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GLOSSARY

A  amplitude of illumination; system half-aperture
k, k', a, b, c  constants
d  distance
D  density
E  exposure; expected value
f  function representing the available data; focal length of lens
g  function representing the scene
G  filter function
h  impulse response of filter
I  indicator function
k  \(2\pi/\lambda\)
m  scaling factor
n  noise; refractive index
p, q  spatial radian frequencies
r  output of processing system; distance
R  reference wave; correlation function
s  signal
T  transformation; transmission; thickness
x, y, \(\xi\), \(\eta\), u, v  space coordinates
X  range on signal for space-invariant operation
\(\varepsilon\)  error term
\(\psi\)  lens aberrations
\(\phi, \theta\)  phase portion of complex functions; angles
\(\lambda\)  wavelength of light
\(\gamma\)  gamma of film
SIGNAL DETECTION BY COMPLEX SPATIAL FILTERING

ABSTRACT

This report contains integrated descriptions of the problem of signal detection, the optimum linear filtering process, a coherent optical system which accomplishes this filtering process, and a technique for realizing the required complex filter. Experimental results show that the theory is valid.

The appendixes give a treatment of the Fourier transforming property of lenses which is general enough that complete optical systems can be evaluated on the basis of frequency response and region of space-invariant operation.

The experimental results obtained to date indicate that this technique provides an excellent two-dimensional filtering capability that will play a key role in problems such as shape recognition and signal detection.

1

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental theory of optical spatial filtering has been formulated by several writers [1-3]. The close analogy of spatial filtering to optimum linear filtering theory promised to open up new techniques for realizing those frequency domain filters which could not be synthesized in the time domain because of the realizability contraint. But the advances in spatial filtering since the formulation of the theory have not been what one might have expected. Perhaps the major reason is that, whereas the theoretical formulation tacitly assumed that complex filters could be realized, the actual realization has presented a difficult problem. It was generally assumed that photographic transparencies would play a large role in the realization of spatial filters [2, 3], but any hope for making complex filters on film has seemed remote. Some work was aimed in this direction [4, 5], but it is not directly applicable to the problem treated in this report.

Since spatial filters are passive, they can take on all values on or within the unit circle in the complex plane [6]. Early experiments in spatial filtering used occluding filters as bandpass filters to demonstrate the theory. Later, continuous amplitude control, such as Gaussian weighting, was used to show how equalization could be accomplished by optical systems. The next step was to add binary phase control to extend the range of filter values to the entire real line. Binary control was gained by using ruled phase plates, evaporation techniques, or film relieving techniques. Not only are these techniques awkward to apply, but, more seriously, the impulse response of a real filter is symmetrical. Clearly, if more general filtering schemes are to be performed, a fairly easy method of constructing the general complex filter must be found. This report describes, as one of its major results, a practical technique for realizing a general complex filter even though the filter function is recorded on photographic film.
In most other approaches to the problem of the realization of the desired complex filter, a complex distribution of light must be analyzed. This report shows how, at the same time, the analysis can be avoided and the complex filter can be realized. This feature is important since the required filter can be found analytically for only a few simple two-dimensional functions.

This report contains an integrated description of the problem of signal detection, the optimum filtering process, a coherent optical system which accomplishes this filtering process, and a technique for realizing the required complex filter. The appendixes give some important insight into the Fourier transforming properties of a lens system operating under coherent illumination. The theory, which is more general than usual, is used to discuss and evaluate several optical systems.

2

MATHEMATICAL NATURE OF THE PROBLEM

The mathematical model shown in Figure 1 describes the problem of sensing, recording, and processing imagery. The scene will be denoted by \( g(x, y) \), an intensity function of two space coordinates. The sensor makes a two-dimensional transformation of \( g(x, y) \), and the recording process transforms it further. Because the recording medium is usually photographic film, film grain becomes a secondary source of noise. The processing of the imagery is equivalent to a third transformation on \( g(x, y) \), and the output is an estimation of the amount of signal present in \( g(x, y) \).

![Mathematical Model for Image Processing](image)

**FIGURE 1. MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR IMAGE PROCESSING**
It has become common practice to refer to

\[ f(x, y) = T_2 \{ T_1 \{ g(x, y) \} \} \]

as the "scene." Although this is not strictly correct, transformation \( T_2 \) is usually a nonlinear process, and a poorly controlled one at that; therefore, it becomes necessary to operate on the available data \( f(x, y) \) rather than to attempt to recover \( g(x, y) \) before the processing operation.

### 3. OPTIMUM FILTERING

Suppose \( n(x, y) \) is a homogeneous isotropic random process with spectral density \( N(p, q) \), and \( s(x, y) \) is a known Fourier transformable function of space coordinates. We wish to operate on \( f(x, y) = s(x, y) + n(x, y) \) in such a way that we maximize the ratio of peak signal energy to mean square noise energy in the output. Suppose \( h(x, y) \) is the impulse response of a linear, space invariant filter with frequency response \( H(p, q) \). It is desirable to determine an optimum filter under the condition stated. See Figure 2.

![Processing System Diagram](image)

**FIGURE 2. PROCESSING SYSTEM**

The signal part of the output is

\[ r_s(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(p, q) H(p, q) e^{j(px+qy)} dp \, dq \]

and

\[ \text{MSN (mean square noise)} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(p, q) |H(p, q)|^2 dp \, dq \]

We want to find maximum over all \( \{ H(p, q) \} \) for the ratio \( \frac{|r_s(0, 0)|^2}{\text{MSN}} \), where the peak signal is taken at \( (0, 0) \) for convenience. We have
\[
\frac{|r_s(0, 0)|^2}{\text{MSN}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(p, q) H(p, q) \overline{N(p, q)}^2 dp dq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N(p, q) H(p, q) \overline{N(p, q)}^2 dp dq \right|^2
\]

For nontrivial cases, \(N(p, q) > 0\) for all \((p, q)\), so that it can be treated as the weighting function in the Schwarz inequality. Rewrite Equation 1 in the form

\[
\frac{|r_s(0, 0)|^2}{\text{MSN}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S(p, q)}{N(p, q)} \right|^2 H(p, q) N(p, q) dp dq \right] \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H(p, q)|^2 N(p, q) dp dq \right)^2
\]

Apply the Schwarz inequality to the numerator of Equation 2 to get

\[
\text{Max}_{H} \frac{|r_s(0, 0)|^2}{\text{MSN}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S(p, q)}{N(p, q)} \right|^2 N(p, q) dp dq \right] \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H(p, q)|^2 N(p, q) dp dq \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S(p, q)}{N(p, q)} \right|^2 N(p, q) dp dq
\]

We get a maximum on \(H\) when

\[
H(p, q) = k \frac{S(p, q)}{\overline{N(p, q)}}
\]

(where the bar indicates a complex conjugate) and the signal-to-noise ratio is

\[
\frac{S}{N} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S(p, q)}{N(p, q)} \right|^2 dp dq
\]

From Equation 3 we see that under the given constraints the optimum filter is proportional to the complex conjugate of the signal spectrum divided by the noise spectral density. The output of the system is given by
\[ r(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) \cdot H(p, q) \cdot e^{j(px+qy)} \, dp \, dq \] (5)

In case \( N(p, q) \) is uniform for all frequencies we have

\[ H(p, q) = k' \overline{S(p, q)} \]

and

\[ r(x, y) = \frac{k'}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) \overline{S(p, q)} \cdot e^{j(px+qy)} \, dp \, dq \]

Employing the convolution theorem, we have

\[ r(x, y) = k' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0, y_0) \cdot \overline{s(-x + x_0, -y + y_0)} \, dx_0 \, dy_0 \]

\[ = k' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + u, y + v) \cdot \overline{s(u, v)} \, du \, dv \] (6)

which is a cross-correlation process. Optical systems which perform the operations indicated by Equations 5 and 6 will be described in Section 4.

An interesting situation arises when the signal and noise are not linearly additive, but are mutually exclusive processes. Then the signal is no longer a known function, but becomes a random process. This case arises when the signal is partially occluded by noise, and also when film grain noise is present. The mutually exclusive noise situation is analyzed in Appendix A.

4

OPTICAL PROCESSING SYSTEMS

4.1. COHERENT OPTICAL SYSTEMS

Optimum filter theory makes widespread use of Fourier transform theory to arrive at results easily. Unfortunately, since the synthesis of an electronic filter must be made in the time domain, there are restrictions on the realizability of the filter, as well as some limitations on its performance. The use of a coherent optical system overcomes some of these restrictions because it can display the Fourier analysis of signals as a distribution of light, and one has the option of constructing the filter in either the frequency or the space domain.
The optical system shown in Figure 3 acts as a two-dimensional Fourier analyzer, as is shown in Appendix B. If \( f(x, y) \) denotes the specular amplitude transmission of the transparency in plane \( P_1 \) and \( F(p, q) \) denotes the complex amplitude distribution of light in plane \( P_2 \), then

\[
F(p, q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) \, e^{j(px+qy)} \, dx \, dy
\]  

(7)

when \( d = f \) and the illumination is a monochromatic plane wave. In Equation 7 \( p \) and \( q \) represent spatial frequency variables having the dimensions of radians/unit distance. But the variables in plane \( P_2 \) are in units of distance which are

\[
\xi = \frac{\lambda p}{2\pi}
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{\lambda q}{2\pi}
\]

where \( \xi \) = the direction parallel to \( x \)

\( \eta \) = the direction parallel to \( y \)

\( \lambda \) = the wavelength of the illumination

\( f \) = the focal length of the spherical lens

In general, the variables \( (p, q) \) will be used for emphasis when a distribution of light is a function of frequency, as well as to simplify the notation associated with Fourier transform theory.

A transform relationship can exist under a wide variety of conditions. If \( d \neq f \), then \( F(\xi, \eta) \) is modified by a quadratic phase factor which does not affect the intensity of the distribution. Also, convergent or divergent illumination will only relocate plane \( P_2 \), which changes the scale of \( F(\xi, \eta) \) as well as modifying \( F(\xi, \eta) \) by a spherical phase factor. These phase terms serve only to determine the position of the image plane for the input transparency, or else the position
of the frequency plane \( (plane \ P_2) \). These conditions are discussed in Appendix B in connection with the frequency response of optical systems.

The fact that a spherical lens can take the Fourier transform of a complex distribution of light allows one to construct an optical system by arranging a sequence of lenses which forms a succession of Fourier transform planes. An image of the input plane can be effected by placing a lens behind plane \( P_2 \) which takes the transform of \( F(p, q) \) (see Figure 4). Since a positive spherical lens always introduces a positive kernel in the transform relationship, we have in plane \( P_3 \) the distribution

\[
r(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) e^{i(px+qy)} \, dp \, dq
\]

\[= f(-x, -y)
\]

We have assumed that the system has unity magnification and sufficient bandwidth to pass the highest spatial frequency in the input function. Note that the output is an inverted image of the input function, which is what one expects from an imaging system operating under any type of illumination. We have also assumed that the lenses have no aberrations and that the system is space-invariant. Limitations placed on the system to assure space invariance for a given bandwidth signal are discussed in Appendix B.

In certain conditions the optical system in Figure 4 can be made to operate as a cross-correlator. Suppose a transparency is placed in plane \( P_2' \) whose transmission is given by \( H(p, q) \). The modified light distribution in this plane is now

\[
R(p, q) = F(p, q) H(p, q)
\]
Lens $L_2$ takes the Fourier transform of this distribution and displays it in plane $P_3$ as

$$r(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) H(p, q) e^{ipx+qy} dp dq$$

By use of the convolution theorem,

$$r(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - u, y - v) h(u, v) du dv$$

If $N(p, q)$ is uniform for all $(p, q)$ of interest, the optimum filter is $H(p, q) = k_1 \overline{S(p, q)}$, and

$$r(x, y) = k_1 f(x, y) * \overline{s(x, y)}$$

which is the cross-correlation of the signal with the input transparency (* denotes convolution).

If two transparencies are placed in contact, their complex transmissions are multiplicative. Consequently, to synthesize the filter described in Equation 3, we need to insert a transparency whose transmission is $1/N(p, q)$ in plane $P_2$, in addition to the transparency representing $\overline{S(p, q)}$. When this filter is used, the output of the system essentially represents the probability that a signal has occurred at any point in the input; a bright spot in the output indicates high probability, whereas low light levels indicate low probability. This process simultaneously detects all signals with similar orientations in any location in $P_1$, as can be seen by observing that the spectrum of a translated signal is modified by a linear phase factor. This phase factor contains precisely the information required to image the signal at the proper position in the output. In contrast, the system is sensitive to the orientation of the signal; but a rotation of the filter relative to the input will detect these signals sequentially.

Other optical configurations will perform the required operation, but the configuration shown in Figure 4 is most convenient and has optimum frequency response. The process could be carried out with two lenses or even a single lens. These configurations are discussed in Appendix B.

4.2. NONCOHERENT OPTICAL SYSTEMS

Noncoherent optical systems have limited usefulness, since they can be used only when $N(p, q) = N$ for all $(p, q)$ of interest. This restriction frequently results in processing such a small amount of data that the system becomes impractical. The noncoherent system is further

---

1 Unless otherwise noted, the word "transmission" will be used in this report to refer to specular amplitude transmission of the transparency.
limited by the fact that \( f(x, y) \) must be real. Since a noncoherent system does not exhibit a frequency plane similar to that of a coherent system, \( H(p, q) \) must be realized in the space domain. The problem is to design a system with impulse response

\[
h(x, y) = \frac{k'}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(p, q) e^{i(px+qy)} \, dp \, dq
\]

\[= k_1 \overline{s(-x, -y)}
\]

This result can be accomplished easily by using a reference function optical system. In the optical system shown in Figure 5, plane \( P_1 \) is an extended source of diffuse illumination (not necessarily monochromatic). The transparency representing \( f(x, y) \) is placed in plane \( P_2 \) and the reference function representing \( h(x, y) \) is placed in plane \( P_3 \). Since the signal is real, \( h(x, y) = s(-x, -y) \). A ray of light from a point \((x_1, y_1)\) in plane \( P_1 \) passes through the point \((x_2, y_2)\) in plane \( P_2 \) and is attenuated by \( f(x_2, y_2) \). This ray passes through the reference function at a point \((x_3', y_3')\) and is further attenuated by a factor \( s(-x_3', -y_3') \). From the geometry we see that

\[
x_4' = \frac{x_2 - x_3}{f} \\
y_4' = \frac{y_2 - y_3}{f}
\]

The intensity of light in the image of the point \((x_4', y_4')\) is the summation of all rays parallel to the ray described; i.e.,

\[
r(x_4', y_4') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_2, y_2) s(-x_3', -y_3') \, dx_3 \, dy_3
\]

\[= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_2, y_2) s \left( \frac{x_4'}{f} - x_2', \frac{y_4'}{f} - y_2' \right) \, dx_2 \, dy_2
\]
By a change of variables we have

\[ r(x, y) = k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + u, y + v) s(u, v) \, du \, dv \]

which is the desired output. The constraint on \( N(p, q) \) limits the usefulness of the noncoherent system.

5

REALIZATION OF THE OPTIMUM FILTER

Having determined that the optimum filter which maximizes the ratio of peak signal energy to mean square noise energy is given by Equation 3, we must find some method to realize \( H(p, q) \). Except for the fact that \( H(p, q) \) is usually a complex quantity, photographic film would be the prime candidate for recording the filter. But only when \( H(p, q) \) is nonnegative can it be realized on film.

Since \( N(p, q) > 0 \) for the nontrivial case, it can always be realized on film. Recall that \( N(p, q) \) can be found by averaging over an ensemble of sample functions. A better approximation to \( N(p, q) \) can usually be found by dividing sample functions into subclasses with distinctly different noise structures. (A class might be all backgrounds consisting of natural terrain, or the structure associated with populated areas, or the background structure associated with radar returns, etc.) Since a priori knowledge exists as to which class of noise functions is being processed, the appropriate \( N(p, q) \) can be selected.

5.1. REALIZATION OF NONNEGATIVE FILTERS

The first step in realizing any function on photographic film is a brief review of the film's transfer characteristics. A typical curve of density versus long exposure is shown in Figure 6. This curve is characterized in its linear region by

\[ D_n = \gamma_n (\log E_n - \log E_o) \tag{8} \]

where \( \gamma_n \) is the slope of the straight line
\( E_n \) is the exposure
\( E_o \) is the intercept of the straight line
\( D_n \) is the intensity density
\( n \) means that a negative transparency is used

The coherent system operates on the transmission of the film so that

\[ T = e^{-D/2} \tag{9} \]
or

\[ T_n = \frac{C}{\gamma_n^{\gamma_n/2}} \]  

(10)

If we make \( E_n \) proportional to \( N(p, q) \) and require \( \gamma_n = 2 \), we have realized the denominator of \( H(p, q) \). The exposure can be made proportional to \( N(p, q) \) by photographing a rotating sector of a circle. Since \( n(x, y) \) is assumed to be isotropic, \( N(p, q) \) is rotationally symmetric. The sector is constructed so that

\[ \frac{s(p)}{2\pi p} \propto N(p) \quad \rho = \sqrt{p^2 + q^2} \]  

(11)

where \( s(p) \) is the arc length at radius \( p \); see Figure 7. This sector, uniformly illuminated, is rotated many times during the exposure interval, with the result that \( E \propto N(p) \) and \( T_n = C/N(p) \). This process must be modified in order to realize the numerator of \( H(p, q) \), since the relationship between \( T_n \) and \( E_n \) is not linear. However, if the negative is contact copied onto another film, the transmission can be made proportional to the original exposure. The exposure on the second film (referred to as a positive transparency) is proportional to \( T_n \). Applying Equations 8 and 9, we have

\[ T_p = C_1 E_n^{(\gamma_n p^2/2)} \]  

(12)
where \( T_p \) is the specular amplitude transmission of the positive

\( \gamma_n \gamma_p \) is the specular \( \gamma \)-product of the process

If we require \( \gamma_n \gamma_p = 2 \), and make \( E_n \) proportional to the amplitude of the numerator, we realize the numerator of \( H(p, q) \). By placing the two transparencies in contact, we realize the entire filter for nonnegative \( H(p, q) \).

5.2. REALIZATION OF A REAL FILTER FUNCTION

If \( H(p, q) \) is real, its values lie on the real axis in the complex plane, with \( |H(p, q)| \leq 1 \). We can realize the negative values of \( H(p, q) \) by multiplying its magnitude by a phase function which delays the light by \( \lambda/2 \). At the Institute of Science and Technology this is done by film relieving. When certain films are exposed and bleached, depressions are left in the emulsion. The transparency is immersed in a cell (Figure 8) filled with a liquid whose refractive index is such that the actual thickness is reduced to an optical thickness of \( \lambda/2 \). The equation governing the parameters is

\[
t = T(n_I - n_g)
\]  

(13)

where \( t \) is the optical thickness of the depressions

\( T \) is the actual thickness of the depressions

\( n_I \) is the refractive index of liquid

\( n_g \) is the refractive index of the emulsion

The resulting phase function is placed in contact with the transparencies representing the magnitude of \( H(p, q) \) and \( N(p, q) \). All three transparencies are immersed in the liquid cell to minimize unwanted phase errors in the film base.
5.3. REALIZATION OF THE COMPLEX FILTER

Since the denominator of $H(p, q)$ can be realized as described in Section 5.1, we will concern ourselves with the problem of realizing the complex numerator of $H(p, q)$. One problem encountered in the realization of the optimum filter is the determination of both the amplitude and phase of

$$S(p, q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(x, y) \exp(j(px + qy)) \, dx \, dy$$

One cannot merely use a spherical lens to take the Fourier transform of $s(x, y)$, as described in Appendix B, because any physical detector measures only the intensity of $S(p, q)$, not its phase. The second problem is to realize $S(p, q)$ after the analysis. Since the phase function is continuous, the relieving technique described has little value because it requires a continuous relieving process, which is almost impossible to construct in the two-dimensional case.

We will now describe a method of analysis that leads directly to the realization of the complex conjugate of the signal spectrum. A Mach-Zehnder interferometer can be used to determine the phase in a distribution of light by combining that distribution with a reference wave whose amplitude and phase distributions are known. This interferometer is modified for our purposes as shown in Figure 9.
The signal $s(x, y)$ whose Fourier transform is to be found is inserted in one beam of the interferometer with a spherical lens. The lens affects the Fourier transform of $s(x, y)$ at its back focal plane, outside the interferometer. A phase delay is placed in the reference beam to maintain temporal coherence. If we temporarily neglect aberrations in the interferometer, the observed output in the back focal plane of the lens is

$$G(p, q) = |R(p, q) + S(p, q)|^2$$

$$= |R(p, q)|^2 + |S(p, q)|^2 + R(p, q)S(p, q) + R(p, q)^*S(p, q)^*$$

(14)

where

$$R(p, q) = |R(p, q)| \exp j\phi(p, q)$$

is the light coming from the reference beam, and

$$S(p, q) = |S(p, q)| \exp j\phi(p, q)$$

is the signal spectrum. We can rewrite Equation 14 as

$$G(p, q) = |R(p, q)|^2 + |S(p, q)|^2 + 2\text{Re}[R(p, q)S(p, q)^*]$$

$$= |R(p, q)|^2 + |S(p, q)|^2 + 2|R(p, q)||S(p, q)| \cos [\phi(p, q) - \phi(p, q)]$$

(15)
Both the amplitude and phase of $R(p, q)$ could be adjusted to determine $\theta(p, q)$; but since the phase information of $S(p, q)$ is contained in $G(p, q)$, a nonnegative function, we will show how $G(p, q)$ can be recorded on photographic film to realize the optimum filter.

The film is exposed so that its transmission is proportional to $G(p, q)$. If we combine this film with the film on which $1/N(p, q)$ is realized, the transmission of the combination is

$$
\frac{G(p, q)}{N(p, q)} = \frac{|R(p, q)|^2 + |S(p, q)|^2}{N(p, q)} + \frac{R(p, q) S(p, q)}{N(p, q)} + \frac{R(p, q) S(p, q)}{N(p, q)}
$$

(16)

Suppose we require $|R(p, q)|$ to be a constant and $\phi(p, q)$ to be linear in $(p, q)$; then

$$
\frac{G(p, q)}{N(p, q)} = A(p, q) + H(p, q) e^{-j(bp+cq)} + H(p, q) e^{j(bp+cq)}
$$

(17)

where $A(p, q) = \frac{|R(p, q)|^2 + |S(p, q)|^2}{N(p, q)}$

$$
H(p, q) = \frac{kS(p, q)}{N(p, q)}
$$

$b, c$ are constants.

Thus, the third term of Equation 17 is the desired filter function multiplied by a linear phase factor. The problem is to separate this term from the other two terms. This can be accomplished by inserting the filter represented by Equation 17 into the optical system (Figure 4) at plane $P_2$. Lens $L_2$ performs the separation of the three terms in the output by taking the transform of the light distribution in $P_2$, i.e.,

$$
\text{output} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) \frac{G(p, q)}{N(p, q)} e^{j(px+qy)} dp dq
$$

(18)

Substituting Equation 17 in Equation 18, we have

$$
\text{output} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) A(p, q) e^{j(px+qy)} dp dq
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) H(p, q) e^{j[(x-b)p+(y-c)q]} dp dq
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, q) H(p, q) e^{j[(x+b)p+(y+c)q]} dp dq
$$

(19)
The first term of Equation 19, which appears on the optical axis, is of no particular interest. Nor, in this discussion, is the second term, which appears at \( x = b, \ y = c \). The third term is \( r(x + b, \ y + c) \), where \( r(x, \ y) \) is defined by Equation 5 and is exactly the output expected from an optimum filter. This term appears with its center displaced from the optical axis by an amount \( x = -b, \ y = -c \). At this point it will be convenient to set \( c = 0 \), since it is arbitrary; but in order to avoid overlap of the three outputs the value of \( b \) must be such that \( b \geq A \), where \( A \) is the length of the signal in the \( x \) direction. The fact that this output occurs off axis by a distance \( x = -b \) is not important, since the output sensor can be located properly to detect it.

In passing, a comment will be made on the significance of the second term of Equation 19. If \( N(p, \ q) = N \) for all \( (p, \ q) \), we saw that the third term could be written as a cross-correlation integral (for \( c = 0 \)); i.e.,

\[
 r(x + b, \ y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u, \ v) s(x + b + u, \ y + v) \, du \, dv \tag{20}
\]

The second term of Equation 19 can then be written as a convolution integral, i.e.,

\[
 r(x - b, \ y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u, \ v) s(x - b - u, \ y - v) \, du \, dv \tag{21}
\]

Thus, when \( N(p, \ q) \) is uniform, the cross-correlation and convolution of the signal with the input function are both displayed in the output plane.

If the mirrors or beam splitters in the interferometer have aberrations, the effects expressed in Equation 19 appear, and may degrade the output somewhat. The aberrations in the signal analysis beam can be neglected, because the signal is usually small compared to the aperture of the interferometer. Denote the aberrations in the reference beam by \( \exp j\psi(p, \ q) \). This aberration can be carried through the analysis to get (for the term of interest)

\[
 r(x + b, \ y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(p, \ q) H(p, \ q) e^{i\psi(p, \ q)} e^{i[(x+b)p+qy]} \, dp \, dq \tag{22}
\]

By use of the convolution theorem, this term can be written as

\[
 r(x + b, \ y) = f(x, \ y) * h(x, \ y) * \psi(x, \ y)
\]

where \( \psi(x, \ y) \) is the transform of \( \exp j\psi(p, \ q) \). But \( \psi(x, \ y) \) is exactly the same form as the impulse response of a lens having the aberrations \( \exp j\psi(p, \ q) \). Equation 22 is the output of a
perfect system as "seen" by a lens with aberrations equal to $\psi(p, q)$. It is apparent that a good quality interferometer is needed if $r(x, y)$ has high-frequency content. (Aberrations in the various lenses can be treated in the same way as imperfections in the interferometer.)

There is an alternative optical system which can be used in place of the interferometer to realize $G(p, q)$. In that system, shown in Figure 10, lens $L_1$ collimates a point source of monochromatic light. The signal is placed in one part of the beam with the necessary phase delay. (If the source has sufficient temporal coherence, the phase delay in these systems can be discarded.) Lens $L_2$, placed in the other part of the beam, focuses the light to a point in $P_2$ at a distance $b$ from the center of the signal. Lens $L_3$ simultaneously takes the Fourier transform of the signal and supplies the reference wave. The reference wave automatically has a linear phase component equal to $\phi(p, q) = bp$, and the light distribution in plane $P_2$ is identical to that given by Equation 14.

![Figure 10. Alternative Optical System for Realizing Complex Filters](image)
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SOME NOTES ON THE PERFORMANCE OF MATCHED FILTERS

6.1. CHANGE IN THE SCALE OF THE SIGNAL

We will evaluate the performance of a filter matched to a signal when the input is the signal with a change in scale. We will assume white Gaussian noise statistics.

Let $s(x, y)$ denote the signal for which the filter was optimized, and let $s(mx, my)$ be the input signal. Then, from Equation 5, the output of the filter is

$$r(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(p, q) H(p, q) e^{i(px+qy)} dp dq$$
when the input signal is \( s(x, y) \). The output when \( s(mx, my) \) in the input is denoted by \( r_m(x, y) \).

We take as a measure of the change in performance of the filter

\[
R = \left( \frac{|r(x, y)|^2}{|r_m(x, y)|^2} \right)_{x=y=0} = \frac{\left. 1 \right|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(p, q) H(p, q) dp dq \right|^2}{\left. 1 \right|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} S(p/m, q/m) H(p, q) dp dq \right|^2} 
\]

(23)

Applying the Schwarz inequality to both numerator and denominator and noting that the equality holds in the numerator by Equation 3, we have

\[
R \geq \frac{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} S(p, q) dp dq \right|^2}{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} S(p/m, q/m) dp dq \right|^2} \geq m^2
\]

where \( m \) is the scaling factor.

6.2. CHANGE IN THE ORIENTATION OF THE SIGNAL

We wish to find some basis for evaluating the performance of a filter matched to a certain signal when the input is the same signal with a different orientation. The output of the system is conveniently expressed in polar coordinates as

\[
r_{sh}(\rho, \gamma) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} s(r, \theta) h(r + \rho, \theta + \gamma) r dr d\theta
\]

(24)

Considered as a function of \( \rho \), the output is a maximum at \( \rho = 0 \). To investigate the effect of signal orientation on the output, we consider the normalized function

\[
f(\gamma) = \frac{r_{sh}(\gamma)}{r_{sh}(0)}
\]

(25)

For signals which are nearly rotationally symmetric, \( f(\gamma) = 1 \) for all \( \gamma \). Our first task is to find a measure of the degree of nonrotational symmetry (termed orientativenss) or the signal. We begin by forming the function

\[
g(r, \theta) = s(r, \theta) - k(r)
\]
where

\[ k(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} s(r, \theta) \, d\theta \]

Thus, \( g(r, \theta) \) represents a measure of the difference between the signal and a function which has rotational symmetry. We then evaluate

\[ R_{gh}(\gamma) = R_{sh}(\gamma) - R_{kh}(\gamma) \]

But

\[ R_{kh}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} s(r, \phi) h(r, \theta + \gamma) r \, dr \, d\phi \right) \]

Since we integrate over all \( \theta \), we can write \( \theta + \gamma = \alpha \) and let \( \alpha - \phi = \beta \), so that

\[ R_{kh} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} s(r, \phi) h(r, \phi + \beta) r \, dr \, d\phi \right) \, d\beta \]

\[ = \text{Ave}[R_{sh}(\beta)] \quad (26) \]

and

\[ R_{gh}(\gamma) = R_{sh}(\gamma) - \text{Ave}[R_{sh}(\gamma)] \]

We now look at the normalized function

\[ f_1(\gamma) = \frac{R_{gh}(\gamma)}{R_{gh}(0)} \quad (27) \]

and define the "orientativeness" or the signal to be

\[ \text{Or} = \frac{\pi}{\gamma_c} - 1 \quad 0 \leq \gamma_c \leq \pi \]

where \( \gamma_c \) is the angle for which \( f_1(\gamma) = C \), \( 0 \leq C \leq 1 \). By constructing the \( f_1 \) one can always find a \( \gamma \) for which \( f_1(\gamma) = C \) for any signal. The function \( f_1(\gamma) \) is now the measure of the performance of a filter matched to a signal when the input is a signal which has undergone a change of orientation. This result is highly dependent on the shape of the signal, whereas the result of Section 6.1 was not.
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EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A few experimental results will serve to illustrate the theory of spatial filtering and indicate the potential to be expected when complex filters can be realized. A practical result of realizing complex filters by the technique described in Section 5.3 is that the noise rejection capability is better than that of conventional filters, since the minimum transmission of films is not zero when the $\gamma$-product is fixed, and some noise passes through the filter. In the method described in Section 5.3, the carrier frequency is recorded only for those values of $(p, q)$ for which $S(p, q) \neq 0$. Since the noise passing through the filter where $S(p, q) = 0$ is not deviated into the output of interest, the effective transmission at those points is in effect equal to zero.

7.1. DETECTION OF SIMPLE GEOMETRICAL SHAPES

The first example presented is the detection of one of the elementary geometrical shapes shown in Figure 11. Any of the shapes could serve as the signal; we chose the small rectangle first. We realized the complex filter of this signal by the method described in Section 5.3; the output of interest is shown in Figure 12. Note that all signals with proper shape and orientation were detected simultaneously.

![FIGURE 11. SET OF GEOMETRICAL SHAPES](image1)

![FIGURE 12. DETECTION OF RECTANGLES](image2)

The second signal selected was the "L" shape, which has a complex spectrum. The Fourier transform of the complex filter, shown in Figure 13, illustrates the fidelity with which the complex filter was realized. The light distribution in the center of the output is the transform of the first two terms of Equation 14. The L in the upper corner is the transform of the third
FIGURE 13. RECONSTRUCTION FROM A COMPLEX FILTER

term, and the other $L$ is the transform of the last term. Note that these two images are inverted and reversed relative to each other, which graphically demonstrates that the Fourier transform of $[S(p, q)] = s(x, y)$ and the Fourier transform of $[S(p, q)] = s(-x, -y)$. Of course, since $s(x, y)$ is real, $s(-x, -y) = s(-x, -y)$. Figure 14 shows the output, which is the cross-correlation of the "L" with the input function. Note the symmetry in the output correlation, which is a necessary feature of cross-correlation. The other $L$'s in the input, having different orientations, do not give as large an output (cf. Section 6.2), but a rotation of the filter relative to the input would sequentially detect them. The output, which is shown for illustration in Figure 15, is the convolution of the signal with the input function. Note that it is asymmetrical, a consequence of convolution unless the signal is even.

7.2. DETECTION OF ALPHANUMERIC

The second example is the detection of alphanumerics. An interesting variation of the first example is to record the alphabet (shown in Figure 16) via its complex spectrum as the filter function. It is a simple matter to select any one of the alphanumerics as the signal to be detected and use it as the input signal. The output, when the input is the letter "g," is shown in Figure 17. Since the filter (which is the normal input function in disguise) does not have to be changed while the search is carried out, this technique suggests a method for scanning a printed page for the presence of any particular alphanumeric.
7.3. DETECTION OF AN ISOLATED SIGNAL IN RANDOM NOISE

In the preceding two examples the noise spectral density was uniform enough to be considered white. This final example shows the detection of a signal which is immersed in a noise background with nonuniform spectral density, and demonstrates the power of using a coherent system for signal detection.
Figure 18(a) shows a figure in a noise background. Since the noise background is predominantly low-frequency, the denominator of the filter must be realized. Figure 18(b) shows that the background noise has been completely suppressed and the signal has been detected.

FIGURE 18. DETECTION OF ISOLATED SIGNAL IN NOISE BACKGROUND. (a) Signal plus noise, (b) Detection of signal.
Appendix A
OPTIMIZATION IN PRESENCE OF MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE NOISE

One consideration in optimum filtering of photorecords is that the noise is frequently mutually exclusive and not additive. We can consider the signal $g(x, y)$ to be multiplied by an indicator function $I(x, y)$, which is a random process on $x, y$ having a known autocorrelation function. Since the desired signal is now random rather than known, the optimum filtering process is found by performing a least squares analysis. A block diagram describing the system is shown in Figure 19.

![Block Diagram](image)

**FIGURE 19. PROCESSING SYSTEM WHEN MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE NOISE IS PRESENT**

$I(x, y)$ is an indicator function taking on values $0, 1$ and is an approximation to a mutually exclusive noise function. $P(p, q)$ is some operation on $G(p, q)$; in our case $P(p, q) = 1$ for all $(p, q)$ of interest. The problem is to find a filter function $H(p, q)$ which will minimize the expected value of $|\varepsilon|^2$.

We will continue the analysis for one dimension; the two-dimensional extension is obvious. We write

$$E[\epsilon(x + u) \bar{\epsilon}(x)] = R_\varepsilon(u)$$

which is the autocorrelation function of the error term ($E$ is the expected value). $R_\varepsilon(u)$ will be expressed in terms of the usual autocorrelation functions of $g(x)$, $f(x)$, and $n(x)$, and it can readily be seen that $E(|\varepsilon|^2) = R_\varepsilon(0)$. 
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A useful relationship between \( R_\epsilon(u) \) and its spectral density is given by
\[
R_\epsilon(u) = k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_\epsilon(p) e^{-jpu} dp
\]
and we note immediately that
\[
R_\epsilon(0) = E[|\epsilon|^2] = k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_\epsilon(p) dp
\]
Since \( S_\epsilon(p) \) is the Fourier transform of an autocorrelation function, \( S_\epsilon(p) \geq 0 \) for all \( p \), and we can minimize \( R_\epsilon(0) \) by minimizing \( S_\epsilon(p) \). To find \( S_\epsilon(0) \) we write
\[
\epsilon(x) = f(x) * h(x) - g(x) * p(x)
\]
where \( * \) denotes convolution. Then
\[
R_\epsilon(u) = E[\epsilon(x + u) \overline{\epsilon(x)}] = E[f(x + u) * h(x + u) - g(x + u) * p(x + u)] [f(x) * \overline{h(x)} - g(x) * \overline{p(x)}]
\]
\[
R_\epsilon(u) = R_f(x) * h(x) * \overline{h(-x)} + R_g(x) * p(x) * \overline{p(-x)} - R_g(-x) * p(x) * \overline{h(-x)}
\]
Taking Fourier transforms of both sides and using the result that
\[
\mathcal{F}[R_y(x) * h(x) * \overline{p(-x)}] = S_y(p)H(p)\overline{P(p)}
\]
we have
\[
S_\epsilon(p) = S_f(p)|H(p)|^2 + S_g|P(p)|^2 - S_{fg}(p)H(p)\overline{P(p)} - \overline{S_{fg}(p)P(p)}H(p)
\]
Complete the square in \( H(p) \) to get
\[
S_\epsilon(p) = S_f(p)^{1/2} H(p) \left( \frac{|S_{fg}(p)P(p)|^2}{S_f(p)^{1/2}} \right) + S_g(p)|P(p)|^2 - \frac{|S_{fg}(p)P(p)|^2}{S_f(p)}
\]
where \( S_f(p) \) is the spectral density of the available data
\( S_g(p) \) is the spectral density of the signal
\( S_{fg}(p) \) is the cross-spectral density of the signal with available data
\( P(p) \) is the desired operation on the signal
\( H(p) \) is the filter function which is being optimized
We can choose $H(p)$ to minimize $S_c(p)$. It is apparent that $H(p)$ has no influence on the last two terms of $S_c(p)$; therefore we take

$$H(p) = \frac{S_{gf}(p)P(p)}{S_I(p)}$$

which minimizes $S_c(p)$ and therefore minimizes $E(\|e\|^2)$. To recover the signal with minimum error, we let $P(p) = 1$ for all $p$, and we have

$$H(p) = \frac{S_{gf}(p)}{S_I(p)}$$

To get this into a useful form, we write $S_{gf}(p)$ and $S_I(p)$ in terms of the statistics of the input functions. To determine $S_I(p)$, we have

$$f(x) = n(x) \left[1 - I(x)\right] + g(x)I(x)$$

and

$$R_T(x) = E[f(x + u)f(x)] = (1 - 2a) R_n(x) + R_n(x)R_I(x)$$

$$+ R_g(x)R_I(x) + 2abc - 2bcR_I(x)$$

Take Fourier transform of both sides to get

$$S_I(p) = (1 - 2a)S_n(p) + S_n(p) \ast S_I(p) + S_g(p) \ast S_I(p) + 2abc \delta(p) - 2bcS_I(p)$$

where $a = E[I(x)]$

$b = E[g(x)]$

$c = E[n(x)]$

$\delta(p) = C \text{sinc} \frac{kA}{p}$ (A = aperture of optical system)

A similar analysis for $S_{gf}(p)$ gives

$$S_{gf}(p) = aS_g(p) + (1 - a)bc \delta(p)$$

Thus we can now write the optimum filter in terms of the known statistics of the input data and the indicator function, as follows:

$$H(p) = \frac{aS_g(p) + (1 - a)bc \delta(p)}{(1 - 2a)S_n(p) + S_n(p) \ast S_I(p) + S_g(p) \ast S_I(p) + 2abc \delta(p) - 2bcS_I(p)}$$

This result shows that, in general, the best filtering operation is to attenuate the spectrum heavily where there is little signal energy, and vice-versa. More precise information on $H(p)$ will be obtainable if the autocorrelation function of $I(x)$ is known.
Appendix B
THE FOURIER TRANSFORMING PROPERTY OF LENSES

B. 1. DERIVATION OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM RELATIONSHIP

The theory outlined in Section 2 of this report is based on the assumption that a spherical lens can effect the two-dimensional Fourier transform of a complex distribution of light. It is also asserted that the transform relationship holds, to within a phase factor, for a wide variety of positions of the lens relative to the input transparency, so that we can cascade lenses to take successive transforms. The analysis in this appendix is in one dimension; the extension to two dimensions is obvious, though not simple.

We begin by assuming that a transparency with complex transmission \( f(x) \) is placed in plane \( P_1 \) at a distance \( d \) from the lens in plane \( P_2 \) (see Diagram 1). Although the transform relationship is found with far less effort if \( d = f \), the results are not general enough to apply in evaluating the performance of combinations of lenses. We proceed from the application of Kirchhoff's formulation of Huygens' principle \([7]\), which indicates that the disturbance at a point in \( P_2 \) due to a disturbance in \( P_1 \) is given by

\[
\sqrt{\frac{j}{\lambda}} \frac{Af(x_0) e^{ikr}}{y_1} \left(1 + \cos \theta \right) \frac{\Delta x_0}{2}
\]

(28)

where

- \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of light
- \( A \) is the amplitude of illumination at point \( x_0 \)
- \( r \) is the distance from \( x_0 \) to \( y_0 \)
- \( \theta \) is the obliquity angle, i.e., the angle from \( x_0 \) to \( y_0 \) measured from the optical axis
- \( f(x_0) \) is the transmission of transparency at \( x = x_0 \)
- \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \)
The incoming illumination is \( E(x) = A(x) \exp j\phi(x) \); usually \( A(x) \) and \( \phi(x) \) are constant. Since the exact value of \( \phi(x) \) is unimportant, we can set it equal to zero and regard it as the reference phase. The total contribution at the point \( y_0 \) is

\[
g(y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}} A \int_{P_2} \frac{f(x) \exp (jkr)(1 + \cos \theta)}{2\sqrt{r}} \, dx
\]

(23)

The obliquity factor is usually neglected by assuming that \( \theta \) remains small throughout the integration. Though this may be true when \( d \geq f \), it is not true when \( d \to 0 \), and a better reason for neglecting the obliquity factor must be sought.

Consider the effect of the contribution from a small region in \( P_2 \) on a given point in \( P_1 \). If \( |r_2 - r_1| \gg \lambda \), the contribution at \( y_0 \) averages to zero if \( f(x_2) \approx f(x_1) \). Thus we can determine the maximum permissible value of \( \theta \) as a function of \( d \) and the frequency content of \( f(x) \). In terms of \( x \), \( y \), and \( d \), the condition that \( |r_2 - r_1| \gg \lambda \) implies that \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}} \frac{(x - y)^2}{d^2} \gg \lambda \), or that \( |2x\Delta x + (\Delta x)^2 - 2y\Delta y| \gg 2\lambda d \).

Neglecting \((\Delta x)^2\) with respect to the other terms, we have

\[
\Delta x \gg \frac{\lambda d}{x - y}
\]

(30)

Suppose we agree that the obliquity factor can be neglected if

\[
\frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2} \geq 0.99
\]

which implies that \( \tan \theta \leq 0.20 \). But \( \theta = \arctan (x - y)/d \), so that

\[
\frac{x - y}{d} \leq 0.20
\]

(31)

and from Equation 30 we have that \( \Delta x \gg \frac{\lambda}{0.20} \). Since \( \Delta x \) is the distance over which \( f(x) \) must not vary appreciably, the highest allowable frequency in \( f(x) \) is

\[
p_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \ll 0.20/\lambda \ll 375 \text{ l/mm}
\]

Since the highest frequency encountered in typical input signals is approximately 30 to 50 l/mm, it seems safe to neglect the obliquity factor. Note that this analysis makes no restriction on the relative aperture of the system, the permissible field of view, or the value of \( d \). These restrictions comprise the reasons usually cited for neglecting this factor.

If we ignore the obliquity factor, the light distribution in \( P_2 \) is

\[
g(y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}} A \int_{P_1} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{r}} e^{jkr} \, dx
\]

(32)
Expanding \( r = \sqrt{d^2 + (x - y)^2} \) by the binomial theorem, we have

\[
    r = d \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x - y}{d} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{x - y}{d} \right)^4 + \ldots \right] \tag{33}
\]

From our previous assumption, \((x - y)/d \leq 0.2\), so that we need retain only the first two terms of Equation 33. Since the \(\sqrt{r}\) term in the denominator is relatively insensitive to the small variations in \((x - y)^2/d^2\) over the region of integration, we set \(r = d\) and take it outside the integral. Also the phase term \(\exp (jkd)\) is constant and can be dropped from the analysis. The lens at \(P_2\) is represented by the phase factor \(\exp [-j(ky^2/2f)]\), where \(f\) is the focal length of the lens. The \(P_2\) plane can be considered the back principal plane of the lens. We will proceed from \(P_2\) to \(P_3\) in the same way as from \(P_1\) to \(P_2\). The total contribution at a point \(\xi\) in \(P_3\) is

\[
    F(\xi) = -\frac{jA}{\lambda V d f} \int_{P_1} \int_{P_2} dx \, dy \, f(x) \exp \left[ j \left( \frac{k}{2f} \right) (y - \xi)^2 \right] \times \exp \left( j \left( \frac{k}{2f} \right) y^2 \right) \exp \left[ j \left( \frac{k}{2f} \right) (y - \xi)^2 \right] \tag{34}
\]

Looking at the exponent only, we have

\[
    \text{exponent} = j \frac{k}{2d} (x^2 - 2xy + y^2) - j \frac{k}{2f} (y^2 - 2y \xi + \xi^2) \tag{35}
\]

Let \(f/d = m\), and complete the square in Equation 35 to get

\[
    \text{exponent} = j \frac{k}{2f} (\sqrt{m} y - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi))^2 - j \frac{k}{f} (y \xi - \sqrt{m} y \xi) - j \frac{k}{f} (\sqrt{m} x \xi) \tag{36}
\]

Substituting Equation 36 in Equation 34, we get

\[
    F(\xi) = -\frac{jA}{\lambda V d f} \int_{P_1} \int_{P_2} dx \, dy \, f(x) \exp \left\{ j \frac{k}{2f} \left[ (\sqrt{m} y - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi))^2 \right] \right\} 
    \times \exp \left( -j \frac{k}{f} \sqrt{m} x \xi \right) \exp \left\{ -j \frac{k}{f} ((1 - \sqrt{m}) y \xi) \right\}
    = -\frac{jA}{\lambda V d f} \int_{P_1} dx \, f(x) \exp \left( -j \frac{k}{f} \sqrt{m} x \xi \right) v(x, \xi) \tag{37}
\]

where

\[
    v(x, \xi) = \int_{P_2} dy \exp \left\{ j \frac{k}{2f} \left[ (\sqrt{m} y - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi))^2 \right] \right\} \exp \left\{ -j \frac{k}{f} ((1 - \sqrt{m}) y \xi) \right\} \tag{38}
\]

Evaluating \(v(x, \xi)\), we let \(\sqrt{m} y - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi) = p\), and we have

\[
    v(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \int_{\xi, l} dp \exp \left( -j \frac{k}{f} \frac{(1 - \sqrt{m})}{\sqrt{m}} \xi \right) (p + \sqrt{m} x + \xi) \exp \left( j \frac{k}{2f} (p^2) \right) \tag{39}
\]
where

\[ u.l. = \sqrt{m} A - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi) \]
\[ l.l. = -\sqrt{m} A - (\sqrt{m} x + \xi) \]
\[ 2A = \text{aperture in } P_2 \]

We can pass the phase factor \( \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \frac{1 - \sqrt{m} - v_m}{\sqrt{m}} \xi \left( \sqrt{m} x + \xi \right) \right] \) through the integral, since it is not a function of the variable of integration. Thus

\[
F(\xi) = \frac{-jA \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \xi^2 \right]}{\lambda \sqrt{df m}} \int_{P_1} dx f(x) \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \sqrt{m} \xi \right] \times \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \sqrt{m} x\xi \right] b(x, \xi)
\]

(40)

where

\[
b(x, \xi) = \int_{l.l.}^{u.l.} \exp \left[ j \frac{k}{2f} p^2 \right] \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \xi p \right] dp
\]

(41)

Note that part of the phase term in the integrand of Equation 4 cancels out, leaving

\[
F(\xi) = \frac{-jA \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \xi^2 \right]}{\lambda \sqrt{df m}} \int_{P_1} dx f(x) \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} \xi \right] b(x, \xi)
\]

(42)

If \( b(x, \xi) = k \) for all \( (x, \xi) \) of interest, and if \( m = 1 \), we have an exact Fourier transform relationship between \( F(\xi) \) and \( f(x) \). Further, the integral in Equation 42 is independent of \( m \), which is to be expected since the scale of \( F(\xi) \) is not a function of \( m \). (The manner in which the phase term depends on \( m \) will be discussed after \( b(x, \xi) \) is evaluated.) Since Equation 41 determines the validity of the Fourier transform relationship, we modify \( b(x, \xi) \) to a Fresnel integral, which is easier to evaluate than the form given. Completing the square in the exponent, we get

\[
b(x, \xi) = \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{2f} \left( \frac{1 - 2\sqrt{m} + m}{m} \right) \xi^2 \right] \int_{l.l.}^{u.l.} \exp \left[ j \frac{k}{2f} \left( p - \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \xi \right)^2 \right] dp
\]

(43)

Change variables by letting

\[ p - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \xi = \sqrt{\pi f/k} v \]

so that

\[
b(x, \xi) = \sqrt{\pi f/k} \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{2f} \left( \frac{1 - 2\sqrt{m} + m}{m} \right) \xi^2 \right] \int_{l.l.}^{u.l.} \exp \left[ j(\pi/2)v^2 \right] dv
\]

(44)
where the new upper and lower limits are
\[ \text{u.l.} = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\lambda_f}} [A - x - \xi/m] \]
\[ \text{l.l.} = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\lambda_f}} [-A - x - \xi/m] \]  

Before evaluating Equation 44, we will discuss the general behavior of the function
\[ F(u) = \int_{-(A+u)}^{A-u} \exp[j(\pi/2)v^2] \, dv \]

which is a Fresnel integral in its standard form. The evaluation of \( F(u) \) cannot be given in a closed form, but the function is tabulated extensively. If the function is written as
\[ F(u) = \left[ \int_{-(A+u)}^{A-u} \cos(\pi/2)v^2 \, dv + j \int_{-(A+u)}^{A-u} \sin(\pi/2)v^2 \, dv \right] \]
\[ = x + jy \]  

one can use a curve known as a Cornu spiral, which plots the first integral against the second (see Figure 20). Values of \( u \) are read along the curve, and the corresponding values of \( x \) and \( y \) are read from the coordinate axis. The magnitude of \( F(u) \) is found in the usual way. It can be seen from the curve that \( F(u) \) has its greatest change in value near \( |u| = A \), so that we have as an approximation

![FIGURE 20. CORNU SPIRAL](image-url)
\[ F(u) = \sqrt{2} \quad |u| < A \]
\[ = 0 \quad |u| > A \]

In Equation 44, \( u = x + \frac{\xi}{m} \) and the factor \( \sqrt{2m/\xi_f} \) in both limits represents a scaling factor. We first look at the case in which \( A >> |x + \frac{\xi}{m}| \). Then the integral reduces to \( \sqrt{2} \) and

\[ b(x, \xi) = \sqrt{2\pi f/k} \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{2f} \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{2m + m}}{m} \right) \xi^2 \right] \tag{47} \]

which is independent of \( x \) as expected, since the given requirement is equivalent to demanding that the system is space invariant, i.e., \( F(\xi) \) is not a function of the position at which the signal is found in \( P_1 \). Substituting Equation 47 in Equation 44 and simplifying, we have

\[ F(\xi) = \frac{-jA}{\sqrt{\lambda f}} \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{2f} \left( \frac{1 - m}{m} \right) \xi^2 \right] \int_{P_1} f(x) \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{f} x \xi \right] \tag{48} \]

Note that if the input transparency is in the front focal plane of the lens \((m = 1)\) the result is an exact Fourier transform relationship. For \( m < 1 \), the factor \((1 - m)/m > 0\), and the quadratic phase term indicates that the lens is capable of forming a real image of \( f(x) \) to the right of the lens at a distance

\[ d_1 = \frac{f}{1 - m} \]

If \( m > 1 \), the image is virtual and cannot be imaged to the right of the lens without the aid of a second lens.

The remaining task is to evaluate \( b(x, \xi) \) for the case in which the condition \( A >> |x + \frac{\xi}{m}| \) is not satisfied. We want to investigate three different cases, \( m \rightarrow \infty \), \( m = 1 \), and \( m \rightarrow 0 \). We can facilitate the analysis by restricting our attention to values of \( x > 0 \) and \( \xi > 0 \), since similar results will hold for \( x < 0 \), \( \xi < 0 \).

In the first case of interest, \( m \) is very large; i.e., the transparency is close to the principal plane of the lens. In this case \( \xi/m \) is very small and

\[ b(x, \xi) = f(\xi) \int_{\sqrt{2m/(\lambda f)}(A-x)}^{\sqrt{2m/(\lambda f)}(A+x)} \exp \left( j \frac{\pi}{2} v^2 \right) dv \tag{49} \]

where

\[ f(\xi) = \sqrt{\pi f/k} \exp \left[ -j \frac{k}{2f} \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{2m + m}}{m} \right) \xi^2 \right] \]
The limit on the maximum signal aperture to satisfy space invariance is \(|X| \leq A\). Hence, in order to obtain maximum system aperture, the input signal should be placed very close to the lens. A second lens is necessary to image \(f(x)\), but it can also be selected to maximize system frequency response. This is discussed more fully in Appendix B, Section 3.

In the second case to be discussed, \(m = 1\). Then \(f(\xi) = \sqrt{\pi f/k}\) and

\[
b(x, \xi) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi f}{k}} \frac{\sqrt{2/(\lambda f)}}{A-x-\xi} \int_{-\sqrt{2/(\lambda f)}}^{\sqrt{2/(\lambda f)}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda f} + \xi^2\right)\right) dv
\]  

(50)

For small \(x\) we see that \(b(x, \xi)\) reaches its half-amplitude point for \(|\xi| = A\) and

\[
b(x, \xi) = \sqrt{2\pi f/k} \quad |\xi| < A
\]

\[
= 0 \quad |\xi| > A
\]

This is the classical result, the so-called aperture limited frequency cutoff. However, this result is valid only for small signal apertures; as the signal aperture increases, the symmetrical band pass decreases and the lens system becomes space variant.

It is almost impossible to continue this discussion without placing a limitation on the frequency content of the signal. Suppose the highest frequency of the signal is displayed at \(\xi_0\), which corresponds to a maximum frequency of

\[
p_0 = \frac{2\pi \xi_0}{\lambda f} \text{ rad/mm}
\]

We then find the largest range on the signal aperture which will retain space invariance. From Equation 44 we see that this condition is satisfied if

\[
(x + \xi_0/m) \leq A \quad \text{for } x > 0
\]

\[
(x - \xi_0/m) \leq -A \quad \text{for } x < 0
\]

Thus, to have space invariance we must restrict the signal aperture such that

\[
|X| < (A - \xi_0/m)
\]  

(51)

From Equation 51 it is easy to see the result for \(m = 0\). As \(m\) becomes smaller, the input signal is farther from the lens, and the allowable range on \(|X|\) rapidly decreases. In those regions where \(|X|\) exceeds the limitation imposed by Equation 51, the symmetrical frequency response is reduced, and the result is a space variant operation. For perfect lenses, \(b(x, \xi)\) adequately describes the space variant characteristics of the lens, but aberrations usually cause the system to be space variant before Equation 51 is violated, except for small values of \(m\).
This section presents a general discussion of the Fourier transform properties of a lens operating with coherent illumination. The generality allows a determination of the validity of the Fourier transforming property of the lens. Equation 44 relates the frequency response of a lens to the region over which it can be considered space invariant. An application of the results of this section will be used in Section B.3, in evaluating complete lens systems.

B.2. AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO THE TRANSFORM RELATIONSHIP

If Section B.1 masks the fundamental results to be obtained from \( b(x, \xi) \), the following approach may be instructional. It is known that a \( \delta \)-function is equivalent to a point source in the input plane (Diagram 2). A point source in \( P_1 \) creates a spherical wave at \( P_2 \) with radius \( f/m \). Each element on the wave can be considered to be a certain frequency, and if the highest frequency of the signal is \( P_0 \), we require that all the energy from that element enter the lens. Let the element representing \( P_0 \) be located a distance \( (x + y) \leq A \) above the optical axis. Then \( \tan \theta = \frac{y}{f/m} = \frac{\xi_0}{f} \) or \( y = \frac{\xi_0}{m} \). To get all the light from this element into the lens, we require that \( (x + y) < A \) or \( x < (A - \xi_0/m) \). A similar situation holds for \( x < 0 \); so we have \( |X| < (A - \xi_0/m) \), which is Equation 51. Thus, this approach yields the same major result as the detailed analysis of \( b(x, \xi) \). The minor oscillation of \( b(x, \xi) \) is not accounted for, since diffraction effects of the lens aperture were not considered here; otherwise the results would be identical.

B.3. EVALUATION OF OPTICAL SYSTEMS

In this section, the results from Section B.1 will be used to evaluate three basic systems which perform fundamental spatial filtering operations. We will evaluate the systems on the basis of (1) the maximum frequency that the system will image for a limited region in the input plane, (2) the maximum signal aperture that can be imaged when the input signal is band-limited and the lens system operates as a space invariant system, and (3) minimum total system length. Each system will have unity magnification.
B.3.1. THREE-LENS SYSTEM. Perhaps the simplest system to analyze is the three-lens system shown in Figure 21. Lens $L_1$ collimates a point source, and the input transparency is placed in plane $P_1$ close to lens $L_2$. From Equation 51 we note that the maximum frequency response of lens $L_2$ is extremely high, but that the maximum frequency that can be imaged is limited by $L_3$ to $p_{max} = \frac{2\pi A}{\lambda f}$ rad/mm. The length of signal which can be imaged with space invariance when the signal is band limited to frequency $p_o$ is

$$|X| < \left( A - \frac{p_o \lambda f}{2\pi} \right) \text{mm}$$

If lens $L_2$ has a focal length of $1/2 f$, the total system length is $3f$.

![Three-Lens System Diagram](image)

**FIGURE 21. THREE-LENS SYSTEM**

B.3.2. TWO-LENS SYSTEM. A two-lens system is shown in Figure 22. In this system $L_1$ collimates the point source and the input transparency is placed in plane $P_1$ at a distance $2f$ from $L_2$. Lens $L_2$ is the transforming lens as well as the imaging lens. The maximum frequency imaged by this system is $p_{max} = \frac{\pi A}{\lambda f}$ rad/mm. The maximum signal length to be imaged under space invariance and maximum frequency content $p_o$ is

$$|X| < \left( A - \frac{p_o \lambda f}{\pi} \right) \text{mm}$$

The total system length is $5f$.

B.3.3. ONE-LENS SYSTEM. The one-lens system shown in Figure 23 can also perform the desired operation. In this case the signal is illuminated not be a plane wave, but by a divergent wave of radius $r = s - d$. The frequency plane is located at a distance $t$ from lens $L_1$, where $t = st/(s - f)$. The image plane is at a distance $q = df/(d - f)$ from lens $L_1$. The maximum frequency passed by the lens for small signal lengths is $p_{max} = 2\pi A/(\lambda d)$ rad/mm.

The maximum signal length which is imaged when the lens operates under a space invariant condition and the signal is limited to frequencies less than $p_o$ will now be found. Equation 51 cannot be applied directly, because the illumination is not a plane wave and the frequency plane...
is not located in the back focal plane of the lens. We first find a relationship between the frequency variable $p$ and a distance variable $\xi$ in plane $P_2$. Referring to Diagram 3, we have
\[ p = \frac{2\pi y}{\lambda d} \]

and

\[ \frac{\xi}{q - t} = \frac{y}{t} \]

Therefore

\[ p = \frac{2\pi t \xi}{\lambda d (q - t)} \]

To find the range on the signal aperture we refer to the sketch in Diagram 4. Since \( \theta \) is small

\[ \text{DIAGRAM 4} \]

\((d > f)\) we can make the approximation that \( \Delta y = \Delta y_1 \). Then, in terms of the maximum frequency in the signal, we have

\[ \Delta y = \frac{t \lambda \alpha_0}{2\pi (q - t)} \]

and

\[ y = \frac{s}{s - d} x \]

We require that \((y + \Delta y) < A\). Thus

\[ \left[ \frac{xs}{s - d} + \frac{t \lambda \alpha_0}{2\pi (q - t)} \right] < A \]

or, for space invariance,

\[ |X| < \frac{s - d}{s} \left[ A - \frac{p \lambda ft}{2\pi (q - t)} \right] \]
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The total system length is $s + q$, and it is difficult to minimize this distance since the amount of signal aperture is also dependent on it. It is clear, however, that the maximum frequency response of this system is good. If one is willing to work with a small signal aperture, the system length can be kept reasonably short.

B.3.4. COMPARISON OF THE THREE SYSTEMS. It will simplify the comparison of these systems if we give numerical values to the system parameters. Suppose that

$$\frac{p_o}{2\pi} = 50 \text{ l/mm}$$
$$A = 25 \text{ mm}$$
$$\lambda = 5000 \text{ Å}$$
$$f = 200 \text{ mm}$$

To fix the parameters for the one-lens system, let

$$d = 2f = q$$
$$S = 4f$$
$$t = \frac{4}{3} f$$

Substituting these parameters in the one-lens system formula gives

$$|X| < \frac{1}{2} \left(A - \frac{p_o \lambda f}{\pi}\right)$$

The systems are compared in the table.

The three-lens system is clearly superior on the basis of all three methods of comparison. The one- and two-lens systems have equal frequency response, but the signal aperture in the one-lens system is only half that of the two-lens system. This waste of system aperture should be avoided by using one of the other two systems; doing so reduces the length of the system also.

**TABLE: COMPARISON OF THREE OPTICAL SYSTEMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One-Lens System</th>
<th>Two-Lens System</th>
<th>Three-Lens System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{p_{\text{max}}}{2\pi}$</td>
<td>125 l/m</td>
<td>125 l/m</td>
<td>250 l/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range on $</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$ for $\frac{p_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} = 50$ l/m</td>
<td>7.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total system length</td>
<td>1200 mm</td>
<td>1000 mm</td>
<td>600 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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