive to the users needs, that could be analyzed using existing system capabilities, and that would serve to exemplify a variety of analysis methodologies. These sometimes lengthy meetings also resulted in a fuller understanding of the user's immediate and long range problems by the analysts. The finished problem statements then, represented a good understanding by all parties of what was required. Again because of the limited resources, only a few problems were ultimately selected and placed in a priority ranking by the users.

The approach taken was to step through the analysis. In other words, cut-and-try a piece, review it, and try again. Interim results, frequently in computer printout form, were reviewed with each requestor so he could see if the analysis was proceeding in an appropriate direction and so he could begin to anticipate the final results. In this way the requestor continued to play an active role, even during the data analysis phase.

It can be observed that utilization of accident data is quite unlike information retrieved from published literature. (The exception is the number of urban vs. rural accidents, etc. published annually by many organizations.) Accident data are a different domain of information which is utilized with a different "language". What this study has established is that,

with a proper mix of expertise and interest, information products can be synthesized from existing accident data systems in response to specific user requests. Moreover, only with this intimate user interaction can data systems continue to move towards more user responsive postures, and users develop a fuller understanding of just what they should expect from a data system. Even for those products that were inconclusive, (e.g., due to lack of sufficient data) both the user and data manager benefitted from the educational experience.

ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Both the accident data and the analytical tools used for manipulating the data are considered here as part of the analysis methodology. The HSRI accident data files and statistical analysis tools described in the previous section will now be discussed from the application point of view, i.e., how one can utilize the existing system in a beneficial manner. A discussion of the application of MDAI and police reported accident data precedes an outline of the analysis tools used.

Because of the problems in drawing statistical inferences from existing accident data, a constant vigilance and questioning attitude was maintained before accepting any computer printouts as "truth". We learned from the analysis by trying and closely examining the results at each step. Briefly, then, the approach taken for this study was to exploit the data bank, while taking account of the limitations of the information. This principle was central to analysis methodology.

Application of Accident Data

Given that one has a representative sample of traffic collisions it is a fairly straightforward matter, through statistical analysis to determine relationships in the sample that apply to the entire population of accidents. Unfortunately, no detailed random sample of vehicle crashes exists. Two kinds of accident data do exist. Essentially, the analyst is faced with either a large number of reports with little detail

or a small detailed set from a poorly defined sample.

While police accident reports are collected in large quantities, they lack the detail or resolution necessary to aid a safety engineer's evaluation of specific safety features. Compilations of police reports at best only represent the geographical area in which they were collected, because of the significant variances in the level of reporting (e.g., towaways, vs. \$200 damage) and in the uncertain interpretation of reported variables (e.g., variations in the use of the A, B, and C injury categories*). Thus, even if every police jurisdiction were to agree to use a "national" accident report, the compiled results would still fail to be representative or contain sufficient detail to resolve many of the outstanding accident and injury causation questions.

The other source of accident data is a limited collection of unrepresentative but very detailed reports prepared by a number of in-depth accident investigation teams. The clinical (sometimes called Level III) investigations are documented with full written descriptions, 35mm slides, <u>Collision Perfor-</u> <u>mance and Injury Report</u> (CPIR) Revision 3 data forms and certain other supplementary data forms. These reports provide a level of detail not available elsewhere.

It is conceivable that a valid national accident sample could be established by adjusting the number, location and sampling procedures of the in-depth investigation teams in order to provide representativeness as well as the already existing precision of measurement required for drawing national inferences. Because the existing in-depth data base is not a designed sample, it is not representative of the nation. As such it is not possible to validly determine how frequently any particular collision event occurs. While rollovers, restraint system usage, and head fractures are accurately reported, the

^{*}The percentage of "A" injuries (relatively serious) in police reports varies from 65% in Virginia, to 28% in North Carolina, and 12% in Oklahoma.

aggregation of all in-depth reports will not reveal national frequency of any of these events. The same holds for precrash accident causation factors.

There has been a fair amount of criticism and even hesitance in the application of the Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation (MDAI) files because they <u>do not</u> contain a crosssection of typical accidents. As noted above, there are difficult, if not unsolvable, problems in deriving national statistical inferences from the existing MDAI files.

While this prognosis may seem bleak, the MDAI files are a resource of accident data reported accurately to a level of detail not available elsewhere. It is possible to cautiously perform analysis with the existing in-depth accident data file particularly concerning the interaction of crash phase variables such as vehicle damage and injury causation.

In an ideal world each user request could be satisfied by a data collection protocol and sampling plan specific to the stated problem. Similarly, the ideal librarian should compile a technically annotated bibliography for each user request. While both techniques can produce good results, they are not always the most appropriate or timely approaches. The approach taken in this analysis was to consider the MDAI files as an existing library of accident cases and data elements collected with the general subject interests of NHTSA in mind. Thus for this project, the MDAI data was considered as having been collected in a manner similar to that of the librarian who acquires books of interest and indexes them before a user requests a book on that specific subject.

Application of Analysis Tools

Three basic analysis techniques were used: clinical case retrieval, descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. With the first technique, the MDAI computer file was used like a highly detailed library card catalog of over four thousand reports. The computer was used to identify specific MDAI

cases of anecdotal interest, which were subsequently retrieved in their original hard copy form for further clinical analysis. In fact, the most frequently performed MDAI file operation is case retrieval.

In order to conduct a clinical study of rib fracture, for example, the original case documentation may be pulled and reviewed. While the data bank only records "rib fracture", the original report documents which rib(s) fractured. One could, for example, then study whether there is a differential effect (i.e., which ribs fracture) for steering wheel vs. side door contact.

The second technique applied was descriptive statistics. Basically descriptive statistics are the computation of the frequency and/or percentage distributions of selected data variables (data elements). The most common form is the two-way table or bivariate that compares two variables. For example, a table of vehicle manufacturer vs. vehicle model year would display the number of vehicles by model year for each vehicle manufacturer in the data file. The analysis involves selecting the variables of interest (e.g., manufacture, model year) and the subset of accident cases that best represents what is desired (e.g., American made passenger cars). Using subsets of the in-depth file, it is also possible to make guarded inferences about the frequency of events. Descriptive statistics simply describe the population of specific sets of data being analyzed.

The third methodology involved inferential statistics. With inferential statistics one attempts to determine the possible significance of the relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variable(s) being tested. The Chi-square statistic was used, while other studies entailed the use of the regression and analysis-of-variance techniques.

For inferential purposes, the file of in-depth investigations can be considered like a collection of results from engineering experiments conducted to learn the functional

relationship between the variables. The case selection interest is in having a sufficient number of cases at each level of the independent variable. The approach, then, is to explore the data in terms of relationships between or among variables, and the degree to which changes in one variable affects levels of other variables. It is possible to review the relationship of, for example, restraint system usage and head fractures.

USER OUTPUT DOCUMENTATION

A total of sixteen topics were studied for three offices of Motor Vehicle Programs. The short titles of the sixteen studies are listed in Table 4. The output from each study was documented as a memorandum from the NHTSA Office of Accident Investigation and Data Analysis (OAIDA) for internal distribution.

TABLE 4

Short Titles of Sixteen Study Topics

1	Side Impact Collision
2	Shoulder Harnesses
3	Collision Speeds by Configuration
4	Ejection Portals
5	Door Jamming
6	Hood-Windshield Penetration
. 7	Steering Column EA Devices
8	Seat Damage
9	Child Restraints
10	CO and Sleepy Drivers
11	Short Driver Visibility
12	Brake Malfunctions
13	Parked Car Involvement
14	Tinted Windshield Involvement
15	Vehicle Handling
16	Vehicle Defects

The documentation outline for each study was identical. The first page (Figure 2) identified the contract number, contract task, NHTSA requestor, NHTSA coordinating analyst, HSRI consultants and sufficient space for the signatures of three reviewers and the contract technical manager. The next three pages briefly outlined the MDAI report automation and utilization project as it related to this task including a list of all sixteen topics. Following this standardized introduction each topic was documented using the same outline -- Problem Statement, Approach Outline, Conclusions (e.g., what was learned), Summary Findings (e.g., numerical results) and Technical Discussion. This presentation outline permitted the reader to go as deep into the study as desired. The Conclusions were restricted in size, while the final Technical Discussion detailed the data files, variables and analysis programs used at each step of the analysis. Sufficient detail was provided to permit other analysts to repeat the methodology on similar problems in the future. Because all sixteen studies are now in a NHTSA review and evaluation process, presentation of the specific problem statements, conclusions, summary findings, analytical procedures is inappropriate in this report. All questions regarding the content of these studies should be referred to NHTSA as they are responsible for dissemination.

SUMMARY

The purpose of any information or data system is to provide a user with products that will enhance his decision making. Unfortunately, accident data systems can usually be characterized as "much-in" and "little-out", i.e., large data files are created at great expense with limited thought or resources expended on utilization. The user community, besides being the ultimate justification for a data system, also provides the feedback loop essential to successful evolution of a more responsive system.

CHILD SEATS

June 1, 1973

TO: Distribution

- FROM: Contract Technical Manager National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Contract DOT-HS-031-3-589
- SUBJECT: Child Seats (CW-9) Data User Products (Task 6) Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation and Utilization

During early 1973 the above contract was awarded to the University of Michigan, Highway Safety Research Institute (HSRI). The contract included a provision for data analysis and development of a limited number of data file user output products. The products were to be developed using only those computer analysis programs and accident data files currently available to the NHTSA, Office of Accident Investigation and Data Analysis.

Participants:

NHTSA Requestor - J. Medlin NHTSA Coordinating Analyst - R. Harding HSRI Consultants - J. Marsh, B. Goldin

Reviewers:

Contract Technical Manager:

FIGURE 2

Sample First Page of Study Documentation

This section discusses an effort to actively involve the user by simply attempting to respond to a specific set of problem statements. Due to restricted resources, only a limited number of requests were accepted using the existing Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation (MDAI) data and NHTSA available analysis systems. The concepts and approaches apply equally well to all accident data systems.

The utility of the multidisciplinary accident investigation data have been widely criticised during the past few years especially in the areas of accident causation and national inferences. While much of the criticism may be justified, it tends to overshadow the benefits that can be derived from the existing resources of data. The MDAI files contain a wide variety of accident types, reported accurately by dedicated professionals to a level of detail not available elsewhere. This study has actively utilized these files, while taking into account the limitations of the information.

Data utilization was accomplished by sitting down together with the analysts and data users, jointly developing problem statements, exercising the data analysis system, closely examining and questioning the resulting printouts, sharing the interim results with the data user, following by repeated rounds of analysis and examining results. The final step was a complete documentation of conclusions, summary of findings, and the details of each analytical step.

Benefits were derived in the areas of data design, data analysis, and data collection and management. Beyond receiving analysis products of immediate interest, data users were exposed to the capabilities and limitations of existing accident data analysis facilities. Several methodologies and specific analysis techniques were exemplified and documented for future NHTSA application to problems requiring similar approaches. Finally, the utilization of MDAI data has benefited the collection and management of the data, by providing the feedback necessary for the development of a user responsive system

Hence, it is practical, with a proper mix of expertise, to responsively assist decision makers with information products synthesized from existing accident data systems, while simultaneously deriving feedback information essential to successful system evolution.

SECTION 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As noted earlier, the unique feature of the 1973 MDAI Report Automation and Utilization contract was the active involvement of NHTSA data system users. Both the users and the data system itself benefited from this interaction. The 1973 contract also resulted in the establishment of some new data variables and further refinement of other data variables as a result of data user feedback and feedback from the field accident investigation teams.

Based upon the 1973 contract activity, seven conclusions and recommendations have been made as listed below. They are organized according to the structure of the contract statement or work and are not ranked in any order of importance or significance.

- 1. Data Preparation and Quality Control
- 2. Damage Analysis Supplement
- Occupant Injury Classification and Occupant Supplement
- 4. Accident Causation Analysis System
- 5. Accident and Traffic Unit Supplements
- 6. File Utilization (file access, documentation, etc.)
- 7. Data Utilization (direct user interaction)

1. DATA PREPARATION AND QUALITY CONTROL

Recommendations:

A. One emphasis of the 1974 MDAI Report Automation contract should be to complete the backlog and to continue processing cases at a rate equal to their production as outlined in the following recommendations.

B. All new cases (not previously released or disseminated by NHTSA) forwarded to the contractor by October 31, 1974 should be edited, returned to NHTSA, and placed into computer storage by the end of 1974. All old cases (previously

published by NHTSA) with CPIR forms should also be completed in 1974. Old cases without CPIRs should be processed as appropriate and as time permits.

C. Cases should be processed in three phases. The first phase should be a summary or compendium of every traffic unit ever investigated by an MDAI team. The traffic unit compendium coding should be completed the day each new case arrives, thus providing an inventory of all traffic units (including large trucks, buses, motorcycles and pedestrians) and a log of cases to be processed in phase two.

The second phase should include fully processing the annotated CPIR, with damage analysis and occupant supplements. Completion of this phase would update the existing analysis files and return the case to NHTSA.

The third phase should involve the coding of accident causal factors, and the longer accident and traffic unit supplements on a sample or lower priority basis, as prescribed by NHTSA.

D. New or added variables should continue to be added during 1974, but in a way that will not impede or delay the second phase (annotated) CPIR processing. Any new variables could be handled independently of phase two processing either as part of phase three or entirely separate.

E. Quality control should continue to be insured as detailed in the 1973 MDAI Report Automation contract.

F. Individual case critiques should continue to be provided to each team contract technical manager in an informal format. In order to conserve processing time and not critique "dead" cases, case critiques should only be provided for those cases returned to NHTSA within one year after original team submission of the case to NHTSA.

G. The documentation of the editing process, the interpretation of the variables and the reference information should

continue to be revised and expanded, particularly in response to field team supplied comments and reference data.

2. DAMAGE ANALYSIS SUPPLEMENT

As described previously, the Damage Analysis Supplement (DAS) records vehicle damage, collision configuration, speeds, etc. in a manner that permits these elements to be analyzed in direct relationship to each other. Most of the DAS data elements are commonly reported by the MDAI teams in the CPIR Revision 3 report form. Unfortunately, the CPIR does not generally permit relating the case vehicle primary VDI/CDC with first damage, speeds, other vehicle VDI/CDC, or the collision configuration--even though this information is known to the field investigator.

The Damage Analysis Supplement is used for each MDAI case processed. Computer file build programs have been implemented and codebooks documenting the DAS file have been provided to NHTSA.

Recommendations:

A. The one sheet Damage Analysis Supplement should be used for all MDAI team reported case vehicles involved in collisions after January 1, 1974. For now, the DAS could be used as an addition to the annotated CPIR Revision 3, at least until a new integrated reporting form is prepared.

B. The field teams and file analysts should be encouraged to submit comments and criticisms either to HSRI or to their contract technical managers. Documentation of the DAS is stored in a random access computer file and should be periodically updated and distributed.

3. OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION AND OCCUPANT SUPPLEMENT

The Occupant Injury Classification was developed as part of a previous 1972 NHTSA contract, because of the necessity for adequate injury causation data recording. Since its first

presentation to the American Association for Automotive Medicine in November 1972, it has been used on a trial basis by many of the in-depth investigation teams. As a result of their many excellent comments and criticisms, the OIC has been refined to the point documented for the SAE Subcommittee on Accident Investigation Practices in November 1973. No further comments have been received either directly or via the team sponsors regarding the utility of the OIC.

A coding form for recording the OIC and occupant contact points was developed and utilized during 1973. This Occupant Supplement also records a few other key occupant data elements. It is being used for all MDAI case processing. Computer file build programs and documenting codebooks have also been prepared.

The utility of the OIC for detailed analysis of injury causation was demonstrated by a study of rollover injuries (13). The earlier CPIR injury recording methods do not permit relating specific injuries to specific contact points. The Occupant Supplement coding form (with the OIC) permits, for example, the analyst to determine the severity of head injury from contact with the roof in rollover collisions. This could not have been done from CPIR injury data.

Thus, the Occupant Injury Classification system is now documented and operational for field, computer processing and analysis purposes.

Recommendations:

A. The one-sheet Occupant Supplement, with the Occupant Injury Classification, should be used for all MDAI team reported case vehicle occupants involved in collisions after January 1, 1974. For now the Occupant Supplement could be used as an addition to the existing CPIR occupant and injury pages, at least until a new integrated reporting form is prepared.

B. Field teams and analysts could continue to be

encouraged to submit OIC comments and criticisms either to HSRI or to their sponsors. Documentation of the Occupant Supplement and Occupant Injury Classification system is stored in a random access computer file and should be periodically updated and distributed.

4. ACCIDENT CAUSATION ANALYSIS SYSTEM

Two goals were set for the accident causation analysis system development. The first phase or goal was to develop a reporting protocol for recording causal factors in current field investigations using existing systems and knowledge. The phase one system has been created--including coding forms, instructions, and a full categorized list of causal factors based largely upon the Indiana University structure of causal factors.

The second phase or goal was the broader one of considering accident causation mechanisms from a longer term, more generalized point of view. Several different avenues of exploration exist, one of which is detailed in Appendix C.

Recommendations:

A. While the phase one system is developed to the point of immediate application, it should now be subjected to the trial-by-fire, i.e., trial application by the MDAI teams. A shakedown period is needed to ensure that any major bugs are discovered and resolved. A few cases were coded by HSRI, but a more complete sample of documented MDAI cases should also be coded on a trial basis. Based on these two experiences, the system should be revised or polished before final approval and adoption, or the phase one system could be dropped based upon the trial experience and the results of the phase two efforts.

B. While the study of accident causation commands a large share of the highway safety spotlight, the science of accident causation factors seriously lags behind the science

of injury causation factors, for example. Either as part of future MDAI Report Automation contracts or as a separately funded program, the NHTSA Accident Investigation Division should maintain an active program on the practices and methodology of studying and reporting accident causation mechanisms, including the continued development of systematic causal frameworks.

5. ACCIDENT AND TRAFFIC UNIT SUPPLEMENTS

The accident and traffic unit supplements represent a fairly extensive catalog of new data elements not covered by the CPIR Revision 3 form. The data elements generally record pre-crash and environmental factors that are already reported by most MDAI teams. The data elements were selected through an NHTSA staff review process and therefore reflect NHTSA interests and priorities.

While both supplements are coded as part of the MDAI report automation process and are built into computer analysis files, complete documentation of the coding interpretations and computer codebooks have not been prepared. Refinements of code value definitions continue to be made. While they do work, the accident and traffic supplements have not reached a field team operational state.

Recommendations:

A. While many excellent additional pre-crash and environmental data elements are included on these supplements, they should not be distributed to MDAI field teams for trial application until they are more operational.

B. A new Collision Analysis Report (CAR) should be developed that includes the basic contents of the accident and traffic unit analysis supplements plus updated CPIR data elements. This modular form would then be supplied to the MDAI field teams for trial comments on its application in Level III investigative reporting.

C. The coding of the Accident and Traffic Unit Supplements (ATUS) should be placed on a second priority basis (phase three), to the processing of the annotated CPIR with damage and occupant sheets (phase two). The ATUS need more coding exercise but should not impact the timely processing of the basic MDAI data.

6. FILE UTILIZATION (ACCESS, DOCUMENTATION, TRAINING)

During 1973, the file utilization task provided NHTSA with documentation, training and access to the HSRI accident data analysis system, as well as some system enhancements.

Recommendations:

A. Documentation, training and access to the data system should be continued.

B. Keyword access to special purpose programs and improved programs for listing subsets of the data bank with selected numeric variables translated to alphabetic code value definitions should be provided.

C. A computer program for producing an alphabetic index for data file codebooks should be developed and implemented.

7. DATA UTILIZATION (DIRECT USER INTERACTION)

As noted earlier, a major emphasis in 1973 was the utilization of the already existing MDAI data system in response to specific user requests. Although difficult to measure on a ruler, benefits from this activity accrued in the areas of data system design, data utilization, data analysis, and data collection and management.

In the process of conducting the sixteen studies, as described in Section 4, several general observations were made. (1) There are an undetermined number of NHTSA "users" who could or should benefit from the analysis of existing NHTSA accident data files. (2) These "users" do not know of existing NHTSA

accident data facilities, or if they do, they have a very limited understanding of what an accident data system can and can not do for them. (3) There also is a "language" or communications difficulty between the users and the data system staff, e.g., not always having a comprehensive understanding of the "user's problem" or situation. (This observation in no way implies that the data products staff does not provide competent and responsive results to user documented requests.) Finally, that (4) it is possible with contract technical manager leadership to accomplish effective data utilization by sitting down together as analyst and user, jointly developing problem statements, exercising the data analysis system, closely examining and questioning the resulting printouts, sharing the interim results with the data user, followed by repeated rounds of analysis and examining results, and finally by complete documentation of conclusions, summary findings, and the details of each analytical step.

Beyond receiving information of immediate interest, data users were exposed to the capabilities and limitations of existing accident data analysis facilities. Similarly, the analysts were exposed to the user's problems. The documentation of the analysis techniques provides for ready NHTSA application to problems requiring similar approaches or to problems that seem to reoccur every few years. This interaction with the user ultimately benefits the data system itself by providing the feedback necessary for the continued evolution towards a more user responsive system.

Recommendations:

A. The NHTSA should continue an active program of useranalyst interaction, with an even wider audience. Regardless of who conducts the analysis or monitors the resulting products, a generally acceptable procedure or protocol should be quickly established and followed up by an aggresive and outreaching program to visit and work with data users in helping

to solve their specific problems.

B. Information products provided to users outside of the Office of Accident Investigation and Data Analysis (OAIDA) should be documented, whether performed under contract or by OAIDA staff. Some minimum required outline should be prescribed if none is dictated by problem or product itself. The outline used for this task was developed by the contract technical manager and was required for documenting the sixteen studies. This outline proved to be very acceptable for a wide variety of products and could be used as a starting point in establishing an OAIDA guideline.

REFERENCES

- 1. Un Procédé de Classification de Blessures des Voyageurs Incorporant l'Echelle de Blessures Abrégée (An Occupant Injury Classification Procedure Incorporating the Abbreviated Injury Scale), Joseph C. Marsh IV, presented to the NATO Committee on the Challenges of Modern Society, Road Safety Pilot Study, Final Accident Investigation Workshop, Brussels, Belgium, June 28-29, 1973.
- Occupant Injury Classification Application Procedure, Joseph C. Marsh IV, HSRI, University of Michigan. Presented to SAE Accident Investigation Practices Subcommittee, Oklahoma City, November, 1973.
- 3. <u>Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automa-</u> tion and Utilization, 1973 Editing Manual and Reference Information, Joseph C. Marsh IV, S. O. Vanek, and S. E. Tolkin, Highway Safety Research Institute, Contract No. DOT-HS-031-3-589, December, 1973.
- 4. <u>A Study to Determine the Relationship Between Vehicle Defects and Crashes</u>. J. R. Treat, K. B. Joscelyn, Indiana University, Institute for Research in Public Safety. Interim Report No. DOT-HS-034-2-263-71-A, November, 1971.
- 5. A Study to Determine the Relationship Between Vehicle Defects and Crashes. Volume I: Study Findings Part I, Regarding Accident Causes. Institute for Research in Public Safety, Indiana University, Final Report DOT-HS-034-2-263-UDP-72-1, November, 1972.
- 6. <u>Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation. Final Report</u>, Joseph C. Marsh and J. O'Day, Highway Safety Research Institute, for National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Contract No. DOT-HS-031-1-37. October, 1972. Volume I: Program Review [DOT/HS 800 767]
- <u>The Statistical Research System</u>, D. E. Wood and C. D. Hafner, Highway Safety Research Institute, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1972.

- Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation and Utilization, Data Users Operating Manual, John A. Green and Barbara C. Brown, December, 1973.
- 9. <u>Collision Performance and Injury Report Long Form Revi-</u> sion Number 3, General Motors Corporation, Safety Research and Development Laboratory, General Motors Proving Ground, 1969.
- 10. <u>Collision Deformation Classification</u>. SAE J224a. Recommended Practice. Society of Automotive Engineers, New York. 5 pages. 1972.
- 11. "Rating the Severity of Tissue Damage, I. The Abbreviated Scale," Committee on Medical Aspects of Automotive Safety, Journal American Medical Association, Volume 215, Number 2, p. 277-280. January 11, 1971.
- 12. "Information Needs and Patterns of Usage", Alan M. Rees, Western Reserve University, Cleveland, <u>Information Re-</u> trieval in Action, 1963, p. 17-23.
- 13. Injury Causation in Rollover Accidents, D. Huelke, J. C. Marsh IV, L. DiMento, H. Sherman, W. Ballard, presented to the 17th Conference of the American Association for Automotive Medicine, November 15-17, 1973.

•

f

÷

.

•

f

÷

.

APPENDIX A

CONTRACT REPORTS AND DOCUMENTATION

The following itemizes the reports and other documentation prepared and submitted as part of the 1973 MDAI Report Automation and Utilization Program.

The appendix is organized into seven sections as follows:

- A. Contract Reports
- B. Accident and Injury Causation
- C. Coding Forms for New Data Elements
- D. Files Built and Maintained
- E. Data File Access Documentation
- F. Data File Analysis Programs
- G. Data Users Products

A. CONTRACT REPORTS

- 1. "Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation and Utilization 1973 Final Report", on Contract DOT-HS-031-3-589, December 1973.
- 2. Monthly Progress Reports
- 3. "<u>Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation and Utilization, 1973 Editing Manual and Reference Information</u>", December 1973. Update sheets for 1972 edition were also provided in July 1973.

B. ACCIDENT AND INJURY CAUSATION

- Preliminary List of Accident Causation Factors--Attachment E of Second Monthly Progress Report, covering the month of February 1973, March 9, 1973.
- Accident Causal Analysis System--in Appendix C of 1973 Final Report.
- 3. "An Occupant Injury Classification Procedure Incorporating the Abbreviated Injury Scale", presented to NATO/CCMS Final Accident Investigation Workshop on June 28-29, 1973 (in Appendix D of 1973 Final Report)
- "Occupant Injury Classification Application Procedure", presented to SAE Subcommittee on Accident Investigation Practices on October 14, 1973 (in MDAI Report Automation and Utilization, 1973 Editing Manual and Reference Information, December 1973.
- 5. "Vehicle Occupant Injury Classification" in September 1973 issue of HIT-LAB Reports, Volume 4, Number 1.

C. CODING FORMS FOR NEW DATA ELEMENTS

- 1. Damage Analysis Supplement for recording CDC/VDI, speeds, configurations, crush, object contacted--in 1973 Final Report, Appendix B and <u>1973 Editing Manual</u> and Reference Information.
- 2. <u>Occupant Supplement</u> for recording several new occupant variables and the Occupant Injury Classification codes--in same location as above.
3. Prototype Accident and Traffic Unit Supplements currently used to code data from existing original MDAI case documentation--in December 28 memo to Contract Technical Manager.

D. FILES BUILT AND MAINTAINED

Documentation for each of these files was submitted separately in the form of a computer-produced code book that documents the frequency and definition of each code value.

- Collision Performance and Injury Report, Revision 3 includes GM CPIR data plus certain supplementary variables. Contains 1, 297 NHTSA case vehicles out of 4,201 as of October 1973. Complete CPIR code books were provided in April and October 1973.
- 2. NHTSA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report (VCMR) contains 88 data elements that detail the condition of the case vehicle prior to impact. The VCMR form contains no details that identify the vehicle (e.g., make/model) or other pre-crash data elements. Therefore 220 vehicle identification and all other precrash data elements from the primary MDAI file were merged with the VCMR data. The merged file contains 401 case vehicles.
- 3. Damage Analysis Supplement File records the case vehicle Collision Damage Classification (CDC/VDI) and the concurrent speeds, configuration, crush, object contacted and other vehicle CDC/VDI. The sequence of collision events and objects struck along with side door guard beam data are also recorded. The file contains 252 case vehicles.
- 4. Occupant Supplement and Injury File records seventeen new and revised occupant data elements. Primarily the Occupant Supplement is used for recording occupant contact areas and injuries according to the Occupant Injury Classification Procedure. Each OIC and injury is recorded in separate logical records.
- 5. The 1971 Texas Vehicle Defects file contains a record of vehicles involved in accidents in which at least one of the vehicles was recorded as defective on the police report. The file is utilized by the OAIDA Mathematical Analysis Division in response to requests from the Office of Defects Investigations and contains 20,474 vehicles.

E. DATA FILE ACCESS DOCUMENTATION

1.	Washtenaw County, Michigan	
	a. Four and one-half years (December 1968-June 1972)	-1/26/73
	b. Four years (1969-1972)	-5/15/73
	c. Four and one-half years (1969-June 1973)	-11/15/73
2.	Oakland County, Michigan	
	a. One-half year (through June 1972)	-1/26/73
	b. 1972 accidents	-7/20/73
	c. 1971 supplementary variables	-11/15/73
3.	Denver County, Colorado	
	a. 1971 accidents	-5/14/73
	b. 1972 accidents	-7/20/73
4.	Texas	
	a. 1971 accidents, vehicle	-5/14/73
	b. 1972 accidents, vehicle	-7/20/73
5.	New York (Calspan)	
	a. Level II (Oct. 1970-Dec. 1971 Accident, Vehicle, Occupant) -7/20/73
	b. Level II (1972)	-7/20/73
	c. Level II (1/2 1973)	-11/15/73
6.	Dade County, Florida	
	a. 1972 accidents	-7/20/73
7.	King County (Seattle) Washington	
	a. 1972 accidents	-7/20/73

.

-

....

٠

....

•

- 8. Level III
 - a. Truck/Bus/Motorcycle/Pedestrian (TBMP) -11/15/73

F. DATA FILE ANALYSIS PROGRAMS

- 1. <u>Keyword access</u> to all files and five basic programs was initiated in March 1973. The Automated Data Access and Analysis System (ADAAS) is documented in the <u>MDAI</u> Report Automation and Utilization, Data Users Operating Manual, December 1973.
- A procedure for sorting data set listings into a user specified sequence was provided, May 9-11, during SPAD training session.
- 3. A program for an alphabetic data set listing numeric code values translated into alphabetic definitions is documented in the MDAI Report Automation and Uti-lization, Data Users Operating Manual, December 1973.

G. DATA USER PRODUCTS

1. A total of sixteen data user products were prepared for three offices of Motor Vehicle Programs. Over 300 pages of documentation were submitted. The products were documented as memorandum from the NHTSA Office of Accident Investigation and Data Analysis (OAIDA) for internal NHTSA distribution. All questions regarding these user products should be referred to NHTSA as they are responsible for the dissemination of the analysis output.

-

APPENDIX B

MDAI DATA/FORMS

This appendix contains the Annotated "Collision Performance and Injury Report" (CPIR) Long Form Revision 3 with supplement pages and NHTSA Vehicle Condition and Maintenance Report as currently used by MDAI field teams in 1973 for reporting data to be automated.

Also included in the Annotated CPIR are the Damage Analysis Supplement and the Occupant Supplement with provision for recording the Occupant Injury Classification (OIC). Both of these forms have been test coded, have operational computer file build code books and editing criteria in the Editing Manual and Reference Information. (While coding forms for new accident, causation and pre-crash traffic unit data are now being coded from existing documentation, they have been submitted separately as prototypes.)



COLLISION PERFORMANCE and INJURY REPORT

LONG FORM (REVISION NUMBER 3) (1/74)



COPYRIGHT © 1969 GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION THIS FORM MAY BE REPRODUCED AND USED FOR ACCIDENT REPORT PURPOSES PROVIDED THE NOTICE OF COPYRIGHT IS INCLUDED (THIS FORM REPLACED PG2002 IN SEPTEMBER 1969)



FORM VERSION NUMBER		TIME OI	F COLLISION AM PM	EXPUNCH	ONLY
DEPORT NUMPER -		DATE O	OF FIELD INVESTIGATION		0.
REPORT NUMBER 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 9	INVEST	IGATOR	PUNCHED	
CARD NUMBER	$\frac{0}{10}\frac{1}{11}$	CIRCLE	PHOTO RECORDS MADE: S NEGATIVES POLAROIDS	ERIFIED	
MO. / DAY	/ YR.	LOCATI	ON WHERE VEHICLE WAS EVALUATED:		
DATE OF COLLISION	<u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u>				
(99/99/99) Unknow	'n	REPORT	F PREPARED BY	ni. Antone i serie serie serie	
		CARD	Case Vehicle ONLY	PUNCH	CAR COL
LOCATION	1.22	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROAD ALIGNMENT	0002	
STATE:			VERTICAL PLANE		
(CODE TO BE INSERTED BY			(1) LEVEL		
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	18-19	(2) CREST OF HILL		
CITY, TOWNSHIP, ETC.:			(3) SLOPE 2% grade		
			(0) UNKNOWN		26
(1) URBAN (2) BURAL			HORIZONTAL PLANE		
(0) UNKNOWN		20	(1) STRAIGHT		
		+			27
LUCALITY					
(1) MANUFACTURING OR INDUSTRIAL			SURFACE COVERING		
(3) APARTMENTS			(01) DRY		
(4) SCHOOL OR PLAYGROUND			WATER (22) DAMP		
(5) RESIDENTIAL			(02) DAMP (03) WET		
			(04) PUDDLED		
(7) UNKNOWN			(05) UNKNOWN AMOUNT		
		21	SNOW		
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS					
LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAY			(08) CONDITION UNKNOWN		
(1) YES			(09) ICE		
(2) NO			(10) SLUSH		
(0) UNKNOWN		22	(11) SPILLED GRAVEL (12) OTHER:		
			(00) UNKNOWN		28.20
(1) Mars			PRECIPITATION		
(2) 2-Lane Case Vehicle			(1) NONE		
(3) 3-Lane			(2) RAIN		
(4) 4 or More Lanes (5) 4 or More Lanes Divided			(3) SNOW		
(6) Parking Lot, Driveway			(4) HAIL		
(/) Other, e.g. RR Tracks, Ramps (0) Unknown					
		23			30
OTHER ROAD TOTAL TRAFFIC		<u> </u>	RATE OF PRECIPITATION		
LANES (IF AT INTERSECTION)					
			(4) LIGHT, MIST		
			(5) MODERATE		
(1) Asphalt Bitumineur Com					
(1) ASPHALL, BILUMINOUS CONCrete (2) CONCRETE					31
(3) GRAVEL			SURFACE SLIPPERY		
(4) MORE THAN ONE TYPE			(1) YES		
			(2) NO		
		25	(0) UNKNOWN	1	32

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

POSSIBLE MECHANICAL MALFUNCTION

SPEED LIMIT	PUNCH	CARD	INVESTIGATION OF THE POSSIBILITY OF
(1) 5-25 MPH	CODE	COL.	MECHANICAL MALFUNCTION
(2) 26-30			
(2) 21-35			
(4) 26 40			THIS SECTION SHOULD BE FILLED OUT IF A MECHANICAL
(4) 30-40			MALFUNCTION IS RECOGNIZED, OR SUSPECTED BY THE INVES-
(5) 41-45			TIGATOR OR WAS ALLEGED TO HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE
(6) 46-55			ACCIDENT INVOLVING THIS VEHICLE. SUPPORT ANY ITEMS
(7) 56-65			CHECKED OR NOTATED BY COMMENTS.
(8) 66-75			
(9) OVER 75 MPH			
(0) UNKNOWN		33	
ROAD DEFECTS (not design			
(1) YES			
(2) NO			
(0) UNKNOWN		34	SUSPENSION SYSTEM VISIBILITY ITEMS
TEMPERATURE, F			ELECTRICAL SYSTEM
(1) BELOW ZERO			PUNCH CARD
(2) 0-19			CODE COL.
(3) 20-29		•	
(4) 30-34			NUMBER OF ITEMS INVOLVED
(4) 30-34 (c) 25 20			40
(5) 35-39			
(7) 60-79	1		WAS COMMENT ABOUT MECHANICAL
(8) 80-99			MALFUNCTION MADE BY ANY PERSON(s)?
(9) 100 OR OVER			
(0) UNKNOWN		35	(1) YES
CROCSWIND			(2) NO 41
	1		
(1) NONE			LE "YES" GIVE COMMENT() AND NAME()
(2) LIGHT			
(3) STRONG			
(4) STRONG & GUSTY			
(0) UNKNOWN		36	
TIME OF DAY			
(1) DAY	1		
(2) NIGHT			
(3) DUSK			
(4) DAWN			
		37	
VISIBILITY LIMITATION (for accident)			
		}	
(1) None (2) Cloudy - Dark			
(3) Fog	1	1	
(4) Shoke			
(5) %indshield Condition (6) Glare			
(7) Other:		1	
(8) Rain		1	
(9) Snow (0) Unknown			
		38	
		+	
VISIBILITY OBSTRUCTION (for accident)			
(1) None			
(2) Building			
(3) Sign	1		
(4) Busnes (5) Tree			
(6) Hill or Curve in Road		1	
(7) Other:		1	
(8) venicle in transport (9) Parked Vehicle		1	
(0) Unknown		39	
	<u></u>		70
			12

POSSIBLE MECHANICAL MALFUNCTION

•

•

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS OF INVESTIGATOR ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF MECHANICAL MALFUNCTIONS:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
INVESTIGATOR:
DATE OF INVESTIGATION:
DATE OF REPORT:

POSSIBLE MECHANICAL MALFUNCTION

GENERAL INFORMATION

COLLISION CONFIGURATION (of case vehicle) CONE CONE CASE VEHICLE DRIVER'S ABULITY TO DRIVE (MPARED BY Cont. VEHICLE TO OBJECT (1.2.0)* 42 (90° or more) (a ROLLOVER(1,2.0)* -42 (90° or more) -43 (90° or more) -44 (91° decay to the second (92° or more) -44 (91° decay to the second (93° decay		PUNCH	CARD			
(of case vehicle) ABILITY TO DRIVE (MPARED BY ABILITY TO DRIVE (MPARED BY VEHICLE TO OBJECT (1.20)* 42 ROLLOVER (1.20)* 42 (90° or more) 43 ROLLOVER (1.20)* 45 (90° or more) 44 (90° or more) 45 (1) Text-configuration 44 (1) Text-configuration 44 (1) Text-configuration 45 (1) Text-configuration 45 (2) No 46 (1) Text-configuration 45 (2) Stade-ontype 45 (3) Stade-ontype 45 (4) Intersection type T 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.20)* 45 (3) Bacedon type T 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.20)* 47 (10) Text-confination 47	COLLISION CONFIGURATION	CODE	COL.	CASE VEHICLE DRIVER'S	CODE	CARD
VEHICLE TO OBJECT (1.2.0)* - 42 ROLLOVER (1.2.0)* - 42 (90° or more) - 44 (90° or more) - 44 (1) Yes, configuration - 44 (1) Yes, configuration - 45 (3) Edd-wipe - 45 (3) Edd-wipe - 45 (3) Intersection type I - 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1.2.0)* - 46 VEHICLES INVOLVED - - 47 (0) Makeon - 46 - VEHICLES INVOLVED - - 47 (1) Ves (0) Khoom Object - 47 - (1) Ves (0) Khoom Object - 47 - (1) Ves (0) Khoom Object - - - (2) More (0) Khoom Object - 47 - (3) State (0) Khoom Object <td< td=""><td>(of case vehicle)</td><td></td><td></td><td>ABILITY TO DRIVE IMPAIRED BY</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	(of case vehicle)			ABILITY TO DRIVE IMPAIRED BY		
VEHICLE TO OBJECT (1.20)* 42 ROLLOVER (1.2.0)* 42 (80 [°] Or a sore) 42 (80 [°] Or a sore) 42 (80 [°] Or a sore) 43 (80 [°] Or a sore) 44 (81 [°] Or a sore) 44 (90 [°] or a sore) 44 (1) Test configuration 44 (1) Test sore (1 and 1) 44 (2) No 101 Linese (1 and 1) (3) Elsed-on (7 to 7) 45 (4) Unknown 45 (5) Side-wripe (7 and 3) 45 (6) Dates: 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.2.0)* 47 (1) Unknown 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.2.0)* 47 (1) Waknown 48 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.2.0)* 47 (1) Waknown 48 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1.2.0)* 47 (1) Waknown 68 (1) Waknown 68 (2) Nore (2) 69 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(CHOOSE NO MORE THAN TWO)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				(CHOOSE NO MORE THAN TWO)		
VENICLE IO GOLOCITIZIO Image: Construction of the second of the seco			42	(00) UNKNOWN		
ROLLOVER (1,20)*	VEHICLE TO OBJECT (1,2,0)			(02) NONE (03) DRINKING INVOLVED (Broad)		
ROLLOVER (1,2,0)*				(04) Drunk By Local Legal Stand	lards	
NOLLOVEN (1,2,0)*	POLLOVER/1201		43	(05) ASLEEP	1	
(30) 01 EXC/				(06) FATIGUE (07) BECKLESSNESS		
RAN OFF THE ROADWAY(1,2,0)* 44 (Before first lapact) 46 (Control Section 1 46 (1) Yes, Codfguration 1 46 (2) No 46 (3) Stad-on (F to F) 46 (4) Intersection type L 45 (5) Stad-supe 45 (6) Rear-impact (F and B) 45 (7) Other: 46 VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (6) Decomposition (Status) 47 (7) Other: 48 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (7) VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (7) Other: 48 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (1) VEB (0) Schown Object 49 OTHER (1,2,0)* 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED 49 (10) Univer (Inclusion only)	(90 01 more)			(08) INATTENTION		
(Before first impact) (10) EMOTIONAL STATE (10) EMOTIONAL STATE (10) EMOTIONAL STATE (11) Yes, Configuration (11) EMOTIONAL STATE (12) No (12) Drugs (marcotl) (2) No (13) Side-swipe (3) Side-swipe (14) INFIRMITIES (3) Side-swipe	BAN OFF THE ROADWAY(1,2,0)*		44	(09) LACK OF TRAINING		
VEHICLE TO VEHICLE 1121 Durgs (Narcotla) 58.89 (1) Yas, Configuration 1131 Littess (for othervise)	(Before first impact)			(10) EMOTIONAL STATE (11) MEDICATION		
(1) Tes. Codifguration unknown (13) ILLNESS (or otherwise) (2) No (3) Bad-on (P to F) (3) Intersection type L (15) PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED (4) Intersection type L (16) PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED (5) Side-supe	VEHICLE TO VEHICLE			(12) Drugs (narcotic)		58-59
(2) No (3) Ead-on (P to P) (3) Ead-on (P to P) (4) INFINITIES (4) INFINITIES (5) Side-sripe (5) Side-sripe (6) Cher: (6) Rear-inpact (P and B) -45 (7) Other: -45 (8) Intersection type T -45 (9) Unknown -46 VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* -46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1,2,0)* -47 (1) VEHICLE INVOLVED -47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: -48 VEHICLES INVOLVED -48 VENCE OF CONTACTED -48 VENCE OF CONTACTED -48 US base -49 OBJECTS CONTACTED -48 US base -52:53 OB base (rath) -52:53 OB base (rath) -52:53 OB base (rath) -52:53 OB base (rath) -52:53 </td <td>(1) Yes, Configuration</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(13) ILLNESS (or otherwise)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	(1) Yes, Configuration			(13) ILLNESS (or otherwise)		
(3) Ead-on (F to P) (4) Intersection type I (3) Side-subpect (5) Side-subpect (7) Other: (7) Other: (8) Intersection type T (7) Other: (7) Other: (7) Other: (8) Intersection type T (7) Other: (7) Other: (7) Other: (9) Unknown (8) Intersection type T (7) Other: (7) Other: (7) Other: (9) Unknown (8) Intersection type T (9) Other: (9) Other: (7) Other: (9) Unknown (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (1) Yes (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (1) Yes (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: (1) Yes (9) Other: (9) Other: (9) Other: <t< td=""><td>unknown (2) No</td><td></td><td></td><td>(14) INFIRMITIES (15) PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	unknown (2) No			(14) INFIRMITIES (15) PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED		
(4) Intersection type L (5) Side-swipe (6) Rear-impact (F and B) (7) Other: (8) Intersection type T (9) Unknown VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* (4) Unknown VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* (6) Rear-impact (F and B) (7) Other: (8) Intersection type T (9) Unknown VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* (1) YES (2) NO (1) TYES (2) NO (1) TYES (2) NO (2) NO (3) TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING CASE VEHICLE J IN Accident (4) Orbud (rollower only) (6) Other Automobile (7) Total collowatch (9) Stankent (snowbank) (10) Culver (rollowic coll) (11) Starge Atlant (12) Starge Atlant (13) Bree Collowatch (14) Starger/(c) (bregret-) (15) Preced (rollowic coll) (16) Starger/(c) (bregret-) (17) Traito C Bus (18) Preced (rollowic from other (19) Starger/(c) (bregret-) (11) S	(3) Head-on (F to F)			(16) OTHER:		60-61
(3) Side-swipe 45 (6) Rear-impact (P and B) 45 (7) Other: 45 (8) Intersection type T 46 (9) Unknown 46 (10) Unknown 46 (11) YES 47 (11) YES (2) NACOWN OTHER (1,2,0)*: 47 (11) YES (2) NACOWN OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED 49 OBJECTS CONTACTED 62 (11) YES (2) NACOWN OBJECTS CONTACTED 63 (11) YES (2) NACOWN OBJECTS CONTACTED 63 (11) YES (2) NACOWN OBJECTS CONTACTED (2) WAS TRAFFIC VIOLATION (11) YES (2) Culture (12) Train or Bus (2) Culture (13) Perce (rati) (3) Protocobile (2) Strain (ration on bus (13) Perce (rati) (3) Protocobile (3) Protocobile (13) Protocobile (3) Protocobile (3) Protocobile (13) Protocobile (3) Protocobile (3) Protocobile (13)	(4) Intersection type L	!		COUDOE OF INFORMATION.		
(6) Rear-Impact (F and B)	(5) Side-swipe		AE	SOURCE OF INFORMATION:		
(1) Uthers: (1) (3) Unknown (1) VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 46 L(Either vehicle) 47 (1) VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (1) VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 (1) VEHICLES INVOLVED 47 (1) VEHICLES INVOLVED 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED - 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED - 49 VEHICLES INVOLVED - - TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING - - CASE VEHICLE I IN Accident 49 - OBJECTS CONTACTED - 49 Vision Gradit (rollower only) - 50-51 OGB Gradit (rollower only) - 50-51 OBJECTS CONTACTED - - Vision Gacine (norbubak) -	(6) Rear-impact (F and B)		45			
(0) Unknown VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 46 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED 52 TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING 62 CASE VEHICLES INVOLVED 58 TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING 49 CASE VEHICLES INVOLVED 49 CHECTS CONTACTED 49 COBJECTS CONTACTED Distric Contract During Contree Classe	(7) Other:(8) Intersection type T					
VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 46 L(Either vehicle) 47 VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1,2,0)* 47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED 10 TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED - TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING - CASE VEHICLE) IN Accident 49 OBJECTS CONTACTED - (00) Unknown Object - (03) Brais (rall) Contact DURING COLLIBION (04) Drobon Object - (05) Ditch - (06) Ditch - (17) Train (southant) - (18) Dole or Tree - (18) Dole or Tree - (19) Decore - (11) Pence - (12) Pence - (13) Podecycle (Digrcle+) - (14) Large Atland - (15) Pence - (16) Ditch - (17) Train or Bus - (18) Motorcycle (Lipycle+) - (11) Pence	(0) Unknown					
L(Either vehicle) ITRAFFIC VIOLATION VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(1,2,0)* 47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 47 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 VEHICLES INVOLVED (0) UNKNOWN TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING 48 OBJECTS CONTACTED (0) UNKNOWN COBJECTS CONTACTED (1) YES (2) None (0) UNKNOWN Object ETRS 06JSCTS IN 06DSR 07 Citation need not be (30) None (rollover only) CONTACT DURING COLLIBION (30) Bridge (rall) (1) YES (31) Statement (snowbank) (1) YES (32) None (rollover only) CONTACT DURING COLLIBION (33) Wan divery truck 50-51 (34) Dedestrian 50-51 (35) Bridge (rall) - (36) Ditch (rollover only) - (37) Yan divery truck - (38) Pedacycle (blogele*) - (39) Bridge (rall) - 52-53 (31) For or tree - 52-53 (33) Van divery truck - 52-53 (34) Wat divery truck - 54-55 (35) Wat divery truck - 54-55 (36) Pr	VEHICLE TO STOPPED VEHICLE(1,2,0)*		46			
VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1,2,0)* 47 (1) YES (2) NO OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 (1) VES (2) NO VEHICLES INVOLVED	(Either vehicle)			TRAFFIC VIOLATION		
VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (1,2.0)* 47 (1) YES 62 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 (1) YES (2) NO 62 OTHER (1,2,0)*: 48 (1) YES (2) NO (2) NO (3) UNKNOWN 62 VEHICLES INVOLVED - 48 - - 62 TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING - 49 - - 62 OBJECTS CONTACTED - 49 - - 62 OBJECTS CONTACTED - 49 - - - - 62 OBJECTS CONTACTED - 49 - - - - - - 63 OBJECTS CONTACTED - - 49 -				(EITHER DRIVER)		
VEHICLE 10 MOUTHOR FERIODENTIES 1 (2) NO (3) NOTHOR FERIODENTIES 62 OTHER (1,2,0)*:	VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE (120)*		47	(1) YES		
OTHER (1,2,0)*:	VEHICLE TO MOVING VEHICLE(),20			(2) NO		
OTHER (1,2,0)*:						62
VEHICLES INVOLVED	OTHER (1,2,0)*:		48			
VEHICLES INVOLVED						
TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING CASE VEHICLE) In Accident 49 OBJECTS CONTACTED (30) None (00) Unknown Object (32) None (00) Unknown Object (33) Other Automobile (34) Contract DURING COLLIBION (35) Guardrail (36) Britch (36) Britch (37) Sign (37) Sign (38) Pedestrian (39) Pedestrian (39) Pedestrian (39) Pedestrian (39) Pedestrian (39) Pedestrian (39) Direch (blog cle+): (39) Pedestrian (39) Light truck/pickup truck (39) Pedestrian (39) Light truck/pickup truck (39) Pedestrial (39) Direch (blog cle+): (39) Pedestrian (39) Light truck/pickup truck (39) Pedestrial (39) Light truck/pickup truck (39) Direct alternation (32) Tractor without Trailor (32) Tractor without Trailor (32) Tractor trial or combination (32) Tractor trial (combination (32) Tractor trial (combination (33) Mich thilf (combination (34) Mathematics (combination (35) Mich thilf (combination (36) Mathematics (combination (37) Tractor trial (combination (38) Mathematics (combination (39) Mich thilf	VEHICLES INVOLVED			_ Citation need not be		
CASE VEHICLE) In Accident 49 OBJECTS CONTACTED WAS TRAFFIC VIOLATION (02) None (00) Unknown Object WAS TRAFFIC VIOLATION (03) Outer (00) Unknown Object WAS TRAFFIC VIOLATION (03) Outer (01) CONTACT DURING COLLISION (04) Fridge (rail) CONTACT DURING COLLISION (05) Guardrail CONTACT DURING COLLISION (06) Ditch Bign (10) Cuivert Fign (11) Fonce Fonce (12) Pole or Tree DRIVER OF CASE VEHICLE (13) Pole or Tree DRIVER OF OTHER VEHICLE (13) Pole or Tree DRIVER OF OTHER VEHICLE (13) Pole or Tree Straight truck-Type Unknown (13) Gese 20-25) below Fonce (17) Train or Bus Straight truck Straight truck (18) Deficit disengating from other Straight truck Straight truck (22) Tractor without Trailor Straight truck Straight truck Straight truck (23) Straight truck Straight truck Straight truck<	TOTAL NUMBER (INCLUDING			issued, but only indicated.		
COBJECTS CONTACTED (02) None (00) Unknown Object (02) None (00) Unknown Object (03) Other Automobile (04) Ground (rollover only) CONTACT DURING COLLIBION (05) Guardrail (06) Ditch (07) Sign (08) Ditch (09) Dibankment (snowbank) (09) Dibankment (snowbank) (11) Fence (12) Pole or Tree (13) Pole or Tree (14) Large Animal (15) Tractor Trailor (23) Tractor Trailor (23) Tractor trailor (23) Tractor trailor (23) Tractor trailor<	CASE VEHICLE) In Accident		49			
OBJECTS CONTACTED (02) None (00) Unknown Object (03) Othar Automobile (04) Ground (rollover only) (05) Guardatil (06) Bridge (rall) (07) Sign (08) Ditch (09) Ditch (09) Ditch (09) Ditch (10) Culvert (11) Fence (12) Pedestrian (13) Pole or Tree (13) Pole or Tree (13) Pole or Tree (14) Large Animal (15) Pedacycle (bicycle+) (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (see 20-25) below (17) Train or Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+) (19) Briding (20) Tractor without Trailor (21) Tractor Trialor combination (22) Tractor Trailor combination (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck/pickup truck (25) Tractor poote, situpport (26) Builti-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Cort disenging from other vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box (26) Fract, short poote, situpport) (26) Tractor, truck part poote, situpport) (26) Fract, situpes		·	i	LEGAL ACTION		
(03) Other Automobile ENTER OBJECTS IN ORDER OF (03) Other Automobile ENTER OBJECTS IN ORDER OF (04) Ground (rollover only) CONTACT DURING COLLIBION (05) Guardrail ANYONE? (1,2,0)* (06) Ditch If "YES", CIRCLE VIOLATOR: (07) Sign Ditch (08) Ditch If "YES", CIRCLE VIOLATOR: (19) De or Tree DRIVER OF CASE VEHICLE (11) Fence DRIVER OF OTHER VEHICLE (13) Podestrian 50-51 (14) Large Animal 50-51 (15) Pedestrian 50-51 (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown 60 (17) Train or Bus 52-53 (18) Podecycle (bicycle+)* 52-53 (19) Pedcycle (bicycle+)* 52-53 (19) Duilding 52-53 (10) Light truck/pickup truck 52-53 (12) Tractor without Trailor 54-55 (23) Tractor without Trailor 54-55 (24) Stringht truck 54-55 (25) Mailbox (rural), mail posts/trees 54-55 (26) Mailbox (rural), mail posts/trees 56-57 (27) Tractor without Toiler support) 56-56 (28) Piern, 'I'	OBJECTS CONTACTED			WAS TRAFFIC VIOLATION		
(04) Ground (rollover only) CONTACT DURING COLLIPION (05) Guardrail ANYONE? (1,2,0)* (06) Bridge (rail) IF "YES", CIRCLE VIOLATOR: (07) Sign Bridge (rail) (08) Ditch Bridge (rail) (09) Exbankment (snowbank) IF "YES", CIRCLE VIOLATOR: (10) Culvert DRIVER OF CASE VEHICLE (11) Fonce DRIVER OF OTHER VEHICLE (13) Podestrian	(03) Other Automobile ENTER OBJEC	TS IN ORD	ER OF	CITATION ISSUED TO		
(06) Bridge (rail) (07) Sign (08) Ditch (09) Embankment (snowbank) (10) Culvert (11) Fence (12) Pole or Tree (13) Podestrian (14) Large Animal (15) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (16) Pedacycle (bicycle+)* (18) Building (19) Dator without Trailor (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Multi-purpose true, stumpe (31) Abibor (ruri), small posta/trees (32) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (23) Yacant,	(04) Ground (rollover only) CONTACT DU			ANYONE? (1,2,0)*		63
(06) Ditch (09) Explanament (snowbank) (09) Explanament (snowbank) (10) Culvert (11) Fence (12) Pedestrian (13) Podestrian (14) Large Animal (15) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (17) Train or Bus (18) Pedesycle (bicycle+)- (18) Bolding (18) Pedesycle (bicycle+)- (18) Building (20) Tractor without Trailor (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor trailor combination (22) Tractor trailor combination (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Multi-submoni (27) Tractor, trail or combination (28) Fractor, trailor combination (29) Frydrante, entry potts, etumpent (18) Multing wall, abutmonit	(06) Bridge (rail) (07) Sign					
10) Culvert 11) Fence 12) Pole or Tree 13) Pole or Tree 14) Large Animal 15) Motorcycle 16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (see 20-25) below (17) Train or Bus 18) Pedacycle (bicycle+)* (18) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor-trailor combination (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Waitbox (rural), amall posta/trees (35) Pier, Itilar (e.g., bridge support) (36) Multimon: Righway Fixtures: 66 67 PROPERTY DAMAGE (1,2,0)* 65	(08) Ditch (09) Embankment (snowbank)			IF "YES", CIRCLE VIOLATOR:		
111) Fence 123) Pole or Tree (13) Pedestrian (14) Large Animal (15) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (17) Train or Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+)* (19) Building (20) Tractor without Trailor (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (19) Object disengaging from other vehicle (1.e., loose tire, box (19) Train or sub, anall posts/trees (19) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (19) Multi-purpose tree, box (19) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (19) Multi-purpose tree, box (19) Multi-purpose tree, box (19) Multi-purpose tree, box (19) Multi-purpose tire, box (19) Multi-purpose tree, box (19) Multi-purpose tire, box (19) Multi-purpose tire, box (19) Multi-purpose tire, box (19) Multi-purpose tire, box (101) Multi-purpose tire, box (191) Multi-purpose tire, box (192	(10) Culvert			DRIVER OF CASE VEHICLE		
(13) Pedestrian (14) Large Animal (15) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (see 20-25) below (17) Train or Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+)* (19) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Liti-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (27) Tractor-trailor combination (28) Straight truck (29) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (20) Mydrante, ebort poets, etumpe (31) Pier, villar (e.g., bridge support)	(11) Fence (13) Pole or Tree			DRIVER OF OTHER VEHICLE		
(13) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown (see 20-25) below (17) Train or Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+)* (19) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Mydrante, short posts, stumps (30) Diget (isengaging from other (31) Pier, Pitlar (e.g., bridge support) (32) Pier, Pitlar (e.g., bridge support) (32) Pier, Pitlar (e.g., bridge support) (33) Pier, Pittures: (18) Regelway Fixtures: (36) Impact attenuator (36) Brenkaway Fixtures (36) Brenkaway Fixtures	(13) Pedestrian (14) Large Animal		50-51	PEDESTRIAN		
(a) (a) (a) (a) (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) ((15) Motorcycle (16) Large Truck - Type Unknown			OTHER:		
(17) Train of Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+). (19) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (40) Object disengaging from other vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box (30) Matthox (rural), small posts/trees (31) Yan thox (rural), short poets, stumps (32) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (33) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (34) Tractor trailor combination (35) Techning wall, abutment (36) Matthox fixtures: (36) Matthox fixtures (36) Breakaway Fixtures (36) Matthox fixtures (37) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (38) Breakaway Fixtures (36) Breakaway Fixtures (37) Techning wall, abutment (38) Breakaway Fixtures (36) Breakaway Fixtures (36) Breakaway Fixtures (36) Breakaway Fixtures	(see 20-25) below					
(19) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck (21) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (26) Object disengaging from other vehicle (i.e., lose tire, box (30) Mydrante, short posts, stumps (31) Mailbox (rural), small posts/trees (32) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (35) Steinining wall, abutment Highway Fixtures: (36) Impact attenuator (36) Breakaway Fixtures	(17) Train of Bus (18) Pedacycle (bicycle+)		52-53			
(22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck (24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (30) Object disengaging from other vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box (30) Mydrante, short posts, stumps (31) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) Tis) Pier, initian wall, abutment: (183) Ringhway Fixtures: (364) Impact attenuator (364) Impact attenuator (365) Ringhway Fixtures	(19) Building (20) Light truck/pickup truck					
 Straight truck Straight truck Tractor-trailor combination Wulti-purpose vehicle (jeep) Object disengaging from other vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box weiter, short posts, stumpe Mathbox (rural), small posts/trees Pior, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) Bighway Fixtures: Impact attenuator Impact attenuator Bighway Fixtures 	(22) Tractor without Trailor (23) Van delivery truck			(Accident Point of View)		
 (26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep) (40) Object disengaging from other vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box (50) Hydrants, short posts, stumps (61) Mailbox (rural), small posts/trees (53) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (53) Steinining walt, abutment Highway Fixtures: (54) Impact attenuator (55) Hydrautes 	(24) Straight truck (25) Tractor-trailor combination			TYPE OF LOSS		
(30) Vehicle (i.e., loss tire, box (30) Hydrants, short posts, stumps (31) Mailbox (rural), small posts/tress (32) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (53) Sets integ wall, abutment (54) Impact attenuator (54) Impact attenuator (54) Impact attenuator	(26) Multi-purpose vehicle (jeep)		54-55			
(00) Hydrants, short posts, starp (01) Mathbox (rural), shall posts/trees (32) Pior, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) (53) Staining walt, abutmon: (54) Impact attenuator (54) Impact attenuator (54) Impact attenuator (54) Impact attenuator	vehicle (i.e., loose tire, box			PERSONAL INJURY (1,2,0).		64
(53) Pier, Pillar (e.g., bridge support) 0007 PROPERTY DAMAGE (1,2,0)* 65 (53) Retrining wall, abutment Highway Fixtures; 65 (54) Impact attenuator 65	(50) Hydrants, short posts, stumps (51) Mailbox (rural), small posts/trees		BA K7			
Highway Fixtures (64) Impact attenuator (54) Breakaway Fixtures	(53) Pier, Villar (e.g., bridge support) (53) Setsining walt, abutment		50.07	PROPERTY DAMAGE (1,2,0)*		65
(AN) Breakaway Fixtures	Highway Fixturon: (54) Impact attenuator					
	(58) Breakaway Fixtures					

IMPAIRMENT

COLLISION TYPE

.





COLLISION SKETCH

SPEEDS

б

OTHER VEHICLE

•

-

•

•

-

NOTE: A complete analysis of this accident requires that a minimum amount of information be obtained on the other vehicle(s) involved. Therefore, the information on this page should be completed even though a separate long form much be filled out on these obtained by

			DAMAGE INDEX (OTHER VEHICLE)
OTHER VEHICLE DESCRIPTION VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			47 48 49 50 51 52 53
			(This space may be used to enter details and notes
	21 22	23	about the other vehicle. See page 9 for instructions.)
MAKE			
MODEL			
CODE TO BE INSERTED	28 27		
MODEL YEAR	19	30	
WEIGHT OF VEHICLE, LBS.	32 33	34	
ODOMETER READING (IF OVER 100,000: USE 99 999) 36	37 38	39	
BODY STYLE	PUNCH CODE	CAI	
(Cede Sun Roof as 1 to 5, not 6)			
 2-Door Hardtop (no upper B pillar) 2-Door Sedan or Coupe (any upper B) 4-Door Hardtop 4-Door Sedan Station Wagon or Pickup Car Convertible - soft or hard shell Van (not walk-in) Truck Other (e.g. bus, jeep, train) Unknown 		41	
ENGINE			COMMENTS:
NUMBER OF CYLINDERS			
(Enter ''0'' if unknown)		42	
HIGH PERFORMANCE (1,2,0)*		43	
NUMBER OF OCCUPANTS		44-4	
VEHICLE LOADING		1	
(4) BELOW FULL RATED LOAD			
(5) NEAR FULL RATED LOAD			
(0) UNKNOWN		46	MADE, GIVE REPORT NUMBER
•WHERE (1,2,0) IS INDICATED, USE 1 FOR YES 2 FOR NO	L	I	T6 END OF CARD 02

CASE VEHICLE

DUPLICATE COLUMNS 1-9 FROM PRECEDING	CAR	ю Т	0	3		PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.
CASE VEHICLE DESCRIPTION	<u>and f</u>	<u>a. 1. 196</u>					
VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER					(4) BELOW FULL RATED LOAD		
		T	T		(6) ABOVE FULL BATED LOAD		
							47
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	21	22	23	24	EQUIPMENT OPTIONS		
МАКЕ					TRANSMISSION		
					(4) AUTOMATIC + Semi Automatic		
MODEL							40
CODE TO BE INSERTED							48
25	26	27	28	29	STEERING		
					(4) POWER		
MODEL YEAR		10			(5) MANUAL		
		15	30	31	(0) UNKNOWN		49
Shipping Weight (pounds)					RDAKES		
and being solding (bounds)	32	33	34	35			
					(5) MANUAL		
(IF OVER 100,000:) 36	37	38	39	40	(0) UNKNOWN		50
(USE 99 999)	•••		00	40			
	P	UNCH	C/	ARD	BRAKES - TYPE		
BODY STYLE		ODE	C	OL.	(4) DRUM - ALL WHEELS		
(Code Sun Roof as 1 to 5, not 6)					(6) DISC - FRONT WHEELS (6) DISC - ALL WHEELS		
(1) 2-Door Hardtop (no upper B pillar)					(0) UNKNOWN		51
(2) 2-Door Sedan or Coupe (any upper B) (3) (= Door Wordtoor							
(4) 4-Door Sedan					BRAKE ANTI-LOCK DEVICE		
 (5) Station Wagon or Pickup Car (6) Convertible - soft or hard shell 					(2) NONE INSTALLED (4) TWO-WHEEL		
(7) Van (not walk-in)					(5) FOUR-WHEEL		
(8) fruck (9) Other (e.g. bus, jeep, train)					(0) UNKNOWN		52
(0) Unknown	-			41	Top Position at Time of Collision		
BODY STRUCTURE					(3) Solid Top - Not Applicable		
(1) BODY AND FRAME					 (4) Convertible Soft Top Up or Closed (5) Retracted Soft Top or Hard Shell Removed (6) Retracted Soft Top or Hard Shell Removed 	[
(2) UNITIZED					(6) REMOVALE Hard Shell Installed (7) Sun Roof - Closed		
(3) INTEGRAL - STUB FRAME (4) OTHER:					(0) Unknown		53
(0) UNKNOWN	-			42	CASE VEHICLE REPAIR OR		
	-				REPLACEMENT COST \$		
ENGINE					Unknown (9999) 5	4 55 5	56 57
					CASE VEHICLE DAMAGE INDEX	ing Sas	
(Enter "0" if unknown)					PRIMARY DAMAGE	ч _	
	-			43	58 59 60 61 6	2 63	64
					SECONDARY DAMAGE		
HIGH PERFORMANCE (1,2,0)*	_		4	14		-	
	+				Unknown or None (99-0000-0)	DF CA	FID BS
NUMBER OF OCCUPANTS							
			45	46		s s	and the second

0 FOR UNKNOWN

CASE VEHICLE

EXTERIOR DAMAGE



FIELD INVESTIGATOR INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Indicate crushed areas by <u>outlining new perimeter</u> of vehicle and <u>shading the damaged areas</u> on the large sketch below. Use as many sketches as ncessary to completely describe the damage.
- 2. Enter the dimensions on the sketch(es) measured to the point of maximum penetration by the object(s) contacted. Use the examples on the facing page as a guide.
- 3. Enter the three dimensions to the center of the wheels (wheelbase, front and rear overhangs) on both sides of the car.
- 4. Add other dimensions as necessary to completely describe the damage.









WHEELS AND TIRES

.

.

.

WHEELS		PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.	TIRES (CONT'D.)
ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT TYPE				SIZE
FRONT (1,2,0)*			30	FRONT
REAR (1,2,0)*			31	RIGHT
DAMAGED (1,2,0)*			32	(LEFT
DESCRIBE DAMAGE AND NON O.E. WHEELS				REAR RIGHT
				MANUFACTURER
				(LEFT
TIRES				FRONT {
TREAD TYPE				(LEFT
(4) REGULAR				
(6) STUDDED SNOW	RONT		33	
(8) LEFT AND RIGHT				MODEL
(9) OTHER: R	REAR		34	(LEFT
(0) UNKNOWN				
TREAD WEAR				
(4) LIGHT				REAR
(5) MEDIUM (6) HEAVY	RONT		35	(RIGHT
(7) BALD (8) LEFT AND RIGHT				CODE
SIDES DIFFERENT (9) OTHER:F	EAR		36	
(0) UNKNOWN				FRONT
PROFILE				RIGHT
(4) REGULAR 80,78				LEFT
(5) WIDE OVAL 70,60,50 } F (6) LEFT AND RIGHT	RONT		37	
SIDES DIFFERENT (7) OTHER: F	REAR		38	
(0) UNKNOWN				LOAD RANGE
CARCASS TYPE				
(4) BIAS PLY				
(6) RADIAL PLY	RONT		39	(LEFT
(7) LEFT AND RIGHT SIDES DIFFERENT				
(8) OTHER:F (0) UNKNOWN	REAR		40	
)				



100F



PILLARS LEFT LEFT EXTERIOR

REAR EXTERIOR

SIDE STRUCTURE – LEFT SIDE	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.		PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.
LEFT BODY MOUNT SEPARATION (1,2,3,0)*		34	FUEL TANK AND LINES		
If door hinges and latches were not damaged and doors did not jam or open during collision, and continuity of the side structure was maintained, place a "I" in code column.		35	(4) LESS THAN 1/2 (5) 1/2 OR MORE (0) UNKNOWN		49
DOOR LATCHES					
DAMAGED (1,2,3,0)*		36			
LEFT FRONT RELEASED (1,2,3,0)*		37	(4) COMPLETE RETENTION (5) PARTIAL DISENGAGEMENT (6) COMPLETE DISENGAGEMENT (0) UNKNOWN		50
(DAMAGED (1,2,3,0)*		38	TANK DEFORMED (1,2,0)* includes neck		51
LEFT REAR RELEASED (1,2,3,0)*		39	FUEL LEAKAGE PRESENT (1,2,0)*		52
DOOR HINGES		40	LOCATION OF LEAKS		
LEFT FRONT (SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		41	FROM THE TANK (1,2,3,0)*		53 54
LEFT REAR	<u> </u>	42	FROM THE LINES (1,2,3,0)*		55
SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		43	TRAILER AND HITCH		
CONTINUITY OF SIDE STRUCTURE MAINTAINED (1,2,3,0)* i.e., Is Side Boundary Broken		44	 Yee, Type Unknown No Ball and Socket, Temporary Bumper (e.g., rental clamp-on) Ball and Socket, Bumper only (e.g., light ruck) 		56
Not restricted to vehicles with reinforced side structure.			 (5) Ball and Socket - Frame Hitch (e.g., frame and bumper) (6) Equalising, load distributing (7) Ring and Pintle (e.g., double tractor) (8) Fifth Wheel (e.g., sami) (9) Other (e.g., clevis and pin) 		
DOORS OPENED DURING COLLISION		45			57
LEFT (1,2,3,0)*		45	 (1) Tes, Type Unknown (2) Mo (hitch, no trailer) (3) Not Applicable (no hitch) (4) Travel Trailer/Campar 		
DOORS JAMMED CLOSED			<pre>(5) Mobile Home (6) Boat/Snowmobile/ATV Trailer (7) Rental/Cargo Trailer</pre>		
LEFT		47	(8) Car (9) Other: (0) Unknown		
(REAR (1,2,3,0)* *USE: 1-YES 3-NOT APPLICABLE **USE: 1-Y	ES TYDE	48			
2=NO 0=UNKNOWN 2=N 3=N	OT APPLI	CABLE	5-COMPLETE SEPARATION O-UNKNOWN 83		

13

TRAILER

FUEL TANK

LEFT SIDE STRUCTURE

REAR EXTERIOR

•

-

.

FILL IN TRUNK LID <u>OR</u> TAILGATE DETAILS AND REST OF PAGE.	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.	DUPLICATE COLUMNS 1-9 FROM PRECEDING CARD					
TAILGATE (HATCHBACK) PERFORMANCE Includes back doors of Vans LATCHES			TRUNK LID PERFORMANCE (REAR OF VEHICLE)	PUNCH CODE	CAR COL			
RELEASED (1,2,3,0)*		58	LATCHES					
DAMAGED (1,2,3,0)*		59	RELEASED (1,2,3,0)*		12			
LATCH OR TAILGATE JAMMED (1,2,3,0)*		60	LATCH OR LID JAMMED (1,2,3,0)*		14			
			HINGES					
(CLAM SHELL)		61	LEFT		15			
BOTTOM LEFT SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		62	SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		16			
BOTTOM RIGHT		63	RIGHT		17			
SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		64	TRUNK or		18			
TOP LEFT		65	DAMAGED (1,2,0)*		19			
(SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		66	SPARE TIRE SEPARATION (1,2,0)* (4) for spare tire not initially		20			
, TOP RIGHT		67	attached TRUNK - PASSENGER COMPARTMENT PARTITION DAMAGE (1,2,3,0)*		21			
(SEPARATED (1,2,3,4,5,0)**		68	BACKLIGHT HEADER					
TAILGATE (1,2,3,0)* (6) Disappearing Tailgate		69	CONTRACT STATES CONTRACT CONTR		22			
TAILGATE ELECTRIC WINDOW OPERABLE (1,2,3,0)*		70	RIGHT PILLARS					
	END CARD	OF 05		Ø				

TRUNK

TAILGATE

LUGGAGE AREA

RIGHT EXTERIOR



RIGHT PILLARS

STEERING WHEEL

STEERING WHEEL	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.	STEERING WHEEL ENERGY ABSORBING DEVICE	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.
CM only others and		en av ger en e Verger gen bij geren men geren en ger	EQUIPPED (1,2,0)*		67
unknown use (99).		58-59	ENERGY ABSORBING DEVICE FINAL POSITION		
NOTES ON NON-ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT STEERING WHEEL:			MEASURE THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM OVERALL LENGTH OF THE ENERGY ABSORBING DEVICE (BETWEEN THE STEERING WHEEL AND STEERING COLUMN). ENTER THESE LENGTHS BELOW		
STEERING WHEEL RIM					
DAMAGE					
(2) NONE (4) SLIGHTLY DEFORMED (5) SEVERELY BENT (6) BROKEN (0) UNKNOWN		60	MAX. =in.; MIN. =in. THE E.A. DEVICE ROTATES WITH THE STEERING WHEEL. WE WANT TO KNOW WHERE THIS MINIMUM LENGTH OCCURRED (AROUND THE		
OCCUPANT CONTACT (1,2,0)*		61	CIRCUMFERENCE OF THE E.A. DEVICE) WITH RESPECT TO THE SPOKES. RECORD BELOW THE O'CLOCK POSITION AT WHICH THIS MINIMUM LENGTH WAS MEASURED.		
STEERING WHEEL SPOKES			EXAMPLES		
NUMBER OF SPOKES			O'CLOCK 20 0 O'CLOCK 20 0 E.A. DEVICE		
(ENTER "O" IF UNKNOWN)		62	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
DAMAGE (2) NONE (4) SLIGHTLY DEFORMED (5) SEVERELY BENT (6) BROKEN (0) UNKNOWN		63	09 08 07 06 07 06 07 01 06 02 02 04 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 07 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 07 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 06 05 04 05 04 05 04 05 04 05 04 05 04 05 05 04 05 05 04 05 05 04 05 05 04 05 05 04 05 05 05 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	68	69
OCCUPANT CONTACT (1,2,0)*		64	ENERGY ABSORBING DEVICE COMPRESSION		
HORN RING, HORN BUTTON(S), OR SPOKE SHROUD			ANALYSIS GROUP (ENTER <u>99.9</u> IF UNKNOWN) ORIGINAL LENGTH (H)	8's for Not Equ	ipped
DAMAGED (1,2,0)*		65	DAMAGED MAX. LENGTH (X)IN.		A.
OCCUPANT CONTACT (1,2,0)*		66	ORIGINAL LENGTH (H) IN. (SEE TABLE AT LEFT)	70 71	72
STEERING WHEEL ENERGY			DIFFERENCE (H·Y) IN.		•
Corporation Tear Make Length			DEVICE EXTENDED	73 74	75
Chrysler 70 Barracuda 4.9" Challenger			(4) X GREATER THAN H (5) X AND Y GREATER THAN H (6) NEITHER (0) UNKNOWN		76
Ford 70-72 Capri 6" total 3" external	l			ENC.	05
•WHERE (1,2,0) OR (1,2,3,0) ARE INDICATED, US	E 1 FOR Y 2 FOR N	'ES 3	FOR NOT APPLICABLE FOR UNKNOWN	CAR) 06

.

.

STEERING WHEEL AND COLUMN



•WHERE (1,2,0) OR (1,2,3,0) ARE INDICATED, USE 1 FOR YES 2 FOR NO

R NO 0 FOR UNKNOWN

R YES 3 FOR NOT APPLICABLE







PASSENGER COMPARTMENT



WINDSHIELD



NSTRUMENT PANEL

PASSENGER COMPARTMENT

OTHER INTERIOR ITEMS (FRONT OF VEHICLE)	EQUIP (1,2,0	PED)+	DAM/ (1,2,	4GED 3,0)*			PANT ACT 3,0)*
	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL,	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.	PI C	UNCH	CARD COL.
FOOT CONTROLS – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –				12	-		13
				14	-		15
REAR VIEW MIRROR				16	-		. 17
SUNVISOR AND FITTINGS – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –				18	-		19
WINDSHIELD TOP MOLDING				20	-		21
LEFT A-PILLAR (UPPER OR LOWER)				22	-		23
RIGHT A-PILLAR (UPPER OR LOWER)				24	-		25
CONSOLE	-	26		27	-		28
TRANSMISSION SELECTOR LEVER				•			:
ON STEERING COLUMN		29		30	.		31
ON CONSOLE OR FLOOR	·	32		33	-		34
WHERE (1,2,0) OR (1,2,3,0) ARE INDICATED, USE 1 FOR YES 3 FOR NOT AF	PLICABL		_ <u>l</u>				

92



SEATS

23

93

•



WINDOWS

.

LEFT SIDE INTERIOR		DAMAGED (1,2,3,0)*		OCCUPANT CONTACT (1,2,3,0)*	
		PUNCH	CARD COL.	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.
	DOOR		34		. 35
FRONT	HARDWARE		36		37
	ARMREST		38		39
	GLASS		40		41
REAR	DOOR AREA		42		43
	HARDWARE		44		45
	ARMREST		46		47
	GLASS		48		49
ROOF SIDE RAIL			50	<u> </u>	51
B-PILLAR (ALSO REAR PILLAR ON PICK-UP TRUCK, CORVETTE, '71 FIREBIRD & CAMARO) -			52		53
C-PILLAR			54		55
D-PILLAR (REAR PILLAR ON STATION WAGONS & LIMOUSINES)			56		57
OTHER:			58		59
		2	END OF CARD 09		

 *WHERE (1,2,0) OR (1,2,3,0) ARE INDICATED, USE 1 FOR YES
 3 FOR NOT APPLICABLE

 2 FOR NO
 0 FOR UNKNOWN

LEFT SIDE INTERIOR

DUPLICATE COLUMNS 1-9 FROM PRECEDING CARD $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{0}{11}$			DAMAGED (1,2,3,0)*		OCCUPANT CONTACT (1,2,3,0)*	
RIGHT SI	IGHT SIDE INTERIOR		CARD COL.	PUNCH CODE	CARI COL.	
	DOOR		12		13	
	HARDWARE		14		15	
RONI	ARMREST		16		17	
	GLASS		18		19	
	DOOR AREA		20		21	
	HARDWARE		22		23	
REAR	ARMREST		24		25	
	GLASS		26		27	
ROOF SIDE RAIL			28	·	29	
B-PILLAR (ALSO REAR PILLAR ON PICK-UP TRUCK, CORVETTE, '71 FIREBIRD & CAMARO)			30		31	
C-PILLAR			32		33	
D-PILLAR (REAR PILLAR ON STATION WAGONS & LIMOUSINES)			34		35	
OTHER:			36		37	
HEADLINING			38		39	
ROOF INTER	ROOF STRUCTURE	 	40		41	
				EN		

RIGHT SIDE INTERIOR

ROOF INTERIOR

2 FOR NO 0 FOR UNKNOWN

-

۳

.

.

1. THIS SECTION IS TO BE FILLED IN FOR EACH OCCUPANT, WHETHER INJURED OR NOT. IF THERE ARE MORE THAN THREE OCCUPANTS, USE 2. ADDITIONAL BLANK COPIES OF THIS FORM AND ATTACH OCCUPANT PAGES TO THIS REPORT. 3. THE FOLLOWING FIGURE IS AN EXPLANATION OF THE BODY REGIONS LISTED ON PAGES 31, 35 AND 39. - HEAD FACE . - FACE CERVICAL REGION SHOULDER GIRDLE THORAX UPPER LIMBS-- ABDOMEN -LUMBAR REGION PELVIC GIRDLE - LOWER LIMBS

OCCUPANT INFORMATION

...

¥

7

.

×

.

	cues -	r y	RESTRAINT SYSTEM	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.
	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL,			
OCCUPANT NUMBER		12-13	EQUIPPED FOR THIS POSITION (1,2,0)*		27
SEAT LOCATION			WORN BY OCCUPANT (1,2,3,0)*		28
(4) FRONT			WORN SNUGGLY (1,2,3,0)*		29
(5) REAR (6) THIRD			LOCKING RETRACTOR (1,2,3,0)*		30
(7) OTHER: (0) UNKNOWN		14	UPPER TORSO RESTRAINT		
POSITION ON SEAT			EQUIPPED FOR THIS POSITION (1,2,0)*		31
(4) LEFT(5) LEFT CENTER			WORN BY OCCUPANT (1,2,3,0)*		32
(6) CENTER (7) RIGHT CENTER (8) RIGHT			WORN CORRECTLY (1,2,3,0)*		33
(9) ALL (Lying on seat) (0) UNKNOWN		15	INERTIA REEL (1,2,3,0)*		34
POSTURE			IF ANY PART OF SYSTEM IS NOT ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT BY MANUFACTURER, DESCRIBE		
 (1) SITTING ON SEAT (2) ON LAP OR IN ARMS (3) STANDING ON SEAT (4) STANDING ON FLOOR (5) IN BASSINET (6) IN CHILD SEAT (7) LYING ON SEAT (8) LYING OR SITTING ON FLOOR (a) EXTERNAL TO PASS COMP 			SYSTEM ON FOLD-OUT FLY-LEAF. LAP AND/OR UMAR TO RESTRAINT USAGE CODE IF THE LAP BELT WAS WORN, TRACE THE OUTLINE OF THE TAB END HARDWARE ON THE BACK COVER & LABEL IT.		
(0) UNKNOWN		16	IF THE SHOULDER BELT WAS WORN TRACE THE OUTLINE OF THE TAB END HARDWARE		
AGE			ON THE BACK COVER & LABEL IT.		
YEARS, <u>OR</u> MONTHS (INFANTS) to 24 months		17-18 19-20	TYPE OF SYSTEM USED (3) Not Applicable, Not Used (4) 3-point (5) 4-point (6) Other (Not 2-point) (0) Unknown		
(ENTER "0"S IF UNKNOWN)			CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM:		
(ENTER "0"S, IF UNKNOWN)		21-23	NOTE MAKE AND MODEL NUMBER		
HEIGHT, INCHES					
(ENTER "0"S, IF UNKNOWN)		24-25			949 . Ju
SEX (4) Nale (5) Female (6) Large Animal (7) Pregnant Woman (0) Unknown		26	CHILD RESTRAINT CODE		
• WHERE (1,2,0) OR (1,2,3,0) ARE INDICATED, US	E 1 FOR Y 2 FOR N	ES 31	EOR NOT APPLICABLE FOR UNKNOWN		

00
OCCUPANT INFORMATION

EJECTION	PUNCH CODE	CARD COL.	CODES FOR AREAS OF OCCUPANT CONTACT
DEGREE OF EJECTION			See Page 304
(2) NONE			See Fage SUR
(4) PARTIAL			
(5) COMPLETE			
(0) UNKNOWN		42	
AREA OF EJECTION			
(3) NOT APPLICABLE			
(1) WINDOW, LEFT SIDE			
(2) ", RIGHT SIDE			
(6) "BIGHT SIDE			
(7) TAILGATE			
(8) WINDSHIELD		·	
(9) ROOF OR OPEN CONVERTIBLE			
(0) UNKNOWN		43	
(0) None TREATMENT/MORTALITY			
(1) First Aid - On-scene or outpatient (2) Hospitalized - Observation			
under 24 hours (3) Hognitalized - Significant Treatment			
or over 24 hours			
 (4) Fatal - Dead at Scene (5) Fatal - Dead on Arrival at Hospital 			
 (6) Fatal - Dead within 24 hours (7) Fatal - Dead 24 hours to 1 year 			
 (8) Fatal - Time of Death Unknown (9) Unknown 		44	
OVERALL SEVERITY OF INJURIES (SEE INSIDE OF BACK COVER)			
(00) NONE (01) MINOR			
(02) NON-DANGEROUS, MODERATE			
(03) NON-DANGEROUS, SEVERE			
(05) DANGEROUS, CRITICAL			
(06) FATAL LESIONS IN 1 REGION			
(07) FATAL LESIONS IN 1 REGION			
(09) FATAL LESIONS IN 3 OR			
MORE REGIONS			
(98) INJURY UNKNOWN		AF 40	
(10) FATAL, details unknown		40-40	
	†		
	END CAF	OF RD	
	l		
	TOPITAL		
RECUPERATION AND TREATMENT FOR A	PERIOD		
OF AT LEAST ONE DAY. "HELD FOR OBSE	RVATION		

OCCUPANT

ONLY" IS NOT CONSIDERED "HOSPITALIZED" IN

THIS DEFINITION.



OCCUPANT INJURY DETAIL

- 1. This page is only for the occupant just described.
- 2. Enter occupant number from page 28. (This refers only to the order in which occupant information is entered and is not related to seated position.)
- 3. Enter severity code (only one per box) for each type of injury to each body region. (Mark boxes with 1-6, X, Z only, as instructed inside back cover.)
- 4. Do not fill in the boxes where there was no injury.
- 5. If you are reasonably assured that one or more specific components or area(s) contacted by this occupant resulted in an associable injury, enter the proper code(s) in the starred (*) section. (See Page 29 for codes.)
- 6. Do not fill in the boxes where there was no contact.

	C.	0								ENT	ER S	EVE	RITY	COD)ES			
		CCUPART NO	BODY REGION	★	ENTER FOR AF DSSIBLE	CODE (S REA (S) O E CONTA))F \CT	TO BODY HE	FHY FHY	LACEN	CONTON	OF PANY	ABNI	CONCO	CHISSION	HEMON	CARHAGE	OTHER
1-9	10-11	12-13		14-15	16-17	18-19	20-21		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
	12		INTERNAL ORGANS															
- A -	11		BRAIN															
U P	14		FACE															
t i ic	16		HEAD															
1 1	16		NECK (CERVICAL REGION)															
	17		SHOULDER GIRDLE															
R O			RIGHT UPPER LIMB															
Р - 8 -	19		LEFT UPPER LIMB															
ËÇ	20		CHEST & UPPER BACK (THORAX)															
1 0 1	21		LOWER BACK (LUMBAR REGION)															
N 6	22		ABDOMEN															
CA	23		PELVIC GIRDLE															
D	24		RIGHT LOWER LIMB															
	25		LEFT LOWER LIMB															
	26		WHOLE BODY															

KEYPUNCH NOTE: Each line represents one card. Punch only the lines with handwritten information.

FRONT OF PASSENGER COMPARTMENT

(12) WINDSHIELD (05) INSTRUMENT PANEL (SPECIFIC AREA UNKNOWN) (54) UPPER INSTRUMENT PANEL (X) (55) MIDDLE INSTRUMENT PANEL (Y) (56) LOWER INSTRUMENT PANEL (Z) (57) BENEATH INSTRUMENT PANEL (28) FOOT CONTROLS (INCLUDES PARKING BRAKE PEDAL) (84) PARKING BRAKE HANDLE (IN FRONT) (07) PARKING BRAKE HANDLE (LOCATION UNKNOWN) (09) STEERING ASSEMBLY (SPECIFIC AREA UNKNOWN) (65) STEERING WHEEL (66) STEERING WHEEL COLUMN (59) TRANSMISSION LEVER ON COLUMN (11) TRANSMISSION SELECTOR LEVER (LOCATION UNKNOWN) (67) IGNITION KEYS (06) MIRRORS (02) GLOVE COMPARTMENT AREA (03) HARDWARE ITEMS (SPECIFIC ITEM UNKNOWN) (81) ASHTRAY (INSTRUMENT PANEL) (82) INSTRUMENTS (83) CONTROL KNOBS AND LEVERS (04) HEATER OR AC DUCTS (01) AIR CONDITIONING OR VENTILATION OUTLETS (08) RADIO (58) ADD-ON TAPE DECK, RADIO, AIR CONDITIONER (53) PARCEL TRAY (86) VERTICAL CONSOLE

SIDES

(20) SURFACE OF SIDE INTERIORS
(19) HARDWARE
(13) ARMRESTS
(22) WINDOW GLASS
(21) WINDOW FRAMES
(14) A-PILLAR
(15) B-PILLAR
(16) C-PILLAR
(17) D-PILLAR

INTERIOR

٨

.

(29) FRONT SEATBACKS
(33) RESTRAINT SYSTEM HARDWARE
(34) RESTRAINT SYSTEM WEBBING
(87) AIR CUSHION SKIN (AIRBAG)
(30) HEAD RESTRAINTS
(32) OTHER OCCUPANTS
(31) INTERIOR LOOSE OBJECT
(50) REAR SEAT CUSHION AND BACK
(51) FRONT SEAT CUSHION
(52) INTERNAL FLYING GLASS (FROM ANY SOURCE)
(89) UNDER SEAT BOTTOM
(40) FLOOR
(27) CONSOLE
(44) TRANSMISSION LEVER (ON FLOOR OR CONSOLE)
(85) PARKING BRAKE HANDLE (ON FLOOR OR CONSOLE)

- ROOF
- (26) ROOF SIDE RAILS
- (10) SUNVISORS & FITTINGS AND/OR TOP MOULDING (HEADER)
- (25) ROOF OR CONVERTIBLE TOP
- (39) BACKLIGHT HEADER
- (24) COAT HOOKS
- (18) DOME LIGHT
- REAR
- (88) SURFACE OF REAR INTERIOR
- (23) BACKLIGHT (REAR WINDOW)
- EXTERIOR SURFACE OF CASE VEHICLE
- (37) OUTSIDE SURFACE OF CASE VEHICLE (SPECIFIC AREA UNKNOWN)
- (35) HOOD OF CASE VEHICLE
- (60) EXTERIOR OF CASE VEHICLE HARDWARE (E.G., OUTSIDE MIRRORS, ANTENNA, TRIM, DOOR HANDLES, ETC.)
- (62) EXTERIOR SIDE ROOF RAIL OF CASE VEHICLE
- (63) TRUNK LID OF CASE VEHICLE
- (64) TIRES OF CASE VEHICLE

BEYOND CASE VEHICLE BOUNDARY

- (36) AREA EXTERIOR TO CAR (SPECIFIC AREA UNKNOWN)
- (70) HOOD OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (71) OTHER VEHICLE EXTERIOR HARDWARE (E.G., OUTSIDE MIR-RORS, ANTENNA, TRIM, ORNAMENTS, DOOR HANDLES, ETC.)
- (73) EXTERIOR SIDE ROOF RAIL OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (74) HEADLIGHT OR FRONT GRILL OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (75) TRUNK OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (76) OUTSIDE SURFACE OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (77) TIRES OF OTHER VEHICLE
- (78) GROUND
- (79) WATER
- (80) EXTERIOR OBJECT (NOT VEHICLE, GROUND OR WATER):

PENETRATING OBJECTS

(61) OTHER VEHICLE

(72) OBJECTS: (

MISCELLANEOUS

(38) OTHER:

×

.

(98) IMPACT FORCE, "WHIPLASH", HYPEREXTENSION/COMPRESSION

•

- (99) MISSING/ND CONTACT
- (00) UNKNOWN AREA OF CONTACT

OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION -0IC-

BODY REGION

FACE

F

S

H HEAD - SKULL

SHOULDER

E ELBOW

C CHEST

Μ

B

Ρ

Y

ABDOMEN

T THIGH

K KNEE

O WHOLE BODY

R FOREARM

PELVIC - HIP

L LEG (LOWER)

Q ANKLE - FOOT

U UNKNOWN, UNCLASSIFIED

N NECK - CERVICAL SPINE

A ARM (UPPER)

W WRIST - HAND

X UPPER EXTREMITIES (ARMS)

BACK - THORACOLUMBAR SPINE

LOWER EXTREMITIES (LEGS)

• •

REGION ASPECT LESION SYSTEM/OI ABBREVIA	I 2 3 4 RGAN TED INJURY SCALE	5	
<u>2 ASI</u>	PECT	<u>3</u>	LE
R	RIGHT		L
L	LEFT		С
В	BILATERAL		Α
Ċ	CENTRAL		F
А	ANTERIOR/FRONT		Ρ
Р	POSTERIOR/BACK		К
S	SUPERIOR/UPPER		Н
I	INFERIOR/LOWER		۷
W	WHOLE REGION		R
U	UNKNOWN		S
			D
			Ν
			Μ
			В
			Y

i .

LESION

0

U

L LACERATION

ABRASIONS

K CONCUSSION

SPRAINS

X ASPHYXIA

.

	5	AI 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 9	S NONE MINOR MODERATE SEVERE SERIOUS CRITICAL FATAL UNKNOWN	
SIUN	4	<u>5</u>	STEM/ORGAN	
LACERATION		S	SKELETAL	
CONTUSION		۷	VERTEBRAE	
ABRASIONS		J	JOINTS	
FRACTURES		D	DIGESTIVE	
PAIN		L	LIVER	
CONCUSSION		Ν	NERVOUS SYSTEM	
HEMORRHAGE		В	BRAIN	
AVULSION		С	SPINAL CORD	
RUPTURE		Ε	EYES, EARS	
SPRAINS			CARDIOVASCULAR	
DISLOCATIONS		Α	ARTERIES, VEINS	
CRUSHING .		Н	HEART	
AMPUTATION		Q	SPLEEN	
BURN		G	UROGENITAL	
ASPHYXIA		к	KIDNEYS	
OTHER		R	RESPIRATORY	
UNKNOWN		Ρ	PULMONARY, LUNGS	,
1		М	MUSCLES	đ
		Ι	INTEGUMENTARY	
		U	UNKNOWN, UNCLASSIFI	ED

.

.

30B

103



OCCUPANT SUPPLEMENT

30C

OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION



e

.

.

٠

.

Recommendations/Conclusions CPIR Supplement Report Number Matrix Cell Number (9) for 25 73673 "9 or More" Human Card Number <u>90</u> 38 1 Pre-Crash 10 11 2 Crash 3 Post-Crash REPORTING DATA (99999) for Unknown Vehicle 4 Pre-Crash Date of Field Investigation 5 Crash DAY YEAR Post-Crash MO 6 Environment 12 13 14 15 76 17 7 Pre-Crash Date Submitted/Published 8 Crash 9 Post-Crash (inside title page) 15 11 Zo ZI ZZ Z3 Team case number 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 H 5 47 41 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 **HSRI** CPIR Editor (1) JD (A) DS (J) AT PB sr ss s7 to to to to to to to (2) PG (B) HS (K) BW (3) BB (C) DL (L) JS (4) BP (D) JA (M) JW Other Vehicle CPIR Report No. If 3 Case Vehicles, link 1 to 2, 2 to 3, and 3 to 1. (5) BG (E) JA (N) ST (6) SV (F) PJ (P) KF (7) PK (G) TM (Q) BP 61 70 71 72 73 74 67 68 (8) JW (R) PS (H) JD (9) AM (I) GB (S) MH (0) Unknown (T) RC 35 Date Edited Number of CASE VEHICLES reported in accident (Completed CPIRs) 77 78 29 80 75 76 Original Vehicle Report Form (0) No Form (MDC) end of card 90 (1) CPIR - R1(2) CPIR - R2 2nd edited by: (3) CPIR - R3 (4) NHTSA Date: (7) CPIR - Baylor (8) UCLA - TRG (5) Truck Form $(1/74)^{37}$ 107

Duplicate Col 1-9 from Preceed	ing 9	1				
32	1.	11	V	chicle Factors	; Code	Col.
SUPPORTING DATA				NHTSA Vehicle Condition		
(1) Yes				And Maintenance Report		31
(2) No				Mechanical Malfunction		
(3) Not applicable				Inspection		32
(O) Unknown				Inspection Records		3.7
	Code	Co	1.	Registration Records		3 4
Psychological Factors			-	Sheet Metal Crush		
Psychological Review		12		Diagram/Sketch		35
Any Personal Interviews		13		Inches, Coded		3.6
Katz Adjustment Scales		114		Measurements Taken		
(KAS)		1'		Telescoping Unit	-	37
Screening Test (UM)		15		EA Steering Wheel		31
Driver's License Record				A (Column to Rear)	-	34
(Previous Accidents)		16		EA Steering Column		40
Medical Factors(included)]	VIN Included		41
Medical Examiners/Autopsy		17		VDI Included		42
AFIP Medicolegal Autopsy	_	15		VM/M Code Included	-	43
Toxicological/Alcohol Test		19	Phot	comentary)		
Breathalyzer	-	1'	Phot	ographs (number)		
Medical Report		20	TOTAT -	(B&W) Prints		44 45
Medical Summary/Diagram		21	and -	(Color) Slides		46,47
X-Rays(taken or included)		22	and	Site/Location Photos		+8.49
Medical History		23		Vehicle Exterior Photos		50, 51
Accident Factors (included)			TOTAL=	+ Vehicle Interior Photos		52,53
Map Location		24		+ Autopsy/Medical Photos		5 4 5 5
Collision Diagram/Sketch		25	-	Total Number Photos		56,57
Site Accident History		26		(99 Unknown)		·
Narrative Description		27		(98) over 97		
Police Report Who Estimated Speeds		28	HIT	LAB NUMBER Washtenaw Co.	Mi. O	nlv
for Case Vehicle					•	.
(U) NO UNE (1) Investigator			58 5	1 60 61 12 12		
(2) Police						
(3) Driver			End c	of Card 91		
(4) Witness/Passenfer			,			
(8) Other:						
(9) Unknown						
Prior to Impact		29				
At Impact		30	1	08		

Card 9 2 Continued





Code Card 92 Col Code Continued CRASH FACTORS Pnarmacological Agents Noted Inital Clock Direction of Rollover (noted, but not necessarily causal) (Case vehicle, horizontal clock) (1) Yes, Unknown or Other: 59 (12) - - Over Front End None noted, No BA test, (000) Below (2) (3) Stimulants, Prescriptive/Narcotics (09) -(03)-Over Right (Amphetamines, cocaine, bennies) 65,64 Over (4) 'Stimulants, Over-the-Counter (06) - - Over Back End Left (Caffiene, 'no doz') No Rollover (00)(5) Depressants, Prescriptive/Narcotics (98) Rollover, Direction Unknown (Barbiturates, opiates, tranquilizers) (99) Unknown if Rollover (6) Depressants, Over-the-Counter (Alcohol, sleeping compounds) (7) Antihistamines (8) Hallucinogens (LSD, DMT, mescaline, psilocybin) (9) Marijuana (0) Unknown Blood Alcohol Level (MG %) POST URALH FACTORS 41 6L (999) Unknown, No Results Case Vehicle, Final Location (000) No Drinking, or "-Results" (1)In Traffic Way (2) On Shoulder (3) Off-RoadyMedian (4) Off-RoadySide (5) In Water Way ذبا (9) (0) Other:_ Unknown Case Vehicle, Final Attitude O'Clock Position (12) ---- Upright (09) - (03) On Side (06) -- Inverted (00) On End (99) Unknown O'Clock= 66.+ Post Accident Factors: Fire Control used, if fire (1,2,0) 69 Extrication used (1,2,0) 61 Ambulance Service used (1,2,0) 7¢

35

111

Towing Service used (1,2,0)



Car	d 93 Continu ed			(0) None (1) Braking	Code	Col
		Code	Co1	(2) Steering		
St Ke	Sponsible venicity			(3) Braking and Steering		
(Pick	first and second			(4) Acceleration	1	
most s	ignificant)			(5) Acceleration and Stearing	{	
				(6) Brake Release		
(00)	No Error			(9) linknown		
(01)	Under Estimation Falling Asleen Blackout.			Most Responsible Vehicle		59
(02)	Death-at-Wheel			and acopulatore volitie	{	
(03)	Diverted Attention			Second Most Responsible Vehicle		35
(04)	Inexperienced Driving or				I	
•	Erratic Driving			Vehicle Combination		
(05)	Drunken Driving, Drinking			(e.g. 5,6 - Bus, Motorcycle)		
	Involved, or Narcotics or					
(06)	Negleation Right of Way			(O) No other Vehicles		
(07)	Turning Error			(1) Large Car () 3800 lbs)		
(08)	Signalling Error	[(2) Medium Car (2800-3800 lbs)		
(09)	Speeding	[1	(3) Small Car (2800 lbs)		
(10)	Overtaking			(4) Truck (Includes Vans & Pickup	6)	
(11)	Following too Closely			(5) Bus		
(12)	Wrong Way into oncoming			(6) Motorcycle		
(10)	traffic			(7) Utility or Jeep		
(14)	Lack of Lights		45 49	(8) Other:		
(15)	Lack of Brakes			(9) Unknown		{
(16)	Other:			Most Responsible Vehicle		51
(17)	Avoidance maneuver				1	
(99)	Unknown		50 51	Second Most Responsible Venicle		57
				Manager of Second Mage	 	<u> </u>
Deg	ree of Driver Attention			Recenced ble Weldele		
(1)	No Awareness			Responsible venicle		
-1	(e.g. asleep)					
(2)		1		(U) NO Second Vehicle		[
(3)		-		(1) Straight Anead		
ري (۵)				(2) Left Turning		
5	Complete Awareness of	1	1	(3) Right Turning	ł	
0,	all Driving Tasks			(4) Stopped		
(9)	Unknown		52	(5) Uther	1	Ef
(1)	•••••			(9) UNKNOWN	-	30
Dr	lving Complexity			Hazardous Road Conditions		
 A1	Complete Familianity	·		(Rank by Significance)	1	1
(1)	Complete ramiliar Com	1		Cause Only	1	1.
	Le.g. ramiliar car,	1		(0) None	1	1
	riequent Route, and		1	(1) Surface Under Water	1	1
(2)	UNODSLIGELEG OPEN COUNCIY,		1	(2) Surface Slippery	1	1
(4)		1	1	(oil, ice, water, etc.)	1	
()		1	1	(3) Shoulders Slippery	1	1
(4) /c ì	Peak Complexity	1	1	(4) Weather Obstructions	1	
(5)	(e.g. Peak Hour Traffic		1	(snow, fog, etc.)	1	
	and Unfamiliar Mid City)	[{	(5) Light (sun, headlight, etc.)	1	
		-	53	(6) Obstacle on Road (e.g. car)		1
(9)	Unknown	1		(7) Road Construction, Repair		1
				or Disrepair		100
				(6) Uther:	<u> </u>	ינן
		1	1		1	1
						60
					1	

Avoidance Maneuvers

End of card 93

Comments:

38 Revision $\underline{3}$ Report Number Card Type 94 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 HSRI ANALYSIS Not to be filled in by field investigator Case Vehicle MPH at Impact (999 Unknown) 12 13 14 Primary Damage Index (99-0000-0 Unknown) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Secondary Damage Index 22 23 24 23 26 27 Sheet Metal Crush 28 (98 if over 97 inches) (99 if unknown) Code Col. 29,30 Front (Inches) 31, 32 Rear 33,34 Left Side 35,36 Right Side 37,31 Roof 31, 40 Other Other Vehicle MPH at Impact (888 for N/A) 41 42 43 Damage Index Unknown, No damage, (99-0000-0) No Other Vehicle 50 +5 46 +7 +8 +1 44. 114

7		
1 2 4	DAMAGE ANALYSIS, CASE VEHICLE	CONCURRENT DAMAGE, OTHER VEHICLE
Primary Deformation		
CDC (VDI) Card $\frac{45}{10}$ [PERCENT CRUSH]	$\frac{12}{12}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 74 \\ -74 \\ -78 \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{77}{78}$	
INCHES CRUSH (Match 1st CDC Letter)	36	52
CONFIGURATION	34	·
CRASH EVENT NUMBER	35	
SPEED AT IMPACT, WITH ERROR	$\frac{1}{36} - \frac{1}{37} - \frac{1}{37}$	
[BARRIER EQUIVALENT SPEED]	[]	[]
Secondary Deformation		
CDC (VDI)		
[PERCENT CRUSH]	50 [%] 64	s ^ر [<u>س</u> _%]
INCHES CRUSH (Match 1st CDC Letter)	ē\$ —	70 -
CONFIGURATION Card 46	12	
CRASH EVENT NUMBER	13	
SPEED AT IMPACT, WITH ERROR	+ + <u></u>	<u> </u>
[BARRIER EQUIVALENT SPEED]	[] 24	[]
Tertiary Deformation		
CDC (VDI)	28 30 34	

Notes: 1. Bracketed Information is Optional; Blank-Unknown
2. 99-0000-0 = Unknown or No CDC
3. For Speeds, 9's = Unknown Speeds, 8's = N/A; No Other Vehicle
4. For Inches Crush, 9's = Unknown, 0's = No Crush or N/A--No Other Vehicle

2/12/74

Code 5 pairs in sequence

	<u>Crash Event</u>	Vehicle or Object Contacted
Event #1	35	
Event #2		
Event #3		gutunes engances
Event #4		
Event #5		

All Crash Events and involved Objects/Vehicles are coded beginning with the first damage or injury producing event. Then code each case vehicle event chronologically until the vehicle stops. Both series of Event and Vehicle/Object codes are pairs. No Event, No Object = (99), (99).

SIDE DOOR GUARD BEAM

<u>Beam Present</u>	(2) (3) VES:	No Beam in Doors No Doors \rightarrow SKIP REST OF (1) Unknown Which Doors	PAGE		55
	123.	(4) Front Door Only(5) Front and Rear			
	(0)	Unknown		1 - 6+	D J - 1 4
Front or Rear Door Direct Damage	(2)	NO Direct Damage → SKIP REST OF PAGE		Lett	Right
	(3)	N/A, No Door			
	YES:	 (1) CDC Unknown (4) Primary CDC (5) Secondary CDC (5) Tentiany CDC 	Front	56	57
		(9) Other or Minor	Rear		
	(0)	Unknown		58	59
Maximum Inches Crush	(Door	<u>rs)</u>	Front	60 -	6 2 —
			Rear	64	66
Beam Involvement	(2) (3)	No Involvement N/A, No Door or No Beam			
	YES:	(1) Extent Unknown (4) Beam Contact Only			
	•	DAMAGED (Bent or Dent) (5) No Separation (6) Unknown Separati	ront. on	88	69
		(7) Extent Unknown (8) Partial Separati (9) Complete Separat	Rear on ion	70	71
	(0)	Unknown			
		116			

Crash Events (2/74)

<u>Vehicle to Vehicle</u> (1) Both Moving (2) Case Vehicle Stopped (3) Other Vehicle Stopped	 (0) Direction Unknown (1) Same Direction: Struck Other Vehicle (2) Same Direction: Struck By Other Vehicle (3) Same Direction: Other, Unknown (4) Opposite Direction: Struck Other Vehicle (5) Opposite Direction: Struck By Other Vehicle (6) Opposite Direction: Other, Unknown (7) Angled (>15°): Struck Other Vehicle (8) Angled (>15°): Struck By Other Vehicle (9) Angled (>15°): Other, Unknown
<u>Vehicle to Object</u> On-Roadway Object Collision (4) Struck *:	 (0) And Other or Unknown (1) And Deflected (or Rebounded) (2) And Went Over * (3) And Crashed Through * (4) And Stopped
Off-Roadway Object Collision (5) Struck *:	 (5) And Rotated Around * (6) And Was Impaled By * (7) And Remained on Top of * (8) From Behind
<pre>(* = specific object struck to be coded in the adjacent Object Contacted columns) (7) <u>Ran-Off/Re-Enter Roadway</u></pre>	 (0) Other or Unknown Action (1) Off Left Side, No Median (2) Off Left Side, Into Median (3) Off Right Side (4) Off, Other or Unknown (5) Re-Enter, Same Direction (6) Re-Enter, Opposing Direction (7) Re-Enter, Other or Unknown
	 (7) Re-Enter, Other of Onknown (8) Crossed Median Into Opposing Lanes (9) Crossed Centerline Into Opposing Lanes
(8) <u>Miscellaneous Events</u> Case Vehicle:	 (0) Other, Unknown (1) Overturns (≥90°) (2) Projected Into Air (3) Went Up/Down Embankment (4) Entered Body of Water (5) Spins, Skids, Swerves Out-of-Control (6) Struck by Falling, Protruding or Thrown-Up Object
Towed Vehicle: Vehicle or Driver:	 (7) Stops Suddenly With Injury But No Collision (8) Breaks Loose or Jacknifes (9) Assaulted by Other Person With Weapon or Other Vehicle
(9) <u>Concluding Event</u>	 (0) Other, Unknown (1) Coasted to Rest (2) Braked/Skidded/Spun to Rest (3) Stopped Abruptly (7) Under-Control, Pulled-Over (8) Under-Control, Continued On
(00) Unknown	(99) No Event

(1/22/74)

- 01-39 Autos and Trucks
- 40-69 Other Vehicles
- 70-76 Pedestrians and On-Roadway Objects
- 80-97 Off-Roadway Objects
 - **9**8 Other:
 - 99 No Object
 - 00 Unknown
- Vehicles
- Intermediate (GM A Body) Standard/Full Size (B Body) 01
- 02
- Luxury (C Body) 03
- Limousine (D Body) 04
- Personal Luxury (E Body) 05
- Specialty/Pony (F Body) Grand Prix (A-SP Body) 06
- 07
- Compact (X Body & Y Body) 08
- Sub-compact/Mini-Imported (VW) 09
- Super Sport (Corvette) 10
- 17
- Pickup-Car (Ranchero) Sub-compact/Mini-USA (H Body) 18
- European Sports Cars (MG) 19
- Unknown Automobile Body 20

Size	Standard	Specialty	Sports
Mini	09.18		19
Compact	08	0 6	10
Intermediate	01.17	07	
Standard	02	05	
Luxury Sedan	03		

Multipurpose Passenger Vehicle

- Utility (Jeep, Bronco) 14
- Carryall/Panel Truck 15
- Pickup-Camper (Canopy, Shell) 16

04

- Pickup-Car (Ranchero) 17
- Motor Home 21

Limousine

- 22 Slide-in Camper
- Chassis-Mounted Camper 31

Truck

- Small Van (Econoline) 11
- 12 Pickup
- Unknown Light Truck (<1 Ton) 13
- Carryall/Panel Truck 15
- Pickup-Camper (Canopy, Shell) 16
- Slide-in Camper 22
- Unknown Truck Type 30
- Chassis-Mounted Camper 31
- Delivery Van (Walk-in) 33
- Straight Truck 34
- Truck-Tractor 35
- 36 Chassis-Cab
- Unknown Heavy Truck (>11 Ton) 37
- 38 Tractor + Semi-Trailer (Semi) 39
 - Truck (or Semi) + Full Trailer(s)

Bus

- 40 Unknown Bus Type
- 41 School Bus
- Inter City (between) 42 43
- Intra City (within)

Motorcycles

- 50 Unknown Motorcycle Type
- 51 1-75cc
- 52 76-125cc 53 126-250cc
- 54 251-500cc
- 55 501-750cc
- 56 751 + cc
- 3-wheels (or with Sidecar) 57

Special Purpose Vehicles

- Unknown/Other Special Vehicle 60
- 61 Snowmobile
- 6**2** ATV, All Terrain Vehicles
- 63 Amphibious Vehicle
- 64 Farm Vehicles
- 65 Construction Vehicles
- 66 Trailer-Private (camper)
- 67 Trailer-Commercial (cargo)
- 68 Train (Cars)
- Locomotive, Switcher 69

Objects

- 70 Pedestrian
- 71 Bicyclist, Other Pedalcycle
- 72 Pedestrian Conveyance
 - (e.g. Person Riding Animal, Cart, etc.)
- 73 Large Animal
- 74 Fallen Objects such as Objects Dislodged from Other Vehicles, Fallen Trees, Rocks, etc.
- 75 Traffic Cones, Barrels, Construction Barriers
- 76 Construction or Emergency Equipment
- 77 Sign Posts, Utility Pole, Tree
- 78 Ditch
- 79 Embankment, Snowbank
- 80 Ground (Rollover Only)
- Curb (Damage Producing Impacts Only) 81
- 82 Culvert
- 83 Fence

96

97

- 84 Hydrants, Short Posts, Stumps
- 85 Small Posts/Trees, Rural Mail Boxes, Delineators, Mile Markers
- 86 Building

118

- 87 Pier, Pillar (e.g. Bridge Support)
- Abutment, Retaining Wall 88
- 89 Bridge Rail
- 90
- Guard Rail, Leading Section Guard Rail, Middle or Unknown Section 91
- 92

Concrete Barrier (Median)

- Guard Rail, Trailing Section Guard Posts (Timber, Metal, Concrete) 93
- Cable, Fence Barrier 94 95 Impact Attenuator

Breakaway Fixtures

		COMMENTS OR ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION	OCC. NO.	CARD NO.	CARD COL.
1-9	10-11	12 (NO MORE THAN 63 CHARACTERS INCLUDING BLANKS) 74 7	REF. 75-76	REF. 77-78	REF. 79-80
				-	
t Nyi C					
	े	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ul de ar				L	Ľ

.

.

•

ſ	U.S. DEFARIMENT OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY TR	TRANS	PORTA Safe	TION TY AC	MINI:	STRATION		VEHICLE CONDI	FION AND I	MAINTENA	NCE RE	PORT			
ſ	TIRES	LF	LR	RR	RF	ËANAUS I	PRESSURE TO PO	AFTER APPLYING MODERATE FOOT PRESSURE TO BPAKE FFDAL FOR'1 MIN. DOES PEDAL MAINTAIN ITS			CONDITION OF GLASS (PRECRASH)				
	REMAINING TREAD	1.				EXHAUST SYSTEM DEFECTS					MIN. DOES PEDAL		ĿE	FT	RIGHT
	INFLATION PRESSURE			<u> </u>				GENERAL INFORMATION			FRONT VENT		†		
	DAWAGED IN COLLISION					SWITCH OR CONTR	SWITCH OR CONTROL POSITION AT			FRONT DOOR		+			
	EVIDENCE OF IRREGULAR TREAD							WINDSHIELD DEFROSTER			1	REAR			
f	*EAR (1,2,3,0)* DESCRIDE					DRIVE TRAIN		HEADLIGHTS	RADIO			REAR QUARTER		+	
ł					<u> </u>	ENGINE NODIELCATION	1	PARKING	TAPE	DECK		TAILGATE OR BACKLI	GHT	L	•
ł				- RA		(1,2,3,0)* DESCRIBE		HEATER	AIR		+	CONDITION OF WINDS	HIELD		
ł	VIDENCE OF PREVIOUS TIRE RE-							CONDITIONER			(3) NOT APPLICABLE (7) CH PPED OR (4) CLEAN (8) CRACKED				
	PAIR (1,2,3,0)* DESCRIBE			LF	 			(1) ON (3) NOT APPLICABLE							
							. (2) OFF	(2) OFF (4) UNKNOWN			(6) HEAVILY SOILED		0901	RUGTED	
	EVIDENCE OF PRECRASH TIRE DE- FECTS (1,2,3,0)* DESCRIBE			RR	ļ	WITH THE ENGINE OR ENGINE	- ,0)*	CONDITION OF	LEFT	LEFT RIGHT			(0)		
				ŖF		DRIVEN ACCESSORIES (1,2,3 DESCRIBE		BLADE	RIGHT			MAINTENANCE AND INSPECT		ION	
				LF				(3) NOT EQUIPPED (6) SLIGHTLY DE- (4) BLADE MISSING (7) POOR (5) GOOD (0) UNKNOWN			LUBRICATION STICKER				
				LR							NILEAGE				
	RR						WINDSHIELD WIPER ARMS EQUIPPED WITH ANTI-WIND LIFT AIR FOILS (1,2,3,0)*			DATE					
	RF				BRAKES					SERVICE					
	STEERING AND SUSPENSION					BRAKE ELILID LEVEL IN	FRONT	GLASS			PERFORMED		interiliences autom		
	STEERING WHEEL FREEPLAY IN				1	MASTER CYLINDER (Inches) REAR		POSITION OF MOVEABLE GLASS PANES				INSPECTION STICKER			
	(1) 0-10 (4) 30-40 (0) UNKNOWN (2) 10-20 (5) 40-50 (3) 20-30 (6) 50				WN	(1) DRY TO 1/2 (4) 2 OR MORE (2) 1/2 TO 1 (0) UNKNOWN (3) 1 TO 2 BRAKE FLUID CONTAMINATION (1,2,3,0)* FLUID LEAKAGE AROUND MASTER CYLINDER HYDRAULIC THEINC		LEFT		EFT	RIGHT	133UING AGENCY			
								FRONT. VENT				DATE			
	USFENSION MODIFICATIONS (1,2,3,0)* DESCRIBE FRONT				FRONT DOOR						SERIAL NO.				
	REAR				1			, REAR DOOR				STATION NO.	•		
		PRO			PROPORTIONING VALVE, OR BR	PROPORTIONING VALVE, OR BRAKE		REAR QUARTER			hores				
	HOCK ABSORBER DEGRADATION				1	DESCRIBE		TAILGATE (Sta	TAILGATE (Station Wagon)						
-	(1)2))UCOUNTE	RF			(3) NOT APPI (CABIE (7) 3/4 OPEN										
	·			•		(4) CLOSED (8) OPEN (5) 1/4 OPEN (0) UNKNOWN									
							(6) 1/2 OPEN	(6) 1/2 OPEN			· · ·				
					1	- 1 m		· ·	•••						

.1

•

i,

۲.

ì

1

HS Form Dec. 1971 183

Ì.

. . . .

1,1

.

1

.

*(1) Yes, (2) No, (3) Not applicable, (0) Unknown

4

r

Ì

APPENDIX C

ACCIDENT CAUSAL ANALYSIS SYSTEM

Contents

Section 1: Introduction122
Section 2: Overview of Coding System125
Section 3: Coding Conventions
Section 4: Coding Form
Section 5: Individual Factor Description - Human Conditions and States141
Section 6: Individual Factor List - Human Conditions and States142
Section 7: Individual Factor Description - Human Direct Factors144
Section 8: Individual Factor List - Human Direct Factors152
Section 9: Individual Factor Description - Vehicle Factors156
Section 10: Individual Factor List - Vehicle Factors158
Section 11: Individual Factor Description - Environmental Factors163
Section 12: Individual Factor List - Environmental Factors166
Section 13: Phase Two - Accident Causal Analysis System
Section 14: Bibliography182

.

.

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

The fourth task of the 1973 MDAI Report Automation and Utilization contract called for a system of coding accident causal factors reported in MDAI cases to be developed based on materials compiled during work on the accident causation bibliography during the previous contract; scheme being developed by Indiana University; and material from the Accident Investigation Division, NHTSA. The scheme was to code specific causes individually, with a second later effort addressed to refinements, e.g. representations of the interrelationships between causal factors.

A detailed scheme for coding specific accident factors is presented following a discussion of the rationale used in developing the coding system. The system presented is intended as a prototype for field trial, comments and further refinement.

The interest in and need for accident causation studies remains high yet procedures for the systematic study of accident causation are still fairly weak. It is recommended that work towards developing systematic frameworks for studying pre-crash accident factors be continued, as an essential ingredient to any future study of the accident process.

Havelock* has asked both highway safety researchers and decision makers to name the highway safety activities they would most like to see supported by the "safety dollar" from a list of ten potential priority areas suggested. Researchers and decision makers agreed on the top priority item: "research on the causes of accidents", Accident causation was one of ten priority areas, yet received 25% of the vote.

While some may question whether detailed empirical or scientific studies of the accident causation process are practical, beneficial or profitable, the interest in studying the accident process is, indeed, broadly based. In practice, the systematic study of accident causation has not reached the level of maturity (and support) accorded the study of injury causation. This "lack of experience" may have obscured the potential benefits that might be realized.

The premise upon which this task was based is that any study of accident causation must rest on a solid and systematic framework that is directly susceptible to computer processing and statistical analysis. The diagrams and narratives currently used **for** recording accident descriptions do not permit the aggregation of each investigator's experience in a consistent manner for further empirical study. Thus, to date most accident causation studies have consisted of correlations between traffic unit demographics and

*Havelock, R., "A National Problem-Solving System: Highway Safety Researchers and Decision Makers." Center for Research on Utilization of Scientific Knowledge, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan. DOT Contract Number FH-11-6900. May, 1971, pp 103-106. accident rates or of educated observations derived from a limited number of detailed clinical accident investigations.

It is obvious that before meaningful analysis of a large number of accidents can proceed some sort of organization must be imposed upon the data. Such systematic organizations tend to reduce accident information to common denomenators, and, as such, will ignore some details. However, in this area of chronic disorder, an orderly approach which is conducive to organized study is highly desirable. The schema described here is only suggestive--one step in an attempt to demonstrate potentially beneficial approaches to increased understanding of the accident generation process. The need for continued evolution is clear.

There are potential benefits in several areas. The first is a consistent accident description language. The very act of creating classes and code categories forces a thorough and consistent labeling and definition of each factor to be recorded. The resultant "language" greatly facilitates communications between all persons concerned with pre-crash accident factors. It encourages comprehensive and consistent consideration of the entire spectrum of pre-crash factors by the field accident investigator.

Secondly the "language" provides the interpretative framework required by the data analyst. The framework of accident factors should continually reflect our best understanding of the accident phenomena. Conversely the framework provides the analyst with the overview and observational power necessary to the understanding of large compilations of individual accident investigations, and hence is conducive to further consideration of the accident generation process itself. Thus the evolution of the accident factor coding framework is strongly interactive with the enhanced understanding of accident causation.

As a first step in this study an annotated bibliography of selected accident causation literature was compiled during the previous MDAI Report Automation Contract.* The 88 items cited were not an exhaustive survey of the literature, but rather a broad sampling of thoughts of those concerned with interpretation of accident causation. Many of the publications covered dealt with motor vehicle accidents specifically. While there was an abundance of publications concerned with case studies and specific causative mechanisms (e.g. alcohol) there appeared to be a pronounced lack of literature addressing the purely theoretical aspects of traffic accident causation. The bibliography was organized into categories based on these dichotomies of the literature.

Of the literature reviewed two sources provided the primary materials and guidance used: Perchonok of Calspan** and the Institute for Research in Public

^{*&}quot;Selected Bibliography of Accident Causation Literature", Appendix C of "Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation, Program Review," Highway Safety Research Institute, Contract DOT-HS-031-1-037, October 1972.

^{**}Perchonok, K., "Accident Cause Analysis," Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Report No. ZM-5010-V-3, July 1972 (and other Perchonok reports).

Safety (IRPS) of Indiana University.* The rationale used was to integrate existing causal coding systems to a practical extent rather than to "reinvent the wheel." The conceptualization process was greatly simplified by the recent IRPS work in culling and implementing the more practical aspects of much of the previous work in accident causation.

*A Study to Determine the Relationship between Vehicle Defects and Crashes. Interim Report and Volumes 1-4, Institute for Research in Public Safety, Indiana University, Contract DOT-HS-034-2-263, November 1971 (Interim Report), November 1972 (Final Report: V 1-4).

Traffic Unit Involvement

The first section of the Accident Causal Analysis System was developed to code information identifying each traffic unit, describing its movements before and into the collision and making some assessment of the significance or role of human, vehicle, and environmental elements in the collision. Most of these data elements have been utilized previously in coding Level III accident data at the Highway Safety Research Institute. The coding for <u>Major Assessment</u> has been developed and used by Indiana University's Institute for Research in Public Safety for their Tri-Level Accident Investigation Program.

The first item in this section, Traffic Unit Number, identifies the position of each vehicle or pedestrian in the collision and is an adaptation of definitions developed by NHTSA and previously used by all individual MDAI teams. The traffic unit number also assigns the column for coding further data pertinent to that traffic unit. The Case Vehicle Number, as coded according to conventions used at HSRI for Level III data, identifies the individual investigation team, the case number, and the corresponding CPIR number of the traffic unit, if any. Traffic Unit Type describes the type of traffic unit. To determine Major Assessment, the individual factors coded for each traffic unit are referred to and the highest certainty level of Causal (Human, Vehicle, and Environment) and Severity Increasing (Human, Vehicle, and Environment) factors is selected as the major assessment. This in effect specifies the number of elements that had a role in the collision and gives some indication of their significance. The Traffic Unit Responsibility ranking orders each traffic unit according to whether it was the most responsible unit, the second most responsible unit, etc. Each traffic unit is considered according to the extent to which each unit, in the circumstances of the collision, obeyed traffic laws and "rules of the road", was aware of developing traffic situations and conditions, and continually took available and reasonable action to maintain safe traffic flow within the system. Primary Factor Responsible for Accident is a code which has previously been used at HSRI and which is continued in this system, and it simply defines which element had the primary role in causing the accident. Traffic Unit Movement is identified in three distinct phases: movement before the critical moment developed or was imminent, movement into the critical moment, and last minute evasive maneuvers.

Among the items previously coded for HSRI Level III data, minor rearrangements or additions have been made in several cases. In general, however, the data items in this system are equitable to data items previously used, so that both groups of data can be utilized for analysis.

Individual Precrash Factor Coding

Individual precrash factors are the single events or conditions that contributed to the cause of the collision or increased its severity. This section lists and describes the individual factors. As many as thirty precrash factors can be coded for each collision. Traffic Unit Number is a coding of the number of the traffic unit for which the factor was relevant. Traffic Unit Factor Number indicates the number of factors listed per traffic unit, i.e. 1(st), 2(nd), 3(rd), etc. Factor Type identifies the factor as Human Direct, Human Condition or State, Vehicle, or Environment. Factor Code specifies the factor number indicated on the individual factor lists. There are provisions for coding factors known to be present but not specifically identified, such as when some factor reducing the coefficient of friction on the road surface is known to be present but the exact nature of that factor is not known. Factor Certainty is an estimate of the level of certainty that an individual event or condition was a factor related to the cause or the severity of an accident. This level of certainty can be definite, probable, or possible and is determined by assessing the probability of existence and involvement of the factor. To assess Factor Certainty a developed and used in accident research at the Institute for convention Research in Public Safety is followed. Due to the fact that two separate probabilities, existence and involvement, are combined in this judgment, the way to assess it is first to decide the probability of existence, and then, presuming existence, to decide the probability of involvement. These two judgments result in one of nine possible combinations of existence and involvement probabilities for a factor. A chart indicates the level of Factor Certainty most suitable for each of the nine possible combinations, and the appropriate level can be selected from this chart. For example, if a factor certainly existed and, presuming existence, probably was involved, the Factor Certainty judgment is probable. Or if a factor possibly existed and possibly was involved, then the Factor Certainty is possible and the factor may be deleted if it seems to be unduly speculative.

This technique is not to be confused with factor weighting techniques or factor significance assessments, which are evaluations of the <u>degree</u> to which an event or condition contributed to the occurrence or severity of a collision. Assessment of the degree of contribution of <u>Causal Factors</u> is provided for in the coding of Factor Significance.

To determine <u>Factor Significance</u> each event or condition is identified as being either <u>Causal or Severity Increasing</u> in the circumstances of the collision. A <u>Causal Factor</u> is defined as an event or condition necessary or sufficient for the accident's occurrence. A <u>Severity Increasing Factor</u> is an event or condition not necessary or sufficient to cause the accident itself but which has the effect of increasing the severity of the accident.* To further define the significance of <u>Causal Factors</u> to the occurrence of the collision one of the subcategories of <u>Causal Factors</u> may be coded instead of the main causal category. These subcategories are <u>Sufficient</u>, <u>Sufficient</u> or Contributory, and Contributory. <u>Sufficient</u> is defined as a factor which

^{*}For further discussion of <u>Factor Significance</u> refer to <u>A Study to Determine</u> the <u>Relationship between Vehicle Defects and Crashes</u>, V. 1., Institute for <u>Research in Public Safety</u>, Indiana University, Report No. DOT-HS-034-2-263-VDP-72-1, pages 28-32.

operating <u>alone</u> caused the collision, <u>Contributory</u> applies to factors which, when operating <u>in combination</u> with other factors, explain the occurrence of the collision, and the subcategory <u>Sufficient or Contributory</u> is used with factors which are judged to be somewhere between these two definitions.

Individual Precrash Factor Lists

The four categories of individual factors from which the causal and severity increasing factors are selected are <u>Human Conditions and States</u>, <u>Human Direct Causes</u>, <u>Vehicle Factors</u>, and <u>Environmental Factors</u>. Each list is organized into up to four levels of subcategories of specific descriptions of factors. Provisions are made for indicating unspecified or unknown factors at different levels of the classification. Unspecified factors are those which are not listed, such as "Road Surface Low Coefficient of Friction", cause not listed; and unknown factors are those which are known to be present but are not specifically identifiable, such as "Brake System Failure", cause not identified. The advantage of the separate categorization of unspecified and unknown factors is that easy access is provided to unspecified factors so that they can be readily identified and added to the lists.

<u>Human Conditions and States</u> are those factors such as illness, fatigue, alcohol, and other circumstances which reduce the driver or pedestrian's capacity for safe travel. The main categories are <u>Physiological</u> <u>Conditions</u>, <u>Ingestion or Inhalation of Pharmacological Agents</u>, <u>Psychological</u> <u>Conditions</u>, and <u>Experience/Exposure</u>. The factors are derived primarily from those coded previously at the Highway Safety Research Institute for Level III MDAI data. In this classification only those conditions or states which are established as being relevant to the initiation or <u>severity</u> of the collision according to the procedure for determining <u>Factor Certainty</u> are included as factors. With this procedure, those instances of a condition which are known to exist but do not meet the <u>Factor Certainty</u> criteria for involvement are not coded.

The factor list and description of <u>Human Direct Causes</u> was developed in Indiana's Tri-Level accident research program. The factors are those which result from a partial or complete failure of the human information processing system. Examples are a driver who fails to observe a stop sign and travels into the intersection without awareness of the dangerous situation, or a driver who tailgates other vehicles, unmindful of the hazard that could rapidly develop if the vehicle ahead suddenly came to a stop. The main categories of <u>Human Direct Causes</u> are <u>Critical Non-Performance</u>, <u>Non-Accident</u>, <u>Recognition</u>, <u>Decision</u>, and <u>Performance</u>, and these categories are mutually exclusive in this classification. Two significant alterations that have been made in this system from that used by Indiana are that, for each <u>Recognition</u> error the source, cause, and type of error are coded, and more than one cause may be indicated for a Recognition error, Methods for coding are explained in detail in the factor description of <u>Human Direct Causes</u>.

The <u>Vehicle Factors</u> were also developed and used in Indiana's study, which emphasized the identification of vehicle defects in addition to developing quantification procedures and analysis techniques for accident data.¹ The

A description of pass/fail criteria of vehicle components and systems and procedures and areas of investigation in vehicle inspection are found in <u>A Study to Determine the Relationship between Vehicle Defects and Crashes</u>, <u>Interim Report</u>, Indiana University IRPS, Contract No. DOT-HS-034-2-263, November 1971, p. 140 ff and Appendix II.

most common vehicle defects found to be related to accident initiation and severity are of tires, braking systems, steering systems, lighting, and glazed surfaces or windows, and factors in these categories are listed in detail. Difficulties in the power train can result from quite a wide variety of problems, but because these difficulties are not often identified as accident causal factors they are not enumerated here. Problems with the engine or transmission that relate to collision initiation or severity are coded as "power train difficulties" and individual case studies can be referred to for identification of the source of the problems. Significant additions to the Indiana categories of Vehicle Factors are the categories Vehicle and Load Dimensions and Weight; Towing Attachments and Vehicle Age and Deterioration, which are included in J. Stannard Baker and Leroy R. Horn's An Inventory of Factors Suggested as Contributing to Traffic Accidents.

The list of Environmental Factors was compiled and categorized in the preparation of this causal analysis system. The main categories are organized by the type of driver or pedestrian problem peculiar to that heading, such as Control Hindrance or View Obstruction or by the particular environmental structure or feature involved for factors in that category, such as Intersections, Restraining Devices (median barriers, guardrails, etc.), or Signals. Ambient and maintenance conditions are not classified separately but are included among other main headings, e.g. "wet or snowy roads" are classified as Control Hindrances and "guardrails in need of repair" are categorized under Restraining Devices: Structure of Guardrails and Median Barriers. A number of sources were useful in compiling the environmental factors. The organization is similar in some respects to that used at Indiana for environmental factors, and all conditions identified as causal or severity increasing factors in Indiana's study of accidents are included in this list. Other sources used include Arthur D. Little, Inc., The State of the Art of Traffic Safety, a study which reviews and analyzes technical information and research in the field of traffic safety, and Highway Design and Operational Practices Related to Highway Safety, or "The Yellow Book", prepared by the AASHO Traffic Safety Committee to describe and define highway design standards and practices for state organizations and highway engineers. J.S. Baker and Leroy Horn's An Inventory of Factors Suggested as Contributing to Traffic Accidents was also a source of environmental factors. The organization of types of roadway signs is that delineated in The Manual on County Traffic Operations, prepared by the National Association of County Engineers. Dr. Donald Cortright, Professor of Civil Engineering at the University of Michigan, reviewed the final draft of environmental factors.

SECTION 3. CODING CONVENTIONS

Traffic Unit Involvement

Traffic Unit Number

1 Striking 2 1st Struck

2nd Struck

3

Traffic Unit (TU) number identifies each traffic unit according to its position in the collision. The following conventions are used in numbering traffic units:

- a. Striking vehicle designated as #1
- b. First vehicle struck is #2
- c. Second vehicle struck is #3 (No more than three traffic units are coded)
- d. Head-on collisions, vehicle in wrong lane is #1
- e. Exact front corner to front corner, vehicle without right-of-way is #1
- f. Pedestrian designated as 1st or 2nd struck

The traffic unit number is used to identify the traffic unit for the column of data recorded under the traffic unit number, e.g., all data relevant to Vehicle 1 are recorded in the first column of questions. The traffic units 1, 2, and 3 are not restricted to passenger cars and therefore may be different than the CPIR case vehicle numbers. Traffic units also include trucks, buses, motorcycles, bicycles, pedestrians. Only the first three involved traffic units are included.

Case Vehicle Number

One case vehicle number is coded for each traffic unit in its respective column as follows:

Team CPIR Identification Case Number Number

The case vehicle numbers recorded here should match the corresponding CPIR case vehicle number, if any, for this traffic unit. If there is no CPIR then code "9" for CPIR number.

Traffic Unit Type

The following codes identify traffic unit type:

1. Large car (greater than 3800 lbs.)

- 2. Medium car (2800-3800 1bs.)
- 3. Small car (less than 2800 lbs.)
- 4. Truck (any size)

(Traffic Unit Type codes, cont'd)

5. Bus

- 6. Motorcycle
- 7. Utility or Jeep
- 8. Other:
- 9. No Vehicle
- 0. Unknown

Major Assessment

The <u>Major Assessment</u> is six values for each traffic unit that summarize the highest certainty level (<u>Factor Certainty</u>) for causal factors (human, vehicular, environmental) and for severity increasing factors (human, vehicular, environmental). No distinction between subcategories of causal factor roles (i.e. sufficient cause, sufficient or contributory cause, and contributory cause) is made. Also, no distinction is made between human direct causes and human conditions and states. In other words, the major assessment for all causal factors in an element (e.g. human causal factors) is determined. Code the highest certainty level for each element, or, if no factors exist, code "0". The coding format for major assessment is as follows:

C H_____ (Causal Factors) V_____ E____ SI H____ V____ E____ (Severity Increasing Factors)

Traffic Unit Responsibility

Traffic flow depends on a system in which all traffic units make lifesupporting responses within the system. This involves obeying traffic laws and "rules of the road", being aware of developing traffic situations or conditions, and continually taking action to maintain safe traffic flow within the system. A driver/vehicle unit is said to be responsible in an accident situation when, because of any factor, it does not continually take reasonable and available action to maintain safe traffic flow, or in that circumstance does not continually make life supporting responses to the system. Vehicle malfunctions or difficulties are included in this ranking. The rankings for responsibility are 1, 2, and 3. Rank 1 is assigned to the traffic unit most responsible, rank 2 to the next most responsible traffic unit, and rank 3 to the least most responsible traffic unit. In an unusual instance of a two-vehicle collision where one unit was most responsible and the other two vehicles each appear to be less but equally responsible, the most responsible unit is ranked 1 and the other two vehicles are ranked 2. In a three-vehicle collision where one unit was responsible and two vehicles were not in any way responsible, the unit that was responsible should be ranked 1 and the two units that were not responstble should both be ranked 2.

Primary Factor Responsible for Accident

This category identifies the one factor most responsible for the accident independent of traffic units. The codes are as follows:

1. Human

- 2. Vehicle
- 3. Road
- 4. Ambience
- 9. Unknown

Pre-Crash Movement

This category specifies the movement of each traffic unit before the critical moment, or before the moment the collision became imminent or unavoidable. The codes are as follows:

- 1. Straight ahead
- 2. Turning
 - 2.1. Turning, curve following
 - 2.2. Turning, at intersecting roadway
 - 2.3. U Turn
- 3. Reverse, backing
- 4. Lane changing
- 5. Parked, stopped
- 6. Rolling stop (i.e. incomplete stop at intersection)
- 7. Entering, leaving private driveway (use 3 if backing)
- 8. Starting to move
- 9. Other or unknown

Critical Phase Movement

The following codes are used to identify the single, most descriptive movement of each traffic unit that initiated the critical phase.

- 1. Straight ahead or turning at intersection or curve (code subcategories)
 - 1.1. Straight ahead
 - 1.2. Straight ahead, road turned to right
 - 1.3. Straight ahead, road turned to left
 - 1.4. Turning, at intersecting roadway
 - 1.5. Turning, curve following
- 2. Right (code subcategories)
 - 2,1. Off RHS of road
 - 2.2. Off RHS of lane

(Critical Phase Movement codes, cont'd)

- 2.3. Off RHS and back again
- 2.4. Veered right
- 2.5. Turned hard right
- 3. Left (code subcategories)
 - 3.1. Off LHS of road
 - 3.2. Off LHS of lane
 - 3.3. Off LHS and back again
 - 3.4. Veered left
 - 3.5. Turned hard left
- 4. Vehicle stopped
- 5. Skidding (as most descriptive movement)
- 8. Other
- 9. Unknown

Avoidance Maneuvers

This category describes evasive maneuvers taken during the critical phase to avoid the accident. The following subcategories apply:

- 0. None
- 1. Braking
- 2. Steering
- 3. Braking and steering
- 4. Acceleration
- 5. Acceleration and steering
- 6. Brake release
- 9. Unknown

Individual Precrash Factors

The next two pages on the coding form provide for listing all the specific precrash factors involved in the collision. Each specific factor is recorded across one line of the coding form. Up to 30 factors may be recorded for one accident. Each factor is related to a specific traffic unit and is ranked by certainty, and significance or role. Each of these aspects is defined below. Leave unused lines on the form blank.

Traffic Unit Number

The number of the traffic unit (e.g. 1, 2, 3) as identified in item 1 on the previous page of the coding form.

Traffic Unit Factor Number

The sequential numbering of factors listed for each traffic unit, e.g., for traffic unit 1, factors 1, 2, 3, etc., and for traffic unit 2, factors 1, 2, 3, etc.

Factor Type

The four categories are identified as follows:

- 1. Human Conditions and States
- 2. Human Direct Causes
- 3. Vehicle
- 4. Environment

Factors pertaining to each category are found in the respective individual factor list.

Factor Code

The code number for each individual factor code is four value. Refer to the individual factor lists for the factors and their respective numbers. Each should be coded at the finest or most specific level of subcategory that is known to apply, and zeros should then be added if necessary to complete the four digit number.

Example:

Narrow Lane Width - 3.1.1.0.

where;

3. = Design Geometrics
1, = Roadway Width
1. = Narrow Lane Width
0. Completes four digit number

When coding a certain factor where not enough information is known to code a finer level subcategory that is available, then the most specific known subcategory should be coded, and zero added to indicate "unknown", and then a further zero added, if necessary, to complete the four digit number.

Example:

Wind - 2.6.0.0.

where:

2. = Control Hindrance
6. = Wind
0, = Unknown (direction and intensity)
0. Completes four digit number

Thus, the category "unknown" as so indicated, is distinct from the category "other", which refers to specific factors which are known but which are not included in the itemized factor list.

Factor Certainty

Factor certainty is a judgment of the relative degree of assurance (definite, probable, or possible) that something was or was not a <u>Causal</u> or <u>Severity</u> Increasing factor. This judgment is based on two relative considerations
or probabilities: whether the factor existed and whether it was involved. Factor certainty is determined with the following convention. First, the degree of assurance (definite, probable, possible) that the factor existed is determined; then, <u>hypothesizing existence</u>, the degree of assurance that it was involved (definite, probable, possible) is determined. The composite of these two evaluations generally results in the judgment of <u>Factor Certainty</u> indicated in the following chart:

Existence	Involvement	Factor Certainty
Certain	Certain	Certain
Certaîn	Probable	Probable
Certaîn	Possible	Possible
Probable	Certain	Probable
Probable	Probable	Probable*
Probable	Possible	Possible
Possible	Certain	Possible
Possible	Probable	Possible
Possible	Possible	Possible**

*May be frequently reduced to
 Possible
**If the factor is felt unduly
 speculative it will be deleted

<u>Significance</u>

Factor significance is indicated as being either <u>Causal</u> or <u>Severity Increasing</u>. Also, optional subcategories under <u>Causal</u> (1) are available in this classification: (2) <u>Sufficient Cause</u>, (3) <u>Sufficient or Contributory Cause</u>, or (4) <u>Contributory Cause</u>. That is, in coding factors determined to be <u>Causal</u> one may either code (1) Causal, or further define the factor by coding (2), (3), or (4). The following codes and definitions of <u>Causal</u> and <u>Severity</u> Increasing factors apply:

- 1, <u>Causal Factor</u>: A factor which was necessary or sufficient for the accident's occurrence, so that had the factor not been present in the accident sequence, the accident would not have occurred.
 - 2. <u>Sufficient Cause</u>: Any factor that, acting alone, is both necessary and solely sufficient to cause the accident. Several sufficient factors may co-exist if they independently would cause the accident.
 - 3. <u>Sufficient or Contributory</u>: A factor that is judged somewhere between sufficient and contributory.

- 4. <u>Contributory</u>: Contributory factors are defined as two or more factors acting jointly (simultaneously or sequentially) which were sufficient to explain the occurrence of the accident. These factors independently would not be sufficient to cause the accident.
- 5. <u>Severity Increasing Factor</u>: A factor which was not necessary or sufficient for the accident's occurrence, so that its removal would not have prevented the accident, but would have reduced the severity of the impact which resulted.

SECTION 4

CODING FORM

TRAFFIC UNIT INVOLVEMENT

TRAFFIC UNIT NUMBER	l Striking	2 1st Struck	3 2nd Struck
REPORT NUMBER			
(O's-No Vehicle) (8's-Non Case Vehicle (9's-Pedestrian, Unkno) own)		_ ⁻
TRAFFIC UNIT TYPE			
MAJOR ASSESS M ENT			
<u>Causal</u> Factors	H V E	H V E	H V E
Severity Increasing Factors	H V E	H V E	H V E
TRAFFIC UNIT RESPONSIBILITY			:
PRIMARY FACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR ACCIDENT			
TRAFFIC UNIT MOVEMENT			
PRE-CRITICAL PHASĘ MOVEMENT			
CRITICAL PHASE MOVEMENT			
AVOIDANCE MANEUVERS	.		

INDIVIDUAL PRECRASH FACTORS

<u>Traffic</u> <u>Unit</u> Number	<u>Traffic</u> <u>Unit</u> <u>Factor</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Factor</u> Type 1 Human Conditions and States 2 Human Direct 3 Vehicle 4 Environment	<u>Factor</u> <u>Code</u> (see lists)	<u>Factor</u> <u>Certainty</u> 1 Certain 2 Probable 3 Possible	<u>Factor</u> <u>Significance</u> 1 Causal 2 Sufficient 3 Sufficient or Contributory 4 Contributory 6 Severity Increasing
Card 11					
					_
					_
 Card 12					_
-					
					_
Card 13					
	untern entern				
	unitaria angelia.				
Bringenin.					

<u>Traffic</u> <u>Unit</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Traffic</u> <u>Unit</u> <u>Factor</u> <u>Number</u>	Factor Type 1 Human Conditions and States 2 Human Direct 3 Vehicle 4 Environment	<u>Factor</u> <u>Code</u> (see lists)	Factor Certainty 1 Certain 2 Probable 3 Possible	<u>Factor</u> <u>Significance</u> 1 Causal 2 Sufficient 3 Sufficient or Contributory 4 Contributory 6 Severity Increasing
				-	
<u>Card 14</u>					
				-	
<u>Card 15</u>					
					<u> </u>

-

.

SECTION 5. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR DESCRIPTION

HUMAN CONDITIONS AND STATES

This group of factors refers to those human conditions and states of varying duration which have a debilitating effect on the driver in the precrash situation. They decrease the ability of the driver to function effectively to avoid or reduce the severity of the accident. The main categories of human conditions and states are:

- 1. Physiological Conditions
- 2. Ingestion or Inhalation of Pharmacological Agents
- 3. Psychological Condition
- 4. Experience/Exposure

Only those factors which are thought to have had a direct influence on the accident situation are coded. If a condition exists but it is not felt to have had any effect on the driver's pre-crash actions which influence or contributed to the collision, the condition should not be coded.

The main categories of human conditions and states are defined and described as follows:

1. Physiological Conditions

This category includes physiological illnesses or disabilities which were related to the driver's actions prior to the collision. These conditions may be permanent, such as cardiovascular conditions or vision restrictions, or temporary, such as colds or flu. If the condition is so severe that it results in a total disability of the driver to function in the accident situation, it is also coded as a <u>Critical Non-Performance</u> under <u>Human Direct Factors</u>. Physical disabilities resulting from ingestion of pharmacological agents are coded in the next main heading rather than in this category.

2. Ingestion or Inhalation of Pharmacological Agents

This category describes pharmacological agents which effected the driver. Included are drug and alcohol consumption and inhalation of toxic gases.

3. Psychological Conditions

This category includes factors which are primarily of psychological origin. The next-level categories in this heading are <u>Psychological Stress in Life</u> Events, Arguments, Situational Anxiety, Mental Deficiency, and Psychosis.

4. Experience/Exposure

Included in this category are factors relating to driver experience with the skill of driving, the vehicle, and the roadway or area.

SECTION 6. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR LIST

HUMAN CONDITIONS AND STATES

- 1. Physiological Conditions
 - 1.1. Cardio-Vascular Condition (Heart Failure, Angina, Infarction)
 - 2. Brain (Epilepsy, Stroke)
 - 3. Respiratory Condition
 - 4. Vision/Hearing Restricted
 - 5. Not Wearing Corrective Lenses
 - 6. Loss of Consciousness (code subfactors)
 - 1.6.1. Blackout (Also code any known related condition, e.g. Diabetes, Alcohol)
 - 2. Dozing (Also code any known related condition)
 - 7. Fatigue
 - 8. Miscellaneous Physiological Conditions
 - 1.8.1. Paraplegic, Amputee, etc.
 - 2. Diabetes
 - 3. Transient Illness (Flu, Headcold, etc.)
 - 4. Pregnancy
 - 5. Infirmities (Arthritis, Senility)
 - 6. Digestive Disorders
 - 9. Other
- 2. Ingestion or Inhalation of Pharmacological Agents
 - 2.1. Alcohol (Code 2.1. when amount drunk or effect of consumption is not known.)
 - 2.1.1. Intoxicated, approximate BAC .10 and higher
 - 2. Impaired, approximate BAC .07 to .10
 - 3. Some or little impairment, approximate BAC .07 to .02
 - 4. Little if any impairment, BAC less than .02
 - 2.2. Drugs, other than alcohol
 - 2.2.1. Stimulants, Prescriptive/Narcotics (Amphetamines, Cocaine, Bennies)
 - 2. Stimulants, Over-the-Counter (Caffeine, No-Doz)
 - 3. Depressants, Prescriptive/Narcotics (Barbiturates, Opiates, Tranquilizers)
 - 4. Depressants, Over-the-Counter (Sleeping Compounds)
 - 5. Antihistamines
 - 6. Hallucinogens (LSD, DMT, Mescaline, Psilocybin)
 - 2.3. CO or Other Toxic Gas Inhalation
 - 2.9. Other

3. Psychological Condition

- 3.1. Psychological Stress in Life Events
 - 3.1.1. Loss or Change in Family Constellation
 - 2. Loss or Change in Friendships

- 3. Marital/Sex Difficulties, Adjustments
- 4. School/Work Problems or Change in Responsibilities
- 5. Financial Difficulties or Change in Financial State
- 6. Legal, Police, Social Worker, Counselor Problems
- 7. Change in Residence or Living Conditions
- 8. Change in Personal Habits, e.g. Stopped Smoking
- 9. Outstanding Personal Failure or Achievement
- 9.8. Several of the Above
- 9.9. Other, Not Indicated Above
- 3.2. Arguments
 - 3.2.1. Argument with Relations or Friends
 - 2. Argument with Boss or Co-workers
 - 9. Argument with Others (Sales Clerk)
- 3.3. Situational Anxiety
 - 3.3.1. "In-Hurry", Pressured
 - 2. Stress Response to Traffic Situation, e.g. pressure from other drivers
 - 9. Other
- 3.4. Mental Deficiency
- 3.5. Psychosis (also code 3.1. Psychological Stress)
- 3.9. Other
- 4. Experience/Exposure
 - 4.1. Driver Inexperience
 - 2. Vehicle Unfamiliarity
 - 3. Road/Area Over-Familiarity
 - 4. Road/Area Unfamiliarity
 - 9. Other
- 9. Other

SECTION 7. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR DESCRIPTION

HUMAN DIRECT FACTORS

This category refers to all human acts and failures to act in the minutes immediately preceding an accident, which increase the risk of collision beyond that which would have existed for a conscious driver driving to a high but reasonable standard of good defensive driving practice. Thus, the failure of a driver, engaged in animated conversation, to notice that the car in front of him has stopped, is categorized as a <u>human causal factor</u> for purposes of this study. However, the improper repair activities of a driver, which several minutes later result in a catastrophic brake failure, are not categorized as human causal factors for purposes of this study. That failure would be classified as a <u>vehicular failure</u>, though the human error involved would be noted in the case report on the accident.

The main categories of human direct causes are:

- 1. Critical Non-Performances
- 2. Non-Accident
- 3. Recognition Errors
- 4. Decision Errors
- 5. Critical Moment Performance Errors
- 6. Pedestrian Errors

For the purposes of this classification the main categories are intended to be mutually exclusive. The main categories are defined and described as follows:

1. Critical Non-Performances

This refers to a situation where a driver loses consciousness, either in the sense of an acute illness such as heart failure or blacking-out, or falling asleep, and as a result is involved in an accident. These are termed critical non-performances in the sense that a catastrophic interruption in the driver's performance as an information-processor occurs, and he drops totally out of the information-processing system.

2. Non-Accident

This refers to situations where collision is intentional. It thus includes both suicide attempts, and a situation where a driver, annoyed by the proximity of a following vehicle, slams on his brakes in anger, and as an inevitable result, is rear-ended.

3. Recognition Errors

This category intends to include all situations where a conscious driver does not properly perceive, comprehend, and/or react to a situation requiring adjustment of speed or path of travel for safe completion of the driving task. Three separate subject categories are included in this section, and it is necessary to code each of the three subject areas to indicate a recognition error. One, Error in Recognition of, indicates the particular road feature, sign, etc, which was not recognized adequately. Two, <u>Reasons for Recognition Error</u>, designates specific causes for the delay in recognition, e.g. <u>inattention</u>, <u>internal distraction</u>, etc. More than one <u>Reason</u> may be coded for each error. The third subject category, <u>Type of Error</u>, indicates the particular phase of information flow which was not completed, and these are identified as <u>Perception</u>, <u>Comprehension</u>, and <u>Reaction Time</u>. Thus, (1) a driver did not see a red light, (2) because of an internal distraction, and (3) this was an error in the perception phase.

3,1. Error in Recognition of:

This category applies to all recognition errors and specifies the particular road feature, traffic condition, etc. which was not recognized. A driver may fail or delay in recognition of traffic stopped or slowing ahead; the position of his car on the road; road features, such as oncoming curves, lane narrowings, etc.; road signs and signals providing driver information; cross-flowing traffic, such as merging or intersecting vehicles; or road conditions.

3.2. Reasons for Recognition Error

A specific reason should be coded for each recognition error if known. If the specific reason is not known, unknown should be coded. These reasons include <u>inattention/preoccupation</u>, <u>external distraction</u>, <u>internal distraction</u>, and <u>improper lookout</u>. More than one reason can occur in a given situation, i.e. a driver may be both preoccupied with thoughts and distracted by tuning the radio. When this occurs more than one reason should be coded.

3.2.1. Inattention, Preoccupation, Distracted by Thoughts: This category applies whenever a driver is delayed in the recognition of information needed to safely accomplish the driving task, because of having chosen to direct his attention elsewhere for some non-compelling reason. Specifically excluded from this category are cases where a circumstance or event tends to induce a shift away from the drivingtask matters requiring attention. The category thus denotes wandering of the mind, or a state of being engrossed in thought in matters not of immediate importance to the driving task.

3.2.2. Internal Distraction: This category applies whenever a driver is delayed in the recognition of information needed to safely accomplish the driving task, because some event, activity, object, or personwithin his vehicle compelled or tended to induce the driver's shifting of attention away from the driving task; a radio might act as an object of special attention, tending to induce the driver to shift his attention from the driving task to adjustment of the radio. Conversation with a passenger which diverts attention from the driving task is considered an internal distraction.

Examples of events or activities which are ordinarily considered internal distractions include sudden or unusual events in the car such as loud noises, yells, a sick passenger, or a dropped cigarette, and mechanisms requiring driver-adjustment, such as radios, tape players, windows, and heaters. <u>Internal Distraction</u> is distinguished from the <u>Inattention/Pre-occupation</u> category, wherein a driver shifts his attention from the driving task, but no event, activity, or object compels or tends to induce such a shift. Driver-chosen mental activity falls under the inattention category, rather than internal distraction. <u>Internal Distraction</u> may however accompany other reasons for recognition errors.

3.2.3. External Distraction: This category applies whenever a driver is delayed in his recognition of information needed to safely accomplish the driving task, because an event, activity, object, or person outside his vehicle compelled or tended to induce a shifting of attention away from the driving task. For example, a pretty girl might tend to induce a driver to shift his attention; a sudden event outside the car, such as an explosion or screech of tires, might compel such a shift of attention.

Other examples of external distractions include the actions of other traffic; driver-selected outside activity such as looking for street signs, looking for house numbers, and examining particular pieces of property; activities of special interest, such as a fight, person in bikini, accident, or fire; or other sudden events such as loud noises, explosions, flashes of light, sudden screech of tires.

External Distraction may accompany but is distinguished from <u>in-attention/preoccupation</u>, in which the driver shifts his attention from the driving task, but is not compelled or induced to by any event, activity, or object.

3.2.4. <u>Inadequate or Improper Lookout</u>: This category applies whenever a driver is delayed in his recognition of information needed to safely accomplish the driving task, because he encountered a situation requiring a distinct visual surveillance activity (for safe completion of the driving task), and either did not look or did look, but did so inadequately. Thus, included are both cases where a driver "looks but does not see," and the cases where a driver needed to look but did not even attempt to, as for example in pulling out to pass without first checking for traffic in the passing lane.

The improper lookout category frequently applies in situations where a driver is pulling out from a parking place; entering the travel lane from an intersecting street, alley, or driveway; or prior to changing lanes or passing.

<u>Inadequate or improper lookout</u> may accompany but is to be distinguished from the <u>inattention</u>, <u>internal distraction</u>, and <u>external distraction</u> categories.

3.3. Type of Error

This category indicates at what phase of the <u>recognition</u> process the error occurred. <u>Perception</u>, <u>Comprehension</u>, and <u>Reaction</u> are identified

as the three phases. An error of perception applies when the driver did not observe a road feature, vehicle, object, etc. that was within his field of view. A comprehension error is indicated when the driver adequately perceived the road feature, vehicle, etc. but delayed in comprehending or realizing the potential danger of the situation. When the driver perceived the environment adequately and fully comprehended the situation but delayed in taking available action to avert the danger, <u>delayed reaction time</u> is indicated. Where two or more phases are delayed, two or more of the above may be coded, and where the particular phase is not indicated, <u>unknown</u> should be coded.

4. Decision Errors

This refers to all situations where a driver is involved in an accident, or experiences an unnecessarily severe impact, because having received information indicating the need for a change in speed or path of travel, he chooses an improper course of action, or takes no action.

To a large extent, this top-level category is defined by the next-level (more specific) categories included under it. These are <u>misjudgment</u>, false assumption, improper maneuver, improper driving technique or <u>practice</u>, inadequately defensive driving technique, excessive speed or <u>acceleration</u>, inadequate signal, communications or lights, and improper evasive action.

4.1. Misjudgment

This category applies whenever a driver miscalculates the separation in time and space, or the closure rate, of his own vehicle with respect to other objects, and then acts to his detriment on the basis of this improper evaluation.

4.2. False Assumption

This category applies whenever a driver takes action, or fails to take action, based on a decision or opinion arrived at by assuming that to be true which in fact is not true. For example, if a driver pulls out in front of another driver who is signaling a turn, assuming that the other driver will turn before reaching his location, when in fact that driver has no intention of turning until he is past that location, the original driver's mistake is properly classified as a <u>false assumption</u>. In this instance, the false assumption category is to be distinguished from <u>inadequately defensive</u> <u>driving technique</u>, over which it takes precedence when the fact of a false assumption has been clearly established.

Additional examples of <u>false assumption</u> include assumptions that other drivers must stop or yield at intersections, when in fact they are not required to do so; that a vehicle is going to make a turning maneuver which it does not, and assuming that no traffic is coming when in fact there was traffic coming (as in the "good-Samaritan" situation).

4.3. Improper Maneuver

This category applies whenever a driver willfully chooses a vehicle path which is wrong, in the sense of being obviously calculated to generate an exceedingly high <u>risk</u> of collision. Examples include turns from the wrong lane, preceding straight in a turn lane, driving the wrong way on a one-way street, or passing at an improper location, such as an intersection.

4.4. Improper Driving Technique or Practice

This category applies when a driver engages in the improper control of path or speed, in a manner which unduly increases the risk of accident-involvement, and involves practices which are (or might be) habitual to a particular driver (the risk involved not being fully appreciated). Examples include cresting hills while driving in the center of the road, tailgating, and stopping too far out into the road or intersection as a matter of choice.

This category is to be distinguished especially from improper maneuver, due to the similarity of these categories. In some cases the distinction between these categories is difficult, being one of degree rather than kind. The key distinction is that of driver recognition of risk, and hence likelihood of habitual reoccurrence; it is unlikely that a driver would habitually repeat what he realized to be an unnecessarily risky practice. Hence, for example, a turn from the middle lane of a one-way three-lane street would be classified as an improper maneuver; it is not likely that a driver will engage in this maneuver if he recognizes that traffic could be approaching from behind in the lanes that he crossed. However, for years a driver might crest hills on country roads driving in the center, or stop too rapidly to make turns, without accident involvement, and without realization of the risks involved. Such cases are categorized as improper driving techniques or practices.

4.5. Inadequately Defensive Driving Technique

This category applies whenever a driver unnecessarily places his yehicle in a position where there is a foreseeable and substantial risk of collision <u>if</u> another driver performs contrary to normal expectations, or places his vehicle in such a position without adequately checking to ensure that another driver is not engaged in such an unexpected action. Examples include entering an intersection on reliance that an oncoming vehicle will stop for its traffic signal, despite the fact that it has given no indication of slowing to do so. Another example would be crossing or entering a one-way street without looking for wrong-way traffic.

This category is to be distinguished from categories which are used when drivers place their vehicles in positions (or do so without adequately checking first) where they become subject to risks in the normal course and flow of traffic. The key distinction is that in this case, the risk is generated by the <u>improper</u> and <u>ordinarily</u> unexpected action of other traffic units.

4.6. Excessive Speed or Acceleration

Excessive Speed applies when a driver excessively increases the risk of accident involvement, by choosing to travel at too great a speed. The judgment that a vehicle's speed is excessive is necessarily a highly subjective one; an excessive speed is one greater than a person driving to a high, but reasonable standard of good defensive driving practice, would choose to travel under existing conditions.

It should be noted that the evaluation that speed is excessive is specifically not to be determined with reference to the prevailing speed limit. Prevailing speed limits are to be considered, but primarily in the context of determining the reasonable expectations of other drivers as to the speed of traffic likely to be encountered.

Excessive speed in this context may be excessive for the road design, regardless of its condition or prevailing traffic conditions; in light of traffic, pedestrians, or number of accesses; in light of prevailing weather conditions, or in light of combinations of these factors.

Excessive Acceleration refers to a situation where a driver accelerates so rapidly that his ability to maintain directional control is hindered to the point that control is lost. This subcategory is to be distinguished from excessive speed; it refers specifically to the situation where wheelspin or similar phenomena associated with rapid acceleration induce directional instability.

4.7. Inadequate Signal, Communications, or Lighting

This category applies whenever a signal would ordinarily be expected from a person driving at a standard of good defensive driving practice, and it is determined that had such a signal been given, it would have been receivable by other persons (drivers, pedestrians, etc.), so that the accident could have been prevented or its severity reduced. Included are all types of signals which communicate information between traffic units, including turn signals, indications of braking or slowing, or warning or alerting signals given by the honking of a horn. This category also applies to use of headlights, whenever a driver fails to turn on his headlights despite the fact that the sky has sufficiently darkened to substantially hinder his ability to see or be seen, and this fact is in turn related to the accident occurrence or severity.

4.8. Improper Evasive Action

This category refers to a situation where an alert driver, driving to a high but reasonable standard of good defensive driving practice, could by braking, steering, accelerating, or by engaging in combinations of these actions, have either avoided collision entirely or have significantly reduced the severity of the impact which resulted. This category does not apply merely because it is determined by Investigation that there was an evasive action which <u>could</u> have been taken successfully; it must also be an evasive action which was <u>apparent</u> or should have been apparent to the driver on the basis of information available to him, and which was <u>reasonable</u>, based on that information. It might not be reasonable, for example, to swerve into an opposing traffic lane and risk a head-on collision, even though it might later be determined by investigation that in fact no such collision would have occurred, and hence the accident could have been avoided by taking that chance.

An especially notable example of <u>improper evasive action</u> is the situation where a driver locks his brakes and is therefore unable to initiate an evasive steering action to avoid a car stopped ahead, where had the brakes not been locked it could easily have been accomplished.

5. Critical Moment Performance Errors

This category refers to situations where a driver properly perceives and comprehends information indicating the need for an adjustment in speed or path of travel, but commits driving errors which involve either impulsive improper actions (as in panic or freezing), or lack of adequate <u>skills</u> (as in over-compensation). These are to be distinguished from errors involving an improper choice of action from among available alternatives, which are termed <u>decision errors</u>.

To a large extent, this category grouping is defined by the nextlevel (more specific) categories which comprise it; these are overcompensation, panic or freezing, and inadequate directional control.

5.1. Overcompensation

This refers to situations where a driver improperly reacts to a situation impairing the maintenance of control over the vehicle. Such overcompensations include improper or excessive acceleration, braking, and/or steering inputs. This category is most typically applied when a driver allows his vehicle to deviate from its intended path, as in the case where he allows the right-side tires to drop off the pavement edge, and then looses control by attempting to regain the intended path in too abrupt a manner.

5.2. Panic or Freezing

This refers to the situation where a driver perceives the risk of collision, and as a result is unable or does not have the presence of mind to take any significant remedial action. He is either unable to estimate what remedial action is required, or realizing it, is unable to initiate the muscle responses necessary to cause that action to be taken.

This category also refers to situations where, in recognition of risk of collision or loss of control, a driver takes an impulsive, irrational action, which is obviously not calculated to reduce the risk. For example, preceding collision, such a driver might remove his hands from the wheel and throw them up in front of his face, in a situation where had he not panicked a reasonable evasive action would have been possible.

5.3. Inadequate Directional Control

This category refers to situations where a conscious driver does not maintain adequate control over the path of his vehicle, although such control would have easily been possible had appropriate steering inputs been applied. This does not apply to the situation where high lateral loads make continued control a delicate matter, and overtax the skills of the driver. Rather, these are situations where adequate lateral traction is available, so that had the driver adequately monitored information regarding the need for steering inputs, and then applied these inputs with skill reasonably expected from an ordinary driver, control could easily have been maintained.

This category is applied when a conscious driver fails to maintain directional control in a relatively untrying situation. Where there is information that the driver was <u>distracted</u> or was <u>preoccupied</u>, and hence did not notice the deviation of his vehicle from the intended path in sufficient time, those specific recognition categories would apply. However, where such information cannot be obtained, but it is known that the driver was conscious and should have easily been able to complete the necessary steering task, the <u>inadequate</u> directional control category applies.

6. Pedestrian Errors

This category applies whenever a pedestrian moves into a traffic lane at such a place and in such a manner as to create a high risk of contact from even lawfully and prudently driven vehicles. These thus represent cases where the pedestrian is culpable, without regard to whether a striking driver was at all blameworthy. Typically, such accidents have involved people running out into traffic, often without looking at all; many such pedestrians have been children.

SECTION 8. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR LIST

HUMAN DIRECT FACTORS

- 1. Critical Non-Performances
 - 1.1. Fatal
 - 2. Blackout
 - 3. Dozing
 - 9. Other, e.g. setzure
- Non-Accident (Suicide attempts, intentional collisions)
- 3. Recognition Errors
 - 3.1. Error in Recognition of:
 - 3.1.1. Traffic Stopped, or Slowing Ahead
 - 2. Position of Car on Road
 - 3. Road Features, such as On-Coming Curves, Lane Narrowings, etc.
 - 4. Road Signs or Signals Providing Driver Information
 - 5. Cross-Flowing Traffic, such as Merging Traffic or Intersecting Traffic
 - 6. Road Conditions
 - 9. Other

3.2. Reasons for Error or Delay in Recognition (if Unknown, code 3.2.0.)

- 3.2.1. Inattention, Preoccupation, Distracted by Thoughts
- 3.2.2. Internal Distraction
 - 3.2.2.1. Event in Car, Loud Noise, Yell, Scream, Sick Passenger
 - 2. Adjusting Radio or Tape Player
 - 3. Adjusting Windows, Vent, Heater, or Similar Control
 - 4. Conversation with Passenger
 - 5. Music or Radio Conversation
 - 9. Other
- 3.2.3. External Distraction
 - 3.2.3.1. Other Traffic
 - Driver-Selected Outside Activity; e.g. looking for house number, looking for street signs, examining particular property, etc.
 - 3. Activity of Interest; Fight, Girl in Bikini, Accident, Fire, etc.

- Sudden Event; Loud Noise, Explosion, Flash of Light, Sudden Screech, etc.
- 5. Stopped Vehicle or Accident
- 9. Other
- 3.2.4. Inadequate or Improper Lookout
 - 3.2.4.1. Pulling out from Parking Place
 - Entering Travel Lanes from Intersecting Street,
 Alley, Intersection, Driveway
 - 3. Prior to Changing Lanes or Passing
 - 9. Other
- 3.2.5. Errors or delays in recognition for other reasons
- 3.3. Type of Error (if Unknown, code 3.3.0.)
 - 3.3.1. Perception (Information was perceivable, but delay in perception or failure to perceive, e.g. looked other direction, etc.)
 - 2. Comprehension (Information was perceived, but remained unprocessed)
 - 3. Delayed Reaction Time
 - 4. Two or More of the Above
- 4. Decision Errors
 - 4.1. Misjudgement (of Distance, Closure-rate, etc.)
 - 4.2. False Assumption
 - 4.2.1. Assumed other driver had to stop or yield at intersection
 - Assumed other driver would stop or yield at intersection without assuming traffic control
 - 3. Assumed on-coming car would move left, or right, out of way
 - Assumed vehicle was going to make a turning maneuver, which it did not.
 - 5. Assumed there was no traffic coming (or that traffic was stopped) when, in fact, there was traffic coming
 - 9. Other
 - 4.3. Improper Maneuver
 - 4.3.1. Turned from wrong lane or position
 - 2. Drove in wrong lane but correct direction (e.g. went straight in turn lane)
 - 3. Passed at improper location
 - 9. Other

- 4.4. Improper Driving Technique or Practice
 - 4,4.1, Cresting hills, driving in center of road
 - 2. Braking later than should have or at improper location
 - 3. Stopping too far out in road or intersection
 - 4. Driving excessively close to centerline or edge of road
 - 5. Slowed too rapidly (e.g., slammed on brakes to make turn at last minute)
 - 6. Tailgating
 - 9. Other
- 4.5. Inadequately Defensive Driving Technique
 - 4.5.1. Strategic Error--Should have positioned car differently in anticipation of possible problems
 - Strategic Error--Should have adjusted speed in anticipation of possible problems
 - 3. Strategic Error--Should not have taken other driver's obedience of traffic sign for granted
 - 9. Other
- 4.6. Excessive Speed or Acceleration
 - 4.6.1. Excessive Speed
 - 4.6.1.1. For road design--regardless of condition or traffic
 - 2. Solely in light of traffic, pedestrians, number of accesses, etc.
 - Solely in light of weather conditions (including slick roads)
 - 4. Due to combinations of above
 - 4.6.2. Excessive Acceleration
- 4.7. Inadequate Signal, Communications, or Lights
 - 4.7.1. Failure to signal for turn
 - 2. Failure to use horn to warn
 - 3. Failure to turn on headlights
 - 9. Other
- 4.8. Improper Evasive Action
 - 4.8.1. Locked brakes
 - Driver could have steered out of danger and did not (brakes not locked/could steer)

3. Driver could have accelerated out of danger and did not

9. Other

- 4.9. Other Decision Errors
- 5. Critical Moment Performance Errors
 - 5.1. Overcompensation (Excessive acceleration, braking, and/or steering imputs)
 - 2. Panic or Freezing (No significant remedial action taken)
 - 3. Inadequate Directional Control
 - 5.3.1. On curve--allowed car to enter opposing lane of travel
 - 2. On straight--allowed car to enter opposing lane of travel
 - 3. On straight--allowed car to go off road edge to right or left (not entering opposing lane of travel)
 - 4. On curve--allowed car to go off road edge to right or left (not entering opposing lane of travel)
 - 5. Other
 - 5.9. Other Critical Moment Performance Errors
- 6. Pedestrian Errors
 - 6.1. Pedestrian ran into traffic
 - 2. Pedestrian jaywalking
 - 9. Other
- 9. Other Human Causal Factors (Direct)

SECTION 9. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR DESCRIPTION

VEHICLE FACTORS

This refers to all vehicle-related deficiencies which result in an accident, or increase the severity of vehicle impact which results. Included are system failures, degradations, and worn components.

Included under this heading are visual limitations associated with the vehicle, including those caused by objects or substances in, attached to, or adhering to the vehicle.

1. Tires and Wheels

This includes all causal failures and improper conditions associated with <u>tires and wheels</u>. Included are inadequate tread depths, blow-outs, mismatches of tire types and/or sizes, improper inflation, and wheel failures.

2. Brake System

This includes all accidents resulting from the failure, or degraded or abnormal performance, of the braking system. This includes both gross failure of all or part of the braking system, delayed braking (as where pumping is required), brake imbalances (as where hard application causes a marked change in vehicle path), etc.

3. Steering System and Suspension Problems

Subcategories of the steering system include all failures or degradations of the steering system whereby accurate steering control is negated or made grossly more difficult than ordinarily expected. Examples include excessive freeplay and freezing or locking of the steering gear.

Subcategories of suspension problems include failures or degradations of shock absorbers, springs, bushings, locating links and arms, etc., which hinder vehicle control.

4. Power Train and Exhaust

This includes any failure or substandard performance that is a factor in an accident, such as a sudden loss of power or the leakage of exhaust fumes into the driver compartment, with a consequent detrimental effect on driver behavior.

5. Communication System

This includes all failures and degradations of systems by and through which drivers send and receive the information necessary for safe completion of the driving task. These systems thus include lights, glazed surfaces, windshield wipers, defrosters, horns, and radio or tape player volume. 6. Driver Seating and Controls

This includes all instances where driver seat location failures and deficiencies impair the driver's ability to safely complete the driving task, as by limiting his ability to see and/or manipulate controls, as well as where difficulty is experienced with driver controls, such as when an accelerator pedal sticks.

7. Vehicle and Load Dimensions and Weight; Towing Attachments

This includes all causal or severity increasing factors in accidents that pertain to vehicle or cargo length, width, or weight, and also to failures or deficiencies of towing or pushing equipment. Shifting loads and use of warning flags are included in this category.

8. Miscellaneous: Hood, Doors, Pre-crash Fires, Deterioration, Age

All vehicular factors not categorized elsewhere are placed in this category. Under this heading are all failures in the integrity of body and doors which act to impede vehicle control and hence are precrash causative factors; fires occurring pre-crash as accident causal or severity increasing factors, and old, or generally decrepit vehicle parts or systems that contributed to accident causation or severity.

SECTION 10. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR LIST

VEHICLE PACTORS

- 1. Tires and Wheels
 - 1.1. Inflation
 - 1.1.1. Under-inflation
 - 2. Over-inflation
 - 3. Improper pressure distribution
 - 1.2. Inadequate tread depth
 - 3. Flat tire, blow-out; sudden failure
 - 4. Wheel broke off
 - 5. Grease seal leaked on brake lining
 - 6. Wheel drum not concentric
 - 7. Wheels not properly aligned
 - 8. No snow tires or chains
 - 9. Other
- 2. Brake System
 - 2.1. Total failure--front and rear
 - 2.1.1. Wheel cylinder failed
 - 2. Brake line failed
 - 3. Master cylinder defect
 - 4. Insufficient fluid level
 - 5. Adjustment mechanism loss or failure
 - 9. Other
 - 2.2. Failure-related front only
 - 2.2.1. Wheel cylinder failed
 - 2. Brake line failed
 - 3. Master cylinder defect
 - 4. Insufficient fluid level
 - 5. Adjustment mechanism loss or failure
 - 9. Other
 - 2.3. Failure-related rear only
 - 2.3.1. Wheel cylinder failed
 - 2. Brake line failed
 - 3. Master cylinder defect
 - 4. Insufficient fluid level
 - 5. Adjustment mechanism loss or failure
 - 9. Other

- 2.4. Total failure wounknown or unspecified as to portion affected
 - 2.4.1. Wheel cylinder failed
 - 2. Brake line failed
 - 3. Master cylinder defect
 - 4. Insufficient fluid level
 - 5. Adjustment mechanism loss or failure
 - 9. Other
- 2.5. Delayed braking response/pumping required
 - 2.5.1. Required pumping due to improper adjustment
 - 2. Other reasons
- 2.6. Imbalance (pulled left or right)
- 2.7. Brakes grabbed, locked prematurely, or were over-sensitive
 - 2.7.1. Improper proportioning front-to-rear (e.g., rear wheel lock-up)
 - 2. Brakes "grabbed", locked prematurely, or were over-sensitive, etc.
 - 9. Other
- 2.8. Trailer brakes
 - 2.8.1. Failure of trailer brakes
 - 2. Insufficient trailer brakes
 - 3. No individual brakes on trailer
 - 9. Other
- 2.9. Other difficulties with brakes
- 3. Steering System and Suspension Problems
 - 3.1. Steering System
 - 3.1.1. Excessive freeplay
 - 2. Binding (undue effort required)
 - 3. Freezing or locking
 - 9. Other
 - 3.2. Suspension Problems
 - 3.2.1. Shock absorber problems
 - 3.2.1.1. Weak shock absorbers
 - 2. Missing, broken, or other shock absorber problems

9. Other

3.2.2. Spring problems

- 3.2.2.1. Broken, missing, or defective springs
 - 2. Raised rear-end

3.2.2.3. Spring imbalances (due to helper springs, overload

springs, spring spacers, etc.]

- 9. Other
- 3,2.3. Other suspension problems
- 4. Power Train and Exhaust
 - 4.1. Power train difficulties
 - 4.1.1. Ran out of fuel
 - 9. Other problems
 - 4.2. Exhaust system (toxic fumes)
 - 4.2.1. CO leaked into driver's compartment
 - 9. Other problems

5. Communication Systems

- 5.1. Vehicle lights and signals
 - 5.1.1. Headlamp problems
 - 5.1.1.1. Inoperable headlamps
 - 2. Mis-aimed headlamps
 - 3. Dirt-obscured headlamps
 - 4. Other
 - 5.1.2. Inoperable taillights
 - 3. Inoperable turn signals
 - 4. Taillights or turn signals obscured by dirt, road grime, etc.
 - 9. Other
- 5.2. Vehicle-related vision obstructions
 - 5.2.1. Due to ice, snow, frost, water, or condensation on windows
 - Due to cracked or opaque windows (e.g., cardboard or stickers on windows)
 - 3. Due to design or placement of windows
 - 4. Due to objects in or attached to vehicle
 - 5. Due to inoperative or deficient vision hardware
 - 5.2.5.1. Inoperable or mis-aimed windshield washer
 - 2. Inoperable or ineffective wiper
 - 3. Inoperable or inadequate defroster
 - 4. Absence or condition of mirrors
 - 9. Other

160

- 5.2.6. Due to glare from sun or headlamps reflected off vehicle
 - 7. Other vision problems, yehicle-related
- 5.3. Auditory Problems
 - 5.3.1. Inoperative or weak horn
 - 2. Excessive radio or tape player volume inside car
 - 9. Other

5.9. Other vehicle related communications problems (i.e. in other systems)6. Driver seating and controls

- 6.1. Driver controls
 - 6.1.1. Steering wheel problem (e.g. spinner snagged clothing)
 - 2. Brake pedal problem (e.g. pedal broke off)
 - 3. Accelerator problem (e.g. stuck)
 - 9. Other
- 6.2. Driver anthropometric
 - 6.2.1. Seat loose or became detached
 - 2. Driver not positioned to adequately reach controls
 - 3. Driver not positioned to see adequately
 - 9. Other
- 6.9. Other

7. Vehicle and Load Dimensions and Weight; Towing Attachments

- 7.1. Vehicle dimensions and weight
 - 7.1.1. Vehicle too long (e.g. trailer too long, too many trailers)
 - 2. Vehicle too wide (e.g. farm equipment too wide for one lane)
 - 3. Vehicle weight poorly distributed
 - 9. Other
- 7.2. Load dimensions and weight
 - 7.2.1. Cargo too long (e.g. load projects and collides with other vehicle)
 - 2. Cargo too wide (e.g. cargo too wide for one lane)
 - 3. Load poorly distributed
 - 4. Load shifts
 - 5. No warning flags on oversize load
 - 9. Other
- 7.3. Towing and pushing equipment
 - 7.3.1. Towing connection failed
 - 2. Pushing equipment separated from vehicle
 - 3. Towing or pushing connection failed to provide sufficient stability
 - 9. Other

- 8. Miscellaneous; Hood, Door, Fire, Deterioration
 - 8,1. Hood flew up, door came open (precrash)
 - 2. Vehicle caught fire (precrash)
 - 8.2.1. Leaky carburetor contributed to fire
 - 2. Leaky exhaust pipe contributed to fire
 - 3. Faulty electrical system contributed to fire
 - 8.3. Deterioration, Age
 - 8.3.1. The age of the car (older cars are in poorer condition)
 - 2. Car generally decrept or deteriorated
 - 3. Irreparable damage; previous accidents or breakdowns resulting in weakening of vehicle parts
- 9. Other Vehicle Factors

SECTION 11. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR DESCRIPTION

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Environmental factors are those conditions external to the driver/vehicle or pedestrian which increase the risk and severity of accident involvement unnecessarily or to an excessive extent. In assessing the environmental factors, an ideal norm is assumed, based on ideal ambient conditions and on published design and control standards in common usage. The main headings in this list are categorized by the particular types of problems that result from these factors, such as Control Hindrances or View Obstructions and by the type of environmental structure described in the category, such as Signs or Signals. For example, the largest category, Design Geometrics, is organized according to type of environmental structure and includes subcategories of specific environmental design features, such as Interchanges, Recovery Area, Roadway Width, etc. Ambient and maintenance conditions are organized under the particular types of problems engendered by the condition or the specific environmental feature involved rather than as separate categories. For example, snow and ice which causes the road surface to be slippery is classified as a Control Hindrance, and a broken guardrail is listed among guardrail factors in Restraining Devices, a nextlevel category of Design Geometrics. The main categories of Environmental factors are:

- 1. General Factors
- 2. Control Hindrances
- 3. Design Geometrics
- 4. Signing
- 5. Signals
- 6. Markings
- 7. Special Transitory Hazards
- 8. Environment Related Vision Obstructions or Hindrances

The environmental factors are non-overlapping. In some instances a particular environmental feature is relevant as a factor to several categories. For example, a roadway sign is relevant to signing in the sense of an informational device, but it may also be a factor as a view obstruction or as a hindrance to safe movement in the recovery area. In such cases, the environmental feature is described or listed in each of the relevant categories, i.e. a sign is described as a conveyer of information in the heading, Signing, as a view obstruction in Environment Related View Obstructions or Hindrances, and as a recovery area obstacle in Design Geometrics: Recovery Area. The other categories relevant to any feature and their locations are specified in the factor list, often alongside the main category. Thus, the main category, Signing, refers the coder also to signs as obstacles or as view obstructions.

The categories are defined and described as follows:

1. General

This category includes factors which apply to overall environmental conditions rather than to any one aspect of the environment. Such factors often have a general effect on driver behavior or responses. Among these factors are general conditions, such as <u>Traffic density</u>, <u>Environmental overload</u>, <u>Roadway confuguration presented large area</u> to scan, etc. which may make the driving task somewhat difficult for even an alert and responsive driver. The subcategory, <u>Symbolism of vehicle types</u>, refers to ideas or notions that a driver may associate with the use of specific types of vehicles, such as <u>An ambulance makes</u> its driver think speed is necessary, or <u>A driver of a small car tends</u> to take chances that drivers of large cars do not.

2. Control Hindrances

This category includes those factors which effect the directional stability and control of the vehicle. In some instances the safety of pedestrian travel also may be lessened by these conditions. Factors relevant to this category are low coefficient of friction of the road surface due to such reasons as surface wear, oil deposits, snow, ice, etc; road surfaces which are uneven, rough, or in other ways of poor riding quality; the alignment and quality of the shoulder surface; the slope of surfaces and banks in the recovery area; banked or crowned roadways; and wind, as a factor effecting directional stability and control.

3. Design Geometrics

Factors in this category relate to planning and design considerations of the roadway and roadside areas. These are factors which vary from ideal design practices, and each condition can substantially increase the risk of accident involvement and accident severity. The main subcategories under this heading are <u>Roadway width</u>, <u>Grade or curve</u>; <u>Interchange design features</u>; <u>Intersections</u>; <u>Recovery area</u>; <u>Restraining devices</u>; <u>Bridge</u>, <u>tunnel</u>, <u>roadside structure</u>, <u>curb</u>; and a <u>Miscellaneous</u> category which includes <u>Drainage</u> and <u>Pedestrian facilities</u>. Further description is thought to be useful for several of these categories. <u>Recovery Area</u> includes the next-level categories <u>Shoulder</u>, <u>Median</u>, <u>Roadside</u>, and <u>Gore area</u> and refers specifically to width and clearance in these areas. <u>Channeling devices</u> refers to the availability, design, and maintenance of median barriers, guardrails, as well as construction barriers. The category <u>Pedestrian facilities</u> includes provisions for overpasses and underpasses, sidewalks, and routing of after-school traffic.

4. <u>Signing</u>

Various road signing problems of availability, design or structure, and maintenance which can increase the risk of accident involvement for driver or pedestrian are described in this category.

5. Signals

This category includes the availability of traffic signals, appropriateness of signal types, and problems in signal design, timing, and maintenance.

6. Markings

The factors in this category refer to the availability and maintenance of roadway markings such as centerlines or road edge markings, and of object markings on physical obstructions in or near the roadway.

7. Special Transitory Problems

This category refers to transient hazards which increase the risk of an accident. Included are stopped vehicles, objects, and animals in the road, glare from headlights, and non-contact moving vehicles and drivers which cause problems. Examples of the latter kind include vehicles which force accident-involved vehicles off the road, and then continue without involvement. Since it cannot be determined what the nature of the human, vehicular, or environmental causes are which resulted in the errant behavior of the non-contact vehicle, it is desirable to view the actions of such a vehicle as transient environmental hazards for the involved driver who is the subject of the investigation; consistency can only be achieved in this manner.

8. Environment Related Vision Obstructions and Hindrances

This category includes those environmental factors which limit the visual field of the driver beyond the boundaries of the vehicle or of the pedestrian. The four next-level categories are <u>Vision Obstructions</u>, <u>Low Visibility</u>, <u>Ambient Visibility</u> <u>Limitations</u>, <u>and Illumination Problems</u>. <u>Vision Obstructions</u> include view limitations due to curves, dips, or <u>hills in the roadway</u>; roadside embankments and structures or trees and bushes which impede vision; traffic as a view obstruction; and dirt, mud, snow, or ice which obscures roadway features. <u>Low</u> <u>visibility</u> includes low visibility of motorcycles due to small size, and the blending of pedestrians, vehicles, etc. into the background due to a camouflage effect. <u>Ambient visibility</u> refers to atmospheric conditions such as rain, snow, fog, darkness, etc. that limit visibility. The subcategory <u>Illumination problems</u> specifies factors related to the use and adequacy of artificial lighting and to the provision of reflectorized markings.

SECTION 12. INDIVIDUAL FACTOR LIST ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

- 1. General
 - 1.1. General traffic conditions
 - 1.1.1. High traffic density
 - 2. Traffic making frequent changes in velocity and track
 - 9. Other
 - 2. Environmental overload, complexity of traffic environment
 - 3. Ambient conditions such as temperature, dust, rain causing general driver discomfort
 - 4. Road under construction or repair
 - 5. Driver not acclimated to modernizations in roadway
 - 6. Road configuration presented large area to scan
 - 7. Monotonous road conditions
 - 8. Symbolism of vehicle types
 - 1.8.1. An ambulance makes its driver think speed is necessary
 - 2. Fire equipment can be expected to run red lights
 - 3. A customized car suggests that its driver may do risky things
 - The big truck and trailer will block the road because of slow speed on an upgrade
 - 5. Post office trucks make the driver feel he can stop in crosswalks or intersections to pick up mail
 - 6. A motorcycle suggests that its driver may disobey traffic ordinances
 - A driver of a small car will tend to take chances that drivers of large cars do not
 - 9. Other
 - 1.9. Other
- 2. Control Hindrances
 - 2.1. Road surface
 - 2.1.1. Road surface low coefficient of friction
 - 2.1.1.1. Due to traffic polishing
 - 2. Due to surface materials
 - 3. Due to oil deposits
 - 4. Due to water
 - 5. Due to snow
 - 6. Due to ice
 - 7. Due to salt slush
 - 9. Other
 - 2,1.2. Uneven road surface
 - 2.1.2.1. Poor riding quality; surface rough, bumpy
 - 2. Loose sand or gravel on road surface

2.1.2.3. Cracks, ruts, potholes, ravelled edges

- 4. Expansion joints raised above road surface
- 5. Lane joints open, rough, or uneven
- 9. Other
- 2.1.3. Dirt or gravel road
- 2.1.9. Other
- 2.2. Shoulder surface and alignments (see 3.5.1. for shoulder width)
 - 2.2.1. Shoulder constructed of soft materials
 - 2. Shoulder wet
 - 3. Snow on shoulder
 - 4. Ice on shoulder
 - 5. Shoulder lower than pavement
 - 6. Shoulder not aligned with ground
 - 9. Other
- 2.3. Recovery area surface (see 3.5. Recovery Area for "width" and "obstacles")
 - 2.3.1. Too much slope in recovery area
 - 2.3.1.1. Excessive median slope
 - 2. Excessive slope in gore area
 - 3. Excessive slope on sides of road
 - 9, Other
 - 2.3.2. Hills, cuts, ditches in recovery area
 - 2.3.9. Other
- 2.4. Roadway inadequately banked or unbanked
- 2.5. Excessive road crown
- 2.6. Wind
 - 2.6.1. Moderate crosswind
 - 2. Gusty crosswind
 - 3, Strong crosswind
 - 4. Moderate parallel wind
 - 5. Gusty parallel wind
 - 6. Strong parallel wind
 - 9. Other
- 2.9. Other
- 3. Design Geometrics
 - 3.1. Roadway width
 - 3.1.1. Narrow lane width
 - 2. Excessive lane width

- 3.1.3. Three lane road with high traffic volume
 - 9. Other
- 3.2. Grade or curve (note: For grade or curve as view obstruction, code subfactor of 8. <u>Environment Related Vision Obstructions</u>; for Grade or Curve problems at interchanges, code subfactor of 3.3. <u>Interchange Design Features</u>)
 - 3.2.1. Curve
 - 2. Grade
 - 3. Excessively winding, twisting roadway
 - 4. Curve at end of long straight segment
 - 5. Downgrade or upgrade curved
 - 6. "At grade" railroad crossing
 - 7. Truck lane needed on upgrade
 - 8. Truck escape ramp needed on long, steep downgrade
 - 9. Other
- 3.3. Interchange design features
 - 3.3.1. Longitudinal inadequacies
 - 3.3.1.1. Insufficient maneuvering distance between exit ramps
 - 2. Insufficient maneuvering distance between entry terminal and exit
 - 3. Entry lane too short to permit safe merging of traffic in interchange
 - 9. Other
 - 3.3.2. Transectional inadequacies
 - 3.3.2.1. Exit and entry lanes too narrow for traffic volume
 - 2. Exit ramp two lanes wide where leaves mainline, extra mainline lane not available
 - 3. Entrance ramp two lanes wide at merge with mainline, extra mainline lane not available
 - 4. Ramp shoulders too narrow
 - 9. Other
 - 3.3.3. Grade or curve inadequacies
 - 3.3.3.1. Exit ramp leaves mainline where mainline curves left, rather than on tangent section
 - 2. Entry ramp joins mainline at too great an angle

168

- 3.3.3.3. Exit ramp leaves mainline at too great an angle

 - 5. Entering ramp curve not fitted to roadway curve
 - 6. Ramp gradient too steep
 - 9. Other
- 3.3.4. Poor location of exit ramp terminal (i.e. in proximity of businesses or poor transition with adjoining roadway)
 - 9. Other

3.4. Intersections

- 3.4.1. No traffic separation at busy intersection
 - 2. Left turn lane or speed change lane needed at crossing
 - 3. Left turn median lane too short to store volume of left-turning traffic
 - 4. Traffic circle acts as hazard for high speed through traffic
 - 5. Safe stopping sight distance to intersection inadequate
 - 6. Turning radius too short, causing cars to change lanes
 - 7. Inadequate channelization of traffic at oblique intersection, three-leg junctions, multi-leg intersections
 - 8. Acceleration and deceleration lanes not long enough to accommodate vehicles at non access-controlled facilities
 - 9. Other
- 3.5. Recovery Area Shoulder, Median, Roadside, Gore Area (see 2.3. <u>Recovery</u> <u>Area Surface</u> for slopes, ditches, etc. as control hindrances; see 8. <u>Environ-ment Related Vision Obstructions, etc</u>. for signs, structures, etc. as view obstructions.)
 - 3.5.1. Shoulder
 - 3.5.1.1. Shoulder too wide; over 6', used for overtaking
 - 2. Shoulder too narrow (code 3.4.2.4. in case of ramp shoulders)
 - 9. Other
 - 3.5.2. Gore area
 - 3.5.2.1. Unnecessary signing, light standards, or roadway structures in gore area
 - Signs without breakaway supports in gore area (distinct from 3.5.2.1. above, unnecessary signing)

3.5.2.3. Trees, brush, natural obstacles in gore area

- 9. Other
- 3.5.3. Median
 - 3.5.3.1. No median provided
 - 2. Median too narrow
 - 3. Trees, brush, rock outcroppings in median
 - 4. Signs without breakaway supports
 - 5. Signs with breakaway supports
 - 6. Obstructing bridge abutment
 - 7. Obstructing culvert headwall
 - 8. Debris in median
 - 9. Other
- 3.5.4. Roadside area
 - 3.5.4.1. No recovery area provided
 - 2. Recovery area too narrow
 - 3. Trees, brush, rock outcroppings in roadside area
 - 4. Signs without breakaway supports
 - 5. Signs with breakaway supports
 - 6. Bridge abutment or culvert headwall
 - 7. Utility pole
 - 8. Pedestrian travel, footpaths
 - 9. Other
- 3,5.9. Other
- 3.6. Restraining Devices
 - 3.6.1. Availability of median barriers, guardrails, or construction barriers
 - 3.6.1.1. No median barrier where needed (barrier desirable on lightly to moderately traveled divided highways with 20' or less median width; on heavily traveled highways with 30' or less median width)
 - 2. No guardrail where one needed
 - 3. Guardrail too short, i.e. not generously spanning escarpment, ending in advance of piers or other obstacles
 - 4. Insufficient or improperly placed barriers channeling vehicles through construction area
 - 9. Other
- 3.6.2. Structure of median barrier or guardrail
 - 3.6.2.1. Type of median barrier inappropriate for location
 - Spacing of posts for guardrail used as median barrier too far apart (6'3" spacing desirable at high exposure locations)
 - Median barrier ends not buried or flaired, or approach end of guardrail not flaired away from roadway and anchored to ground
 - Guardrail end not secured to bridge paraput or other structure
 - Guardrail not blocked out or supported away from post to minimize pocketing
 - Guardrail too close to road edge (minimum of 2-3 feet beyond shoulder allowable, 12 to 13 feet desirable)
 - 7. Curb în front of barrier or guardrail, causing vehicle to land on top of or hurdle installation (see also 3.7. <u>Bridge</u>, etc.) tunnel, roadside structure, curb)
 - 8. Barrier or guardrail weak or broken
 - 9. Other
- 3.7. Bridge, tunnel, roadside structure, curb
 - 3.7.1. Bridge, tunnel, or underpass too narrow; width of or narrower than roadway
 - 2. Lateral clearance at bridge abutment inadequate
 - 3. Approach angle at bridge, tunnel, or underpass too acute for safe turn or adequate sight distance
 - 4. Barrier curb too low
 - 5. No harrier curb where needed
 - 6. Barrier curb where not desirable, e.g. in front of guardrail
 - 7. Safety walk over bridge; curb causes vehicle to bounce over paraput
 - 9. Other
- 3.8. Miscellaneous
 - 3.8.1. Drainage
 - 3.8.1.1, Inadequate provisions for drainage
 - 2. Drainage system blocked or clogged
 - 9. Other

- 3.8.2. Inadequate pedestrian facilities (for pedestrian information facilities see 4. <u>Signs</u>, 5. <u>Signals</u>, 6. <u>Markings</u>)
 - 3.8.2.1. No pedestrian underpass or overpass where needed
 - 2. No sidewalks where needed
 - 3. Inadequate routing or direction of school children and traffic in school area
 - 9. Other
- 3.9. Other Design Geometric problems
- Signing (see 3.5. <u>Recovery Area</u> for signs as obstacles and subcategories of
 <u>Environment Related Vision Obstructions, etc</u>. for signs as view obstructions, dirt, snow obscured signs, and sign illumination or reflectorization)
 - 4.1. No sign where needed
 - 4.1.1. Regulatory signs
 - 4.1.1.1. Right-of-way signs (yield, stop)
 - 2. Speed (speed zone ahead, speed limit, end speed limit)
 - 3. Movement (one way, do not pass, pass with care, keep right)
 - 4. Parking signs
 - 5. Pedestrian signs
 - 9. Miscellaneous regulatory signs (other)
 - 4.1.2. Warning signs
 - 4.1.2.1. Alignment series (turn, curve, reverse curve)
 - 2. Intersection series (approaching intersection: cross road, side road, etc.)
 - 3. Channelization and pavement series (sudden change in width or condition of roadways; i.e. narrow bridge, divided highway, pavement ends, one-lane bridge)
 - 4. School signs (at school grounds and school crossings)
 - 5. Railroad crossing signs (railroad advance warning signs, railroad crossbuck signs)
 - 9. Miscellaneous (other)
 - 4.1.3. Guide signs
 - 4.1.3.1. Route markers (to identify highways)
 - Destination and distance signs (city, town, mileage, identification of exit)
 - 3. Information signs (scenic attractions, points of local interest, city and county lines, county police stations)
 - 9. Miscellaneous (other)
 - 4.1.9. Other

4.2. Signing inadequacies

4.2.1. Structure or maintenance of sign

4.2.1.1. Sign down

- 2. Sign leans
- 3. Sign turned, can't be read or easily misread
- 4. Sign too low, too high
- 9. Other
- 4.2.2. Location, prominence, confusion
 - 4.2.2.1. Detraction because of unnecessary signing
 - 2. Location of sign inadequate
 - 3. Nonstandardized signing
 - 4. Prominence of signing inadequate (sign size, letter size, differentiation between major and minor signs)
 - 5. More information given than could be read and comprehended
 - 6. Message unclear or easily misinterpreted
 - 7. Signing not relatable to tour maps
 - 9. Other
- 4.2.9. Other signing problems
- 5. Signals (see 3.5. for signal structures as obstacles and 8.1.8. for dirt, snow, etc. obscured signals)
 - 5.1. Traffic signal needed
 - 5.1.1. No signal where needed (minimum criteria of traffic volume, interruption of traffic volume, and accident experience justify signal device)
 - 2. No left turn traffic signal where needed
 - 3. No separate and distinct signal phase for urban pedestrian crossings
 - 9. Other
 - 5.2. Traffic signal where not needed
 - 5.3. Signal inadequacies
 - 5.3.1. Inadequate contrast between signal and other lights in vicinity
 - 2. Signal as distraction
 - 3. Traffic signal light too small or too dim
 - 4. Traffic signal too low or too high
 - 5. Cycle length too long or too short
 - 6. Change in type of signal needed
 - 7. Traffic signal not coordinated with railroad crossing signal

5.3.8. Traffic signal inoperative or down

- 9. Other
- 5.9. Other signal difficulties
- Markings (see subcategories of 8. <u>Environment Related Vision Obstructions, etc</u>. for snow, ice, etc. obscured markings and reflectorized markings)
 - 6.1. No markings
 - 6.1.1. No centerline or lane marking
 - 2. No stop line marking
 - 3. No barrier line at no-passing zone
 - 4. No crosswalk markings for pedestrians
 - 5. No road edge markings
 - 6. No pavement-width transition markings
 - 7. No nose or funnel markings at exit or entry ramps
 - 8. No object markings on physical obstructions in or near roadway
 - 9. Other
 - 6.2. Insufficient markings
 - 6.2.1. Insufficient lane marking to warn vehicles of approaching exit from expressway or interchange
 - Insufficient lane marking to warn vehicles of approaching entry lane traffic
 - 3. Inadequate channeling of traffic in advance of intersection or interchange
 - No passing lines not placed so as to allow safe sight distance for passing
 - 9. Other
 - 6.3. Markings worn or obscured
 - 6.3.1. Markings worn away
 - 2. Markings partially worn away
 - 9. Other
 - 6.9. Other marking problems
- 7. Special Transitory Hazards
 - 7.1. Standing or overturned vehicle in road--previous accident
 - 2. Standing vehicle in road--other
 - 3. Driverless moving vehicle in road
 - 4. Noncontact moving vehicle caused problem
 - 5. Glare from headlights
 - 6. Fallen stone, tree, object in road

- 7.7. Fallen load in road
- 7.8. Animal in road
 - 7.8.1. Live animal
 - 2. Dead animal
- 7.9. Other transitory hazards
- 8. Environment Related Vision Obstructions or Hindrances
 - 8.1. Vision obstructions
 - 8.1.1. Due to curve or grade (see next subcategory, 8.1.2. if at interchange)
 - 8.1.1.1. Crossroad hidden by curve
 - 2. Railroad crossing hidden by curve
 - Downhill passing sight distance restricted by overpass structure
 - 4. Curve as view obstruction, other
 - 5. Grade as view obstruction, other
 - 8.1.2. Field of view limited at interchange
 - 8.1.2.1. Exit ramp hidden by curve, overpass or other structure
 - 2. Entrance ramp terminal hidden from mainline by curve, overpass or other structure
 - 3. View of traffic from ramp terminal inadequate
 - 9. Other
 - 8.1.3. Roadside embankments, escarpments as view obstruction
 - 4. Roadside structure as view obstruction
 - 5. Brush, roadside growth, trees as view obstruction
 - 6. Buildings as view obstruction
 - 7. Traffic or pedestrians as view obstruction
 - 8.1.7.1. Stopped or moving traffic
 - 2. Parked vehicle
 - 3. Pedestrians
 - 8. Dirt, mud, snow, ice obscured features
 - 8.1.8.1. Sign, signal, markings, other object obscured by dirt, mud, snow, ice, etc.
 - 2. Traffic signal, lens, reflector, or bulb dirty
 - 9. Other
 - 8.2. Low visibility
 - 8.2.1. Low visibility of motorcycle due to small size
 - 2. Camouflage effect
 - 8.2.2.1. Vehicle blends into background
 - 2. Pedestrian blends into background

8.3. Ambient visibility limitations

- 8.3.1. Rain
 - 2. Snow
 - 3. Fog
 - 4. Dusk
 - 5. Darkness
 - 6. Glare from sun
 - 8.3.6.1. Direct
 - 2. Reflected off roadside object (when reflected off vehicle, code as <u>Vehicle Factor</u>
 - 7. Dust as visibility limitation
 - 9. Other
- 8.4. Illumination problems
 - 8.4.1. Artificial lighting needed
 - 8.4.1.1. Lighting of sign
 - 2. Lighting of curve
 - 3. Lighting of intersection or interchange
 - 4. Lighting of other portion of roadway
 - 9. Other

8.4.2. Reflectorized markings needed on pavement

- 3. Reflectorized markings needed on roadside obstacle
- 4. Reflectorized lettering on sign needed
- 5. Illumination provided where not needed
- 6. Inadequacies in illumination
 - 8.4.6.1. Placement, design, type of lighting inadequate
 - Transition zone needed for drivers passing into and out of lighted area
 - 9. Other

8.4.9. Other illumination problems

8.9. Other environment related vision obstructions or hindrances

9. Other environmental factors

SECTION 13.

ACCIDENT CAUSAL ANALYSIS SYSTEM

PHASE TWO

In phase two, revisions of the Causal Analysis System developed in phase one are recommended. A number of revisions are suggested which should provide a means of more completely representing the interrelationship of factors. As interactions and interdependencies among factors are basic to the occurrence of the accident event, many revisions to be considered here are basic changes of the structure of the phase one system. A complete phase two system, including detailed coding procedures and instructions, has not been developed, but rather recommendations for refinements of the Accident Causal Analysis System are indicated for future considerations.

The purpose of an accident causal analysis system is to study causal factors in the accident event. Study of factors can be of two types: identification of significant factors associated with the event, and observation of the interrelationships between factors. Two types of descriptive data are coded in an analysis system. The first are data which identify and describe the event, e.g. roadway type, vehicle type, crucial event, etc. The second data which describes the individual factors which contributed to the occurrence of the event. The component factors in the event are analyzed and described according to their function in the event.

General Descriptive Data

Accident events occur in a variety of circumstances and are of different types. Due to the variety of accident events included in the study, additional data which are generally descriptive of the characteristics of the accident event are recommended for inclusion in phase two of the Accident Causal Analysis System. These are data which have been previously encoded at HSRI, e.g. in CPIR forms, however, in order that the system include all analysis data necessary for general analysis purposes, these additional data should be added to the descriptive data in phase one. The data include:

- Basic identifying characteristics of Human and Environmental elements, e.g. driver age and sex, roadway type and special characteristics such as intersections or interchanges.
- 2. Crucial Event, e.g. left available path, rollover, etc.)
- 3. Accident and Injury Severity, e.g. VDI and average AIS per traffic unit.)

Individual Factor Description

In order to represent the interrelationship of factors in an analysis system there must be a description of all component factors of the event according to their function in the event. In phase one, some factor types are partially listed, factor components are unanalyzed, and categorization of some factors is inappropriate. These problems result in restrictions on the number of factors described and on the observation of interrelationships of factors. The following are comments on the phase one organization of individual factors and suggested refinements of the system.

Factors in phase one are organized into Condition Factors and Direct 1. Factors. Condition Factors are qualities of the human, vehicle, and environment which contribute to the collision. Direct Factors are actions or inactions of the human, vehicle, or environment which contribute to the collision. Condition Factors are described in all three elements; Direct factors are described only in the human element. In order to identify all causally related factors and represent interrelationships of factors, Direct Factors must be structured in the vehicular and environmental elements. Examples of Direct Factors in these elements are "vehicle braking efficiency reduced," "vehicle engine failed," "vehicle skidded on icy roadway," "reduced control of vehicle on soft shoulder". It may appear redundant to list Direct Factors in these elements. Vehicle and Environment Direct Factors can be predicted from Condition Factors; e.g. "reduced braking efficiency" (Direct Factor) predictably results from the Condition Factor, "worn brake linings", and "vehicle skidded on icy roadway" (Direct Factor) is the predictable outcome of the Condition Factor, "icy roadway". However, to identify and structure factors so as to represent interrelationship of factors in the event, it would be necessary to describe Direct Factors in these elements.

2. Human Direct Factors in phase one include two distinct types of factors. Direct Factors describe actions or inactions which contributed to the accident event. There are, however, two types of activity to be considered in the human element. These are thought (activity in the internal environment), and action (activity in the external environment). Thought and action are two distinct fields of activity and are the two components of Human Direct Factors. As the function of a causal analysis system is to analyze component factors in an event, thought and action should be organized into two separate categories. At present the phase one system lists some factors which describe thoughts, e.g. "misjudgment of closure rate," "assumed other vehicle had to stop,"; and other factors which consist of actions, e.g. "followed too closely," "speed too fast for traffic conditions".

It does not appear feasible to develop a Direct Factor List of thoughts that contribute to accidents. Such a list would be too broad in scope and most factors would be unidentifiable. For example, the thoughts causally related to a driver's action of exceeding the speed limit might be innumerable, many of them unrelated to the roadway environment, and many unidentifiable. Some semblance of thought factors could be structured by describing the manner in which the driver related to the roadway and traffic environment as a result of thoughts, e.g. "driver thought he would speed", "driver did not think to check for cross traffic", etc. However, listing of thought factors in this form is approximately the equivalent of listing Condition Factors, Driver Knowledge, discussed in #6.

It is reasonable, however, to construct a complete list of driver actions and inactions that were causally related to the collision event. Human Direct Factors could describe all driver actions or inactions in the external environment which were found to contribute to the collision. (See #3, organization of Human Direct Factors, #4, listing of Human Direct Factors.) 3. Individual factors in phase one Human Direct Factors are categorized in the main headings, Recognition Errors, Decision Errors, and Performance Errors. Recognition, decision, and performance come into play in the following way:

THOUGHT	ACTION	
Recognition	Decision	Performance

The phases of recognition, decision, and performance span the two components of driver activity, thought and action. The following are examples of these three phases in individual driver errors:

"Driver failed to observe stop sign." (Recognition Error, phase one)

THOU	GHT	ACTION
Did not recognize stop sign.	Decided to enter inter- section. (Decision based on insufficient informa- tion.)	Drove into intersection without slowing or stopping, did not have right of way.

"Driver drove too fast for road or traffic conditions." (Decision Error, phase one)

THOU	JGHT	ACTION
Did not recognize safe speed for road or traffic conditions.	Decided to exceed safe speed for road or traffic conditions.	Drove at speed too fast for road and traffic conditions.

"Driver mistakingly used gas pedal instead of brake pedal." (Performance Error, J.S. Baker & L.R. Horn's "An Inventory of Factors Suggested as Contributing to Traffic Accidents".)

THOL	ACTION	
Did not recognize pedal position relative to foot position.	Decided to use gas pedal.(De- cision based on însufficient information.)	Used gas pedal instead of brake pedal

Since insufficiencies in recognition, decision, and performance are phases of each individual driver error, driver errors should not be grouped in these categories. The recognition/decision/performance categories possibly were formulated on the mistaken principle that human errors result from some fault in the information processing system. Driver errors do not result from flaws in information processing, but from limitations of driver consciousness. The information processing system does not somehow misstep, but the product of the information processing system is according to the consciousness of the individual.

Human Direct Factors describing driver actions require broad and definitive category headings. Categories such as Speed, Direction, Control, etc. which describe specific driver functions might suffice.

4. Human Direct Factors in phase two should include a list of driver actions and inactions that is fairly complete and can be expanded as new factors are coded. The list of direct factors in phase one is not complete, though this is because it describes both thought and action factors in the same list.

5. Condition Factors in phase one do not include driver states of mind and driver stress factors that originate during the precrash phase. For example, "preoccupation" is a condition which develops from thinking about matters other than the driving task (direct factor). "Inattention" and "distraction" are also Condition Factors which develop from Direct Factors, however each of these states of mind are categorized as Direct Factors in the phase one organization. "Panic and Freezing" is categorized as a performance error among phase one Direct Factors. The stress that develops from hurried and unsettling thoughts and actions during the precrash phase is not coded as a Condition factor, though this type of stress is most likely a frequent severity increasing factor in collisions. These are examples of Condition Factors that develop during the precrash phase and should be added to the factor list.

6. Lack of knowledge and skill is causally related to virtually every collision event, however these factors of knowledge and skill are not included in phase one. Knowledge and skills develop from thought and action. Knowledge is continually developing and changing during the precrash phase, and levels of skill also fluctuate. Knowledge and skill may be lacking due to inadequate development during driver training and education or they may be lacking due to their not being consciously available to the driver at the time of the collision event. Whatever the reason, lack of knowledge or skill which contributes to a collision can be listed as a causal factor. Examples of knowledge factors are [lack of knowledge of...] "stop sign," "vehicle stopping or slowing ahead," "speed appropriate for traffic or road conditions," "closure rate of cross traffic," "intersecting vehicle without right of way". Factors of knowledge and skill lacking in the event that was reasonably possible for a normally conscious and functionning driver to employ can be coded as causal in the event.

Knowledge and skill factors are Condition Factors that are created from driver action.

7. Critical Non-Performances and Intentional Collisions are main headings of Human Direct Factors in phase one. No Direct Factors are described for these collisions. To achieve consistency in the description of data, individual Direct Factors should be described for these collisions. There is no reason to categorize critical non-performances and non-accidental collisions separately for individual factor analysis. It can be indicated in the <u>General Descriptive Data</u> that collisions were critical non-performances or non-accidental collisions.

Several sources in the accident causation literature posed the question of whether critical non-performances, suicides, and intentional collisions constituted traffic accidents. The definition of traffic accident used in this causal analysis system is a disruption of the traffic system which results in vehicle damage or bodily injury. By this definition, these types of collisions constitute traffic accidents.

The above points indicate ways or directions in which the causal structure could be modified to more clearly model factors in the accident event. This second phase has provided some further development of accident causation theory and contains several concepts and ideas, for consideration, which may be new or unfamiliar in the field. It is hoped that some of these basic concepts will provide some stepping stones for further consideration and evaluation of accident causation analysis systems.

SECTION 14.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

References most frequently used in preparation of the analysis system are included here.

AASHO Traffic Safety Committee, <u>Highway Design and Operational Practices</u> <u>Related to Highway Safety</u> (the "yellow book"), published by AASHO, Washington, D.C., February, 1967.

Baker, J.S. and L.R. Horn, "An Inventory of Factors Suggested as Contributing to Traffic Accidents," prepared in connection with <u>Experimental Case Studies of</u> <u>Traffic Accidents</u>, Traffic Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, <u>Illinois</u>, 1960.

Institute for Research in Public Safety, <u>A Study to Determine the Relation-</u> ship between Vehicle Defects and Crashes, Indiana University, Department of Transportation Contract Number DOT-HS-034-2-263, November, 1972.

Little, Arthur D., Inc., <u>The State of the Art of Traffic Safety</u>, prepared for the Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., June, 1966.

National Association of County Engineers, <u>Manual on County Traffic Operations</u>: A Program for Accident Prevention, Washington, D.C.

Perchonok, K., "Analysis of Accident Causation:, Calspan Corporation, Buffalo, New York. And other Perchonok works.

Tharp, K.J., et al, <u>Multi-disciplinary Investigations to Determine Automobile</u> <u>Accident Causation: Findings</u>, CAL No. VJ-2224-V-4, Memorandum Agreement No. FP-10 with: Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., March, 1970.

APPENDIX D

"An Occupant Injury Classification Procedure Incorporating the Abbreviated Injury Scale"

NOTE: As a result of subsequent comments and experience, significant improvements have been made to the OIC scheme and procedure. These refinements were presented to the SAE Subcommittee on Accident Investigation Practices in Oklahoma City on November 14, 1973. The OIC application procedure presented at that time is the one included in the <u>1973 Editing Manual and Reference Information</u> and should be used for 1974 accident reports rather than the following documentation. This presentation emphasized the rationale for the OIC. The later documentation emphasizes the application. An Occupant Injury Classification Procedure Incorporating the Abbreviated Injury Scale

Un Procédé de Classification de Blessures des Voyageurs Incorporant l'Échelle de Blessures Abrégée

prepared by:

Joseph C. Marsh IV Senior Research Associate Systems Analysis Division Highway Safety Research Institute University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105

presented to:

NATO Committee on the Challenges of Modern Society Road Safety Pilot Study

> Accident Investigation Project Final Accident Investigation Workshop

> > Brussels, Belgium June 28-29, 1973

ABSTRACT

A new procedure for classifying individual occupant injuries was derived from the NATO "Collision Analysis Report Form" that permits the correlation of injury sources (contact areas) and specific injuries. The Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) follows an approach similar to the Collision Deformation Classification (SAE J224a). Four dimensions or facets are described by letters: Body Region, Aspect, Lesion and Body System/Organ. The OIC is terminated with an Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) severity number.

The injuries of nearly 7,000 vehicle occupants have been reported on the existing "Collision Performance and Injury Report" by investigators in the United States and stored in time-shared computer files for analysis by remote terminals. This existing system of recording occupant injuries is described briefly as a basis for establishing the new Occupant Injury Classification.

RÉSUMÉ

Un nouveau procédé pour classifier les blessures individuelles des voyageurs a été dérivé de la "Fiche de Rapport pour l'Analyse des Collisions" de NATO (O.T.A.N.) qui permet la corrélation des sources blessure (zones de contact) et les blessures spécifiques. La Classification de Blessures des Voyageurs (OIC) suit une direction de travail semblable à celle de la Classification de Déformation de Collision (SAE J224a). Quatre dimensions ou aspects sont désignés par des lettres: Région Corporelle, Aspect, Lésion et Système Corporel/Organe. La OIC se termine par un chiffre de gravité de l'Échelle des Blessures Abrégée (AIS).

Les blessures de 7,000 environ voyageurs en voiture ont été rapportées dans "Le Rapport de la Performance de Collision et des Blessures" existant par des chercheurs à l'U.S.A. et ont été mises en mémoire dans les fichiers de calculateur à opération en parallèle pour l'analyse par des bornes de commande à distance. Ce système d'enregistrement des blessures des voyageurs existant est décrit brièvement comme base sur laquelle s'établit la nouvelle Classification des Blessures des Voyageurs.

An Occupant Injury Classification Procedure Incorporating the Abbreviated Injury Scale

Joseph C. Marsh IV Highway Safety Research Institute University of Michigan

The reduction or prevention of occupant injury and mortality has been and will remain one of the primary thrusts of automobile safety. Research in crashworthiness, vehicle aggressivity, people packaging, occupant kinematics, and injury mechanics has resulted in vehicle design changes that reduce the severity and likelihood of occupant injury in automobile accidents. In order to confirm the success of design changes, document new accident injury patterns and provide guidelines for future research and design changes, over a dozen field accident investigation teams across North America conduct clinical accident investigations. The Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association of the United States, Inc.* (MVMA), the Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc. (SAE), the U.S. Department of Transportation National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and the Canadian Ministère des Transports, Road and Motor Vehicle Traffic Safety Branch are sponsoring in total over two thousand in-depth investigations a year.

ACCIDENT REPORT AUTOMATION AND UTILIZATION

Over 5,000 clinical investigations had been conducted as of May 1973. In order to provide an interface between these field accident reports and the data analyst, the Highway Safety Research Institute (HSRI) has developed an extensive information bank of computerized accident data files, under the sponsorship of the automobile industry (MVMA) and government The field accident investigation teams prepare written case re-(NHTSA). ports with 35mm slides and the Collision Performance and Injury Report (CPIR) (1)**. After the cases are submitted to the various sponsors they are transmitted to the Institute (HSRI) for editing, keypunching and computer storage. The automated computer files now contain over 800 variables on 3,503 case vehicles and 5,755 case vehicle occupants. The original cases are then returned to the sponsors for their review, publication and distribution to government, industry and research organizations. A more complete review of the 1972 MDAI report automation program has been published elsewhere (2,3).

Presently, all sponsors are being provided direct and simultaneous access to the data base through The University of Michigan's time-shared computer system (IBM 360/67) via remote batch terminals and interactive terminals (e.g., teletypes). Access is also provided to the Institute's Statistical Research System (4) which contains a extensive set of generalized data analysis programs. Through this system, users are also supplied with access to over 70 other police accident data files (5). Figure 1 displays the sources of Level III MDAI data with dots and police data with circles. Computer terminal access (dashed lines) is provided to each of the MVMA member corporations, Canadian and U.S. Departments of Transportation, and to six of the MDAI field teams.

It is not sufficient to only investigate accidents and compile the results in a computer file. The ultimate justification for our investigative efforts is their utilization in solving real problems.

*Formerly Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc.

**Numbers in parenthesis designate references at end of paper.



FIGURE 1 Map of Data Sources and Utilization

During the last year, the U.S. highway safety community has felt a particularly strong desire to make effective and rational use of the accident data collected to date. Partial evidence of this interest can be seen in the phenomenal growth in accident data file usage. For example, during November 1972, the MDAI case vehicle occupant file was accessed on the average of 6 times per working day from time-shared computer terminals.

There is sufficient data available now through such powerful computer programs that it is almost too easy to overwhelm yourself with "results" in the form of printouts. There is the even more real danger in accepting computer results as "truth". Conversely, one of the ways we can mature in our accident investigation and data analysis efforts is to cautiously try the system, i.e., collect and analyze accident data, questioning the results and trying again. The U.S. automobile industry and govermental agencies are now actively experiencing this healthy and sometimes painful interaction between the communities of accident investigators, data analysts and, the ultimate users, decision makers. This interaction is increasing the maturity with which each community is approaching their respective activities.

This increase in user exposure to accident data has brought into clearer focus several problems with the current methods for recording the results of clinical accident investigations for computer storage and analysis. One of these is the problem of: "What components cause injury?" One solution to this problem was first presented to American Association for Automotive Medicine (AAAM) at their Sixteenth Conference in October 1972 (6). Since the Occupant Injury Classification scheme* was first proposed to AAAM, experience has been gained while encoding several hundred cases using the OIC. This experience has permitted a more concise description of the Occupant Injury Classification procedure. The remainder of this paper will discuss each of these topics in turn:

- (1) Rationale for Occupant Injury Classification Scheme
- (2) Definition of Occupant Injury Classification Scheme
- (3) Procedure for Occupant Injury Classification
- (4) Refinements of 1972 OIC

RATIONALE FOR OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME

What components cause injury? This question is frequently asked yet always left unanswered. The adequate recording of occupant injury causation data is seen as possibly the most critical problem area remaining in answering this question. First, even sophisticated analysis of overall occupant injury levels can be misleading. Secondly, even when analysing specific injuries, the current <u>Collision Performance and Injury Report</u> form and the <u>NATO Collision Analysis Report Form</u> (7), besides being cumbersome to encode and analyze, has no provision for recording which area of contact or energy transfer caused a specific injury.

ROOF INJURY CAUSATION IN ROLLOVERS - It is possible, using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) program, to demonstrate a strong statistical association in rollovers between the extent of maximum roof crush and the overall occupant injury severity (8). Note how misleading this result could be. Figure 2** displays a statistical relationship, not necessarily a causal relationship.

It could be that the extent of roof crush is simply an indicator of accident severity and that injury severity increases with accident severity. Figure 3 shows that increased frontal crush in rollovers is significantly associated with increased AIS. Does this mean that increased frontal crush "causes" increased injury? Or in other words, should cars have stiff non-crushable front ends? Clearly not. It should be noted that because two variables are statistically associated, this in itself does not mean a causal relationship. Because roof crush is related to injury, this does not mean that roof crush causes injury.



*The Occupant Injury Classification described in this paper, was developed under NHTSA sponsorship (Contract # DOT-HS-031-1-037 and Contract # DOT-HS -031-3-589).

**The T-bars in Figure 2 show the first standard deviation for injuries in each roof crush increment.

The point to be made is that one must frequently look at specific injuries (e.g., head, neck) and what caused them (e.g., roof). While field investigators may determine this causal relationship, the current injury causation coding scheme prohibits analysis of injury causation.

CURRENT INJURY CAUSATION CODING SCHEME - The CPIR Revision 3 records occupant injury detail according to the scheme shown in Figure 4. Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) severity codes (9) are entered in the right hand side of the table (columns 23 to 31) to indicate the type of injury, severity and body region injured. The Overall Injury to Body Region is coded in Column 22 and is at least equal to the highest AIS to the right. For each body region sustaining an injury, at least one area of possible contact is being recorded in the left half of the table. A list of over forty areas of occupant contact is provided including, for example, (13) for "armrests" and (00) for "area contacted unknown". Up to four contact areas are recorded per body region.

There is no consistency to the order that the four objects are recorded. Five different sequences are currently used:

- (1) Sequence contacted: first contact first
- (2) Likelihood: definite, probable, possible
- (3) Injury type: fracture contact before laceration contact
- (4) Injury severity: worst injury producing contact first
- (5) No order

In the course of preparing CPIR data for data processing and conducting analysis, several general observations were made:

- (a) Frequently, essential injury details were documented, yet we had no way to code this information in the data files.
- (b) Injury details that were coded (as described above) were cumbersome if not impossible to analyze using the computer storage format as defined.

The following specific observations of the Occupant Injury Detail page of Revision 3 CPIR and the NATO Collision Analysis Report Form (CARF) can be made:

- (1) No provision is made to relate specific injuries to specific contact areas in one body region, e.g., no record is kept of which facial injury is related to which contact area.
- (2) Two distinct injuries of the same type and in the same body region cannot be recorded independently, e.g., a facial laceration from the steering wheel and a second lateration from windshield contact must be recorded as one injury.
- (3) No way is provided in the CPIR form to record the specific body organs or systems affected, except for Internal Organs (card 12) and Brain (card 13). Body regions are appropriately defined as "geographical" areas of the body (except cards 12 and 13 as noted). While lung and heart trauma are often specifically documented they cannot be distinguished in coding or later analysis.
- (4) Recognizing the need for more body region detail, several new and proposed reporting forms have more than doubled the number of regions (Figure 5). But in the process, body regions and body organs have been mixed in one dimension of the table like "apples and oranges". No longer can chest region injuries be retrieved unless lung injury is also coded as chest trauma or

CPIR Injury Detail Page FIGURE 4

NATO-CARF Injury Detail Page ഹ FIGURE



Ankle, Foot

ŝ

. L Other

45

the analyst looks for each organ he considers as chest trauma. The same observation can be made of the CPIR Revision 3, where only internal injury and brain are provided. Both Body Region and Body System/Organ are essential but different dimensions of any injury coding scheme.

- (5) Injury types/diagnosis and injury consequences are mixed in a similar fashion along the top of the right hand table. For example, hemorrhage can be a consequence of a laceration.
- (6) Recording the correct AIS severity codes in the proper horizontal and vertical position can be cumbersome and error prone-particularly in the expanded version.
- (7) Computer storage of all 210 boxes or cells (544 cells in expanded version) for every occupant is wasteful as every cell must be stored, injury or not. In the HSRI data files (9/73) each occupant received an average of 3.2 injuries (Table 1). Thus an average of 96.8 percent is blank storage.

Table 1 - Number of Injuries Per Occupant

Number	Number
CPIR Injuries	Occupants
0	1148
1	581
2	597
<u>3</u>	359
4	375
5	236
6	196
7	161
8	97
9	79
10	70
11	40
12	31
13	23
14	23
15-86	83
	4169

These observations provide insight into the current accident recording practices and act as the background for the proposed Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) scheme.

OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION SCHEME

The Occupant Injury Classification is a scheme for recording specific occupant injuries in much the same manner as the Collision Deformation Classification (CDC), SAE J224a records vehicle damage (10). It is not a classification of overall occupant injury, but a scheme for recording each individual injury an occupant sustains. A series of independently defined classification facets are combined as a sequence of letters to describe an injury in terms of Body Region, Aspect, Lesion/Diagnosis and Body System/Organ. As with the CDC (or VDI) a numerical severity code terminates the OIC. The four main facets or dimensions of the OIC were developed directly from the CARF Occupant Injury Detail page. Instead of recording AIS codes in a large table, the OIC records the "position in the table" along several dimensions. It is analogous to the difference between storing a map of the U.S.A. with a few points plotted, vs. simply storing the latitude and longitude of the few points. Figure 6 displays the proposed scheme using single letter codes.

In practice the accident investigator records one Occupant Injury Classification for each significant injury he decides to document. The areas of contact related to each OIC are also coded in order to record a complete picture of injury causation. A simple example preceeds a more detailed OIC discussion.

OIC EXAMPLE - To demonstrate the potential effectiveness of the proposed scheme, facial injuries are coded under the existing system and the proposed OIC.

- (a) Laceration of left eye from contact with broken windshield, AIS-2.
- (b) Several facial contusions from impact with instrument panel, AIS-1.
- (c) Minor lip laceration from teeth during instrument panel contact, AIS-1.

Existing scheme:

Contact Areas	Region	Laceration	Contusions
Windshield, Instrument Panel, Other	Face	AIS-2	AIS-1

OIC scheme:

Contact Areas	Occupant Injury Classification	AIS
Windshield	Face: Left: Laceration: Nervous System-Eye	2
Instrument Panel	Face: Bilateral: Contusion: Intequmentary	1
Instrument Panel/Other	Face: Inferior: Laceration: Digestive System	1

OIC scheme coded:

Contact Areas	OIC
12	FLLE-2
05	FBCI-1
05,38	FILD-1

Several observations can be made from the example. The existing scheme throws away much of what we often know (as outlined earlier). This contrasts with the proposed OIC scheme which permits the investigator to record his findings freely and transmit them to the data analyst. Second we have with 4 letters provided more injury location detail than in the current collision coding forms. The 4 letters encode much more information, yet are simple to record, read and remember. Any more detail would be too burdensome to work with.

A more detailed explanation of each facet of the OIC will help

clarify its potential application. This will be followed by a procedure for recording the OIC.

BODY REGIONS - Initially we started with the eleven body regions defined in the current CPIR Revision 3 (Figure 4). Since body regions were interpreted as subsets of the body's surface, Internal Organs and Brain were not included.

Body Regions in 1969 CPIR

- H Head
- F Face
- N Neck
- S Shoulder Girdle
- U Upper Limb
- T Thorax
- B Lower Back, Lumbar
- A Abdomen
- P Pelvic Girdle
- L Lower Limb
- W Whole Body

The newer NATO Collision Analysis Report Form (Figure 5) expands the list to thirty-two body regions while dropping the "whole body" as a region. Many of these categories are organs, such as liver and spleen, not properly body regions. This expanded list confounds the concept of body region for field investigators and data analysts.

In order to provide for increased specificity in recording injuries and still conserve on coding and storage, two coordinate coding dimensions are provided: Body Region and Body System/Organs (discussed later) The expanded list of body regions in the NATO CARF was used as a foundation for the OIC (Figure 7). While similar to the NATO version, one significant difference occurs in the hip region. Only the pelvic bone, joint and associated tissue is included in the hip region (P). Internal organs in the pelvic structure are in the abdomen region (M).

OIC Body Region Codes

Η	Head (Skull, Scalp, Ears)
F	Face (Forehead, Nose, Eyes, Mouth)
Ν	Neck (Cervical Spine, C1-C7)
S	Shoulder (Clavicle, Scapula, Joint)
А	Arm *Upper)
Е	Elbow
R	Forearm
W	Wrist-Hand
В	Back (Thoraco-Lumbar Spine, T1-T12, L1-L5)
С	Chest
М	Abdomen (below diaphragm)
Р	Pelvis
т	Thigh (Femur)
К	Knee
\mathbf{L}	Leg (below knee)
Q	Ankle-Foot*
0	Whole Body
Х	Extremeties (Arms, Legs)
Y	Trunk (Chest, Abdomen, Legs)
U	Unknown, Unclassifiable

*Note: The letter "A" was previously used for "Ankle-Foot". "Ankle-Foot" is now coded as "O".



FIGURE 7 - OIC Body Regions

The broader regions (whole body, extremities and trunk) have been provided to aid the description of an injury occurrence that involves more than one region, such as a burn.

ASPECT - The aspect codes provide a fairly specific means of locating an injury in a body region, e.g., EP for Elbow Posterior. The coding of the arms and legs depends on the use of R and L for distinguishing which extremity region or both was injured. The use of any other aspect code implies that the same injury occurred to the same regions on both extremities. For example, if both knees were contused on the instrument panel, KB° could be used to indicate the bilateral injury. Likewise, superior and inferior (S,I) are required for distinguishing between the thorax and lumbar spine regions. The aspect codes can find interesting uses with the broader region codes O, X and Y. For instance, XR could be used in classifying an injury sustained by both the right arm and leg from contact with side interior.

OIC Aspect Codes

- R Right
- L Left
- B Bilateral
- C Central
- A Anterior/Ventral/Front

- P Posterior/Dorsal/Back
- S Superior/Cranial/Upper
- I Inferior/Caudal/Lower
- M Medial/Mesial/Midline
- O Whole Region
- U Unknown, Unclassifiable

Usually the desire to use two aspect codes can be resolved by selecting the more representative aspect--the one that best characterizes the injury. Thus, CS better describes the location of a four inch horizontal laceration in the upper left chest wall than CL.

The aspect code is the second letter of the OIC. It is a refinement of the first letter, i.e., a suffix to the body region. Therefore, it has meaning only in relationship to the body region to which it is applied. It cannot be used independent of the first letter for coding or analysis.

DIAGNOSIS OF LESION - The diagnosis of injury or lesion categories are basically the ones provided for in the expanded CARF injury detail page (Figure 5). The one significant addition is "asphyxia". While fairly rare, no provision exists currently for encoding this information when it occurs.

OIC Lesion Codes

- F Fractures (all skeletal)
- D Dislocations
- L Lacerations (open wounds)
- V Avulsion (torn away from)
- R Rupture (herniation)
- M Amputation
- C Contusion (Bruise, Crushing) Hematoma, Ecchymosis
- A Abrasions (Superficial, Scratch, Blister)
- K Concussion
- B Burn
- P Pain
- S Sprain
- H Hemorrhage*
- X Asphyxia (Suffocation, Anoxia, Obstruction)
- 0 Other
- U Unknown

Although grossly simplified, this dimension of the OIC parallels the Morphology Index of the "Systematized Nomenclature of Pathology" (11). This facet is primarily intended to code diagnostic information concerning pathological changes and not the signs and symptoms. Pain is the one exception, as it is useful for encoding those painful but vague abnormalities that are not specifically diagnosed. While not emphasized in the OIC, the classification of signs and symptoms does play a significant role in recording a patient's medical history (12) and in emergency medical services.

Pathological changes due to impact take precedence over the consequences of the lesions. Two exceptions exist: asphyxia and hemorrhage, because of their potential for critical or fatal consequences. Through either mechanism a minor laceration, for instance, could result in fatal

^{*}H - Hemorrhage is a new category.

consequences. Since hemorrhages are fairly common, H should be used conservatively, i.e., when the consequences of the subsequent hemorrhage are significantly more severe than the original injury. This situation occurs most frequently as a consequence of internal organ trauma.

BODY SYSTEMS/ORGANS - The fourth and final letter of the Occupant Injury Classification is the specific Body System or Organ affected. As with the other dimensions of the OIC the number of categories could be expanded by using more than one letter and at the risk of creating too intricate a scheme. Rather than list all the organs, the categories were based upon the major body systems. The combination of body system and body region categories work together to define specific tissue areas. For example, FILD-1, the Face Interior/Lower region and Digestive system combined infer "mouth". Similarly CRFS-3 (Chest Right Fracture Skeletal) indicates a simple rib fracture on the right side.

OIC System/Organ

S	Skeletal, Bones, Ligaments
V	Vertebrae
J	Joints, Articulations
D	Digestive
L	Liver
Ν	Nervous System
В	Brain
С	Spinal Cord
Е	Eyes, Ears
	Cardiovascular (Use A, H or Q)
А	Arteries, Veins*
Η	Heart
Q	Spleen
U	Urogenital
Κ	Kidneys
R	Respiratory
Р	Pulmonary, Lungs
М	Muscles
Ι	Integumentary (e.g., Skin, Hair)

U Unknown, Unclassified

There are a number of specific organs of special interest to the automotive medicine and engineering professions. The organs of greatest interest are indicated in the NATO expansion of the CPIR occupant injury detail page (Figure 5): lungs, heart, liver, spleen and kidneys. These along with vertebrae, joints, spinal cord, arteries, veins, eyes and ears have been provided with specific codes.

ABBREVIATED INJURY SEVERITY - The Occupant Injury Classification is terminated with the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) severity code in the same manner that the vehicle Collision Deformation Classification ends with a numeric extent code. The AIS has received wide acceptance and application. It provides a scaling of tissue damage that is consistent with the intent of the OIC. Because injuries to one body region are being coded, only severity codes 0 through 6 are used. This is the same convention currently used for encoding the CARF injury detail page (Figure 5).

*A - Arteries, Veins is a new category.

Abbreviated Injury Severity

- 0 No Injury
- 1 Minor
- 2 Moderate
- 3 Serious
- 4 Severe
- 5 Critical
- 6 Fatal
- 8 Presence of Injury Unknown
- 9 Severity Unknown

The maturity of a science can, in part, be measured by its measures. The science of automotive medicine has progressed from the rough categories of K-fatal, A-bleeding, B-bruises, C-complaint of pain; through its rating scales of DeHaven, Nahum, GMC, States, McKay, Robertson, Campbell, Schwimmer, Wolf, Brass and AMA as reviewed in reference 9. With reasonable confidence and reliability injuries can now be placed into rank ordered categories of increasing severity. In fact, some consider the Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) as a continuous or interval scale, like temperature in Centigrade. Just the fact that this issue is debated, is a measure of the maturity of the science of automotive trauma.

While not part of the OIC development, the future evolution and sophistication of injury scaling cannot be overplayed. Attempts have been made to evolve ratio severity scales so that a level 4 severity is twice that of a level 2 severity (13). Perhaps current computer based AIS prediction models will help establish the reliability and validity of the AIS scale.

Another dimension of sophistication is manifested in the AMA Comprehensive Injury Scale (14), which separates the criteria used in injury scaling into five categories: energy dissipation, threat-to-life, permanent impairment, treatment period and incidence. The utilization of the CIS opens the door to a whole host of multivariate analysis, clustering techniques and multidimensional scaling methods and might even permit the analyst to synthesize his own injury scale base on the five components of the Comprehensive Injury Scale.

PROCEDURE FOR OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION

The format for recording injuries is displayed in Figure 8. For each injury, 4 contact areas can be recorded (col. 14-21) in likelihood order: definite, probable, possible, using an expanded list of vehicle contact area codes (Figure 9). For each traumatic vehicle contact sustained by the occupant, 2 OIC's can be recorded. The first OIC (col. 22-26) is for primary injury and the second OIC (col. 27-31) is for an optional associated injury (defined later). Only those cards (lines) with encoded data are keypunched. Each occupant is coded on a separate form so that the injury card numbers (col. 10-11) start over for each new occupant number (col. 12-13). The computer will then format this information into one logical record per injury so analysis can be conducted on injury-by-injury basis.

While the valid combinations of OIC letters and injury severity codes are generally self defined, the chart in Figure 10 displays most of the valid combinations. The chart may be of assistance to the field data recorder and will be used later by the computer to aid in editing recorded OIC's. Note that pain (P) is to be used with muscles (M), not bones and that fracture (F) is permitted for internal solid organs such as the liver (L). Case Report Number

FIGURE 8. OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION CODING FORM

-

	C A R D N U M B E R	O C C U P A N T N O.	r≮ P(ENTER FOR AR DSSIBLE	CODE(S) EA(S) O CONTA	F CT
1.9	10-11	12.13	14-15	16 17	18 19	20 21
	12					
	13					
	14					
	15					
A	16					
F	17					
R	18					
P	19					
E	20					
D	21					
N G	22					
C A	23					
D	24					
	25					
	26					



198

	BEYOND CASE VEHICLE BOUNDARY	 (36) Area exterior to car (specific area unknown) (70) Hood of other vehicle exterior hardware, (71) Other Vehicle exterior hardware, (6.g., ourside mirrors, antennas, door handles, etc. (73) Exterior side roof rail of other vehicle (75) Trunk of other vehicle (75) Outside surface of other vehicle (75) Outside surface of other vehicle (76) Outside surface of other vehicle (77) Tires of other vehicle (77) Tires of other vehicle (78) Ground (78)	
	RIOR	<pre>Front seatbacks Restraint system webbing Head restraints Differ occupants Interior loose object Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Front seat cushion Coat books Sunvisors & fittings and/or top molding (header) Nucloi or convertible tom Coat hooks Courtesy light Courtesy light Courtes controls Courtes controls Courtes controls Courtes counted Froor Froor Froor Froor Froor Console Dacklight (rear window) Backlight (rear window) Dacklight header Froor case vehicle Courtes case vehicle Courtes case vehicle Courtes case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courtes case vehicle Courtes case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courtes artor case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courtes artor case vehicle Courties artor case vehicle Courtes artor</pre>) TITES OI CASE VENICLE
DES FOR AREAS OF CUPANT CONTACT	NONT OF PASSENGER COMPARTMENT	Si Instrument Panel (Specific Area Unknown) (29) (Upper Instrument Panel (Y) (31) (Upper Instrument Panel (Y) (30) (Envert Instrument Panel (Y) (30) (Envert Instrument Panel (Y) (30) (Envert Instrument Panel (Y) (30) (31) Beneath Instrument Panel (Y) (32) (31) Beneath Instrument Panel (Y) (32) (32) Steering Wheel Column ROOF (31) Steering Wheel Column ROOF (31) Steering Wheel Column (32) (32) Steering Wheel Column (32) (33) Steering Wheel Column (32) (33) Steering Wheel Column (32) (33) Steering Wheel Column (32) (34) Steering Wheel (00) (35) Steering Wheel (00) (35) Steering Wheel (00) (35) Hardware items (Specific Iten Unknown) (32) (35) Hardware items (Specific Iten Unknown) (32) (33) Hardware items (Specific Iten Unknown) (32) (34) Harter or AC Ducts (34) Ashtray (Instruments Area (35) Instruments (Specific Iten Unknown) (32) (34) Harter or AC Ducts (35) Steering Brake (Location Unknown) (30) (36) Unstruments (Specific Iten Unknown) (30) (37) Survisors & fittings and/or (37) Survisors & fittings (58) (39) Survisors & fittings (58) Survisors & fittings (58) Survisors & fittings (58) Survisors & fittings (58) Survisors & fi	(64)
		199	

• • •

-

.

FIGURE 9



.

1

With a proper coding format, the OIC facilitates the description of many specific types of tissue damage and permits the recording of injury causation or injury sources on an injury-by-injury basis. The critical problem, then, is defining what an "injury" is. What level of detail should be recorded? An operational definition of an "injury" is needed to provide boundaries of the level of detail to be encoded. This is accomplished by default in the CARF form, as an injury is defined as one box in the CARF occupant injury detail table. For example, only one laceration per body region is permitted.

One body region can hit several different vehicle interior (or exterior) areas, causing distinct injuries. In order to link injuries with injury sources (contact areas), an OIC should be established for each separate injury to a body region due to distinct contact areas. A driver sustaining two facial lacerations, one from contact with the steering wheel and a second from the windshield, should have two separate Occupant Injury Classifications, each with a different associated contact area. Similarly a laceration in one area and contusion of a different area in the same body region from the same vehicle contact area can be considered as separate injuries.

The recording of two injuries in a single body area that resulted from one contact is a particular problem. Is the rib fracture and associated pneumothorax from steering column loading, one injury or two injuries? From an injury causation point of view only unique points of injury producing energy transfer should be recorded, but this approach might limit the recording of some significant traumatic conditions resulting from the dissipation of energy.

Campbell's Traumatic Tissue Damage Record (15) is in part "based upon the recognition that as the energy passes through various layers or structures it may leave some evidence of its effect in the tissue. Damage may therefore be described and assessed for all of the major tissues through which the force passes at whatever level they occur". To keep the number of details to be coded to a manageable level, he further suggests "that only the damage at greatest depth in the body needed to be described in any one particular injury".

This conceptualization of injury is the approach suggested for recording trauma with one exception--the injury classifier is permitted two OIC's for each force application or contact point (Figure 8). Three uses of the primary and associated OIC have been defined.

1. TWO LESIONS FROM ONE CONTACT - When there are two distinct lesions resulting from contact with one vehicle area, two OIC's can be recorded. The first OIC would be the diagnosis of damage at the deepest level or the most important deepest structure. The second OIC can be used to describe other associated traumatic conditions. Using the earlier example, the pheumothorax would receive the primary OIC and the rib fracture the associated OIC. Because contusions and abrasions frequently occur together (i.e., in one area of a body region from one vehicle contact area), they are most conveniently recorded on one line with contusions as the first OIC and abrasions as the second OIC.

2. INDIRECT OR INDUCED INJURY - Dr. Donald F. Huelke, Professor of Anatomy, University of Michigan, Medical School, proposed the concept of "induced injury" or indirect injury (16) through the following example, "a passenger strikes his forehead on the windshield and sustains a bump on the head. Obviously, the head bump is related to the windshield. But, in addition, he has pain in the neck. No specific car component was struck thus this would be induced injury, just as we see induced damage to a car in areas not in the impact area. In addition, another example of induced injury would be a dislocated hip from striking the knee on the instrument panel."

A medical interpretation (17) could well consider that "all injury except skin injury is induced, i.e., is due to transmitted forces." The interpretation made in the OIC is that indirect injuries are injuries to one body region caused by a blow or contact in some other body region. In other words, indirect injuries occur when traumatic energy is transmitted through one body region to another region.

3. CONSEQUENCES - The critical and fatal consequences of primary trauma can be coded as associated injuries. Asphyxia (X) and hemorrhage (H) are the most common significant consequences. If, due to face trauma blood flow blocks off air flow, the resulting aspyxia would be coded (FIXR-6) as an associated trauma. A minor three inch wrist laceration (WRLI-1) could result in a fatality because of the associated hemorrhage of the lacerated ulna or radial artery (WRHA-6). Exterior hemorrhaging should not be coded unless it is of significant consequence. Internal hemorrhaging (e.g., subdural hematoma (HLHB-6), Hemothorax (CWHA-6), or hemoperitonum (MWHD-6) may have frequent application at the critical and fatal injury severity levels.

REFINEMENTS OF 1972 OIC

Since the concept of the Occupant Injury Classification scheme was presented in October 1972 (6), several hundred clinical accident investigations have been encoded using the OIC, both by the Highway Safety Research Institute and by many of the field MDAI teams. This experience has provided the opportunity to better define the procedure for using the OIC. Several significant changes have also been made to the original OIC categories as a result of the coding experience. Two body regions were divided and a new lesion and system/organ were added as described below.

1. Two body regions in the original OIC presented sufficient difficulty to dictate their change: shoulder-upper arm and hip-thigh. Shoulder joint, humerous and clavical fractures could not be distinguished. Fractures of the pelvis and femur were coded the same way although distinctly different injuries. Both regions were split to provide the needed resolution as follows:

S-Shoulder	P-Pelvic	Girdle, Hip
A-Upper Arm*	T-Thigh,	Upper Leg

The shoulder and arm are divided by a horizontal plane through the armpit. The pelvic or hip region includes the pelvic bones, femur ballacetabulum socket, sacrum, as well as the posterior muscles (e.g., gluteus maximus). All the interior organs (e.g., genitals, bladder) remain part of the abdomen.

2. Hemorrhage (H) was cautiously added as a new lesion category. Hemorrhage has a necessary but limited coding application when used to indicate serious to fatal consequences of a primary trauma. It is coded as the associated (or second) injury.

3. Arteries and veins (A) was added as a new body system/organ category under the general grouping of cardiovascular. This addition provides for

*Note: The letter "A" was previously used for "Ankle-Foot". "Ankle-Foot" is now coded as "Q".

ruptured aortas (CLRA) and other significant blood vessel trauma.

CONCLUSION

A strong interest exists in the rational utilization of accident data by decision makers. The files of clinical in-depth accident data provide a uniquely valuable resource, particularly in the area of injury causation. While both field investigators and data analysts place an emphasis on the need for accurate injury causation data, the current schemes for recording and processing injury data are cumbersome, if not prohibitive to utilize. A new Occupant Injury Classification scheme is proposed that will facilitate computer processing and permit the direct association of specific injuries with specific contact areas.

The Occupant Injury Classification (OIC) follows an approach similar to the SAE J224a Collision Deformation Classification (formerly VDI). It uses four letters to encode Body Region, Aspect, Lesion and Body System/ Organ, followed by a numeric Abbreviated Injury Severity (AIS) code.

From an injury causation point of view, only unique points of injury producing energy transfer should be recorded as injuries. Provision is made for recording both a primary and associated OIC for each energy transfer. Provision is also made for encoding four occupant contact points in the vehicle or exterior areas in order definite, probable, possible.

In this way the OIC, developed under National Highway Traffic Safety Administration sponsorship, can be used to link specific injuries to their causes in an easy and flexible manner. Your critical comments would be greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- "Collision Performance and Injury Report" Long Form Revision Number 3, General Motors Corporation, Safety Research and Development Laboratory, General Motors Proving Ground, 1969.
- Joseph C. Marsh IV, "Review of Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation (MDAI) Report Automation and Utilization Program" <u>HIT-Lab Re-</u> <u>ports</u>, Volume 3, Number 6, published by the Highway Safety Research Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. February 1973.
- 3. "Multidisciplinary Accident Investigation Report Automation. Final Report" by Highway Safety Research Institute, for National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Contract DOT-HS-031-1--37. October 1972. Five Volumes: Volume 1: Program Review IDOT/HS 800 7671

vorune	Τ:	Program Review [DOT/HS 800 /6/]
Volume	2:	MDAI Data Analysis [DOT/HS 800 768]
Volume	3:	Simplified Procedures for Analysis of
		Data [DOT/HS 800 769]
Volume	4:	Univariate Frequency Distributions of
		MDAI Data [DOT/HS 800 770]
Volume	5:	Editing Manual and Reference Informa-
		tion Used in the Preparation of Data
		Forms [DOT/HS 800 771].

 David E. Wood, Carole D. Hafner, "The Statistical Research System" Highway Safety Research Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. July 1, 1971.

- 5. "HSRI Data Bank Summary" <u>HIT-Lab Reports</u>, Volume 3, Number 3, November, 1972. See for a more complete and detailed listing of files available.
- 6. J. C. Marsh IV, "Existing Traffic Accident Injury Causation Data Recording Methods and the Proposal of an Occupant Injury Classification Scheme". Proceedings of the Sixteenth Conference of the American Association for Automotive Medicine. Published by the Society of Automotive Engineers, New York. pp. 44-61. October 19-21, 1972.
- 7. "Collision Analysis Report Form:, Pilot Study on Road Safety for Committee on the Challenges of Modern Society, NATO. Published by the Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. December 1, 1971.
- 8. D. F. Huelke, J. C. Marsh IV, H. W. Sherman. "Analysis of Rollover Accident Factors and Injury Causation". Proceedings of Sixteenth Conference of the American Association for Automotive Medicine. Published by the Society of Automotive Engineers, New York. pp. 62-79. October 19-21, 1972.
- 9. "Rating the Severity of Tissue Damage, I. The Abbreviated Scale", Committee on Medical Aspects of Automotive Safety, Journal American Medical Association, Volume 215, Number 2, p. 277-280. January 11, 1971.
- 10. "Collision Deformation Classification". SAE J224a. Recommended Practice. Society of Automotive Engineers, New York. 5 pages. 1972.
- 11. "Systematized Nomenclature of Pathology". College of American Pathologists, Committee on Nomenclature and Classification of Disease. First Edition, 1965.
- 12. Shannon Brunjes, "An Anamnestic Matrix Toward a Medical Language". Yale University School of Medicine, in Computers and Biomedical Research 4, pp. 571-584. 1971.
- D. Anthony Ryan, John W. Garrett, "A Quantitative Scale of Impact Injury" Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc. Report Number VJ-1823-R34, October 1968.
- 14. "Rating the Severity of Tissue Damage, II The Comprehensive Scale" Committee on Medical Aspects of Automotive Safety, Journal American Medical Association, Volume 220, Number 5. May 1, 1972.
- 15. E. OF. Campbell, "Traumatic Tissue Damage Record". Traffic Injury Research Foundation, Ottawa (Canada), no date.
- 16. Private correspondence from Professor Donald F. Huelke, University of Michigan, Medical School to Mr. Wilton D. Nelson, Safety Research and Development, General Motors Proving Ground, June 16, 1972.
- 17. Private correspondence from John D. States, M.D., University of Rochester, Medical School to Mr. Wilton D. Nelson, Safety Research and Development, General Motors Proving Ground, August 3, 1972.

FIGURE 6.

OCCUPANT INJURY CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY



1	BO	DY REGION	2	ASPECT	3	LES	SION	4	SYS	STEM/ORGAN
	н	HEAD - SKULL		R RIGHT		F	FRACTURES		s	SKELETAL
	F	FACE	•	L LEFT		D	DISLOCATIONS		۷	VERTEBRAE
	N	NECK - CERVICAL SPINE		B BILATERAL		L	LACERATION		J	JOINTS
	S	SHOULDER		C CENTRAL		۷	AVULSION		D	DIGESTIVE
	A	ARM (UPPER)		A ANTERIOR/FRONT		R	RUPTURE		L	LIVER
	Ε	ELBOW		P POSTERIOR/BACK		м	AMPUTATION		N	NERVOUS SYSTEM
	R	FOREARM		S SUPERIOR/UPPER		С	CONTUSION		В	BRAIN
	W	WRIST-HAND		I INFERIOR/LOWER		A	ABRASIONS		С	SPINAL CORD
	С	CHEST				к	CONCUSSION		Ε	EYES, EARS
	м	ABDOMEN		W WHOLE REGION		В	BURN			CARDIOVASCULAR
	B	BACK - THORACOLUMBAR SPI	NE	U UNKNOWN		Ρ	PAIN		A	ARTERIES, VEINS
	Ρ	PELVIC - HIP				X	ASPHYXIA		н	HEART
	Т	THIGH				Н	HEMORRHAGE		Q	SPLEEN
	к	KNEE				s	SPRAINS		G	UROGENITAL
	L	LEG (LOWER)				0	OTHER		к	KIDNEYS
•	Q	ANKLE - FOOT				U	UNKNOWN		R	RESPIRATORY
	0	WHOLE BODY							Ρ	PULMONARY, LUNGS
	X	EXTREMITIES (ARMS-LEGS)							M	MUSCLES
	Y	TRUNK							I	INTEGUMENTARY
	11	UNKNOWN UNCLASSIFIED							U	UNKNOWN, UNCLASSIFIED

205
APPENDIX E MDAI TEAM CASE VEHICLE CATALOG

The following pages list each MDAI case vehicle stored in the automated data files. The first three columns list the two letter team abbreviation, team accident report number, and case vehicle number. Only automobiles, pickups and small vans have been automated as case vehicles. The following additional data elements have also been listed for each case vehicle:

First Object Struck Collision Configuration Primary CDC/VDI Overall Vehicle AIS DOT HS Report Number

The teams can be identified as follows:

TEAM LETTERS	TEAM IDENTIFICATION
AA	Ann Arbor, HSRI
MVD, ME(BA)	Baylor College of Medicine
BU	Boston University
CAL	Cals pan, Level III B
GIT	Georgia Institute of Technology
IU (MCR)	Indiana University
MI	University of Miami
MMF	Maryland Medical/Legal Foundation
UNM	University of New Mexico
OSU	Ohio State University
RTI	Research Triangle Institute
RAI (RU)	University of Rochester
USC	University of Southern California
SRI-2	Stanford Research Institute (2)
SRI	Stanford Research Institute (1)
SU	Stanford University
SWRI	Southwest Research Institute
UC(TR)	Trauma Research Group, UCLA
TU	Tulane University
KY (UK)	University Kentucky
UOK	University of Oklahoma
UTAH (UU)	University of Utah

		FIRBT					
TEAM CABE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOTHHS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REPORT	NO.
	-						
AA 100(SPL)	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-FDEW-A	88		
AA 100(SPL)	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11.FDFW.6	88		
AA 101	Ø	OTHER	VEHeDBJ	OtoFISEn2	aa		
AA 185	a	OTHER CAR	RFAR FND	04-RDFW-2	Ø (
44 115	à	DTTCH	VEH-DB.1		6 .0		ATE
	ă	OTHER	TYPE I	I Del VAWER	0 V V	114 401	0/3
	a	OTHER CAR	RTOFATOF	10-21-55-3	0.0		
AA 199	0	DERESTOTAN	VEH-ABI		00		~
AA 198-	19 (3	ABOUND	VENADAT		00		a È a
AA 436	0	TRACT-TRATI	4507VQV 8108_8705	COMMPECT	40 00	H0 001	0/4
AA 120	10 10	INACITINALL	JIVE BIVE		00		
AA 127			VEN-OD I		03		
AA 130	8		AFUEDD		80		
AA 132	8	TRAIN, DUS	TYPE T	0Zekuawes	04		
AA 133	0	TRAIN, BUD	TYPEL	DANKDANGD	96		
AA 134	0	PEDESTRIAN	VEHODJ	12-FRMN+1	80	M3 601	069
AA 136	9	CULVERT	VEHODJ	11-#CEW-3	92		
A138##UM559	Ø	POLE, TREE	VENDOBJ	12-FYEN-6	07		
AA 139	0	TRACT-TRAIL	TYPE	BTHLYAW-9	86		
AA 140	8	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	120FREN05	94		
AA 143	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	05+BZEW+4			
A143+20M552	S	OTHER CAR	REAR END	120FDEW-3	83		
AA 144	6	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-BDEW-1	01	H8 681	061
AA 145	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	124PDEN-2	82	H8 601	060
AA 145	ź	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	12-FDEW-3	01	H8 681	868
AA 146	ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	B1+FZEWo2	01		
	0	OTHER CAR	SIDENSIDE	11eLDH8e1	81		
	Å	FFNCE	VEHeOBJ	12-FDFW-2	82	H8 681	459
	1	OTHER CAR	HEADEON	1 Partorwak	ai.		037
	2	NTHER CAD	HFADEON	RtoFDFWa4			
AA (70	6 7	ATHER PAR	TVBE		6 (
	2	CROUND	VENADAI	16-CV6471	48		a i u
VY 464 WW 195	τ Φ	ATHER CAR	TVDE I			10 001	
- A <u>8</u> .」コンス - A1#3-A11MEA7	v a	OTHER LAK		10-2058-1	84		
VI VEL	6	OTHER GAR	HEAD-ON	167545793			
AA 193		DALE TARE	HEAUHUN VELLORI		N]		
AA 194	0	PULES IRCE	AFUSODA	01 TRENTS	82	•	
AA 100	8	PULEFIKEE	AFWOOD	OREKPENES	80		
AA 156	0	GUARDRAIL	VEHODJ	120LFLM01	00		
AA 139	1	DTHER CAR	MEADON	11PFLEEP7	83		
A0 159	2	DTHER CAR	HEADEON	110115463	21		
A160-0UM569	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	114LKAW92	01		
AA 161	Ø	DTHER CAR	REAR END	BO-BYEW-2	01		
AA 163	0	PEDESTRIAN	VENHOUJ	120FLMN90	89		
A168+8UM583	0	TRAIN, BUS	TYPE L	02-RYMN-4	86		
A165=1UM589	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	OZ=RFEN=4	81		
AA 165	2	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	11-FDEN45	86		
AA 166	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11-FOEW-1	Q1		
AA 160	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE	BZ#RPEN#2	8 👷		
A169=1UM598	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12=FZEH=3	01		,
AA 169	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	B&=BYEN=5	89		
AA 169	3	PEDESTRIAN	HEAD=ON	12-FOLW-1	88		
A172-0UM601	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEHOOBJ	10-LPEN-4	03		
AA 173	Ø	GROUND	VEH-OBJ	00-TDA0-3	86		
AA 174	0	TRACT+TRAIL	HEAD-ON	12=FDEW=2	96		
A175-0UM605	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEHOBJ	12+FREN-4	01		
AA 177	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	D1=FZEW-1	ØĪ		
AA 177	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	QB-LFEW-2	91		

.

		P 1 K B 1	CONFRO	DAVALOV	1081	507-U0
TEAM CABE	VEH	DATECI	CONFIG=	PRIMART	VER	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	COCLADI	AIS	REPURT NO.
· *			·			
A178-0UM612	6	GROUND	VEHHOBJ	SOUTORO S	02	
A180+1UM616	1	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	13-FDEN-3	91	
A180+2UM617	2	OTHER CAR	HEADBON	124FDEN92	03	
4618-0UM618	ø	EMBANKMENT	VEHOOBJ	12-FDEH-1	01	
ALASHOUMA19	Ø.		HEADON	CIOFDEN=3	02	
AA VAA	ā	MOTARCYCLE	TYPE	B2-RANN-2	81	
44 126	à	ARITENENT	VSHARR!	Ass VDA0-2	96 96	
	А	MATADAVALS	HEADLON		а (
AA 100	6	MUIUNUIULL Muurb Pas	ME AD AN		04 4	
AA JYG	*	VIDER GAN	NGAVAUN Ngab-an	020766N 91	8 9 8 1	
VA 745	2	UTHEN GAN	MEADOUN		Ϋ́ς.	
AA 193	0	GROUND	VEMMOBJ	DESKOVOS3	ØZ	
AA 894	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	N = K B M S = S	01	
AA 194	5	OTHER CAR	STDE=SIDE	01-ROMS-2	00	
AA 195	Ø	PEDESTRIAN	VEH=0BJ	12-78LN-0	00	4 E
XA 196	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-EDEM#5	01	HS 0311135
AA 196	2	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	01=EZEW=1	01	H8 0311135
AA 197	0	MOTORCYCLE	HEADON	01-FYEN-2	01	
A19900UMA28	0	TRACTATRAIL	MEADON	100FYAW05	07	
AA 200	1	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	MINFLEWN1	01	
AA 200	2	OTHER CAR	HFADEON	110PLFE05	Ø	
A A 2014	â	BROBRTBYAN	VEHINAJ	120PRMNag	aa	
ልለ ጋርጋ	0	ATHEO PAD	AADQ A	as-Freid-t	ai	
SP GEG DOGDADIMATO	3	OTHER PAR	TV05 9		4 4 11 1	
AGSC-EVIIDEE	с 0	MATCHAVALE	TVD5 9		03 0/1	
AEUD=UUMUJD AA maa	0	DEDERGIGES		8 9 8 9 5 N - C	0 4 8 6	
	Ø	PRUCOIRIAN	AKHAAAA	1207 KENDO	00	
AA 285	8	GNUUND	ASHCORA		63	
A206-00M635	ø	ST TRUCK	TYPE	TOPLDENSS	01	
AA302	2	DTHER GAR	TYPEL	1104AEM01	01	
44 302 ·	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	02-RFEN-3	91	
A303=1UM660	1	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	01-FDEN-4	03	
AA 303	2	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	11=FLANe6	88	
AA 304	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02-FREW-2	01	
AA 304	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	SOOLPHW-3	88	
AA 305	0	BRIDGE RAIL	VEHOOBJ	81 + FREW - 5	07	
AA 386	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11-FDAW-6	06	
AA 306	ż	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	014RDAW=8	ØA	
44 387	8	MOTORCYCLP	REAR FND	87#BCFNa1	88	
ATGA IIMATT	1	ATHER CAR	TYPE T	0.20F7FW-2	Ø	
	3	VAN	440F 4	1 tel PANal	Ø	
AA RID	4	ATHRO CAD	DFAD END		01 E	
	4	07400 PAD	SSAD SNA	5447 DE 1985	<u>01</u>	
MA JLC	6	NTHER ALD	HEAD FIL	NO-ENER 3	01	
网络 副香糖 本本 微化化	•	01050 VAR 09450 Plo	NEAUWUN Mean-Mai	12-FVFU	01	
ハハ・通道時 ムム 型ム館	C	DENERTOFIN	NEAUFUN Veu-ar		Ø) 0*	
AA 940	Ø	FEUEDIRIAN			មេស្	
<u>A</u> A 3 1 0	1	UTHEN CAR		NSOLDEMOI	6	
AA 310	S	UTHEN GAR	TANE T	INGLIENGS	85	
AA 319	1	UTHEN CAR	TYPE T	DEPEDEW#3	01	
AA 319	2	UTHER CAR	TYPE T	I BOLAEMOS	01	
AJZIOUM706	8	POLE, TREE	VEHOOBJ	12-FCEN-2	20	
AA 322	1	DTHER CAR	REAR END	12=PDEW-1	85	
AA 322	2	DTHER CAR	REAR END	06-80ew-1	01	
AA 323	1	OTHER CAR	HEADON	12-FDEH-4	10	
A35355NUA66	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	12-FDEW-4	06	×
AA 324	0	PEDESTRIAN	VEHOBJ	12-FLEN-8	80	
AA 325	ø	SIGN	VEHOOBJ	12-FCEN-2	62	· . · ·
AA 335	8	OTHER	VEHAOBJ	08-XDAO-3	102	•

		PINST		-1 ·	,	
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOTANS
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	COCZYDT	A T 2	SEPOPT NO
				000/102	M 🕈 🏔	ATLANI NOS
		A11.000.00	່ມ້ອນເລືອດສຸດ			
AA JJJ	0	WUANDRAIL	AEMOORT	830BRAN93	9 <u>1</u>	
AA 334	0	POLE, TREE	VEHmQBJ_	02=RF@N=2	01	
AA 335	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12mPDFNm2	M D	
AA STE	2	OTHER CAR	DELD END		014	
A	55		NEAR ERV		01	
AA 330		UTHEN GAR	REAR END	12mp DEWel	ØĴ	
AA 336	5	OTHER CAR	REAR END	07-BYENe4	01	
AA 340	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01=FZEW#2	01	5
ARABODIMTRA	ā	OTHER CAR	TYPE	18-1 FMM-2	Ø (
	994 4	BEBERTOTAN	VEN-DO T		V 1 6 m	
10C 8A	1	PEVEDINIAN	YENHUDU	TE-LUNAN	00	
WAD 1	2	UTHER CAR	REAR END	120FLEW01	00	H8 600 121
HVD 2	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11=FRMWe1	00	HS 600 122
MVD 3	Ø	GROUND	VEHODAJ	00-TDGO-S	84	HS 600 123
MURA	ñ	ATHER CAR	TVOR	AL-EDEW-Y	18 A	
71.9 Q/ 69	8	VINCH GAN		のすぶとびというう	01	10 000 1CH
MVD 5	9	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02=KYEH=4	85	M3 600 125
MVD 6	Ø	OTHER	VEH-OBJ	12-FDMW-2	<u> 6 9</u>	H8 600 126
MVD 7	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	024RFEHa3	82	H8 600 127
MVD A	1	OTHER CAD	TYPE	ttaPi Pias	45	HR 644 198
		APUPA AAA	ենքներ կար հետ հետ հետ	人名法罗斯勒斯 经财产人	W C	
MAD A	0	OTHER CAR	ITPE L	1146 PWHEI	01	M9 000 154
MVD 10	8	OTHER CAR	REAR END	18-EDMM-S	01	H8 600 130
MVD 11	1	OPHER CAR	TYPE L	120PDNN=2	01	H8 600 131
MVD (9	2	OTUTO PAD	TVOF	10-1 VHW-A	<i>G</i> 3 4	HR 400 (1)
	6. 8.	ARURA ALA	1.5 ° 5 · 5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		₩ <u>↓</u>	
WAD 12	2	UTHER CAN	IYPE L		0ļ	HS 000 135
NVD 14	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	154LDWMA5	01	H8 600 134
MVD 15	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	124PDMW=3	62	HS 600 135
NVD 14	ā	OTHER CAR	RFAR FND	12-FDMH=9	AA	HR 408 134
CITIC 4 57	<i>v</i>			11-80MH-0	v <i>v</i>	
MAD 11	Ø	UTHEN CAR	ITPEL	110runngg	00	MO 000 137
MVD 18	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEH#ODJ	02#RYAN#5	Ø 9	NS 600 130
MVD 19	ø	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	02=FRMN=2	01	HS 600 139
HVD 28	a	OTHER CAR	TYPE I	I Ant Philms	a (HR 488 847
MVD 31	ул ГА	90 A 7 M . Bilg		4.6	4	HE 400 044
myu gi	W	INALAIDVO		11 mr 4 mage	01	10 000 040
ZS DVM	0	ST TRUCK	TYPE	1047LVM02	93	M8 600 097
MVD 23	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	120FDMW#1	89	H8 600 051
MVO 24	9	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-FYEW-2	01	H8 600 077
NVA SE	<u>a</u>	CHARDRATI	VENADAT			HR 400 090
	()				89	
WAD SO	Ø	OTHER CAN	HEADHON	ISALDWAAS	01	MO 000 079
MVD 27	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAB END	05+87MN=3	80	M8 600 880
BS GVM	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	81 .FRMW#1	08	H8 600 140
MVN 20	ā	OTHER CAR	TYPE 1	() a B F MWa D	AA	HR 400 081
1776 557 MAMAK WAR	0	ATUER AAR	1755 B	*********		
	0	UTRER LAR	NEAN ENU		Ø1	
4 M E 1	0	NO DEJECT	VENODJ	OBALAND ² 2	85	M3 688 271
AMES	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	07+BREW=3	01	H8 688 272
AMES	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-802W-2	00	H8 688 273
AMED	ØÅ.	CHADNDARI	VEHADET	4 (b D M b b	84	
4715.8 	w e	ACAULANTE	ARHAODA	7145555555 71455555		
4m25	ø	DIMEN CAN	REAN END	BOODVEROE		M9 008 812
AMES	3	OTHER CAR	TYPE	B3#RPAN#4	01	HS 600 276
ane7	8	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	03-RYEW-4	01	H8 600 277
UMPA	Ø	CULVERT	VENDORI	01-FRFW-3	82	H8 680 278
	2 61	89995 1	VELLARI	《홍수》(홍산의 고문) 제품 21 (1667년 전)	<u> </u>	HE LAS STA
871LY	2 7		A CUM A DA	ᆃᅇᇴᆄᇊᇊᆑᆓᇄ ᆃ		
9 T Z 1 Ø	Ø	DIMEN CAN	TIME L	DEMELEND	01	19 920 ZED
4ME12	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	Ø1-FREE-2	81	H3 600 378
AMEIN	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12#FDEW#3	82	HS 600 379
ANSIS		OTHER CAD	TYPE		A 1	HE
sertition da spil Je bad stilt da A	¥ A	8114888441	1755 (A) (A) Venimari	**************************************	<u>* 1</u>	19 400 200 18 124 141
4 75.1 0	49	MANKAKWIT	YEN-UQJ		41	10 000 301
ame 17	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	074172443	00	Ma 600 382
UME18	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	89-LPMW-3	01	H\$ 600 303
AMEIQ	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FDEW-P		HS 600 384
stimmen oppit	-	and clamber and series				
			210			

(FIRBT	,			9
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT-HS
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	ÇDC/VDI	AIS	REPORT NO.
4N228		OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FDEH=3	61	HS 680 385
44521	ā	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-14442	Ø 1	HS 600 386
AM#222	ă	OTHER CAR	RFAR FND	04-RDFW-1		H8 688 387
47555 //M#38	a	ATURD CAD	HEAD-ON		61	NR 400 101
47553		NTHER CAR	TYPE		₩.1 ₩.1	
47584		UIMER ÇAR	ITE L		01	
47525		UTHER CAR	ITPE L			
4ME26	0	DTHER CAR	SIDE-BIDE	IS-PLESS	00	
4ME27	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-FREE#2	00	N3 600 392
4ME28	8	GUARDRAIL	VEHOOBJ	11-ULLM-2	00	HS 600 393
4ME29	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18-EZEW#1	88 .	HS 600 394
4ME30	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-PDMW-1	01	HS 600 395
4ME31	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12=FZEWy2	01	HS 600 395
4ME32	0	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	01-RDM8-2	80	H8 600 397
411233	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-FYÈN#2	01	H8 688 398
AME34	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02-R2E8-2	00	H8 600 399
4ME35	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12-FREW-2	88	HS 600 400
4	å	OTHER CAR	TYPE	AL-RZMN-1	81	H8 488 461
LMF37	Å	ATHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-7754-4	0 3	HR 688 482
*****	0	OTHER CAR	TVDE		82	HA 400 401
47630		OTHER CAR	1175 G V98 1	13-5058-2	0 C	
47637		OTHER GAR	TABE -		00 00	
	0	ODOUND	ITEL L		22	NO 000 903
80 94 29	0	GRUUND	VEHEUBJ	00-LDAU42	07	13 000 753
BU 69 25	0	POLE, TREE	VENeosj	120FCEN96	85	
BU 70 11	Ø	SIGN	VENDOBJ	12-FY6A-9	07	H8 690 809
BU 70 12	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11-LYEN-5	89	i.
BU 78 13	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	01=FCEN=2	03	
BU 70 14	1	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	11-EYEW-4	07	
BU 79 16	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-FYEW-4	03	
BU 70 15		POLE, TREE	VEHOBJ	11-FYEN-5	. 87	HS 600 812
BU 70 16	Ĩ	GUARDRAIL	VEHeOBJ	00-XDAD-5	87	
BU 70 19	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	HEADON	01-FLEW-4	86	
BU 70 19	;	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	11-FLEW-4	81	
ALI 70 20	4	OTHER CAR	TVDE T	Qual VFW-1		
AU 70 20	2	ATHER CAR	RTOF_RTOF			
90 /0 EV 911 90 21	<u>د</u>		VEH-OR I			
BU 70 21	5	ATUED CAD	TYPE T		47	44 488 A15
	1	OTHER CAR	1176 L THAR T		0 <u>/</u>	
	ć	UTHER LAR	ITTE I	11 mr DE N # 3	01	US 888 013
60 10 25	0	POLEITREE	VEHPUBJ	UNATYMNAZ	80	
BU 78 24	1	UTHER CAR	HEADOON	NIEL CEMED	04	
SU 70 24	2	OTHER CAR	HEADON	110PDEW03	09	
BU 70 25	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEHOOBJ	B1=FREE#4	87	H3 600 816
BU 78 26	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	ØJARDESal	ØŞ.	•
BU 70 27	0	BUILDING	VEHÇOBJ	B2+LFEW+3	87	HS 600 817
BU 70 28	0	EMBANKHENT	VEH=OBJ	BA-LFE8-2	08	H S 600 818
BU 70 29	9	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12-FDHW98	09	
BU 70 30	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-FLEW-4	06	
BU 70 30	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-FLEW-5	86	
BU 71 1	0	OTHER	VEHOOBJ	01+RDHW-1	86	
BU 71 2	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEHOOBJ	Ø1-UYXW-2	08	
BU 71 3		OTHER	VEHOBJ	10-LPAN-9	08	
BU 71 5	0	PENCE	VENOOBJ	08-TPG0-4	88	
BU 71 6	õ	POLE. TREE	VEHODBJ	120FCAWEA	86	
BU 71 9	0	GUARDRATI	VEHORI	ATel DAW-T		
BU 71 13	Ä	POLE TRFF	VENDAL	120FDFW-R	A7	
CAL+78+25R	a	SMALL PORT	VFMAARI	IGAL BANAT		
CALe70e278	0			ᆕᇾᇴᆃᇦᇊᄷᄸᆃᅾ ᆕᆥᄭᅧᆂᇔᆙᆊᄥᆖᅖᆃᇾ		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	v	AILER MULT	······································		a 🖬 🖓 👘	100 JUD 100

		FIRST				
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT-H8
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CÓC/VDI	AIS	REPORT NO.
	-		•			
CAL#70=288	8	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	#1+FLEN#S	02	HS 688 169
CAL-70-308	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18-FLMH-2	00	M8 680 728
CAL=78=318	0	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-FLEW-2	80	HS 600 172
CAL=78=328	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-FRMW#1	01	H8 688 173
CAL=70=348	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02=RBEW=2	01	H8 680 175
CAL+70-358	8	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	82-RYEN-4	98	HS 600 176
CAL=78=368	0	SIGN	VEH-08J	12-UDXW-1	01	HS 680 177
CAL=78=378	Ø	SIGN	VEHOOBJ	11-FCEW+5	06	H8 608 178
CAL-78-398	Í	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-LDE8-3	01	HS 680 180
CAL=78=398	Ž	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LDEW-3	01	HS 600 180
CAL=79=408	Ø	LIGHT TRUCK	REAR END	81-FLEN-2	01	H\$ 688 181
CAL-78-418	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	89-LZMW-2	. 81	H8 600 182
CAL=78=438	Ø	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11-PDEW#3	81	HS 600 184
CAL-70-448	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12-FDEN-2	82	HS 600 298
CAL+78-468	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	10-LYMW-3	83	H8 600 187
CAL-78-478	0	GUARDRAIL	VEHOBJ	#1-FREN-5	01	H8 600 188
CAL=78=588	0	SIGN	VEH=OBJ	04=XDA0=4	03	H8 600 189
CAL 70 538	0	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	12#FLEN-6	05	H8 600 289
CAL+70-568	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02=RZEW+3	ØŽ	H8 688 298
CAL#70-578	0	GUARDRAIL	VEHOBJ	ØØ#TPGO#5	84	H8 698 291
CAL-70-588	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	05-BLEN-2	01	H8 600 292
CAL-70-598	8	EMBANKMENT	VEH-OBJ	04-RDA0-2	01	H8 688 293
CAL-79-608	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12#FDMW-2	01	H\$ 600 294
CAL-78-628	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	09-LFEW-3	01	H8 608 296
CAL078-638		OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-ELEN-3	01	HS 600 400
CAL=70=648	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-ELEN-3	Ø1	H\$ 600 334
CAL-78-658	0	SIGN	VEHDOBJ	B1=FREN=2	81	HE 698 297
CAL#70-668	9	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-PREW-1	89	H& 600 335
CAL=70=678	0	OTHER CAR	OTHER	05+BREN+4	01	HS 600 909
CAL-70-688	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	BSAKLWAS	89	H\$ 600 298
CAL=78=698	0	DITCH	VEHOBJ	80-XDAO-1	01	H8 600 410
CAL-79-708	Ø	DITCH	VEHOOBJ	2629DANy7	88	MS 600 411
CAL=70=710	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-ELEN-3	81 .	H8 600 412
CAL-70-718	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE: L	130EXEM43	01	H\$ 600 412
CAL#70#728	9	POLE, TREE	VEH#OBJ_	12-20EW=4	86	H8 600 453
CAL#70=738	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	01=FREEP4	80	HS 680 414
CAL=78=748	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11+FLEH#2	01	H8 600 415
CAL=70=038	Ø	SIGN	VEHOBJ	B1+FREE+4	92	HS 600 417
CAL 70 958	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-FDEN-3	87	
CAL 70 958	2	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	124EDEM45	86	the first sim
CAL 70 1118	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	124FZEN-2	01	H\$ 600 985
CAL 70 111B	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	0745YEW#2	01	MS 600 985
CAL 70 1158	Ø	NO OBJECT	VEHNOBJ	OD-XFLN-1	00	
CB7011A7114	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11 HESENGT	01	88 600 720
CAL 71 18	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	BZ-RYEW-3	83	H5 688 728
CAL 71 38	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	124FREW91	80	M8 800 721
CAL 71 38	S	VAN	KEAR END		<b>.</b>	MB 000 721
CAL 71 48	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE I		0)	
CAL 71 48	2	UTHER CAR	TTE J			
CAL 71 58	1	UTHER CAR	REAR END	ÜQ번환슈토릇우립 	백월	
CAL 71 58	2	LIGHT TRUCK	NEAK END	1948044-9	Ø1	NE 244
CAL 72 68	0		REAR END	*********		NO 000 / 66.
LAL 71 70	i,	UTHER LAN	REAR ENU	▋▙▃▆V▛▙▁▋ ▋▆▀▘▙▆▝▜	0 <b>1</b>	HE LAI ASE
VAL /1 /0	۲ ۲	NTHER LAR	DËAD ËND	04-01677J 84-2754-1	1 1	HE LAI ADE
WAL 71 70 Mai 74 AA	2	01068 640	HFADHON	01#F7F¥=>		
MWP 11 00.	¥.	VINER UNR		<b>WA W</b>	~~	
			4 I 4			

-

-

		,		FIRST										
TEAM	1 C	ASE	VEH	OBJECT		CONF	16-	PRI	MARY	VEH	D01	-HS		
NUME	BER		NO.	CONTACT	TED	URAY	ION	CDC	ZVDI	AIS	REF	ORT	NO.	
				•										
C & I	7 <	88	5	OTHER (	- A D	HEAD	- ON	1 2 -		98				
A A I	1 ) 7 4	00	6		) E E		001 001	85-	SPEN-D	01				
(, A ),	13	70	0		125. 21 J Am	AKLIN	ADA -	16		01			a 3 n	
GAL	11	146	8	PIERIE	ILLAR	ASHO	DA1	77.	FCENEZ	22	13	601	024	
CAL	71	118	3	TRACT	FRAIL	HEAD	# O N	Ø\$•	FZENez	03	H8	<b>6</b> 00	866	
CAL.	71	130	3	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	L	15.	PCEN=2	00	MS	600	724	
CAL	71	138	2	LIGHT	RUCK	TYPE	L	10-	LFEW-3	01	HS	600	724	
CAL	79	148	ī	OTHER I	CAR	REAR	END	12.	FDFWeil	01	HS	600	725	
i de la companya de l	<b>v</b> 9	4 <b>4 A</b>	2	OTHER	CAP.	DFAD	PNA	01.6.a	ADFWat	69	HS	600	725	
াতালশ উদ্ধ ⊰শ-র ১	ि के ब्लुब्द	4 4 10	56. 6	APUEC A	2 M N	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			- <b>K</b> agwill	9 V 8 I	10	400	401	
14 18 54 18 1	9 1	100	1		y A Koj Bilođ	1775 1914 1917	L.	61×	PUENEI.	10 I		000	160	
6.3	11	100	6	MULTIO	URP	TTME		180	A WWWS	61	8	000	780	
5 A L	71	178	1	OTHER (	GAR	HEAD	æ,ÖN	Ø1•	PAEM=5	01				
(AL	71	178	2	OTHER I	CAR	HEAD	• O N	119	ELEM-5	0 Ø				
CAL	71	198	1	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	L	01.	FZEW-1	01				
CAL	71	198	2	OTHER I	CAR	TYPE	L	100	LFEWel	00				
CAL	94	20A	ā	STAN		V#He	08.1	10	FFNAS	a a				
19 M & 1	(* ()	338	4	ATUED (	A A O	YVDC	ç. ç.	19-	SOSH-E	· 019				
19 14 12 1	2点:	669 333	*	. 91060 0	y 1941 11 9 & 195	9 V 8 8	1 199	45." A B		19 <u>C.</u> 19 <b>1</b> 9				
G AL		660	ć	VINER I	у А) ?% • аз 4 аз 4	ITE	. 1	UC	RPANES.	07				
GAL	71	248	8	TRACTO	FRAIL	TYPE	T	11.	LDAW.7	08			2	
Ç A L	71	258	1	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	T	11-	FRENel	00	HS	600	986	
CAL	71	258	2	OTHER	RAS	TYPE	T	01.	PPMW-2	00	H8	600	986	
CAL	71	268	1	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	7	12-	FYEN=2	02				
CAL	73	268	à	OTHER (	* A R	TYPE	۴	114	AYEW-2	02				
2 Al	71	278	4	OTHER	3 A D	TVOF	1	21 e	FI FW-2	aa	H.S.	600	797	
19 min 19 A 1	7.4 7 <b>9</b> 1	598	A 3	ATURA	F A 10	VOP	Ne l	66.		0.6		400	(#/ 999	
Ser Mana Ar Ali	1	6.19 348	4 <b>4</b>	ATURN (	≠94175 >3.00	- IIPE	<b>L</b>	44			19	000	1 66 (	
LAL	12	600 200	1	UINER	, A K	ITE	<b>b</b>	10.	PLENC	(a				
CAL	71	289	2	OTHER (	AR	TYPE	L	01•	RFEWEZ	01				
CAL	71	298	1	OTHER (	ÇAR	TYPE	T	11.	PDEN-2	01				
CAL	71	298	2	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	٢	01.	RDEW-3	01				
CAL	71	298	3	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	7	11.	FLEW-1	01				
CAL	71	308	Ĩ	OTHER (	AR	REAR	END	99.	FLEE 2	Ø 1				
( AI	74	100	2	OTHER I	° A D	DØAD	PAID	34.		014				
PAL	てま	218	61 (				CND			0.0				
- <b>MAL</b>	14	210	4	OFUER (	- A 5	NGAN BRAD	ENU	12*	POEN I	10 KG				
UAL.	11	518	ş	UTHER	GAR	NEAN	END	80 <b>b</b> 1	BDEWel	01				
CAL	71	318	3	OTHER	<b>AR</b>	REAR	END	96*	•8CEW=1	00				
CAL	73	338	1	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	2	010	₽₽ZMW <u></u> ¶1	01				
CAL.	71	338	2	other (	CAR	REAR	END	08.	LOEW-3	01				
CAL	71	348	1	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	7	11-	FYEW-1	00				
CAL	71	348	2	OTHER (	CAR	TYPE	T	02	RYEWAS	02				
CAL	71	34A	1	OTHER		TYPP	l.	( )-	FYFM=>	90				
PA1	9 G	168	2	07489	A P	* V 3 5	ijan 1	4 04 ° 1 64 -	-1 58W-3	0 I				
1911月1日 ● 上日	(2) 1916		6 4	- 48796-4 Alueu'r	19 A 21	0156 8980	. 6 6488	19 <b>-</b>	- 16 I 12 M T 16 - 14 - 1 16 I 12 M T 16 - 14 - 1	<b>01</b>	LI.	4 8 9		
UAL	11	399 188	40 ⁴	- [NAL] 4 - Aquem - 4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	OIVE		8 Y N	- 7 4 2 3 <b>4</b> 1	90	H8	040	070	
GAL	71	140	1	UTHEN (	AN	NEAK	END	15.	PTENG	91		•		
CAL	71	398	2	OTHER (		REAR	END	000	=BZEW=2	02		4	_	•
CAL	71	498	1	OTHER (	CAR	REAR	END	12-	FYEW-2	60	K8	600	872	1 °
CAL	71	408	5	OTHER (	AR	REAR	END	06*	BZEWAS	02	HS	600	872	. 1
CAL	71	418	1	OTHER C	AR	TADE	8	114	PZEWAS			• • • •	- • ••	
CAL	71	41B	Ż	OTHER	AR	TYPE	Ÿ	0.9-	RPFW-T		•			
CAL	71	42R	ā	STON		VFHm	08.1	Ø. <b>6</b> -	XDAO-X	() A				
f AI	79	LIR	1	ULARD U	A D	QYNR.	WWV Metre	17.17.1 13.6 -	SORE_4	00				
ner™ka p≋≜k	₹.å. 1974	- 30 // 12 P	2	APURA (	1 A D	0 4 N M	-01UE	201 T	11.1755593。	00 A =				
WAN.	11	430 AAD	6	UITER (	, A.R.	OTUR	-916r	07	FLER®1	00				
GAL.	11	440	1	UTHEN C	AR	NEAR	END	01-	WYENGS	8 <b>2</b> 0				
CAL	71	448	5	OTHER C	AR	REAR	END	67.	BREWHI	01				
ÇAL	71	478	1	TRACT-1	RAIL	TYPE	L	81-	RZEW-3	01				
GAL	71	47B	2	OTHER C	AR	TYPE	L	11-	FYEW-1	Ø1				
CAL	71	46B	1	OTHER C	AR	REAR	END	12-	FLEE#2	01	HS	688	987	
CAL	<b>7</b> Ĩ	48B	Ž	OTHER C	AR	REAR	END	0 A m	BREFAS	<b>A</b> A	HC	680	QA7	
			-				100 · · · 100		and the state of t	W 97	• • • •	<b>v</b> v <b>v</b>	7 <b>U</b> I	

			FIRST	;					
TEAP	4 CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT	mHS	
NUM	BER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	A I 8	REP	ORT	NO.
		-						•	
CAL	71 498	0	POLE, TREE	VEH-08J	124FCEN-2	82			
ČAL	71 50B	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12=FDFW=4	20			
CAL	71 50R	5	OTHED CAD	DEAD ENR		<b>**</b>			
	71 500	6	ATAN SAA	NEAR ENV	DO-DYER-D	8 A			
646	(1 210	ø		A CULAN	UI TRANC	<b>N</b> 1	MS	981	020
LAL	11 260	1	UTMER CAR	TYPE T	GTOLMENDS	01			
	71 528	2	OTMER CAR	TYPE T	QQ . LYEN . S	01			
CAL	71 538	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	09-14EM01	89			
CAL	71 538	2	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	12-LDES-2	Ø1			
CAL	71 548	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11=FLEE=1	ØÔ			
CAL	71 558	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	MEADEON	RREFDEWAR	01			
CAL	74 55R	5	NTHER CAR	HFADDON		<b>A R</b>			
0.41	1 <u>0</u> JJV 91 KAR	5 t	ATHOR PAG		83450864722 834505444	- 19 <u>-</u> 2			
CAL.	11 389 84 840	2	OFLER DAR			01		000	713
		¢	OTHER LAR			92	<b>n</b> 9	000	415
CAL	71 1946	1	UTMEN CAN	TYPE L	BIOLDENOS	61			
ÇAL	71 1048	S	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18-12WM-5	01			
CAL	189	1	LIGHT TRUCK	SIDE=SIDE	01=FYEW#3	03			
CAL	109	8	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	12-FYEND3	82			
CAL	71E 18	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01=FZEH=1	01			
CAI	71F 18	à	OTHER CAR	TYPE	10-LFENel	89			
CAL.	73 XA	à	VAN	TYDE	BONLYFW-D	<b>6</b> 2			
094	16 30	т. С	5 7 O N	VENDART	84	14 T	Le		
G 4 1	(1) 전 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	W	689M Abuma Ala	TENHURU	# [ T T F N # #				01/
611	47	Ŵ	UTHER GAR	ITPE L	034KP2103	u X		000	004
GIT	4 B	1	OTHER CAR	HEADON	01 PFLEW93	81	MŞ	600	014
GIT	48	2	OTHER CAR	HEADON	11-FYEW-4	88	M\$	688	914
GIY	49	Ø	OTHER CAR	HEADWON	118FYEWes	82	H\$	600	885
GIT	50	Ø	TRACTOTRAIL	HEAD-ON	10+LPAW#9	89	HS	688	662
GIT	51	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEH#OBJ	03-TDA0-7	81	HB	600	821
GI?	\$2	0	POLE. TREE	VEH+OBJ	110FLEN68	81	HB	688	861
GTT	53	1	OTHER CAR	HEADON	12-FLFF-1	aa	HR	680	RIA
9 A I 12 <b>V V</b>	27 8	*		HEAD-ON		at	Me	180	a1 A
0 F 1	33 89	۲ ۲	OFLER CAR	TYDE I		<b>6</b> 8	110	000	
911	22	\$	UINCR LAR	TYPE L	1 COL TENNO				
611	34	1	VAN	ITPE L	NAMPANNO.	04		O Q D	043
GIT	55	0	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	15-LCWA8	63	HS	000	019
GIT	56	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEHOBJ	120PCEN-6	85	H8	600	887
GIT	57	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEHOOBJ	12-FZEN-3	88	H\$	600	069
GIT	58	Ø		TYPE L	12-FDEN-3	03	H\$	600	868
GIT	59	Ø	POLE, TREE	VEH-OBJ	12-FREN-S	88	HS.	600	867
G77	60	9	OTHER CAR	REAR END	110LYHW03	01	HŠ	688	029
677	4 t	ŝ	NTHER	VEHORI	1 DOFCAWOR	87	HR	688	a1a
ዋቅነ ሮዋዋ	4 9 6 9	a a	PHIL VODT	VENANDI	44-1-782377 68-7848-4	- L 84	Me		027
1961 1969 -	0C 4 R	₩ A	6467571 87688 <b>8</b> 48	4 5 11 4 VOV 8 4 NE_8 5 8 5	8871VAV70 (858651-3		11 <b>0</b>	500 1 a a	45/ 81
91! 	19.3 4 A	10 A	UITEN GAR	STACEDIAL	98~868u~4	박 위 레 4	719 MA	990 488	
611	04	Ň	UTHEN CAN	REAR END	DEWNDENG)	al a	<b>110</b>	940	110
617	05	Ş	OTHER CAR	MEADOON	11-FLEN93	<b>4</b> 7	MS		873
GIT	60	9	GUARDRAIL	HEADOON	BI-EREN+3	<b>a</b> 1	Ma	000	885
G I 7	67	0	SMALL POST	VEH=OBJ	12#FCEN#\$	82	H\$	689	146
GI7	68	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE'L	02-FYEN-5	03	H\$	688	192
GIT	69	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEHDOBJ	11+PYAN-B	82	HS	680	147
GIT	70	æ	POLE, TREE	VEHOOBJ	10-LFAN-7	84	H8	600	193
GÍT	71	10	POLE. TREE	VEHOBJ	02-RPAN=3	<b>8</b> 1	HS	600	299
<u>8</u> 11	73	ø	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	BB-TDB0-5	94	HB	600	418
999 299	74	ð	VAN	TYPE	1205054-2	94	MR	688	410
5781 1099	7 m 19 <b>a</b>	e Da	ON C. TOPE		\$\$#\$ <b>#</b> \$ <b>#</b> \$ }		<u>u</u> .	644	7#7 491
881 A98	1 9 9 9	RV CM	TVERIDEE	DEAD ENA	· ···································		, M.	100 100	751 193
UAT And	11	¢۲ د		HEAR ENU			1710 1.10	977 187	755
ØJT	79		UIMER CAN	HEAU-UN		0]	110 110	000	423
GIT	79	2	UTHER CAR	HEADPON	110PLEMOS	n 7	10	000	423
SIT	95	17 1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10+FDEW+1	01	H2	000	914

•

-

.

.....

•		FIRST	<i>(</i>					
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT	'=H8	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REP	ORT	NO.
			•			-	·	-
677 86	2	THER CAR	TYPE T	AA-TPGO-3	01	HS	689	AIG
077 AR	6		RTNELETAE	1 1 ml 2 MR - 1	0.5	LIQ	600	230
		ABHER AND	910570105		29		464	
GIT YO	2	UTHEN GAN	SIVENCIUC	ICHERENAC	02	<b>U9</b>	000	958
GIT 99	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE'L	BI-FIEN-R	0Z			
GIT 99	2	DTHER CAR	TYPE'L	10-LYMW-2	01			
GIT 268 198	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-FDEH-1	01			
617 268 108	Ž	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02-RYMW-4	01			
GTT 240 101		MOTORCYCLE	TYPE T	02=RFEN=1	00			
GTT 344 163		OTHER CAR	TVDE	IRALYEWAR	<b>A</b> 1			
617 340 196	÷.		TVDE		a 5			
	<u>6</u>	ABAUNA	III C C C					
			VENEUDU.	NOTADAUT]	01			
GIT 200 104	1	UTHER CAR	REAR END	ISHLAENAS	04			
GIT 260 104	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	BO-BDEN-2	01			
GIT 260 105	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11#FLEE#3	01			
GIT 260 105	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LPEN-2	01			
GIT 260 196	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	87=BYEN#4	88	HS	601	083
GTT 268 186	j	TRACTOTRAIL	REAR END	86-BREE-4	01	HB	601	083
017 240 447	Ā	OTHED CAD	TYPE	11. FOFMAL		HS	691	682
041 COU 101	¥.				44		001	<b>UVL</b>
011 CON 100	1	UTER GAR	1175   		00	Å.		
511 200 100	č	UINER CAR	ITE I	024010445	01 -			1 a 8
GIT 109	1	OTHER CAR	HEADON	12-FLEN-7	07			
GIT 109	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-FLEW-5	87			
GIT 189	- 3	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	11-FREW-2	80			
GIT 268 110	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE	02-RYAN-3	82	HS	601	080
GTT 268 118	ż	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12+FDEW=2	01	HB	681	080
GTT 240 111	ä	POLESTREE	VENDORJ	01-FREN-1	88			
	a	DTED. DTILAD	VELARI	03450584-0	ak			
011 200 118	0	CIED BILLAD			U D 0 T			
611 200 113	<b>v</b>	PICKAPILLAK	VENTUDJ	164666443	10			
GIT 260 114	0	BRIDGE RAIL	VEMOUBJ	1347LLL#1	00			
GIT260115	0	POLE, TREE	VENHOBJ	12=FREE#2	84			
GIT 268 119	9	GROUND	VEHOODJ	ØØ=XDAO+3	01			
GIT 260 121		ST TRUCK	TYPE T	DI-FDMA-3	01			
GIT 260 122	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	01-FYEN-5	86			
GIT 260 122	2	OTHER CAR	STDEWSTDE	11-LDA8-2	81			
GTT 260 122		OTHER CAR	HFADEDN	11-FLAW-6	0.8			
HOD YO I		OTHER CAR	RTDEATDE	4 4 m 1 V A 8 m A	a 7	Me	400	<b>A</b> ¶ #
MCD LO L		OTHER CAR	8905-810E 910E-910E		07		4 4 4	074
	2	DALE TREE	910C=010C	11-17-0-4	03		000	074
MUROYZ	0	FULEIIKEE	VEN-UDJ	UJ=KZAN=7	64	13	000	004
MCK093	V	POLESTREE	VEMOUDJ	BZOKPAN94	99	42	000	900
MCR698	8	FENCE	VEH-OBJ	BURTDAD=6	03	HS	960	866
MCR695	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	03#RPMW=3	Ø 1	HS	680	194
MCR696	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-BYENe2	01	H8	688	887
MCR697	8	SIGN	VEH-OBJ	B9-LPAN-5	06	HS	600	195
MCR698	0	OTHER	VENOOBJ	82-FREN-4	03	HS	608	888
NCRA99	a	ARTOGE RATI	VENDAJ	IRAI AFWAT	aa	HS	400	196
MCRAGIS	Ā		DEAD BUN		<b>A</b> 4	ЦĒ	100	100
NGOTE		011150 6A0	DEAD ENA	·····································	0 A	ц. Ф.П.	440 440	177
MADTEL	2	NITER VAR Atmate Alb	NEAD ENN	1 2 4 5 6 6 7 4 9	01 64	L D		5 7 7 3 8 M
	6	NINER LAR	7587 5NU		50	13		670 201
MUKOY14	Ø	PULLITREE	VERRUSJ	10-1000-4	01	110	•00	201
MCK0715	0	GROUND	VEHODJ	02•TPG0•1	81	HŞ	600	202
MCK 70 1	1	UTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-FDEW-2	01	HS	600	300
MCR 78 1	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	10-LYEW#4	03	H\$	600	300
MCR 70 2	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	ØØ+TPG0+4	01	HS	600	203
MCR 70 3	8	OTHER CAR	HEADON	12-FYNH-2	88	HS	688	301
MCR 70 5	9	EMBANKMENT	VENDOBJ	06-BRLW-1	00	HS	600	303
MCR 70 6		EMBANKMENT	VEH=08J	08-TCG0-4	00	HR	600	204
· · · ·	-		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~ ~	•••		<b>MA W</b>

÷

1

ł

		FIRST				
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG	PRIMARY	VPH	DOTANS
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	UPASTON	COC/VOT		BERGRE NA
		QUILING LU	WINN I LUIT	COCFADI	w10	REPORT NUS
	•					
MCH 70 7	0	UTHER CAR	TYPE	02=RPEN-3	04	H8 600 205
MCR 70 8	9	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-EYEN#2	82	H8 600 424
MCR 70 9	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12mPDFW-E		
MCD 70 0	2	ATHER CAR	HEAD-ON		W 7	
	<u> </u>	OTHER GAR	REAVEUN	12=FUEN=4	0 ()	Ma 600 423
M1=047091	8	UTHER CAR	VEMMORI	1 OFYENDS	02	HS 688 886
MI=697002	0		TYPE L	82-RYAN-S	87	HS 688 050
MI=697003	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	ASERDANES	Å	ME 488 080
MT-LOTOGA	à	NTTCH	VEHAART			
M#=4072004				00-Lr 20-1	00	19 000 NAD
M1=07/083	I	UTHER CAR	TYPE L	0gersened	05	H3 600 212
MI=697005	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	154£0WM45	01	H\$ 688 212
MI=697006	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12-FZAN-3	82	H8 688 213
MI-697086	ż	OTHER CAR	TYPE	AL TOMU-D	<b>a</b> i	NE LEG DET
MT-AGTORA	1	ATHER CAR			W ]	He (666 813
	2	ATHEN GAR		WETTATT!	00	10 000 CT3
M1=041001	Ø	DINER CAR	TYPEL	12=FDEW+5	03	H8 600 214
MI=697008	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	18-LPEW04		H8 600 091
MI=697088	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12-FDAN-3	82	HS 688 891
MT=407089	a	OTHER	TYPE	GIATOTNAL		HE LOG DIE
MT-687646		ATHER CAR		9175N5N93	03	
	1	UINER VAR	REAN ENU	11-CARMAN	101	M9 980 510
M10041010	2	DINER CAR	REAR END		01	H\$ 699 216
MI-697811	0	DITCH	VEH#OBJ	01=XDA0=3	86	H8 680 217
MI+697818	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12-FYMW-2	81	H8 A88 210
MT=40781A	2	OTHER CAR	TYDE			NG 466 916
MT-407010	6. 4	ATHER WAR			97	
1119971917	1	UTHER GAR	HEAD-HN	11-LAND		
MI=697019	2	OTHER CAR.	HEAD+DN	01=ELEN93	01	H8 600 220
MI=697828	Ø	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE L	03=RPMW=2	88	H8 666 221
MI=697821		TRAIN, BUS	TYPE L	STARFMW-S		HS ARA 222
MT-407022		NTHER CAR	TVBF	0 <b>L - D</b> 7 MM - A		
NT-407000		ATHER CAR		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		
M1=07(866	6	UINER VAR	ITPE L	1842 CUMAR	00	19 000 MAS
MI#041052	0	MOTORCYCLE	REAR END	00-XDA0-3	01	HS 600 223
MI 697825	e	POLE, TREE	VEH=QBJ	10-LPAN-9	87	H8 688 148
MI+697826	2	VAN	TYPEL	12-FDMW-2	99	H8 688 225
NT=697027	ĩ	OTHER CAR	TVDE	12-FDAW-2	<b>G</b> 4	H8 400 224
MT-607051		OTHED AND				
	6	UINER GAR		SAAFAWA'	WY	US DAR CKO
MI-697029	Ø	OTHER	VEN#OBJ	90-1260-2	08	H3 600 227
MI=697031	Ø	GROUND	VEH=OBJ	00=TDA0=3	62	H8 600 228
MI=697032	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	97=XDAW=8	86	H8 688 229
MT=607939	2	OTHER CAR	OFAR FND	A7-L RMW-4		HS 460 290
MT_A87075	5. 12	AANSU AYD				119 999 557
MI-OALMIK.	2	UTHER LAR	NGAR BND	81450UN#5		HO OUD REA
MI9697833	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	O7-BLMW-4	ØØ	H8 600 230
MI+697033	S	OTHER CAR	REAR END	81-FRMW-3	01	HS 688 230
MI=697034	Ø	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE L	894LPEN-K	64	H8 688 231
MT-407070		RUTIOTNO	VENDET			HR 486 212
	U O	00150100				HO OPD RAC
m1=09/041	Ø	PULEFIREE	VENUUUU	11	<b>V</b> O	H2 000 333
MIAMI-105	Ø	GROUND	VEHHOPJ	ØØ=XDAO+1		H8 690 431
MIAMI=121	1	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	12-FDEW-5	07	H8 688 822
MIAMI+121	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12+FDEW-3	05	H8 688 822
MTAM172 201	a	OTHER	VEH-OR.I	A1-8988-1	4	
NTANTYS SAS	Å	QMALI DARY	VELLARI	**************************************		
172 <i>25172466</i> . <b>ሮምሮ</b> አቋቋለአቋቋቋክ ከተተ	v n	WALL FUOI	VENTUDU VAR	167765779 44_044	17.17 A A	
MIAMILZ 205	0	INACTOTRAIL	TYPE T	DHAKTANGT	N D	
MIAM172 204	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE'T	01=FDEW-2	01	
MIAMI72 204	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LDAW-3	07	
MIAMITE 205	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE	01+FREW-3	82	
MTAMTY2 208	5	OTHER CAP	TYPF T	AQUI VFW-1	47	
	6- 1		TYPE T	₩37₩INN <b>7#</b> 81 <b>28</b> 88₩21	₩ L Ø 4	
HANNENS DOL	4		1175 <u> </u> 9488			
-14-172 206	2	UTHEN CAR	ITPE T	DX-NDLW-4	03	
MIAMI72 207	1	DTHER CAR	TYPE T	11=FDMW=1	01	HS 688 825
			216		•	

		FIRST				
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT=HS
NUMBER	NOa	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REPORT NO.
			,	inge		
MIAMI72 207	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02=RPMW=1	01	HS 600 825
MIAMI72 208	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	01=FDEW=1	02	HS 601 077
MTAMITZ 208	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LYMW-3	03	HS 601 077
MYANTTO DOO	ā	GUARDRATI	VFHEORI	11 .FIFWed	aA	HS 400 826
1440AL6 697	0 0		VELLODY		86	
194894899 040 19489466 611	10	PULEDINEE	A Z ULA COÂ		89 Y 14 T	
MAMITE CIE	1	UTMER LAN	SIDEMOTOR	11 OF TEHNO	Ø7	
SIS STIMALM	2	OTHER CAR	SIDESIDE	11=1462=2	64	
MIAMI72 213	\$	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	BSOFDENOI	Ø 1	
615 STIMAEN	2.	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	10+L8EW=3	Ø6	
MIAM172 214	ø	GROUND	VEH-OBJ	00-LDA0-1	01	
MIAMI72-215	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-FYENES	98	
HIAM172=215	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	05-BYEW-3	82	
MIAMY 215	ĩ	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-FYEN-3	99	
HTANT72 214	ā	DALF. YOFF	VEHODAL	GINDDAWell	ØA	
HTANT 912	¢,		VENLORI		016	
	<b>v</b>	- VALY INCL Ovurb	VEN-OB 1	DD-LYAN-J	a 6	
MIAMIC CIO		OFUER CAD	VENTUPU	NOW I I GAWD	197 A h	
MIAMI/C CED	1	NTHER LAN	REAUPUN	JIPT TANDO	974 (***	10 000 001
MXAM172 220	ć	OTHER GAR	HE ADOON	BINK YEARS	91	M5 000 021
NIAMI72 221	Ø	Pole, thee	VEN-OBJ	10-LPAN-3	86	H8060 1 07
WINWINS SSS	Ø	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPE L	BI=FZEW=4	84	
ESS STIMAIM	0	MOTORCYCLE	HEADOON	AL .FCENal	01	
MIAMI72 224	0	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE T	03-RZEW-3	00	
MIAMI72 225	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE Y	11=PDEW=1	Ø1	
MIAMITA 225	Ž.	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02-RYAW-3	81	
M74M772 226	A	PFOFSTRYAN	VENDORJ	12sFCENe1	20	
MTAMTTO 227	1	NTHER CAR	STOFATOF	ALERFMS-1	21	
	5		6708-6105		0 L	
	<b>4</b>	AQUER VAR	OTAR OTAR	STATICATE STATE	0 9 0 9	
MIAMI/C CCO	0		45 M&029	1 COULAND	02	
MIAM172 229	1	UTHER CAR	TYPE L	II-LAN-S	02	
MIAM115 850	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	110FYEWA3	03	
MIAMI72 230	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01=FDEW@1	98	
MIAM172 230	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	10=LDAW-3	08	
125 STIMAIN	ø	other	HEAD=ON	11-FLEE-6	86	
SES STIMAIM	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FYEW-4	06	
MIAM172 232	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-BREW-3	01	
MTAM172 233	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	020RPAW=3	02	
MTAM172 234	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	B2=RPAN=6	82	
MTAM172 284	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11. FDAW=7	<b>a</b> 2	
MTAMPYD DEC	4 4	ATHER CAR	PFAR FND	AL FOFFEL	<b>A</b> 4	
178978/6 697 18788793 386	4 5	NYHED PAD			8 <b>8</b>	
	<u>د</u>	DEARC789AN	VEN-001	UN-SPON-1	90 40	
	<b>9</b>	PEUEDINIAN	VEN-OD I	12 PRONAL	00	
MIAMINE CJI	Ø	PULED INCL	A R We OD O	DISPRENS:	01	
MIAMI/C COD	1	UTHER CAN	IVPEI	BS-LOW201	87	
MIAMI72 230	2	OTMEN CAN	TYPE T	1) 0[ DM3-1	61	
MIAM172 239	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	1 - FLEM-S	2	
MIAMI72 839	S	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	05=R7A8=3	04	
MJAM172 240	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11=FLEE-2	01	
MIAH175 240	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02=RFEE=3	02	
MI 72306	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11=FDEH=1	00	
MI 72306	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02-RDEW-2	01	
MMF=69=38	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-FDEH-3	01	HS 600 206
MMF+69=38	2	OTHER CAR	HEADON	110FYEW-3	01	HS 600 206
MMF=69=39	0	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-PLEW-4	06	H8 600 336
MHF=69=46	ø	POLE. TREE	VENOOBJ	AG-XDAD-3	96	HS ADD UDA
MMF=69=49	ā	DITCH	VENOAL	ØlgF74W=4	ñà	HS 600 427
MMF=69=54	2	OTHER CAR	HEADEON	BI FZMM-D	ai	HS 684 207
·····	•	en i rentra di tratti	1 1 m + 1/2 W 1 V	an a − 1 an 1 an 10	₩ ¥	

		FIRST						
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG	PRIMARY	VEH	001	-H8	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REP	PORT	NO.
· · · · ·				•				•
MMF=69=55	Ø	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	10-FYAN-6	07	HS	690	337
MMF=69=58	0	OTHER	VEH=OBJ	04=RBAN=3	86	H8	600	288
MMF=69=59	Ø	SIGN	VEH=OBJ	02-RFEN-3	06	HS	600	428
MMF 78 1	0	EMBANKMENT	VEH=OBJ	01=FZAH=6	Øð			
MMF=70=2	Ø	POLS, TREE	VEMOOBJ	BEWRYAWOO	08			
MMF 70 3	ø	DITCH	VEHOOBJ	09-UDXW-2	89	HS	600	338
MMF=70=04	0	POLE, TREE	VENHOBJ	12-EREN-2	01	HS	600	289
MMF=70=05	Ø	OTHER CAR	HEADON	01-FREE-6	88	HB	600	210
MMF=70=06	0	GUARDRAIL	AEHODA	00=XDAU=7	86	MS	600	339
MMF = 7 9 = 97	0	PULEITREE	VEN OD J	090LFANGO	01	140	966	211
MMP#79#98	Ø	GRUUND	VENAUBJ	UUMXUAUWZ	80	198 110	000	340
	1	OTHER LAR	TYPE L	10467444A	ØY	ПŌ	000	439
MMESEAL44	6	OTHER LAK	VENLORI		26	ΠØ	000	4.38
MME#70#31	10		A E LÁRDA	()	00			
MMF-70-1C	8	ADVICENI Dole: Tore	VENUDU	I SHE DANAD	80 89			
	6	PULE I REE	VENDOU	1897 VANDO	<b>W</b> <u>7</u>			
	0	LICHT TRHEV	TVDE I	VITREEME 13-EDEW-3	a 1 a 2			
MMF#/0+10	0	ATURO CAO	TYPE L		05			
MMP-70-10	1	ATHER LAR	TYPE L		76			
	Č,	NTHER LAR	TVOR	807612N73	10 / 11 3			
	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L		04 08			
	۲ د	NTHER CAR	HEAD-ON		100 28			
MMF 71 3	3	ATUED PAD	MEADEON	4 4 - FL FH-9	4 1 1 1 1			
	2	CROUND	VENDORT	117555775	101 107			
MMP 71 4	0 a	GRUUNU Gton	VENDO	1 248CMN-1	07 09			
	0	DALE TREE	VEN-DRI		01			
	a	FULLIIKEL Emranyment	VEHOORI	WA-TROAK	04			
WWE 71 0	0	CHOANNHENT	VEHEORI	di-FPFN-X	81		100	0 T #
MME 74 40	0	FFNCF	VENDORI	ANATPONAL	a (	11.	0.00	724
MMF 74 44	0	POLE.TREE	VEHeORI	Ale PRENe2	04			
MMR 74 43	Ø	POLF. TRFF	VEHBORI		8A			
MMP 71 13	a	PALE. TREE	VEHEOBJ	GRAL PANAT	ØA			
MMC 71 14	2	OTHER .	VEHAORI	12-FRFN-S	87			
MM8 91 15	4	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	GLORZEWS 3	97			
MME 94 45	2	OTHER CAP	TYPE T	11-FDFW-3	Ø 1			
MME 71 14	к. Д	RUTIOTNG	VEHeORI	110225403	81			
MM8 74 17	Â	POLE. TREE	VEHeOBJ	11#FREN#1	81			
MMF 71 1A	Ø	GROUND	VEHEOBJ	AGeTDH0=4	86			
MMF 74 10	a	PTFR.PTILAR	VEHOOBJ	IPOFLEENO	07			
MMF 71 20	0	OTHER CAR	SIDENSIDE	BB-LDAW-4	88			
MMF 71 22	ñ	MOTORCYCLE	OTHER	120FDLW-1	<b>a</b> 1	HB	600	877
MMF 71 23	ø	POLE. TREE	VEHOBJ	68-LPAN-5	01	-	•••	
MMF 71 24	ø	FENCE	VEH-OBJ	01=FLEN=2	07			
MMP 71 25	ē	GUARDRAIL	VEH=OBJ	89-LPAN-5	08			
MMF 71 26	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	81-FREW-3	01			
MMF 71 26	Ż	OTHER CAR	REAR END	07+BLAN+B	99			
MMF 71 27	Ø	DITCH	VEH-OBJ	00-XDA0-5	04			
MMF 71 28	0	POLE, TREE	VEHOBJ	12-FCEN-2	85			
MMF 71 89	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEHOODJ	BO-FREO-5	87			
MMF 71 30	0	EMBANKMENT	TYPE T	11-LDAW-2	00			
MMF 71 31	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	01-FDEW-2	01			
MMF 71 32	0	GROUND	VEH-OBJ	ØØ TDGO TS	01			
MME 72 3	ø	TRACT=TRAIL	REAR END	12-FDHW.9	88			
MMF#72=7	0	OTHER	VEHOBJ	11-UFXW-2	87			
UNM Ø1	Ø	DTHER CAR	TYPE	02=RFMW=3	61	H2	000	837
		0	10					

•

		FIRST					
TEAM CABE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT-HS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REPORT	NO.
	-						
UNM 02	0	ENDANKMENT	VEMPOBJ	07#1060#5	01	H0 400	042
UNM 93	U	UTHEN CAN	TTPE L		0/	H8 480	074
UNM 00	2 6	TRACT TRATI	OINE#OINE	0/-0 <u>65</u> n-3 11-87AW-5	01 G1	HE LES	215
UNM DO		NTHER CAR	DEAD END	47-8CFW-3	0 J 0 1	H8 600	896
LINN 87		GUABORATI	VFHEORJ	AB-TPAD-4	<b>01</b>	HS 600	897
UNM ØA	a	OTHER CAR	TYPELL	03-RYEW-3	04	H8 600	149
UNM BO	Ď	ANIMALWBIG	VEH-08J	11=FLMH=1	06	HS 600	150
UNN 10		EMBANKMENT	VEH=OBJ	09=XDA0=3	01	HS 600	236
UNM 11	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LBMW-2	01	H8 600	237
UNM 12	0	BRIDGE RAIL	VENDOBJ	01-PLEN-3	88	H\$ 600	238
UNM 13	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-FYEH-3	00	HS 600	509
UNM 14	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	00-TDG0-6	05	HS 600	240
UNM 15	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	11=FLEN=4	08	HS 600	241
UNM 16	0	BRIDGE RAIL	VENHOBJ	12-FDAW-6	08	M8 600	242
UNM 17	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE L		03	NG 600	243
UNM 19	1	OTMER CAR	REAR END	124702843	01	H5 600	202
UNM 17	2	ARTACK CAR	KEAR END	0070VNN72	00	HE 466	- 300 - 184
		DUTARD LVD	TYPE	CARELENS	07 02	HQ 900	300 107
UNM 21	2	OTHER CAR	TVDEL	11-5058-2	92	HS 600	201
UNM 22	с Д	OTHER CAR	TVPFI	AL-RZEN-L	80	H8 600	300
UNN 23	ă	OTHER CAR	TYPE	11+FDEW-3	02	HS 600	309
UNM 24	ø	POLE. TREE	VEHHOBJ	09=LPAN=4	01	H8 600	310
UNM 26	ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12-FDEN-3	02	H8 600	341
UNM 26	Ż	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	89-LPMW-3	50	H8 680	341
UNH 27	Õ	POLE, TREE	VEHPOBJ	12-FOEN-3	01	H\$ 688	312
UNM 29	8	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12-PDMW-2	91	H8 600	342
UNM 30	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	10-LBHW-3	99	HS 600	314
UNM 32	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FDEW-3	03	H\$ 600	433
UNM 32	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	86=80MW=3	86	HS 600	433
UNM 33	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE	04+RPMW+2	82	HS 600	434
UNM 34	0	TRACTATRAIL	REAR END	ØJ = FZEW = A	02	M3 600	435
UNM 39	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	1225728922	Ø1 Ø4	- H6 466	430
UNM 37	4	UTER GAR	TVDE I	83=04CR#3	8 10 12 1	ng 600	430
LINN CA 3	1	NTHER CAR	TYPE		09		
UNM SU E	<b>6</b> 1	NTHER CAR	TYPE	11 m FYMWm 2	01 01	HS 600	880
UNM 63	ż	OTHER CAR	TYPE	02=RFEW=3	81	H8 600	880
UNM 64		POLE, TREE	VEH-OBJ	03-RPAN-5	05	HS 600	881
UNM 65	ī	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FYEW-3	01		~ ~ •
UNM 65	Ź	OTHER CAR	REAR END	86-BZEH-S	01		
UNM 66	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	09-LFEE+5	01	H\$ 600	789
UNM 66	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01=FREE=2	01	H8 688	789
UNM 67	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-FDEW-2	01	<u>H</u> \$ 600	829
UNM 67	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02-RFEH-2	82	HS 600	829
UNM 68	Ş	DTHER CAR	TYPE T	00-TPG0-2	00	HS 600	790
UNM 69	1	UTHER CAR	aine=àide		01		
1111 Q Y	Ę	UINER GAR	TTPL I	日本中代ア 三井中当 ルムーキウドワー つ	92		
	4	NUIURLILE NTHER CAR	TYDE T	44 <b>0</b> 2020 44 <b>0</b> 2020 440	80	19 A.80	771
UNN 71	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE I	1140VEM=1	0 01 1	HS 100	772
UNM 72	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	ØA+LDAW-7	<b>67</b>	H8 400	810
UNH 73	ī	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11+FDEW=3		HELLON	774
UNH 73	ź	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	D1-RFEH=2	01	HS 600	774
UNM 74	0	ENBANKMENT	VEH-OBJ	01=FREW-2	02	H8 688	831

		FIRST					• .		
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DO	T-HS		
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AI8	RE	DRT	NO.	
	÷		-				•		
UNH 75		OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12-FDMW-1	61				
UNM 75	ž	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LYEW-3	82				
UNM 76	ĩ	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12.FDFW.2	01				
UNM 76	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	Ø4=RDFW=4	<b>A1</b>				
LINH 76	7	OTHER CAR	PFAR FNR	at avena	63				
IINM 77	ĩ	ATHER CAR		13-5754-4	9 C				
IINM 77		OTHER CAD		84-8484-3					
HNM TA	4	NTHER CAR		19-5054-3	<b>a</b> .				
IINM TR	2	ATHED CAD	DEAD END						
IINM TO	6	TPACT_TPATE			94			01/	
	0	CHAPDRATI			0 V			714	
HAM AL	•	NTHED CAD				- MO		713 822-	
NINN BI	*	OTHER CAR	TVDE		<b>VK</b>			087 A75	
UNM 01	2	THRACT ATTN	VENDORT		03		466	401	
	v a	TREAM! AITH	TYDE	1140004449	<b>8 6 3</b> 1	<b>17 (</b>		141	
	8	ANE TOFE	1175 1		UC				
	Ø	TULEFIKEE	VERTUDU:	UYTLDENTJ 19-Event	01				
	1	DINER LAR	ALAA ENU		01				
	2	UTHER LAR	REAR END		07		1.00		
080 1	Ŭ	UTHEN GAR	TYPE L		61	110	000	437	
080 2	Ŷ	UTMER CAR	REAR END	0700YMW91	0)		699	832	
080 3	Ø	DTHER CAR	TYPEL	114LZMB#3	0Z	MB	•99	037	
080 4	Ø	OTNER CAR	REAR END	BZORYANCA	08	HŞ	600	994	
080 5	0	GROUND	AENGOBI	BB=XDAU#3	01	MS	600	290	
050 7	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	83eRYHH93	86		600	926	
080 8	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	SS-LBMW-3	03	HS	600	025	
084 9	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	89-LPMW-3	62	. <b>MB</b> .	600	438	
080 11	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	02-RPAN-5	83	-			
080 12	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18-LFEN-3	<b>F §</b>	HŞ	600	439	
080 13	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-FLEN-1	01				
080 14	0	LARGE TRUCK	SIDE=SIDE	00-LDAO-2	01	•			
080 15	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	0 <u>7</u> -LZAW-4	86				
<b>08U 17</b>	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11=FDEW-1	82				
080 19	8	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	09-LFMW-3	95				
080 20	0	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11#FDEW#1	01				
25 U80	0	OTHER CAR	SIDE=BIDE	BS-RYAW-5	86				
080 23	Ø	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01#FZEN+2	00				
090 24	0	SIGN	VEHOODJ	00-RDA0-1	80				
080 25	Ø	OTHER CAR	REAR END	01-FDEW-4	82				
080 27	0	TRAIN, BUS	TYPE T	BOOLDANOO	89				
080 29	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEH-OBJ_	89+LBEN+3	01				
080 30	8	OTHER CAR	REAR END	0]+PREE-2	<b>9</b> 1				
08U 31	9	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPE T	BBORFEW-4	83				
080 35	8	POLE, TREE	VEHOBJ	05-RPAN-4	88				
080 39	1	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	12=FYAW-7	86				
Q8U 39	2	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	12-FYAN-9	56				
080 47	0	DITCH	VEH-OBJ	OB-UFXW-1	03				
090 51	9	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	BEFFDEN-1	01				
084 53	0	VAN	TYPET	02-RYMH-2	101	х. 			
RTI 1	0	DITCH	VEH=OBJ	12-FLHN-2	99		690	838	
RTI 2	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11-EYEN-S	02	HS	688	015	
RTI 4	0	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12-FDMH-2	01	. H8	600	016	
RTI 5	Ø	ST TRUCK	TYPEL	12-FDEN-3	01	H\$.			
RTI 6	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12#FDMH-2	01	HB	600	013	
RTI 6	Ź	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	03=RZAH=4	92	H8	600	013	
R71 7	Ø	ST TRUCK	TYPEL	89-LPMH-3	04	HS	600	010	
RTI 8	0	TRAIN, BUS	TYPE L	09-LDAN-6	88	HS	688	865	
			220						

•

.

.

		FIRST				
YEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT=HS
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	COC/VDI	<b>AIS</b>	REPORT NO,
	-		_			
RTI 9	8	DITCH	VEHNOBJ	BB=TDA0=3	85	HS 600 060
ATT 10	0	OTHER CAR	HEADSON	12-FDEN-6	08	HS 600 099
977 44	Ā	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LPMW-2	82	HS 688 180
399 49	à	SHALL POST	VEHODAJ	83#RZAN#3	01	HS 600 101
19 1 4 4 4 19 7 7 6 A	₩	BYYPH	VEHDORI	19-FRMN-2	01	HS 600 102
ካይል ቆማ ይነዋዋ ላል	₩ @	N7704	VEHEART	AG=YDAD=2	99	HS 688 244
NII 10	0	01100 0770H	VENADR	( DoBCMNol	Ø 1	NS 400 151
	C A	ATICD ATICD FAD	PEN-UDU Delan Eur	164-5054-5	<i>a</i> (	HR 400 945
NI 10	8	ATHER CAR	ACAN CHU Acam chu	O THE UCHAR	69 L	HE LOG LUG
N   1 1 Y	9	UTHER WAR	HEAR END		9 K 9 K	MQ 400 114
NII SV	Ø	OUNER GAR	MGAVEUN Sube	11-FONW-3	(B 4	HO COU 310
ALI SI	1	UTHEN GAR	IYPE L	I J WF DFINES	101 011	HO COU 311
RTI 21	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	840KFM403	04	HO (000 31)
RTI 23	0	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPE L	S COLKWAN 2	Ø1	M9 000 317
811 54	Ø	TRAIN, BUS	TYPE L	BIPFDAW+5	86	M8 600 441
RTI 25	0	CULVERT	VEN=08J	BUNTZHO-2	01	NS 600 343
RYJ 27	Ø	DITCH	VEHOOBJ	UN-FDEO-3	9 Z	M6 600 320
8TJ 20	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	07=8LMW@2	01	H\$ 600 344
RTI 29	9	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPE L	09=LYAN-6	08	HS 600 321
RTI 30	9	TRAIN, BUS	TYPE L	12=FCEH=4	03	HS 600 322
RTI 32	0	DITCH	VEHOOBJ	00×XDAO•2	92	HS 600 442
RTI 48	Ø	EMBANKMENT	VEHOOBJ	00-FDEW-3	00	HS 601 001
877 095 49	ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	110FLEN-3	01	HS 601 002
917 095 49	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	02-RFEE-3	01	HS 601 002
877 085 51	4	OTHER CAR	HEADON	Ø1#FREN#2	21	
877 485 84	3	OTHRO CAD	HFADSON	11=FVFH=R	01	
NIL 972 21 071 836 89	4	ATHER PAD	PEAD ENA	110PDFWm3	<b>A</b> 1	
ALL MYD DE	+	APURD PAD	DEAD END	as a Rosting	98	
	6	CINER GAR	NEMA GNU	48	0.0	
311 843 34	8	STOANNER!	TURK T		24	
RTI 095 50	1	UTHER CAR			Ø1 01	
RTI 075 56	2	OTHER GAR	ITE I	10-200-4 10-20-4	01	
RTI 095 57	0	GROUND	VENOUS		01	
RT1 095 58	Ø	OTHER	MEAQON	12 MECENCE	01	
RTI 095 59	9	GROUND	VENDOBJ	88=1×60=2	00	
RTI 895 60	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	120 PDRW04	03	
RII 095 60	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	OB-LFEN=3	01	
RTI 095 61	0	OTHER CAR	SIDESSIDE	15-EKEM-5	62	
RTI 095 62	8	ST TRUCK	TYPE L	04-RPAN-6	08	
RTI 095-64	0	POLE, TREE	VEHeOBJ	02-FREW+3	02	
RTI 095 65	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	15-LDEM=1	01	
RTI 095 65	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	86-80EN-3	95	
RTI 095 66		DITCH	VEH=08J	Secvet and	65	
RTI 895 67	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	BS-RYEW-1	01	
RTI 095 69	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	100LFEW03	01	
RTI 095 69	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	Ø1-PZEW=3	01	
RTI 095 70	ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	10-FDEH-2	01	
RTI 995 70	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01-RFEW-3	01	
RTI 095 71	1	OTHER CAR	810E-810E	12-FLEE-2	88	
RTI 095 71	2	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	11-LDMS-2	00	
RT1 095 72		EMBANKHENT	VENOBJ	00-XFEW-3	81	
RTI 045 75		HOTORCYCLF	TYPE	02+RBEN-1	00	
RT1 095 74	â	POLESTREE	VENDAL	ASABCEN-S	01	
871 495 75	1	OTHER CAP	OTHER	TOPYENES	94	
RTT AOK TR	5	OTHER CAR	SIDEARIDE	00+TDA0-3	85	
871 008 7K	8	OTHER CAR	HEADMON	Ø Safer->	21	
811 04K 76	, <b>4</b>	OTHER CAR	NEADAGA	1 205VAMAA	02	• * * *
897 ABC 94	2	OTHER CAD	HEADAON	1 9 m FY A Ha A	g A	
ALA VTJ (D		ALLENCE ALLE	221	98-1 (MH <b>TT</b>	20	

			FIRBT							
TEAP	CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DO	T=H8.		
NUME	BER	NOS	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	ATS	RE	PORT	NO.	
		-								
RTI	095 77	Ø	DITCH	VEHOOBJ	SERDADES	01				
RTI	095 78	8	POLEATREE	VEHOOBJ	11-PRENo1	01				
RTI	895 79	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	850BDAN-4	<b>Ø</b> 1				
RTT	895 79	ż	OTHER CAR	REAR END	A10779403	61				
RTT		1	OTHER CAR	ROAR FND		39				
RTT	005 A0	5	NTHER CAR	DEAD END	ALAROFH-2	51 51				
87T	00K 81	6	I TENT TENT			04				
077	075 UL	5	ATHED AND	HEAD-ON	81-81 85-1 81-51 85-1	<b>0</b> (				
DTT	073 01 088 83	65. 4	ATHER CAR	TVDE T		01				
5 J A	073 0 <u>C</u>	\$	OTHER VAR	ባለር ነ የዋወድ የ		9 P				
N 1 1 N 7 7		ć	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	114FARMAC	01				
	070 03	1	UINCK LAK	ITPE I	1897 VENge	20				
RTI	095 83	2	UTMER CAR	TYPE	10-LTAN-3	62				
RAI	43	0	UTMER CAR	TYPE	119FRENQ3	82	HØ.	688	734	
RAI	46	0	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	03-FYEN-2	83	- <b>H</b> Ş.	688	775	
RAI	65 66	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	100LFEN-5	96	H8	600	242	
RAI	65 66	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11#FREE#4	02	H\$	688	792	
RAI	71	Ø	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	0 <u>3+</u> 84M8-2	99			н», -	
RAI	81	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11-PDEW#3	03	H8	600	793	
RAI	81	2	DTHER CAR	TYPEL	BEARYAN-3	84	H\$	600	793	
RAI	82	8	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	12-EYEN-3	86	HS	688	794	
RAI	83	1	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	12-FDEN-4	03	H8	688	795	
RAI	83	2	OTHER CAR	HEADON	01-FZEN-5	84	HS	689	795	
RAI	84	ø	OTHER CAR	HEADON	120FLEEN1	81			•••	
RAT	87	Ø	OTHER CAR	HEADON	120777402	a2				
RAT	89	à	PTER.PTLLAR	VEHODAJ	11 mFVAWmA	63				
BAT.	<b>Q</b> Ø	a		VEHAORI	A4-F#FN-1	ag				
5 A 7	103	a	POLETINES	VEHADRI	02-875W-0	03 A1	Me		767	
047	105 184	•	NULED CAD	TVDS T		0 J 0 A	110		171	
	1 W Q	\$	ATHER CAR	TYPE 1	01-2028-3	27				
NAL DAT	100	e	OTHER GAR	HEAD-DN	117715775	22				
RAT.	101	1	NUMER WAR	HEAD-ON		46				
NA1	107	E	VINER LAR	NEADEUN	01#F6E4#3	03				
RAL	107	5	UTHER LAR	REAR END	16976EE93	01				
RAI	110	Ø	UTHER LAR	TYPE T	1200 DENel					
RAI	111	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12000000	01				
RAI	111	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	DECTIMUS	03				
RAI	112	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11=FYEWez	01	HS	600	884	
RAI	112	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	82-RFEN-2	01	HB	688	884	
RAI	113	0	FENCE	VEHOOJ	18-FDEW-6	86				
RAI	116	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	12-FLEW-2	01				
RAI	116	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	B3-RPEW-4	06				
RAI	117	1	VAN	TYPE L	12-FZEH-2	00	H8	690	885	. •
RAI	117	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	02=RPEW=3	01	H8	688	885	
RAI	119	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	02-RFEN-2	02				
RAI	119	Ž	LIGHT TRUCK	TYPEL	10-LPAN-3	09				
RAI	120	ø	GUARDRAIL	VEH-OBJ	12-FCEN-3	01				
RAT	121	ø	GUARDRAIL	VEHOOBJ	12-ELEN-4	82				•
RAT	122	ñ	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	OSOFLEE01	80		· ·		
₩▲1	198	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	REAR END	120FDEH-T	Ø 1				•
RAT	121	ŝ	OTHER CAR	REAR END	11.FLEW-9	- AS	۰.			
	45.7 13/1	c Ø	NTHED CAD	RFAR FNA	ALBRY MHAA	<b>A</b> 1				
5 5 5 5 5 1 1	4 65 M	n N	OTHER CAR		1358VEM-3					
	557 194	0	DINER VAR Dais. Tase	VENAR GRU	15-015-75 15-075	81		•		
5 A 7	159	ų t	776811786 77680 780	ARUMAAA Arumaaa	19 <b>~2</b> 0MM-1 18220Ariat	<b>A</b> 1		641	641	
NAJ DAT	15/	1	NYLER PAR	NENY ENK	1570 VUR <b>7</b> 1			- <u> </u>	リマママ	
KA1 N A	16/	6	NINER VAR	TUBE I		₩Ų A4	10		447	
KAI	360	Ø	UTHER LAK	IITE L	#7=01577# #9=904W=	V 1 66				
RA1	121	1	UINER LAR	11 <b>76</b> 1	UC-RVAR-I	07	<b>n Q</b> ·	909	4 <b>4</b> 4	

TEAM CASE NUMBER	VEH NO.	FIRST Object Contacted	CONFIG- URATION	PRIMARY CDC/VDI	VEH AIS	DOTHHS Report NO.
RAI 131 RAI 134	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T SIDE-SIDE	11=FDEW=3 07=LFE8=1	02	HS 600 886
RAI 134	ż	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	01-RPM8-2	01	
RAI \$37	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01-FDEW-1	01	
RAI \$37	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L		01	
RAL 130 Dat 138	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T		81 81	
RAI 139	8	ST TRUCK	SIDE-BIDE	11=FLEE=1	82	
USC 71 1	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	18-FDEW-2	ØĪ	
USC 71 1	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	01 PRYEW93	81	
	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	1919FUER#1 19-17FW-3	01 04	
USC 71 3	<b>6</b> .	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	10-LFEE+2	01	
USC 71 3	ź	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	02-LFEE-3	01	
U\$C 71 4	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	18-FDES-1	91	
USC 71 4	2	OTHER CAP	TYPEL	B2+RPAN+3	01	
USC 71 5	1	OTHER CAR	310E#310E 810E-810E		01	
UQC <u>/1</u> 3 USC 71 6	4	OTHER CAR	VENeDAJ		81	-1
USC 71 7	1	ST TRUCK	REAR END	Ø7=BREE=6	Øi	
U8C 71 7	ż	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12#FCEN#2	01	
USC 71 8	0	POLE, TREE	VEH-OBJ	12-FLEN-3	82	HS 688 748
USC 71 9	0	OTHER	VEHeDSJ	120FREN#1	50	
UNC 71 11	, V	GUARDRAIL	TYPE I	12=262444	00 01	10 000 000
USC 71 12	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01-RFEW-2	01	
U8C 71 13	ī	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	12-FLEN-1	80	
USC 71 13	2	OTHER CAR	SIDENSIDE	11-LDM8-2	01	
USC 71 14	Ø	ABUTMENT	VEH=OBJ	11 FLEWe3	01	
USC 71 15	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE J	124724741	99 A1	
UBC 71 15	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12=FLMW=1	01	
USC 71 16	ż	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-BRMW-2	00	
U\$C 17	Q	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	00-TPGW=3	03	<b>.</b>
USC 18	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	Ø2-FDEW-1	01	HS 600 962
USC 10	2	UTHER LAR	NEAD END	1247774-2	8 j 8 j	M8 100 0113
USC 19	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	07+LBES-1	88	HS 600 943
USC 20	ī	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FYEW-1	01	H\$ 600 961
USC 20	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-82E#=3	01	HS 600 961
USC 21	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12=FDEW=6	02	H3 600 920
USC 21	<b>C</b>	OTHER CAR	TYPE	サイヤレドビス そう	<u>ም ነ</u> መፋ	NR 400 920
	ż	OTHER CAR	TYPE	82#RFEE#2	81	H8 600 921
U\$C 22	3	OTHER CAR	TYPE	10-LPHW-1	01	HS 600 921
USC 23	Ø	MOTORCYCLE	REAR END	12-FCEN-3	88	
USC 24	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END		01	
UUSC 25	<b>4</b> 0	UTHER GAR	1775 L V8H=08.1	12=51544-2	01 09	
U\$C 26	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE+BIDE	12=FDEW=1	01	
USC 26	Ē	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	12-FDEW-1	00	
USC 27	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01=FYEW#3	01	
	2	UTMER CAR	TYPE L	10-LBEW-2	03	
USC 28	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END		Ø1	
USC 29	ī	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FREW-1	01	

		FIRST					
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG-	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT-HS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS	REPORT	ND.
				سنين بالم	,		
USC 29	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	96-BDEN-2	01		
USC 31		OTHER	VEHPOBJ	12-FZEH-2	83		
USC 72 32	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12=FYEH=1	<b>8</b> 1		
USC 72 32	Ż	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18-LBMW-1	02		
USC 33	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD+ÔN	12=FDEN=1	01		
U8C 33	Ž	OTHER CAR	HEAD=ON	02+FZEH+2	82		
USC 34	0	FENCE	VEHOBJ	12-FDEW-2			
USC 35	9	GUARDRATL	VENDOBJ	AL PRES 1	<b>A</b> 1		
USC 72 36	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE	82-875¥-2	82		
USC 72 36	;	OTHER CAR	TYPE	ACal ASWa2	82		
USC 72 38	ā	MOTORCYCLE	HEAD-ON	At SECENSI	<b>A</b> (		
HRF 10	ā	POIF. TRFF	VEHEORI		<b>A</b> i		
	a	GUADDDATI	RTNFLETNE	194808449 194808449			
USC 73 4	a	ATHER PAR	HEAD-ON	11475W8474	<u>01</u>		
HRC 73 5	a	OTHER CAR		11487884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 1148844 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114844 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 114884 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 1148844 11488444 1148844 11488444 1148844 1148444 11	01 01		
1180 78 30		NTHED CAD	4 1 0 C = 0 1 0 C	*********	01		
100 73 CV	1	ATHER CAR	TTTT		<b>U</b> ]		
	6		1355,6	· 1월주일슈티전구로	20		
0K1 6 001	Ø	PULEDINCE	YENUUUU	INTLENT	04 -	•	
941 2 892	1	UIMER LAR	REAK END		88		
SKI 2 DUZ	2	UTHER CAR	REAR END	00000EH01	92		9
3RI2 000	1	OTHER CAR	TALE I	11-FLEW-1	01		
SRI2 006	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE I	84=RPAW=2	01		
SRI 2 007 1	1	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE T	BB=LPMW=2	89		
881 2.007 2	2	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE T	02-FREE-2	01		•
\$R I - 0006	8	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12#FCHH#1	<b>6</b> B	H8 600	070
8RI-9007	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	B1-RFM8-2	80	H8 690	855
SR1-0009	0	POLE, TREE	VEH-OBJ	11#FREH#2	1Ž	H\$ 600	053
8R1-0012	Ø	EMBANKMENT	VENDOBJ	11-UYEN-4	89	M8 198	738
\$RI-9028	0	POLE, TREE	VEH=OBJ	12-FREN-3	01	H8 688	157
8RI-0081	ĺ	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FCMW-1	88	H8 600	432
SRI-0081	ž	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-8CMW-1	ŶŶ	HS 680	442
SU 002	9	PEDESTRIAN	VEH=OBJ	120FCHNe1	00	H8 688	A93.
80 003	ě	MOTORCYCLE	HEADHON	02+FDMN+2	60	H8 600	844
SU 005	ī	GUARDRAIL	HEADWON	12-FYEN-6	03		• • •
8U 085	2	OTHER CAR	HEADBON	110FVEWA6	8.4		
SU DOA	a	MOTORCYCLE	HEAD-ON	11-5754-1	88		
	ĩ	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON		42	M8 480	0.07
	2	OTHER CAR	STOPESTOP	11-1.052-2		MR AGA	499
	2 7	NTHER CAD	HEAD-ON	*********	<b>6</b> (		78K
	3	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON			HE LEG	75/
	4	ATHER CAR	TVAR T	**********			75[
	1	OTHER CAR	THE T	817515798			
an all	Ķ	UTHER LAR		114548548			07/
	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON				÷.,
	2	UTHER GAR	HEAUTUN	INTELLET			
SU 015	<b>U</b>	GUARDRAIL	VENTUDJ				070
	10		A K M A M A M	シャーキャー	TE.		
	Ø	PENCE					TUU
20 051	1	UTHER CAR	HEADBON	#1#FZE#\$4	<b>W</b> X		771
SU MEI	Z	UTHER CAR	MEADOUN	IKOFUEWOA	<b>PQ</b>	10 900	421
SU 082	1	DTHER CAR	REAR END	120FZEN93			
220 055	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	BOOBYENDO.			
SU 023	0	PEDESTRIAN	VEHPOBJ	120FIEN01		H3 600	791
80 025	Ø	TRACT=TRAIL	SIDE=BIDE	18+LYAN+3	•7	H5 688	955
SU 830	0	OTHER	VEH+OBJ	00-TPG0-1	20	H5 600	105
SU Ø31	0	ST TRUCK	REAR END	12-FLAW-7		H8 608	788
SU 032	Ø	FENCE	VEH#OBJ	12-PLEW-6	85ª T	HB . 680	983
			224	ι.			;

		FIRST					
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG	PRIMARY	VEH	DOTOHS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	COÇ/VDI	AYS	REPORT	NO,
					M 4	LIAN A MERINA	*
8U 034	ĩ	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	110PLEEVE	01	NO 000	849
30 034	,	UTHER CAR	SIVENSIDE	12414949	0¢	N0 000	944
3V 833	1	AT TRUCK	REAR ENU	DT#DVAH#Y	07	NO 600	<b>904</b>
80 873 80 873	C .	UTHER CAR	REAR ENU	NO TOLENS	00		9894 2011
8V V37	1	DINER LAN	REAR ENU		101 101	NO OUN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
84 891		NTHER LAR	REAR ENU	0 TODAAMS	20 L 20 D	ng 600	4 D H
811 A 830	0	LTONT TOURY	ETPE L Ethe L	GI JEL NAAPO	07 88		
30 97 9	99 63	EF POHCK	OFAD THA	el teres	107 927 103 4	110 680	661
60 949 611 944	1	NOUND FAD	OFAD PNR	0345NG675	10 1 12 4	ng 900	423
811 8/14 	2		OFAD FND	4447 464¥6 8708044m7	80 V		
	с (	NTHED CAD	TABE	RINE DEWAL	0 Ø		
ALI 642	2	ATHER CAR	TYPE L	1 Aml VHWoX	81		
SWR7=4981	à	POLESTREE	VEMODAJ	1 DOFRMNOS	81	HS AND	82A
8N91-4981	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	12#FDEW-3	01	HS 600	832
8WR1=6983	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LDEW-3	03	H8 600	032
8WR 1=6984	8	POLESTREE	VEHDOBJ	110FLMN01	86	HS 600	033
SWRI-6905	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	08-LZES-3	01	HS 600	103
SNR1-6905	Ž	OTHER CAR	SIDE=BIDE	B2=RYES=4	03	HS 600	103
SNR1=6986	0	POLE, TREE	VEH-OBJ	12-FLHN-2	86	H8 600	036
8WR 1-6908	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	120FDEN93	00	HS 600	184
SWR1-6988	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	00-7PG0-6	04	HS 600	194
8WR3=6911	Ø	PIER, PILLAR	VEHOBJ	B9=LPAN=6	88	H8 600	024
SWRI=6912	1	LIGHT TRUCK	HEAD-ON	12-FDEW-3	08	HS 600	185
8WR1=4912	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	12-80EW-5	04	HS 600	185
8WR 1=6913	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEH-OBJ	00-TDH0-6	03	H8 600	023
SWRI 6914	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LYEW-4	08	HS 600	196
8WAI 6914	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	01-FDEW-2	00	H\$ 600	106
8¥R1-6917	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	83-RPAS-3	01	HS 600	247
SWRI=6917	5	OTHER CAR	SIDE-SIDE	02=RBMS=1	00	HS 600	247
SWR 107003	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-PRMWa3	00	HS 500	199
SWR 1-7003	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	06-BLMW-3	03	HS 600	109
94R 1 - 7004	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	15= × ZWM=5	Øĵ	M3 600	152
SWRI#7004	Ş	OTHER CAR	TYPELL	090LSAW02	00	HS 600	195
34R147005	1	OTMER CAN	HEADBON	I I HE YENGA	63	13 600	110
SKKIN7005	5	OTHEN CAN	MEAUNON	I I PLASMOO	04	NO 000	119
9HK147000	10	81,9N	VENHOU	VI TERENSS	86	NO 600	111
977177000 977177000	1	BYNED PAD	VENDUDU	しの日本になります。	01	- MO 600	123
9 H H J = 7 4 4 9 9 9 9	1	NTHER GAR	NEAU-UN MEAD-ON	13-822273	89 0.0	- 710 980 - 149 (.418	132
977172009 9889767000	Ç	NTHER CAR NTHER CAR	DEAD SNR	15-5744-5	010	10 000 10 000	146
SHOT=7000	2	OTHER CAR	DRAD FND	JERE CHAP1	01 1 10 1	- HR 6000	142
808107711	8	DHIR. POPE	VENDOR.	1205CMNol	22	NG 600	154
SWR1-7012	å	GROUND	VEHenBJ	RE-XDAD-3	21	- HS 600	248
8WR107013	à	GUARDRATL	VENGOBJ	0 Ge TDA On S	2	HS ABD	240
3WR 107814	8	SIGN	VENOOJ	21-RFFM-3	Ø.2	HS 600	
8WRI+7017	8	GUARDRAIL	VEHPOBJ	200XDAD-4	01	HS 600	156
SWRI=7018	Ĩ	EMBANKMENT	TYPEL	A9-LPEW-2	02	HS 600	250
SWR 3 - 7018	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	03-FZEN-3	82	H\$ 600	250
8WR1-7019	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	1 1 OFZMWO2	00	MS 600	251
SWRI=7019	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	83-RZMW+3	01	MS 600	251
3WR1-7020	1	GUARDRAIL	HEADHON	11-FYEWP5	99	HS 600	252
SWRI#7020	2	LIGHT TRUCK	HEADWON	11=FYEWOS	02	H8 600	252
3WR1-7021	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	11=FLEE4S	00	HS 600	253
SWRIG7821	Ş	OTHER CAR	SIDESSIDE	11-LZE8-3	01	HS 600	253
8#RI#7022	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12-FZEW-5	01	HS 600	254

		PIRDI					
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT+H8	
	NO					Braas	
NUMBER	NU 2	CUNIALIED	URAILUN	CACLAGT	A 1 O	REPURI	NU .
SWRT-7022	2	GTHER CAR	TYPE L	I Ani FFWnT	<b>G D</b>	HR LOR	284
	<u> </u>			1840.044	**		
SAKIALOTS	Ø	UTMEN CAN	aine+side	85÷K842÷5	99	M3 600	453
8WRI#7024	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	120FDHWa4	01	H8 600	345
	-		THAT			H8 400	***
3441#/824	۲	UTHEN LAN	ITPE L	0) THE HAD S	ыю	19 000	242
SWRI#7025	<b>9</b>	PIER, PILLAR	VEH=08J	12#FCENes	86	H8 600	454
SHOT-TOTA	9	ANIINA	VENADEJ	88-7D60-4	<b>5</b>	HE LOG	ARR.
AND ***	U U		TENEODO			10 000	
SWRI=7027	Ø	TRACTOTRAIL	REAR END	的五方放工业的负责	22	M2 600	456
SWR 1=7030	Ø	MOTORCYCLE	TYPE L	Ø9-LFMN-2	00	H8 600	458
	4	ATHER CAR	DEAD END	19-79-14-1	44	HE LAA	
94KT#1036	4	UTHER LAR	REAR ENU	TEAL NUMET			427
SWRI=7832	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	86÷8Cĩnas	89	H8 680	437
AWR1-7037	4	OTHER CAR	TYPE	120FZMHeS	สโ	HS LOO	460
	*		9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11		
SAK197037	C	UTHER GAR	ITPE L	834468444	D1	US CAA	# <b>9</b> 0
8WRI#7037	3	OTHER CAR	SIDEmSIDE	11-LFMW-1	66	H8 688	468
	18	QUADODATI	VENDRJ	I Bal DAWAS	at	HR LAG	461
9447-1643	13		VEN-UP9	**************************************	<b>*</b> A		77.
SWRJ 7126	l.	UTHER CAR	HEADODN	124228455	Ø ]	12 900	750
AWRT 7124	2	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	120FZEWSS	81	H8 600	756
81109 18199 81109 18199	694 1		TVDE I		a .		722
3NM1 7152	1	VINER LAK	ITE L	DIALNEMAR	01	10 000	120
SWRI 7132	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LFEN+2	88	HŞ 688	758
BUDT TATA	4	NTURP CAP	TYDE	I Gel ENHes	68	HE LEG	764
9754 1124	ł		おおお 御田 御田 御田 二		V W		
SWRI 7134	5	OTHER CAR	TANK T	01=7ZEW#2	91	H2 000	760
SHRT 7136	1	OTHER CAR	RFAR END	11#FDEW#3	Ø 1	H8 688	761
	â					40 480	***
SWRI 7130	2	UTHEN CAN	REAR END	NJ=DUEH=4	9 C	<b>N00 6</b>	<b>{•}</b>
SWRI 7138	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01=FDEW=2	02	H8 600	762
OUDT TITE		ATUED CAD	TYDE	AGAL VENAA	<b>A</b> 4	HR 400	742
0PR1 (130	E.	UTHER LAR			<b>a</b> 1		105
SWRI 7143	1	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	11-FZEM-2	82		
8WD7 9442	2	OTHER CAR	HFADMON	Ato FRENAS	<b>B</b> 1		
	46a						
SWRI 7144	1	UTHER CAR	MLADWUN	15-175448	υz	<u>üq orn</u>	191
SWRI 7144	2	OTHER CAR	HEADWON	12##ZEW#1	01	H8 688	767
	-	<b>E P P N</b>	VENADAT	GG-TBHO-3		H8 488	ŶĂĂ.
0HUT 1145	U	010H		aper Physics			
SWRI 7146	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11=PDMN=1	<b>#</b> ]	MQ 699	797
SWD7 7144	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	APORZEWO3	<b>Q</b> 1	HS 680	769
	5)» 4				<b>6</b> A		44 <u>6</u>
5WN1 7147	1	UTHER GAR	ITEL T	81-LAF443			119
3WRI 7147	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02-87A444	89	M8 600	770
	-	OTHER CAR	TYPET	St .F DEW.9	ai	N8 400	771
AUNT (740	4	UTHEN WAN	1126 1				
SWRI 7148	2	UTHER CAR	TYPE	100 LPENSS	01	M2 000	111
SWRT 7149	0	GROUND	VEMPOBJ	BB=XDA0=2	01	H3 688	780
9775 - JANJ7 ALIAN D. MARA						HA 188	ùže -
87K1 7150	7	UIMER CAR	ITPE L	12 TUENTS	ΨĘ		[33
SWRI 7150	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	8308FEN#3	Ø1	H3 699	735
		ATHER CAP	DFAD FUN	19401 FM-1	85		
	1	ADURA AVA ATURI ANU		************************			
5WRI 7151	2	DINER CAR	REAR END	DONDZAWN7	42		
SWRI 7152	0	BT TRUCK	HEADWON	120FYEND2	04		
6 M D 7 9 4 9 4	4	ATHER PAG	TV88 1		<b>6</b> 4	HE LEG	724
ONK1 (133	3	WINKN LAN			₩¥	· [] • [] • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	£40.
SWRI 7153	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	18+LFEX+4	01	Ma 720	730
SWRT 71RA	1	OTHER CAR	HEADON	120FLEES	04.	H8 680	737
WF715多 (古道型 みいめま 第三のい	<i>b</i>			·····································			444 ·
2MMI 1120	2	UTHEN CAN	MEAUPUN	14	VC		
SWRI 7155	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	120FZEN#3	50	H3 600	738
QUDY YIEE	Š	ATHER CAR	BFAD FND	ALARDFW-E	ØÅ	HS ARE	738
9MM1 /122	5		1711年1月1日 1911年1月 1911年1月	*********			***
3MRI 7156	Ø	BT TRUCK	TTPET	11=+nuváð	41	118 900	137
SWR1 7157	0	POLE. TREE	VEHOBJ	11#LYAS#2	02	HS 688 '	788
9009 9169	м. А	AT TRUPY	MEADAN				
0041 1120	V		UPWAMON -				• / •
3WRI 7159	1	OTHER ÇAR	TYPE L	11+FLEE#3	8 X	77 <b>00</b> 0	/ 4 K
SNR1 7150	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	BBRRYAWOS	11	H3 600 '	742
	1	ATUTO CAD	HFADLON	13-8054-2	<b>A</b> i	NA LAA	741
0 THI 1100	10		NEAPHUN		- <b>V</b> A		1.1947
8WRJ 7161	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	BINFDENHZ	01	Ră Pă	784
SWRT TILL	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	08-LPEW-3	82	H8 688	744
9773# [898 Buing Maan		ATHER AND					Ì.
3881 71 <b>82</b>	1	UTRER GAR	REAR ENV	SAAF DUVAR	<b>0</b> 1		1 4 4
			226				

• • •	1.	FIRFT.						
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	PRIMARY	VEH	DOT	HS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	COC/VOT	ATS	RFP	ORT	NO.
IIAUMPN			SUM PAN	<b>VUU</b> /101		1.1	<b>U</b> IV I	ILA I
		s	•					••• ·
8WRI 7162	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	86=80MW=1	01	H8	600	799
1407 7143		OTHER CAR	PEAD ENA	1.1	<b>A</b> 1	HR	484	700
	2		NERD LIV					173
8WRI (103.	0	POLETTEL	AEH#ORD	TENFLENCE	91	<b>Ma</b>	666	745
SWRI 7164	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11=FZEW=2	01	HS	600	746
CHOT TILA	5	OTHER CAR	TYPE	03-07MW-1	<u> </u>	LIE	600	746
ONNY TTOM	6	VINCE	1755 5		<b>P</b> 1	ΠQ	000	144
SWRI 7165	<b>A</b>	POLETTREE	VEM#OBJ	00=TD60=4	50	MS	600	786
8WRY 7166	1	OTHER CAR	NEADOON	120FDEW04	04	HS	600	707
SHOT 7144			HEAD-ON	13-FOEW-3	42	LI C	100	747
6447 T T C	6	VINER GAR			νç	110	000	191
5WRI 7167	1	OTHEN CAR	TYPE L	01-FZENO3	9Z	MQ	989	747
SWRT 7167	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	18=LFEW#3	01	HS	600	747
SUDT TILL		I TONT TRUCK	TVDF T	19-FDFW-1	ai	HR	600	100
						110		000
9MKT 1700	2	OTHER CAR	TTPC T	Reuteres	62	ПŞ	000	000
SWRI 7169	Ø	BRIDGE RAIL	VEH=OBJ	01=FYEW=3	02	HS	600	708
8W81 7170	1	TRACTOTRATI	HEADEON	OI #FTHAMT	01	HR	600	071
	*						100	980
9HR1 [1[]	1	UTHER LAR	HEAVENN	ICHLARK		<b>П</b> 0	000	/07
SWRI <u>7171</u>	- 5	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	12=FDEW#3	01	MS	600	799
AWDY 7173	0	TRATN. AUS	TYPE T	AGEL PANES	83	HS	600	710
	~				40	щe	100	944
3M41 11/4	Ø		910E=910E	02-1020-3	07	10	000	111
SWRI 7175	Ø	ST. TRUCK	TYPEL	08-LBAE-2	01	HS	600	712
8NR1 7176	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11+FLMW=2	02	HS	600	713
ANAT 7174		ATHER CAR	TYNE					wer.
0WH1 (110	E.	OTHER CAR	ITEL		<b>U</b> 1	пø	999	123
SWRI 7177	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	120FLEW03	01			
8WRI 7177	2.	LIGHT TRUCK	REAR END	860BREW03	82			
RUDT TITA		OTHER CAR	TYDE	11=FVFH=2	Ø 9	HS	644	744
			1 <u>1</u> 56 6					
SHWI IIIO	2	UTHER GAR	ITE L	DCHALLHOC	νc	ПÒ	900	714
SWRI 7179	1	ST TRUCK	SIDE=SIDE	11+LDE8#2	01	H8	600	802
SWRT 7170	2 .	ST TRUCK	STDENSIDE	8601 ZA802	<b>8</b> Î	Ň8	600	882
	е Л					11 <b>9</b>	000	
9441 1100	Ø	FULLINKEL	AFUANDA	IK-FCEN-K	03			
<b>BWRI 7181</b>	1	OTHER CAR	HEAD=DN	11+FDEW#4	03	HŞ	600	803
8WRT 7181	2	OTHER CAR	HEAD-ON	11+FYEN93	03	HS	600	883
CHPT 7189		ATHED CAD	HEAD-ON	(2-FDEW-2	01			
		LAGUA BAUAN						
8WKI /102	2	LIGHT TRUCK	MEADHON	11=FDEN=3	03			•
8WRI 7183	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	02=FDEW=2	03	H8	600	715
SWRT 7183	2	LTANT TRUCK	TYDE	( But DAWnA	87	HR	400	71 K
			1.11 G G					1 4 4
<b>BARI /104</b>	1	UTHER GAR	REAR END	Reaubeus)	01	ΠØ	000	004
SWRI 7184	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	01-FZEW-2	01	HŞ	600	804
SWRT 7186	0	DITCH	VEH-OB.T	AB-1 DHO-P	Ø 1	HÅ	600	717
		OBOUND	VENDET	88-TVH0-3		LIE	488	
9754 /\$9/ 9495	<b>V</b>		A PLUM A	DEALINAR	<b>P</b> 1	61		443
3WRI 7168	1	UTHER CAR	TYPE T	11-FZEW#2	00	HS	600	718
8WRI 7188	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	02=RZAW=3	01	HS	688	718
ANDY TIAD	ĩ	NTHED CAD	TYPE	04-575W-A	a i		184	a a L
		OTHER DAR			01		900	000
ORK1 7109	2	UTHER CAR	TIPE T	nsikreng2	01	48	000	5Ø6
SWRI 7198	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LFEW-3	04			
SWRT 7100	5	OTHER CAP	TYPE I	AZUR FFW=2	a t			
			A 2 5 2 4 4 5 5 7		<b>V</b> 3			
0HR1 /171	1	UTHER CAR	STAFASIAE	11-442942	63	H3	000	719
- SWRI 7191	2	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	10+LDAW+6	02	HS	600	719
SWR1 7192	8	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPE	110FDGA09	ØÅ	HS	600	807
SNRT TIGT	ĩ	NTHER CAR	TYPE T		<b>a</b> i			
			135963 1900-19	114LAP442	<b>v</b> 1			
ONRI 7173	2	UTHEN CAR	ITE T	02-RPEN-2	00			
SWRI 7291	1	DTHER CAR	TYPE	02=RFEE=2	00			
SWRI 7281	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11#LDAR=3	04			
SWRT 7282	-	TRACTATRATI	STOFLETOR	IINDAT	<u> </u>			
				19-842	<b>D</b> 1			
CHRI ICTI	ł	VINER CAR	ITEL	1247 CEN42	01			
5MKI 7283	2	UTHER CAR	TYPE L	10-LYEW-2	01			
8WRI 7205	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	11=FYEW=1	Ø2			
SWRI 7285	ž	OTHER CAR	TYPE I	AD-REFH-D	82			
	-			AP-UL PUAR	~ ~			
			2.2.1					

		FIRST			×.		
TEAM CABE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIGE	PRIMARY	VPH	DOTAHS	
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	HEATTON	COP/100		BEBORT	NO
			WINI 2 WH		<b>v 7</b> 6	REFURI	NU e
ANDT DAAL	à						,
SANT ICAS	1	UTHER GAR	TYPE	134LDEN69	24		
SHRI 7206	5	YAN	TYPE T	02=87AX=3	05		
SWRI 7207	1	BRIDGE RAIL	SIDE-SIDE	8107ZEN03	81	H1 400	945
SWRI 7207	Ź	OTHER CAR	STDEWSIDE	IRALPMS-2		HS 400	04S
SWDY 728A		ITCHS TRUCK	PFAR FND	AL_2225_K		HO 600	777
WAT TORA		CIUTT INCON	DEAD END		<b>1</b>		790
6441 (CD0	ć	UINER GAR	READ ENU	ANA I NUNAS	09	<b>D40 64</b>	740
SHKI 7209	0	OTHER	VEHOODJ	DIPPREENS	01		
8WRI 7210	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	10-LZMW-2	01	H8 688	916-
SWRI 7210	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	82-RYEN-4	82	H\$ 600	916
SWR1 7211	0	FENCE	VEHOOBJ	10-LPEE-S	81	H8 600	947
SWDT 7215	à	PALE. TREE	VEHADET				
	¥.	ATHER AAR	TVAR T		0 E 8 B		
ONKICIS I	1	OFUER GAR	TIPE 1				
8HR7213 2	2	UTREN GAN	ITPE	SSALDANA0	03		
8WRI 7214	0	SMALL POST	VEHHOUJ	11 - ECEN-2	83 -	M8 601	886
\$WR72151	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11#EDEW#3	01		
8WR72152	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	OZ+RZEN+3	1 <b>63</b>		
SWRT 7217	ĩ	OTHER CAR	HEADHON	02. 205H-1	41		•
AWDY 9019		I TANT TANAN	MEADEON			÷	
- WHR4 . 1641	<b>E</b>	- 54WDR (RVVN)		197265577			
	Ø	UTHER	VENEUBJ	15mm SEMmS	01		
SWRI 7220	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	O1#FREE <b>#</b> \$	01		
	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	05+BLEE+4	88		
8WR72221	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE T	11=FDEH=1	01 .		•
8WR72922	;	OTHER CAR	TYPET	RD-RYAWAR			
4407 <b>499</b> 7	<b>6</b>		VENAAR 1				
ONK/2241	<u>I</u>	UTHER GAR	ITEL	ASALUERÓD			
SWR72241	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	109LFEN#3	04		•
8WRI 7227	1	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-FYENe1	81	1	
SWRI 7227	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	01-EYEN-3	83		
SWR1 7227	Š	OTHER CAR	HEADOON	11#FLEE#4	•		
SWOT 7231	- T	FINCE	VEHEORI	BESTPROAT	Ai		
		ATHER CAR					
4HK1 /436	1	OFUER GAR	HEADWON				
BARI TERE		UTHER CAR	MEAUPUN	117565576			
SWRI 7233	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	124ELEMAS	82 20		
SWRI 7233	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	OR#RFEN#S	92		
SWRI 7242	0	BRIDGE RAIL	VEHPOBJ	01-FYEN-3	92		
UC 852D		TRAIN.BUS	TYPEL	834RPMH#3	8Š	H8 688	848
UC 9970		MATORCYCLE	HEADBON	124FVFNAS	<b>a</b> 4		124
49.7639 HA-6485		NYHED PAD	MEABLON	1948DEN43			111
ILP BAER		NITIER DAR		1 6 7 5 7 6 7 7 7 7 1 6 2 2 6 7 6 7 7 7 7	7 E # 1		4 <b>2</b> 4
UL 4930	Ϋ́́ς τ	UINER UAK	HEAU-UN		U.C.		114
UC 9730	1	UTHER CAR	MEADON	1842 PWM#2	<b>V</b>	M2 095	347
UC 9730	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	12-ELEN-3	01	H8 600	347
UC 9730	3	OTHER CAR	TYPE	12-FYMW-2	01	H8 490	347
UC 10000	0	POLE. TREE	VENHOBI	11UFCENEZ	. 02.	H8: 688	160
UC IMASD	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE	120FDFM-1		HA LAG	
110 (0010	* 2		TVDEL	**************************************			
44 1003V	6	WITHER BAR.					
OC TAIAD	ų –				<b>1</b>		367
UC 1014D	Ø	WUARDRAIL	VENPUBJ	TAMP TENED	<b>U</b> I	10 000	745
UC 1023D	0	GUARDRAIL	VENHOBJ	100LEAN#S	10 Q	42 680	SID
UC 1055D	0	POLE, TREE	VEHeobj	18-7224-2	38	HS 600	115
UC 10670	0	EMBANKMENT	VEH-OBJ	11-FZEN-5	03	H\$ 688	390
UC 10730	0	GUARDRAIL	VENOBJ	BZERZAHUÁ	87	H8 689	311
UC 19780	ī	OTHER CAP	TYPE	AlefLIR-9		HE 488	144
HP (ATEN	Ś	OTHER CAD	TVPP		ĀŤ		141
NA TALAN	6	DALE TOPE		€3 <b>~</b> 8A <b>8</b> <i>N</i> ~3 \$8.₽10645			6 <b>4</b> 4
UC 10700	Ø				U P		
UC 1107D	0	GUANDRAIL	VENOUDJ	NALITODA	80	M2 000	620
NC 1150D	Ø	OTHER	VEM=08J	02=RFAN=4	· 89	H8 690	116

		FIRBT.					_	
TEAM CASE	VEH	OBJECT	CONFIG=	ARIMARY	VEH	DOT	#HS	,
NUMBER	NO.	CONTACTED	URATION	CDC/VDI	AIS.	REP	ORT	NO.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			••••••				-	
		·	UPH-OR.				480	042
UC 11430	U	EENCE	VENTUDU	81-175793		710	000	046
UC 1146D	U	FENCE	VEHĢQĢJ	12-FYEN-2	07			÷ .
UC 1161D	8	POLE, TREE	VENHODJ	12+ECEN+4	83	HB	600	259
UP ILAND	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	HFADEON	120FL #Wo2	82	HS	600	260
			HEAD-ON		84	. Me	1.80	24.0
UC 11040	č	UTHER LAR	REAU-UN	187018777		10		600
UC 1172D	1	OTMER CAR	TYPEL	DZ-BYEN94	07	Ma	000	102
UC 11720	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12#FDEW#3	85	HS	600	165
UC 11760	9	OTHER CAR	SIDEWSIDE	88#7060#2	86	HB	600	354
	, i	DOLE. TREE	VEH-08.1	AP-TVGOAA				• • •
					0.0	це		344
UC 11010		YAN	ITEL		80	10	000	691
UC 1182D		OTHER CAR	TYPELL	110762842	04	H8	600	045
UC 1183D	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE: L	10-LYAW-4	86	HS	600	603
UC 11830	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11=FYEW#2	81	HS	600	863
UC LIBRD	ā	OTHER	VEHEDRI	1 fellVI.Wes	86	HS	680	117
						LIC	600	165
UC IIYUU	0	<u>renge</u>	VENUUU	16468543	82	110	000	322
UC 1224D	0	FENCE	VENOOJ	00,TDG0,4	92	MB	600	220
UC 1212D	0	NO OBJECT	VEHHOBJ	99=0000+0	89	HS	600	163
UC 12240	8		HEADDON	11=FYMWe3	88	HS	688	357
10 19970		QUARDRATI	VENADET	AR-YDAD-2	a S	HR		249
	0						400	- <b>4</b> 4 4
UC 12400	0	PULEITREE	ARLANDY	IZTLENTS	00	01	000	301
UC 1245D	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE	03=RZA¥+4	09	HŞ	600	365
UC 1245D	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	12-FDEN-2	82	HS	600	362
UC 1241D	Ĩ	FENCE	HEADEON	B1=FCEW=3	05	HS	600	363
110 19410		NTUED PAD	HEAD-ON			LI.	400	141
AA 1841A	5	OTHER CAR				110	400	コリス
UC 12030	1	UTHEN CAR	NEAN END	DIFLERE	- 101	<b>110</b>	000	204
UC 1263D	2	OTHER CAR	REAR END	05-BYEN+5	00	HŞ	600	364
UC 1264D	1	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	00-00E0-3	82	H8	600	330
UC: 12640	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE	AG-TYHA-A	88	HS	688	330
		ATHED CAR	DEAD END			ше.	4.84	343
	1	UINER DAR	REAR ENU		03	10	000	243 243
UC 12050	2	DIMER CAR	NEAN END	BJARLENAJ	01	HS	000	203
UC 1266D	Ø	FENGE	VEH=QBJ	01=URLN#3	83	HS	600	254
UC 1267D	1	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	11+FYEH+2	80	H8	688	365
UC 1267D	2	OTHER CAR	SIDENSIDE	ttel YMSa'	83	HS	600	365
11P 1390		CONUNA	VENARI		84	Це	400	344
					00		400	300
00 16780	Ø	PENCE	AFULAD	TIALPENAS	00	01	000	. 360.
UC 1289D	0	ND DBJECT	A E N # O # 1	97-0000 <u>9</u>		H8	600	164
UC 1292D	8	POLE, TREE	VENHOBJ	88-TDA0-4	82	HS	600	370
UC 1294D	8	EMBANKMENT	VEHOBJ	00=XDAD=9	86	HB	688	371
HC IRAID	<u>a</u>	OTHER	VENDEI	ALTTAN-1	A 1	HR	600	245
UN 1947N	~	4 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	VELLARI	·····································	<b>~</b> 1	ГТ <b>Ф</b>	100	593
OF TRACK	U		VENTUDJ			10	000	600
UC 1303D	Ø	POLE, TREE	VENHOUJ	BO-LPAN-4	88	H8.	600	372
UC 13050	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE: L	12-FDEN95	03	H8	600	373
UC 1305D	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	83eRZMWe3	<b>8</b> 8	HS	688	373
110 13870	ī	OTHER CAP	TYPE	11-5754-2	ai	HS	480	247
	5					- 11 <b>0</b>	1000	349
AA TIBAA	E +			M3-4425446	<b>0</b> ]	611		<b>4</b> 0/
UC 1516D	Ø	PULEYTREE	VENGODJ	120CCEN#3	20	HS	000	374
UC 1310D	0	8IGN	VENDOJ	12-FCEN-3	01	HS	600	268
UC 1342D	Ø	•	TYPE L	B14EREN <u>y</u> s	03	H8	600	376
TUSAB278	9	ST TRUCK	OTHER	BB=TYHW=6	Ø Ī	HS	600	462
TUTANIATA	â	BRIDAT BATL	VEN-OR I	AL .FREMAL	Â	MA	600	180
THITESATA	ĩ		TVDE			L C	1000	147 321
1 V L 2 V 5 V / V	*	THIS. Aturn gam	1386. <u>6</u>	사망드는 TRR 한 사이	70	61) 	000	630
101304010	Z	UTHER CAR	ITPEL	11 TETTMWEZ	81	MØ	000	239
TU1482778	Ø	TRACTOTRAIL	TYPEL	03-RYMW-2	81	H8	688	-383
TU1802770	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEH=08J	98=TDH0=5	86	HS	600	324
TUIVEIITB	8	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	11-FYEWDD	AA	HA	699	324
112952170	<b>1</b>	TRACTATRATA	PFAD THA		<b>61</b>	ца. С	Laa	134
·	-		HEAN END.		<b>v</b> J	11 🗠 .	000	360

	UTAH 008-69	UTAH MET-69		LTAI BBBBBBB		14.12.00001-00 14.12.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.14.	07 AH 904-69	Adiated LVIO		TAL SSILL	LTAL GONTAO	JTAH 001-69	UOK 72 2				JOK 72 1	321 KY 84					TU 4948571	TU 49A8571	70 71 31	TU 71 28	10 71 20				10 71 25			12 17 01		az 12 0	U 71 17		U 71 16	0 71 16	5 1 1 V	U 71 15	U 71 13	U 71 12	U 71 12	U 71 11	U 71 11	68 12 A.	U 71 89	6 17 U	U 71 5	0715	0 71 4	U 71 4	U 4211670	U 4211670	12968478	PU 2671470	TU 2572145	7U 2478178	7113A#8178	20 x B E R	TEAN CASE
	0		9	9	Ø		N	•	• •	9 4	5	9	N	-	- 1	3	-	9	ł	4 p	<b>U</b> #	-	N		9	<b>1</b> ,11		<b>,</b>	6		) <b>y.</b>	5	5	6	1 A	<b>,</b>	N	<b>,</b>	N	-	N	-	0	N	-	N	***	N	•••	0	N	<b></b>	<b>N</b> (	••• 1		, <b>5-4</b>	0	59 (	<b>3</b>	N+	•	NO.	NII N
	GUARDRAIL	PITCHE MAIL		BRIDGE RAIL	CAUCAU		OTIER CAR					GUARDRAIL	OTHER CAR		04180 0 D		OTHER CAR	POLE, TREE				OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER	OTHER CAR		OTHER CAR			OTHER CAR		TOLE, IXEE			OT IER CAR	OTHER CAN		OTHER CAR	DTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	TRACT-TRAIL	OT HER. CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	V A N	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTHER CAR	OTIET CAR		OTHER CAR	GROUND		POLE. TREE			CONTACTED	OBJECT
230	V 11 1 0 0 J	<b>Panéu</b> an					TYPE					VEH=08J	TYPE: L:		4 5 8 4 .		TYPE T	COOT NAME		4604 4		TYPE T	TYPE L	TYPE L	VEHIODJ	TALE T					ALAR END	ITEL		CED6N2A			NE ADADN	HEADEDN		TYPEL	REAR END	REAR END	SIDE-SIDE	TYPE T	TYPE T	TYPEL	TYPEL	TYPE: L	TYPEL	TYPE T	TYPE				TYPET	TYPE T	VRH-08J		VEH-DA.			URATION	CONFIG
	10-LZEN-3			12177210				174615463				12=F2FX=3		144412411				Zardona 2					02-RFEE-5	11-FYEN-2	00-80A0-2	10-CPCM-3								Cent 2 Lega					10-LAWAS	01-FDEWe1	84-802W-5	12-2021-2	BO-LFMM-3		1 - PORKAR			日本=カーバエーン				BI - PRE-2				02=RYAN=3						CDC/VDI	マカンエンガイ
	20			07									99			9	0			) ( ) -	9 ( - 1			-9.9	86			0	0.0			6	<b>0</b> 7				- <b>1</b>		8	90	81	01	01	01	81	01	8	99			8		0	9 ( 			() () ()	9 (		9 G ^ ^	) )	A 18	く得ま
	Ì			I			10					Ĩ																			9	5		H					Ĩ	I					-						H		H									REI	Q.
	688											688																		001	199			109					600	688			÷								600			6 8 8								ORT	
	269	110						tra																						210	812	) ) )		010	) • •				976	976	)   *										940		958							  		ZO •	

• ,

TEAM CASE NUMBER	VEH NO,	OBJECT Contacted	CONFIG= URATION	PRIMARY CDC/VDI	VEH AIS	DOT-HS Report	NÖ,
UTAH 009-69	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01-RZAW-9	01	HS 680	119
UTAH 009=69	2	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	01=FZEN=3	01	H8 690	119
UTAH 010-78	Ĩ	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	Ø1=FZEW=3	00	H8 600	331
UTAH 018-70	2	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	BO-LPEN-3	01	H8 600	331
UTAH 011 70	1	OTHER CAR	TYPE L	18-LREN-2	99	H8 600	465
UTAH 811 78	Ž	OTHER CAR	TYPEL	01-FYEW+3	83	HS 600	465
UTAH 012-70	ø	OTHER CAR	SIDE=SIDE	89-XDAD-4	86	HS 600	466
UTAH 013=70	Ø	GUARDRAIL	VEH-08J	18-LFEN-2	02	H8 688	467
UTAH 834-70	0	OTHER CAR	REAR END	00-TPG0-5	06		

# TD & T

.

•

....

## APPENDIX F

#### DOT-HS REPORT NUMBER CROSS INDEX

This cross index contains one entry for each MDAI team accident report number with a recorded DOT-HS report number.

nə	000	006	080 5
HS	600	003	GIT 54
HŞ	600	004	nsu 4
HS	600	005	GIT 49
HS	600	006	MI=697001
HS	600	007	GIT 56
нs	600	008	RTI 5
HS	600	949	GIT 47
нS	600	010	RTI 7
HS	600	211	UTAH 001-69
нS	600	013	RTI 6
HS	600	014	GIT 48
HS	600	Ø15	RTI S
HS	600	016	RTI 4
НS	600	017	GIT 46
нs	600	018	GIT 53
НS	600	Ø19	GIT 55
HS	600	020	SWRI=6901
HS	600	Ø21	GIT 51
HS	600	<b>655</b>	UTAH 002-69
HS	600	023	SWR I=6913
НS	600	024	SWRI-6911
HS	648	Ø25	0SU 8
HS	600	020	OSU 7
HS	600	027	GIT 62
HS	600	029	GIT 60
нs	600	030	GIT 61
ЯS	600	031	UTAH 204-69
НŞ	600	032	SWR I=6903
HS	600	@33	SWRI=6904
HS	660	034	UTAH 1073-69
HS	600	035	0 <b>S</b> U 2
нS	600	036	SWR I=6906
HS	600	037	UNM U1
HS	600	03A	RTI 1
HS	600	039	OSU 3

ſ

HS	600	040	UC 8520
НŚ	607	041	UC 1090D
HS	600	842	UC 1143D
HS	600	045	UC 1182D
HS	600	946	MVD 21
HS	600	047	MVD 20
HS	600	048	UTAH 005-69
HS	600	a50	MI+697002
HS	600	051	MVD 23
HS	600	053	SRI=0009
HS	600	055	SRJ-ABA7
HS	600	057	HAD 55
HS	600	058	UC 1003D
HS	600	<b>66</b> 0	RTI 9
HS	600	061	GIT 52
HS	600	662	GIT 50
HS	600	Ø63	UC 1183D
H\$	600	064	MCR692
H\$	600	065	RTI 8
HS	600	066	MCR693
HS	600	067	GIT 59
HS	600	068	GIT 58
HS	600	069	GIT 57
HS	600	070	SRI=0006
HS	600	071	GIT 64
H8	600	072	UC 1023D
HS	600	073	GIT 65
HS	601	074	MCR 69 1
HS	600	077	MVD 24
H8	600	078	MVD 25
HS	600	@79	MVD 26
HS	600	080	MVD 27
HS	600	081	MVD 29
HS	660	082	MVD 30
HS	600	084	GIT 63
HS	600	085	GIT 66
HS	600	086	MCR694
HS	600	Ø87	MCR696
H\$	600	Ø88	MCR698
HS	600	Ø89	MI=697003
HS	600	090	MI=697004
HS	606	091	MI=697008
HS	600	092	MI=697022
HS	600	093	UNM 02
HS	600	094	UNM 03
HS	600	Ø95	UNM 04
HS	600	096	UNM 06
HS	600	097	UNM 07
HS	600	099	RTI 10
HS	600	100	KII 11
HS	600	101	NII 15 DTT 44
HS	600	102	K   1 14 Swpt=4005
HS	600	103	3WK1-0402
HS	000	104	9MH 1=9AN9
113	000	105	0 M M T = 0 4 1 4
MS	000	100	SMDI-JUNI
HЗ	600	104	8401-1408 3881-1403
10 10	600 144	110	SHPI-1000
	600	112	SWPT-7008
<b>H</b> O	000	116	3441-1680

HS	600	410	CAL=70=69B
HS	600	411	CAL=70=708
HS	600	412	CAL=70=718
HS	600	413	CAL=70=728
HS	600	414	CAL=70=738
HS	600	415	CAL =70=748
HS	680	417	CAL = 70=818
HS	600		CTT 72
110	400	410	
ло це	600	417	GIT 74
П.) Ц С	600	421	
	000	422	
113	000	425	GIT 79
HS	000	424	MCR 70 8
HS	600	425	MCR 70 9
HS	600	426	MMF=69=46
HS	600	427	MMF=69=49
HS	600	428	MMF=69=59
HS	600	430	MMF=70=10
HS	600	431	MIAMI=105
HS	600	433	UNM 32
HS	600	434	UNM 33
HS	600	435	UNM 34
HS	600	436	UNM 39
HS	600	437	050 1
HS	600	438	080.9
HS	600	410	C1 U20
щą	400		DTT (0
HQ	600	······································	DTT 3/
10	600	441	RII 24
	- 000 - 400	442	RT1 36
10	000	456	2K140001
13	000	455	SWR 1-7023
42	600	454	SWRI=7025
HS	600	455	SWRI=7026
HS	600	456	SWRI-7027
HS	600	458	SWR I=7030
HS	600	459	8WRI=7032
HS	600	462	SWRI-7037
HS	600	461	SWRI=7043
HS	600	462	TU5A0270
HS	600	463	TU24F0170
HS	600	464	TU29G0470
HS	600	465	UTAH 011 70
HS	600	466	UTAH 012-70
HS	600	467	UTAH 013-70
HS	600	700	SU ARI
HS	600	706	SWRT 7165
HS	600	707	QUD1 7166
LIS.	400	709	SWDT 7160
це	6 73 14	700	QUET 7107
ые	600	707	SMR1 /1/1 SWD1 7477
110 Le	600	710	SHR1 /1/5
13	000	/11	3WK1 /174
110 110	600	112	SWR1 /175
110	000	113	SWH1 7176
13	000	/14	SWRI 7178
13	000	/15	SWRI 7183
MS	000	717	SWRI 7186
HS	600	718	SWRI 7188
HS	600	719	SWRI 7191
HS	640	720	CB7011A7114
HS	600	721	CAL 71 38

600	222	CAL 71 68
600	724	CAL 71 138
600	725	CAL 71 14B
600	726	CAL 71 168
600	727	CAL 71 278
600	728	CAL -70-308
600	720	
600	134	6HD1 7150
600	733	0 MR1 /130
000	130	SWR1 /100
600	131	SWW1 /154
600	/ 50	2441 /125
600	739	SWRI 7156
600	740	SWRI 7157
600	742	SWRI 7159
600	743	SWRI 7160
600	744	SWRI 7161
600	745	SWRI 7163
600	746	SWRI 7164
600	747	SWRI 7167
600	748	USC 71 8
600	753	BU 69 24
600	756	SWRI 7126
600	758	SWR1 7132
600	760	SWRI 7134
600	761	SWRI 7136
600	762	SWRT 7138
600	767	SWRT 7144
600	768	SWRT 7145
600	769	SWRT 7145
600	770	SWRT 7147
600	771	SHR1 7147 SWDT 71/18
600	771	11KIM 711
600	77/	
600	774	
000	700	RA1 40
000	700	2MKT \144
600	789	UNM 66
600	791	UNM 82
600	792	RAI 65 66
600	793	RAI 81
600	794	RAI 82
600	795	RAI 83
600	797	SUL IAS
600	799	SWRI 7162
600	800	SWPI 7168
600	802	SWRI 7179
600	803	SWRI 7181
680	804	SWRI 7184
600	805	SWRI 7187
600	806	SWRI 7189
600	807	SWRI 7192
600	848	USC 71 11
600	809	BU 79 11
600	812	RU 70 15
600	815	BU 70 22
600	816	BU 70 25
600	817	BU 70 27
600	81A	BU 70 28
600	819	GIT 96
600	820	GIT 98
600	822	MIAMT-121
	666666666666666666666666666666666666	600,722 724,567,725 600,722,725,67,733,734,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77

MO	000	200	UC 11640
H5	600	261	UC 11810
HS	600	292	UC 12270
HS	600	263	UC 1265D
HS	600	264	UC 1266D
HS	600	265	UC 13010
HS	600	266	UC 1302D
HS	600	267	110 13070
LIC	400	248	UC 13180
	400	240	UT 10 009-40
10	089	207	UTAN BROTOT
H3	000	271	AMEI
HS	<b>6</b> 00	212	4ME2
HS	600	273	4ME3
HS	600	274	4ME4
HS	600	275	4ME5
HS	600	276	4ME6
HS	600	277	4ME7
HS	600	278	4ME8
HS	600	279	4ME9
HS	600	280	4ME10
HS	600	289	CAL 70 538
HS	600	200	CAL = 70=568
LE	600	201	CAL = 70=578
10	4 0 0	202	CAL-70-370
ПЭ Ц6	600	272	CAL = 70 = 300
19	000	242	CAL=/0=390
42	000	294	LAL # /0=000
HS	600	246	CAL=70=628
HS	600	297	CAL=70=658
HS	680	298	CAL=70=44B
H8	600	298	CAL=70=688
HS	600	299	GIT 71
HS	600	300	MCR 70 1
HS	600	301	MCR 70 3
HS	600	303	MCR 70 5
HS	600	305	UNM 19
HS	600	306	UNM 20
HS	600	307	LINM 21
HS	600	209	LINM 22
Lie I	600	100	LINM 27
LIC.	6000	710	
па 46	000	210	UNM 24
HQ	000	215	UNM 21
HS	000	514	UNM 30
HS	600	516	KTI 20
HS	600	317	RTI 21
HS	600	319	RTI 23
HS	600	320	RTI 27
HS	600	321	RTI 29
HS	600	355	RTI 30
HS	600	323	TU1482770
HS	600	324	TU1802770
HS	600	325	TU19E1170
HS	61219	326	TU20E2170
HS	600	327	TU 25F2165
HS	680	328	UC 927D
HS	600	329	UC 1010D
HS	640	330	UC 12640
HS	600	331	UTAH 010-70
HS	600	334	CAL #70=648
щe	600	775	CAL = 70 = KAR
110 110	600	232 776	UME_40-70
110	OWN	230	, and <b>a d a d a d</b>

HS	600	337	MMF=69=55
HS	600	338	MMF 70 3
HS	600	339	MMF=70=06
HS	600	340	MMF=70=08
HS	600	341	UNM 26
HS	600	342	UNM 29
HS	600	343	RTI 25
HS	600	344	RTT 28
HS	600	345	SWR 1=7024
HS	600	346	TU 2651470
HS	600	340	UC 9730
HS	600	350	UC 1967D
HS	600	351	
10	6000	261	
	600	155	
- HO - LO	600	333	UC 11900
	400	330	UC 12040
	000	331	UL 12240
HS	000	501	00 12400
HS	600	362	UC 12450
HS	600	363	UC 1261D
HS	600	364	UC 1263D
HS	600	365	UC 1267D
HS	600	366	UC 1270
HS	600	368	UC 1278D
HS	600	370	UC 1292D
HS	600	371	UC 12940
HS	600	372	UC 1303D
HS	600	373	UC 1305D
HS	600	374	UC 1316D
HS	600	376	UC 1342D
HS	600	378	4ME12
HS	600	379	UMF13
HS	600	380	4ME15
HS	600	381	4ME16
HS	600	TAD	44517
HS	400	181	/IME18
LC	400	203	AMEIO
50	600	705	4116 17 // NE 30
	4000	202	HILLER AMEDI
	600	707	HILEI AMEDD
10 11 B	400	30/	47666
	000	300	45223
HQ	000	309	4ME24
M3	000	390	4ME25
HS	600	391	4ME26
HS	600	392	4ME27
HS	600	393	4ME2A
HS	600	394	4ME29
HS	600	395	4ME30
HS	600	396	4ME31
- H <b>S</b>	600	397	4ME32
HS	600	398	4ME33
HS	600	399	4ME34
HS	600	400	4ME35
HS	600	401	4ME36
HS	600	402	4ME37
HS	600	403	4ME38
HS	600	404	4ME39
HS	600	405	4ME40
HS	600	408	CAL=70=638
HS	600	409	CAL=70=678

пЗ	698	193	GIT 70
HS	603	194	MCR695
HS	600	195	MCR697
HS	600	196	MCR699
НŞ	600	199	MCR6912
HS	600	200	MCR6913
HS	600	201	MCR6914
нS	600	202	MCR6915
HS	600	203	MCR 70 2
HS	600	204	MCR 70 6
HS	600	205	MCR 70 7
HS	600	206	MMF=AQ=38
HS	600	200	MME=69=50
HS	600	208	MME-69-58
HS	620	200	MME-70-0/
HS	6000	210	MME-70-05
10	600	210	0000-70-07 MME-70-07
по це	600	512	MAF # / 0 # 0 /
- 110 - 110	600	212	MI-(0700)
	600	213	MI-(01491
- 10	600	214	MI (07000)
па че	600	215	WT=03000
<u>п</u> Э	000	210	MI#69/VIV
10	000	21/	WI=04/N11
10	000	214	MI=64/018
10	000	220	MI=697019
10	000	221	WI#04/NSN
13	000	222	M1=697021
10	000	223	MI=69/025
	000	227	M1=097020
	400	220	M1=697027
10	000	221	M1=69/029
10	000	220	MI=04/031
13	000	224	M1=697032
10	000	230	M1=697055
10	600	231	MI=697034
10	600	232	MI 070/039
чэ це	600	233	m1=04/041
ПЭ Це	600	233	UNM US
по це	600	230	
	600	270	
LIQ.	400	230	
LIC.	600	2/10	
HQ	600	2/11	
HS	6000	2/12	
HQ	600	2/17	UNM 10
но. 24	600	243	DTT 14
HS	600	2715	DTT 10
HS	600	243	SWD1-4017
HS	600	2/18	SHR1-0717
HS	6000	2/10	SMDI-1015
HS	600	シャッ	SWD1-7015
HS	600	251	SWR1-7010
HS	600	252	SWD1-7019
HS	600	257	SWRTETADI
HS	600	254	SWR1=7021
HS	600	254	TUITADATO
HS	600	257	
HS	600	258	UC 11070
HS	600	259	UC 1161D

HS	600	115	2MH1=1004
HS	600	114	UC 945D
Н\$	60P	115	UC 1055D
HS	600	116	UC 1120D
HS	600	117	UC 1188D
HS	600	118	UTAH 007-69
HS	600	110	UTAH DAGAAG
24	400	121	MVD 1
Це	600	122	MVD 3
	0.00	122	
113	000	123	
HS	000	124	MVD 4
HS	600	125	MVD 5
HS	600	126	MVD 6
HS	600	128	MVD 8
HS	600	129	MVD 9
HS	600	130	MVD 10
HS	600	131	MVD 11
HS	600	134	MVD 14
HS	600	135	MVD 15
HS	600	136	MVD 16
HS	600	127	MVD 17
Q	600	120	
лэ це	600	130	HVD 10
	000	137	
10	000	140	
H2	000	146	611 67
HS	600	147	GIT 69
HS	600	148	MI 697025
HS	600	149	UNM Ø8
HS	600	150	UNM 09
HS	600	151	RTI 17
HS	600	152	SWRI=7004
HS	600	153	SWRI=7007
HS	600	154	SWRI=7011
HS	600	155	SWRI=7014
HS	600	156	SWR 1-7017
HS	600	157	SR1-0028
HS	600	159	TU1281670
HS	640	160	110 10000
HS	600	161	UC 1075D
HS	600	162	
E	600	102	
- HO	400	103	UC 12120
- ПЭ - Це	600	104	
10	000	107	CAL TO DED
	000	16/	LAL=/0=250
83	000	100	CAL=70=278
HS	600	169	CAL=70=288
HS	600	172	CAL=70=318
HS	600	173	CAL=70=328
HS	600	175	CAL=70=348
HS	600	176	CAL=70=35B
HS	600	177	CAL-70-368
HS	680	178	CAL=70=378
HS	600	180	CAL=70=398
HS	600	181	CAL=70=408
HS	600	182	CAL=70=418
HS	600	184	CAL=70=438
HS	600	187	CAL=70=468
HS	600	188	CAL=70=478
HS	600	189	CA1 -70-508
HS	600	192	GIT 68
· •			

518								208	110	107	106
11	148	145	144	150	134	125	115	271M	260	269	269
CAL	A A	A A	A A	A A	A A	<b>4 4</b>	AA	AIM	611	611	119
026	059	069	961	064	690	074	075	077	080	082	083
601 026	650 109	601 060	601 061	601 064	601 069	601 074	601 075	601 077	601 080	601 082	601 083

- N O 5

N 2 2 2 6 6 6 0 £ æ NNNN HO S N S. 5 N 00 -C - 50 4 J  $\infty \infty$ ---55ronniz - MJN ŝ -¢, NNN NNNN N ŝ STNNN Nr ------NNNNNJHJHHHHMJHHMUMHMUMSNUHBBNHNSH ONNNHSNN SON SHHOONN -HHHHONNOMNNNO NNANA XXXX S ----ミアア 

238

1

¢

#### APPENDIX G

## ACCIDENT DATA FILES

The following is the December 1973 list of accident files available to NHTSA via the time-shared keyword data access system (ADAAS) as part of the MDAI Report Automation and Utilization contract.

## HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH INSTITUTE LIST OF CURRENT FILES November 30, 1973

ς.

L

¥

FILE	FILE	SP AD	DATA BASE	NUMBER	NUMBER OF
NA ME	TYPE	ACCESS	KEYWORD	OF CASES	VARIABLES
			***		
Bureau Motor Carrier Safety					
1966(1/2  Year)	A	No	BMCS-66	24.405	42
1967	λ	No	BMCS-67	42.604	ц <u>2</u> Ц2
1968	λ	No	BMCS-68	46 320	42
1969	) )	NC	BMCS-69	50 609	112
1966-1969	A	No	BMCS	163,938	42
CPIR Revision 2					
Vehicle	V	Yes	CPIR2VEH	716	320
Occupant	0	Yes	CPI R2OCC	1,162	507
CPTR Revision 3					
Vehicle	V	Yes	CPTR3 VEH	4,201	576
Occurant	Ġ	Yes	CPTEROCC	6.885	636
Thenry	T	Voc	COTPRINT	23 0/18	6117
Nob Cond & Maint Fonort	v	No	VCMD	23 <b>,04</b> 0	2097
ven. cond. 6 maint. report	v	nO	VCHK	401	2.30
Dade Cc., Florida					
1969 (1/2 Year)	A	les	DADE-69	31,056	83
1970	A	Yes	DADE-70	61,767	83
1971	Λ	Yes	DADE-71	64,046	84
1972	A	Yes	DADE-72	64,190	84
Denver Co. Colorado					
1969	Δ	Ves	DENV-69	25.581	234
1970	л Х	Vos	DENV-70	29,001	217
1071		Vec	$\frac{DENV}{71}$	23, 432	217
1070	A. N	IES Yog	DENV-71	23,303	217
1972	A	ies	DEAV-72	33,100	217
Indiana Turnpike	A	No	INDTNPK	5,744	145
King Co., Washington					
1969 (Seattle Metro Area)	A	Yes	<b>KING-</b> 69	28,572	194
1970	A	Yes	KI NG-70	35,181	236
1971	A	Yes	KI NG-71	34,720	236
1972	A	Yes	KING-72	35,355	235
Michigan Fatal					
Accident					
1964	A	No	MF64ACC	1.808	24
1965	A	No	NF65ACC	1.823	24
1966	Δ	No	NP66ACC	1.940	24
1967	л Х	NO	NP67ACC	1.754	24
1968	Δ	NO	NP681CC	1,987	24
1960	Δ	NC	MR69ACC	2,154	24
1905	л 2	NC	METOACC	1 863	24
1779	. <u>А</u> Х	No	MRACC1	12 220	27 011
1904-1970	£1 λ	NO	MP711CC	1 200	24 116
1070	м х	NO	82728CC	1 007	
17/2 Hobialo	B	au	ar / ZALL	1,771	40
	v	No	NPAhveu	2 715	11 7
1045	V 11	NO	AFUTTER MRKSVPU	2,113	
	v	μU	nrujten	2,143	

and the second second	FILE	SP AD	DATA BASE	NUMBER	NUMBER OF
NAME	TYPE	ACCESS	KEYWORD	OF CASES	VARIABLES
<b>**</b> **		~~~~			
Michigan Fatal					
Vehicle					
1966	v	No	MEGGVEH	2 946	<b>#3</b>
1967	v	No	MP67VEU	2,340	4.5
1060	¥ 17	NO	HFO/VEN NBCONEU	2,000	40
1040	V T	NO	MECOVEN	3,057	43
1909	V	NO	MP JOYEH	3,200	43
1970	V	NC	HF /UVEH	2,815	43
1904-1970	¥	NO	MEVENI	20,153	43
1971	V	NC	MF/IVEH	3,287	120
1972	V	NO	MF72VEH	3,453	121
Mini-Car	0	Nc	MINICAR	372	118
New York Level I					
1970	A	Yes	CAL1-70	39,992	159
New York Level II					
Accident					
1973 (1/4) - 1971	A	Yes	NY71ACC	9.081	32
1972	A	Yes	NY72ACC	8.048	32
1973 (1/2 Year)	A	Yes	NY73ACC	3,654	32
Vehicle					01
1970 (1/4) - 1971	V	Yes	NY71VPH	17 . 5 33	66
1972	v	Yes	NY72VEH	15,695	66
1973 (1/2  Year)	v	Ves	NY73VEH	7 012	66
Cccupant	•		BX / 3 4 DH	,,012	00
1970 (1/4) - 1971	C	Vac	NV710CC	2/1 01/1	81
1972	0	Voc	NY720000	24,714	01
1073 (1/2 Voar)	0	TES Voc	NY720CC	21,017	01
1973 (172 Tear)	0	ies	NI/SUCC	7,317	81
Oakland Co., Michigan					
1968	A	Yes	0AK-68	25,387	120
1969	- A	Yes	0 <b>AK-</b> 69	29,265	213
1970	· A	Tes	0AK-70	29,650	190
1971	A	Yes	0AK-71	29,362	233
1972	A	Yes	0 <b>A K-7</b> 2	34,262	189
Ohio Turnpike					
Accident	A	No	<b>CTNFKACC</b>	6.189	87
Vehicle	V	No	OTNPKVEH	8,663	49
Pennslyvania Turnpike	A	No	PENNTNPK	11,492	124
Truck, Bus, Motorcycle,					
and Fedestrian	۷	No	TBMP	212	62
<b>T</b> o <b>T</b> o <b>C</b>					
Rovar County					
1969 Accident	1	¥	DEVCORAC	26 672	
1969 Vohiala	A	Ies	DEXOYACC	20,0/3	56
1070 Regident	V	IES	DEXDYVEH	45,859	139
1970 ROUIDENT	A	Ies	BEXIUACC	27,458	56
1971 Reaidont	V .	res	BEXIVEH	47,284	139
1971 ROUTHENT	A	IES	BEX/TACC	27,254	56
1070 Readant	Y	Ies	BEXITVEH	48,359	179
1072  HOLLUCIU	A	Ies	BEX/2ACC	32,329	56
1717 AGUTOTA	¥	ies	BEX/2VEH	51,532	179

Ĵ

FILE NA ME	FILE TYPE	SP AD ACCESS	DATA BASE KEYWORD	NUMBER OF CASES	NUMBER OF VARIABLES
Texas					
5% State Sample					
1969 Accident	A	Nc	TXS69ACC	18.837	56
1969 Vehicle	V	No	TXS69VEH	32.224	139
1970 Accident	A	No	TXS70ACC	19.392	56
1970 Vehicle	V	No	TXS70VEH	33.204	139
1971 Accident	λ	No	TXS71ACC	19.088	56
1971 Vehicle	V	Nc	TXS71VEH	33,140	179
1972 Accident	A	No	TXS72ACC	21,000	56
1972 Vehicle	V	No	TXS72VEH	36.505	179
Fatal				•	
1969 Accident	A	No	TXF69ACC	2,913	56
1969 Vehicle	V	No	TXF69VEH	4,257	139
1970 Accident	A	No	TXF70ACC	2,965	56
1970 Vehicle	V	Nc	TXF70VEH	4,280	139
1971 Accident	A	No	TXF71ACC	2,993	56
1971 Vehicle	V	No	TXF71VEH	4,896	179
1972 Accident	A	Nc	TXF72ACC	3,099	56
1972 Vehicle	٧	No	TXF72VEH	5,133	179
Truck				·	
1969 Accident	A	Nc	TXT69ACC	11,590	56
1969 Vehicle	V	No	TXT69VEH	20,641	139
1970 Accident	A	No	TXT70ACC	10,680	5ó
1970 Vehicle	V	Nc	TXT70VEH	19,088	139
1971 Accident	A	No	TXT71ACC	8,172	56
1971 Vehicle	V	No	TXT71VEH	14,467	179
1972 Accident	A	No	TXT72ACC	10.835	56
1972 Vehicle	V	Nc	TXT72VEH	19,530	179
Washtenaw Co., Michigan					
1969 - 1973 (1/2 Year)	A	Yes	WASH	34,985	185
1973 (1/2 Year)	A	Nc	WASH-73	4,408	185
Washtenaw Driver Record	D	Yes	WASHDRIV	17,989	48

Legend of File Types A Accident

- V Vehicle
- C Cccupant I Injury
- D Driver Registration R Vehicle Registration

1
> • -