Smooth Transitions for a Turning Dubins Vehicle

Heejun Choi^{*} and Ella M. Atkins[†]

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Emergencies in which an aircraft cannot maintain straight flight can result from a variety of conditions such as structural damage or actuator failures. A Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV) is defined as an analytical flight path planner for situations in which straight flight is not possible. Solutions are constructed as a sequence of alternate turning arcs that follow a reference circle and include piecewise linear transitions between turning radii. A comprehensive Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV) solver is presented to handle the spectrum of relative distances and headings between aircraft initial state and a landing runway approach end. This solver generates the minimum number of turning sequences for the TDV, thus providing a minimum length landing flight plan. Example solutions are used to illustrate the TDV solver.

Nomenclature

- σ Curve (Lateral Plane Landing Path) for the Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV)
- \mathcal{O} Circular curve for the TDV
- *a* Circular arc curve for the TDV
- *b* Product of circular arc curves
- Σ Set of possible curves for the TDV
- Σ_c Set of circular curves for the TDV
- \mathcal{A} Set of circular arc curves for the TDV
- \mathcal{B} Set of possible sequences of two different turning radii for the TDV
- \mathcal{C} Natural representation of σ with respect to a center c_1 of the first circular curve for the TDV
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$ Set of possible reference circles having $\overline{c_1 c_f}$ as a chord
- s Length of the arc segment of the σ
- \vec{V} Velocity vector
- \vec{T} Unit tangent with respect to c_1
- \vec{k} Curvature vector with respect to c_1
- \vec{n} Principal normal unit vector with respect to c_1
- \vec{b} Unit binormal vector with respect to c_1
- *r* Radius of circular curve
- δ Central angle of the reference arc
- ψ Angle between unit tangent \vec{T} and unit vector \hat{i} in the xyz system
- n Number of arc sequences in \mathcal{B}
- n_m Minimum number of arc sequences in \mathcal{B}
- λ Distance of the points of a straight line from a known point

Subscript

- *r* Reference circle
- 1 First circular curve of two different radius circular curves
- 2 Second circular curve of two different radius circular curves
- *m* Minimum radius turning circle
- M Maximum radius turning circle
- T Transition for the TDV

^{*}Research Assistant, Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105

[†]Associate Professor, Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, Associate Fellow

I. Introduction

Modern flight management systems (FMS) can generate optimal flight plans over a variety of conditions. When damage or failures occur, such as structural damage, loss of thrust, or a control surface jam, the flight crew must manually adapt the flight plans to execute an emergency landing, ideally at a nearby airport. Pilot workload is dramatically increased in such situations, particularly given the split in attention between attempting to understand the reduced performance properties as well as manually devise a guidance strategy (and flight plan) to safely land the aircraft. To assist the flight crew with adaptive guidance as well as flight control given degraded performance, researchers have begun to develop emergency real-time landing trajectory generation automation aids, particularly given that existing flight plans may not be feasible.¹⁻⁴ In our past work, an adaptive flight planning capability is defined to automatically rank and select a nearby landing runway then construct a trajectory to that runway under the assumption that either a Dubins path solution could be found or that sufficient flight planning time exists to identify a landing trajectory via an optimal search over sequences of feasible trim states. The Dubins solver requires straight flight segments connected by turns, while the search-based algorithm might not guarantee a solution is identified within an acceptable time interval. We have previously defined a Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV) trajectory planner⁵ to efficiently guide a damaged aircraft to a chosen landing runway. With the goal of providing a computationally-efficient and provably-correct analogue to the Dubins solver, a sequence of alternating extreme turning arcs are generated that follow maximum and minimum radii paths, respectively, to enable a feasible landing trajectory to be generated even when straight flight is not possible.⁵ The sequence of alternating turning arcs requires only that the aircraft be capable of left or right turns of two different turning radii. The TDV solver analytically constructs a sequence of alternate turning arcs between initial state and the approach end of the landing runway. However, in our previous work we presumed transitions between turning arcs were instantaneous as a simplification consistent with the basic Dubins solution. We also have extended our TDV formulation in this paper to comprehensively handle cases in which initial and final waypoints are separated by arbitrarily large or small distances. In cases where performance is degraded to the extent that straight flight is not possible, it is likely the aircraft would require a nontrivial transition interval between the two constant-radius trim states sequenced in the landing trajectory. This paper mathematically describes the existence and the uniqueness of a sequence of alternating extreme turning arcs, and the transitions between alternate turning arcs for the TDV. Similar to our previous work, this sequence is developed to connect the initial state and the approach end of the landing runway, presuming constant altitude (2D) to simplify geometric analysis. Scenarios in which the aircraft cannot maintain straight flight can result from a variety of conditions such as structural damage (e.g., to a wing) or actuator failures (e.g., stuck, fully-deflected rudder or ailerons).

Other researchers have begun to design flight management architectures that will assist the pilot in decision-making during emergencies.⁶ Researchers have also studied the aircraft trajectory planning problem for a variety of applications, including recent work on sequencing circular segments to allow a laser to consistently track a target.⁷ The classic engine-out (loss-of-thrust) scenario was addressed in our previous work by an extension of a Dubins path solver¹ and has also been studied in the context of a turn-back landing cast in an optimal control framework.⁸ There also have been efforts to design multi-layer autonomous flight management systems for Unmanned Air Systems (UAS) such as the multi-layer intelligent control architecture.⁹ We have previously modeled emergency situations ranging from loss of thrust¹ to actuator failures² to a commercial transport with severe left wing damage.³ This work adopts the same framework as was introduced in our previous work,¹ as shown in Figure 1. In the presence of failures and/or damage, the emergency flight planner activates the Adaptive Flight Planner (AFP) that generates an alternative flight plan through a variable autonomy pilot interface and flight plan monitor. Within the AFP, a Landing Site Search (LSS) module determines a safe landing site, currently a runway deemed feasible based on length, width, wind conditions, etc.¹ Using an identified sufficient stable trim state set, the Segmented Trajectory Planner, inspired by the maneuver automaton,¹⁰ constructs a sequence of valid post-failure trim states to this landing site. This paper presents an analytic trajectory planner that guarantees the smooth transition for the TDV, and eliminates the requirement to use a computationally-intensive iterative solver. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the geometric constraints required to connect the initial turning flight segment with the final turn to touchdown by considering alternate turning arcs. Section III presents criteria by which a chosen landing site is feasible(reachable) with a TDV trajectory and the existence and the uniqueness of a sequence of alternate turning arcs. Section IV characterizes the minimum number of alternate turning arcs required to progress to the feasible runway. Section V illustrates the extension of the geometric constraints for a sequence of alternate turning arcs with transition. Examples of TDV trajectories with transitions are examined in Section VI.



Figure 1. Emergency Flight Management Architecture.

II. Geometric Analysis of a Sequence of Alternate Turning Arcs

We consider the Cartesian coordinate system XYZ fixed in an inertial frame where \hat{I} , \hat{J} , and \hat{K} are unit vectors fixed in the XYZ system. In previous work,⁵ we defined the concept of a Turning Dubins Vehicle as an extension of the Dubins path landing solution as follows:

Definition (Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV)) A Turning Dubins Vehicle is a planar vehicle that is constrained to move along paths of curvature bounded both above and below, without reversing direction and maintaining a constant speed.

Let $\sigma: [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a curve for the TDV that is twice differentiable for maneuver times $T \ge 0$, and let $\mathcal{C}(s)$ be a natural representation of σ with respect to c_1 where c_1 represents a center of the initial circular trajectory arc followed by the TDV. For TDV velocity \vec{V} and unit tangent $\vec{T} = \frac{\vec{V}}{\|\vec{V}\|}$, the curvature vector \vec{k} with respect to c_1 is defined as the rate of change of \vec{T} with respect to arc length s:

$$\vec{k} = \frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} = \frac{1}{\|\vec{V}\|} \dot{\vec{T}}$$
(1)

$$k = \|\vec{k}\| = \frac{1}{r}$$
 (2)

where r is the turning circle radius. Since $r_m \leq r \leq r_M$ where r_m is the minimum turning radius and r_M is the maximum turning radius, the magnitude of the curvature of σ is bounded above by $\frac{1}{r_m}$ and bounded below by $\frac{1}{r_M}$. Note that \vec{k} is orthogonal to \vec{T} . Let Σ represent the set of possible curves for the TDV, i.e., $\Sigma = \left\{ \sigma | k \in \left[\frac{1}{r_M}, \frac{1}{r_m} \right] \right\}.$ We use \mathcal{O} to denote a circle because our landing solution requires only left or right turns of two different

radii. Given a center c in \mathbb{R}^2 , a radius r, and a sign of the turning rate sgn $(\dot{\psi})$, let $\mathcal{O}(c, r, \text{sgn}(\dot{\psi}))$:

 $[0, T_{\mathcal{O}}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ represent a circle of radius r with center c and direction of motion $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right)$ where $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the maneuver time during \mathcal{O} and let Σ_c be the set of circular curves for the TDV as follows:

$$\Sigma_{c} = \left\{ \mathcal{O}\left(c, r, \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right) \mid r_{m} \leq r \leq r_{M}, \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} +1 & \operatorname{if} \dot{\psi} > 0\\ -1 & \operatorname{if} \dot{\psi} < 0 \end{array} \right\}$$
(3)

 \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_f in Σ_c represent the initial and final circular curves, respectively, and would formerly have represented the initial and final arcs from which a connecting (straight) tangent would have been computed for a Dubins path solution. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the set of possible reference circles having $\overline{c_1c_f}$ as a chord. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}} = \left\{ \mathcal{O}\left(O, r_r, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right) \mid \vec{r}_O = \frac{1}{2}\left(\vec{r}_{c_1} + \vec{r}_{c_f}\right) + \lambda\left(\cos\zeta_O\hat{I} + \sin\zeta_O\hat{J}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
(4)

where $\vec{r}_{c_1} \times \vec{r}_{c_f} = x_{c_1 \times c_f} \hat{I} + y_{c_1 \times c_f} \hat{J} + k_{c_1 \times c_f} \hat{K}$ and $\zeta_O = \arctan\left(-\frac{x_{c_f c_1}}{y_{c_f c_1}}\right)$ where $\vec{r}_{c_f c_1} = x_{c_f c_1} \hat{I} + y_{c_f c_1} \hat{J}$.



Figure 2. Reference Circle \mathcal{O}_r having the chord $\overline{c_1c_f}$

Note that there also exists a dual reference circle $\mathcal{O}'_r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$ because the direction of the unit vector representing the perpendicular bisector can be reversed, as shown in Figure 2. Additionally, a reference arc can be followed by alternating segments of two different turning radii that include a predefined safety factor sufficient for disturbance rejection.⁵ Let $\mathcal{O}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let r_r be a radius of a reference circle \mathcal{O}_r . Let δ_{if} represent the radian measure of the central angle corresponding to the chord of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\|$. Then

$$\delta_{1f} = 2 \arcsin\left(\frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\|}{2r_r}\right) \tag{5}$$

For a given center c in \mathbb{R}^2 and two given points p_i and p_f in \mathbb{R}^2 , let $a(c, p_i, p_f) : [0, T_a] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a circular arc connecting p_i and p_f with arc center c.Let c_0 be a point located on a chord of length $r_1 - r_2$ from c_1 in \mathcal{O}_r , and let p_0 be an intersection between \mathcal{O}_1 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_1c_0}$ from c_1 . The following theorem presents how to specify a sequence of two different turning radii for the TDV.

Theorem II.1 Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_1\left(c_1, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$, $\mathcal{O}_2\left(c_2, r_2, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_3\left(c_3, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ be in Σ_c with $r_1 > r_2$. If c_{i+1} is located on a chord of length $r_1 - r_2$ from c_i in \mathcal{O}_r and distinct from c_{i-1} for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are tangent at an intersection between \mathcal{O}_1 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$ from c_1 , and \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 are tangent at an intersection between \mathcal{O}_3 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_3c_2}$ from c_3 . Moreover, a central angle δ_{12} subtended by the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$ is given by:

$$\delta_{12} = 2 \arcsin \frac{r_1 - r_2}{2r_r} = 2 \arcsin \frac{k_r \left(r_1 - r_2\right)}{2} \tag{6}$$

where $k_r := 1/r_r$ is the curvature of the reference circle.

Proof Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume c_{i+1} is located on a chord of length $r_1 - r_2$ from c_i in \mathcal{O}_r and distinct from c_{i-1} . Let p_1 and p_2 be intersections between \mathcal{O}_1 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$ from c_1 , and between \mathcal{O}_3 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_3c_2}$ from c_3 , respectively. Since the distance between the centers of \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 is equal to the difference of the radii, \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are tangent at p_1 , and \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 are tangent at p_2 .¹¹ Let δ_{12} be the radian measure of the central angle corresponding to the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$. Since the perpendicular bisector of the chord passes through the center of the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r and the length of the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$ is $r_1 - r_2$, δ_{12} is given by Eq. 6.



Figure 3. Product of n arcs

Corollary II.2 Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_{2i-1}\left(c_{2i-1}, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$, $\mathcal{O}_{2i}\left(c_{2i}, r_2, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2i+1}\left(c_{2i+1}, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ be in Σ_c with $r_1 > r_2$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If c_{i+1} is located on a chord of length $r_1 - r_2$ from c_i in \mathcal{O}_r and distinct from c_{i-1} for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} and \mathcal{O}_{2i} are tangent at an intersection between \mathcal{O}_{2i+1} and a ray $\overrightarrow{c_{2i-1}c_{2i}}$, and \mathcal{O}_{2i} and \mathcal{O}_{2i+1} are tangent at an intersection between \mathcal{O}_{2i+1} and a ray $\overrightarrow{c_{2i+1}c_{2i}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the central angle subtended by the chord $\overrightarrow{c_1c_{2i}}$ is $(2i-1)\delta_{12}$.

Proof Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume c_{i+1} is located on a chord of length $r_1 - r_2$ from c_i in \mathcal{O}_r and distinct from c_{i-1} . Let p_{2i-1} and p_{2i} represent intersections between \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} and a ray $\overrightarrow{c_{2i-1}c_{2i}}$, and between \mathcal{O}_{2i+1} and a ray $\overrightarrow{c_{2i+1}c_{2i}}$. By Theorem II.1, \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are tangent at p_1 , and \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 are tangent at p_2 . Let the *n*th proposition be that \mathcal{O}_{2n-1} and \mathcal{O}_{2n} are tangent at p_{2n-1} , and \mathcal{O}_{2n} and \mathcal{O}_{2n+1} are tangent at p_{2n} . Suppose our *n*th proposition is true. Since chords $\overrightarrow{c_{2n+1}c_{2n+2}}$ and $\overrightarrow{c_{2n+2}c_{2n+3}}$ of the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r have length $r_1 - r_2$ by assumption, the (n+1)th proposition holds. By induction, \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} and \mathcal{O}_{2i} are tangent at p_{2i-1} , and \mathcal{O}_{2i} are tangent at p_{2i} for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

For i = 1, it is true by Theorem II.1 that the central angle subtended by the chord $\overline{c_1c_2}$ is given by Eq. 6. Suppose our *n*th proposition is true. Since the perpendicular bisector of the chord passes thought the center of the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r , and chords $\overline{c_{2n+1}c_{2n+2}}$ and $\overline{c_{2n+2}c_{2n+3}}$ of the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r have length $r_1 - r_2$ by assumption, Theorem II.1 holds for $\mathcal{O}_{2n+1}\left(c_{2n+1}, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$, $\mathcal{O}_{2n+2}\left(c_{2n+2}, r_2, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2n+3}\left(c_{2n+3}, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$. By assumption, $\overline{c_ic_{i+1}}$ is a chord of \mathcal{O}_r with length $r_1 - r_2$, and the central angle subtended by the chord $\overline{c_ic_{i+1}}$ is given by Eq. 6 for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a central angle subtended by the chord $\overline{c_1c_{2i}}$ is $(2i-1)\delta_{12}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

When we determine alternating segments of two different turning radii, the definition of a product of two arcs is used.¹² Let a_{2i-1} be a circular arc of \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} such that $a_{2i-1}(c_{2i-1}, p_{2i-2}, p_{2i-1}) : [0, T_{2i-1} - T_{2i-2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, and let a_{2i} be a circular arc of \mathcal{O}_{2i} such that $a_{2i}(c_{2i}, p_{2i-1}, p_{2i}) : [0, T_{2i} - T_{2i-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Since \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} and \mathcal{O}_{2i} are tangent at p_{2i-1} and $a_{2i-1}(T_{2i-1} - T_{2i-2}) = a_{2i}(0) = p_{2i-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by Corollary II.2, products

 b_i of two arcs, a_{2i-1} and a_{2i} , are defined as follows:

$$b_{i} = a_{2i-1} * a_{2i} = \begin{cases} a_{2i-1}(t - T_{2i-2}), & T_{2i-2} \le t \le T_{2i-1} \\ a_{2i}(t - T_{2i-1}), & T_{2i-1} \le t \le T_{2i} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where $T_0 = 0$. Since \mathcal{O}_{2i} and \mathcal{O}_{2i+1} are tangent at p_{2i} and $b_i (T_{2i} - T_{2i-2}) = b_{i+1}(0) = p_{2i}$ where $b_i = a_{2i-1} * a_{2i}$ and $b_{i+1} = a_{2i+1} * a_{2(i+1)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then we define a product of two products as :

$$b_i * b_{i+1} = \begin{cases} b_i(t - T_{2i-2}), & T_{2i-2} \le t \le T_{2i} \\ b_{i+1}(t - T_{2i}), & T_{2i} \le t \le T_{2i+2} \end{cases}$$
(8)

where $T_0 = 0$. Therefore, $b_1 * b_2 * \cdots * b_i$ is defined for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

III. Existence and Uniqueness of a Sequence of Alternate Turning Arcs

In this section, we present criteria by which we can guarantee a TDV path from an initial state to the chosen landing site exists and is unique. The TDV trajectory is defined with respect to a particular reference circle \mathcal{O}_r . Let a_r be an arc of the chord $\overline{c_1c_f}$ passing through c_i where $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, 2n\}$. Let $\{b_i \mid b_i = a_{2i-1} * a_{2i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ represent the set of products of alternate turning arcs for the TDV in $\mathcal{O}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that Corollary II.2 holds, denoted by \mathcal{B} . In the previous sections, \mathcal{B} follows an arc a_r of \mathcal{O}_r . After n sequences, however, the final circular curve \mathcal{O}_f and the 2nth circular curve \mathcal{O}_{2n} for the TDV are not guaranteed tangent at p_{2n} . The following theorem describes the feasibility condition about \mathcal{O}_r for the TDV to reach the selected runway.

Theorem III.1 Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid b_i = a_{2i-1} * a_{2i}, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ in \mathcal{O}_r such that Corollary II.2 holds. Let $\mathcal{O}_1\left(c_1, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_f\left(c_f, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ represent the initial and final circular curves, respectively. Then there exists a cyclic polygon with 2n edge of length $r_1 - r_2$ and a edge of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ if and only if r_r satisfies the horizontal feasibility condition :

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{1f} &= 2n\delta_{12} & \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} \le \pi \\
2\pi &= 2n\delta_{12} + \delta_{1f} & \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} > \pi
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\delta_{12} = 2 \arcsin\left(\left(r_1 - r_2\right)/2r_r\right)$ and $\delta_{1f} = 2 \arcsin\left(\left\|r_{c_f c_1}\right\|/2r_r\right)$. Therefore, the TDV can reach the selected runway.

Proof Assume there exists a cyclic polygon with 2n edge of length $r_1 - r_2$ and a edge of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$. Then, for each $i \in (1, 2, \dots, 2n-1)$, line segments $\overline{c_ic_{i+1}}$ and $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ are chords of length $r_1 - r_2$. By Corollary II.2, the central angle subtended by the chord $\overline{c_ic_{i+1}}$ is given by Eq. 6 for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ has length $r_1 - r_2$, a central angle of a_r is equal to $2n\delta_{12}$. By the definition of the reference circle, a line segment $\overline{c_1c_f}$ is a chord of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$, and the chord $\overline{c_{1}c_f}$ has a central angle $2\delta_{if}$. Suppose $2n\delta_{12} \leq \pi$. Then the arc a_r is a minor arc or a semicircle. Since the arc a_r has the chord $\overline{c_1c_f}$. Since the major and minor arc together make up the entire circle, r_r satisfies $2\pi = 2n\delta_{12} + \delta_{if}$.

Assume r_r satisfies the horizontal feasibility condition 9. Since Corollary II.2 holds, each center c_i is joined with the next center c_{i+1} by a chord of \mathcal{O}_r with length $r_1 - r_2$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\}$. By the definition of the reference circle, c_f is joined with c_1 by a chord of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$, and the central angle subtended by the chord c_1c_f is equal to δ_{if} . Suppose $2n\delta_{12} \leq \pi$. Then an arc of a_r is a minor arc or a semicircle. Since the arc a_r has the chord $\overline{c_1c_f}$, the arc a_r has a central angle $2n\delta_{12}$ by assumption. By Corollary II.2, the central angle subtended by the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a polygon with edge lengths $(r_1 - r_2, \dots, r_1 - r_2, \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|)$ because congruent central angles have congruent arcs and congruent arcs have congruent chords.¹³ Since all its vertices of the polygon lie on a circle, there exists a cyclic polygon with with edge lengths $(r_1 - r_2, \dots, r_1 - r_2, \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|)$. Suppose $2n\delta_{12} > \pi$. Then a_r is a major arc of the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with with edge lengths $(r_1 - r_2, \dots, r_1 - r_2, \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|)$. Suppose $2n\delta_{12} > \pi$. Then a_r is a major arc of the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with with edge lengths $(r_1 - r_2, \dots, r_1 - r_2, \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|)$. Suppose $2n\delta_{12} > \pi$. Then a_r is a major arc of the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with $2n \delta_{12} > \pi$. Then a_r is a major arc of the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with $2n \delta_{12} > \pi$. Then a_r is a major arc of the chord $\overline{c_{2n}c_f}$ is equal to δ_{12} . Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with $2n \delta_{12} > \pi$. Then $r_1 - r_2$ and an edge of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ because congruent central angles have congruent arcs have congruent arcs have cong

Corollary III.2 A cyclic polygon as defined above is convex.

Proof Suppose $2n\delta_{12} \leq \pi$. Then the horizontal feasibility condition is given by

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(2n\delta_{12} - \delta_{if} \right)$$
 (10)

The left-hand side of the horizontal feasibility condition represents the winding number of the polygon.¹⁴ A sequence of signs of the central angles in the right-hand side of the above equation is given by $(1, \dots, 1, -1)$. By the characterization theorem for the convex cyclic polygon,¹⁴ the cyclic polygon we defined above is also convex. Suppose $2n\delta_{12} > \pi$. Then the horizontal feasibility condition is given by

$$1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(2n\delta_{12} + \delta_{if} \right)$$
 (11)

In this case, the winding number of the polygon is equal to 1, and a sequence of signs of the central angles is given by $(1, \dots, 1)$. By the same theorem, ¹⁴ this cyclic polygon is convex.

Corollary III.3 A convex cyclic polygon as defined above is unique.

Proof In Theorem III.1, a convex cyclic polygon has 2n edges of length $r_1 - r_2$ and an edge of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$. To prove the uniqueness, we need to distinguish two cases, and it is enough to show that $r_1 - r_2$ is less than $(2n-1)(r_1-r_2) + \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ and $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ is less than $2n(r_1-r_2)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| > 0$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 1$, and thus $(2n-1)(r_1-r_2) + \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| > 0$. Therefore, $(2n-1)(r_1-r_2) + \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ and $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$.

 $\begin{array}{l} (2n-1)\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)+\left\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\right\| \text{ and } \|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\|.\\ \text{Suppose } 2n\delta_{12} \leq \pi. \text{ Then sin } (\delta_{if}/2) = \sin\left(n\delta_{12}\right) \text{ from the horizontal feasibility condition 9. Since } \\ 0 < \delta_{12}/2 < \pi/2, \sin\left(n\delta_{12}\right) < 2n\sin\left(\delta_{12}/2\right). \text{ Therefore, sin } (\delta_{if}/2) < 2n\sin\left(\delta_{12}/2\right). \text{ By Theorem II.1 and } \\ \text{the definition of the reference circle, } \left\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\right\|/(2r_{r}) < 2n\left[\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)/(2r_{r})\right]. \text{ Therefore, } \left\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\right\| < 2n\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right). \\ \text{Suppose } 2n\delta_{12} > \pi. \text{ Then sin } (\pi - \delta_{if}/2) = \sin\left(n\delta_{12}\right). \text{ Since we obtain the same identity as the case where } \\ 2n\delta_{12} \leq \pi, \left\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\right\| < 2n\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right). \\ \text{By the existence and uniqueness theorem for convex cyclic polygons,}^{14} \\ \text{such a cyclic polygon is unique.} \end{array}$

IV. Determination of the Minimum Number of Alternate Turning Arcs

Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$. We showed that if r_r satisfies the horizontal feasibility condition 9, then $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|$ is less than $2n(r_1 - r_2)$ where *n* is the number of alternate turning arcs. Since the radius of curvature for the TDV is in $[r_m, r_M]$, the difference between two radii of \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 has the minimum value. Let n_m represent the minimum value of *n* in \mathcal{B} for \mathcal{O}_r satisfying the horizontal feasibility condition. In the next theorem, we consider the minimum number of alternate turning arcs in \mathcal{O}_r satisfying the horizontal feasibility condition.

Theorem IV.1 Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid b_i = a_{2i-1} * a_{2i}, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ in \mathcal{O}_r such that Corollary II.2 holds. Let $\mathcal{O}_1\left(c_1, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_f\left(c_f, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ represent the initial and final circular curves, respectively. Suppose r_r satisfies the horizontal feasibility condition 9. Then $n_m = \lceil \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_f c_1}\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \rceil$ if $\frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_f c_1}\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \notin \mathbb{N}$, and $n_m = \lceil \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_f c_1}\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \rceil + 1$ if $\frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_f c_1}\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, there exists a cyclic polygon with $2n_m$ edges of length $r_1 - r_2$ and an edge of length $\|\vec{r}_{c_f c_1}\|$ where $r_1 = r_M$ and $r_2 = r_m$.

Proof Suppose r_r satisfies the horizontal feasibility condition 9. By Corollary III.3, $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| < 2n(r_1 - r_2)$. Since $r_1 > r_2$, $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| / [2(r_1 - r_2)] < n$. Let $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | n > \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| / [2(r_1 - r_2)]\}$. Since $n \ge 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set S is bounded from below. Since $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| < 2n(r_1 - r_2) > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n < \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| < 2n(r_1 - r_2) < n$.¹⁵ Therefore S is nonempty. Since $S \subset \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{R} is complete, the set S has $\inf S \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $m = \inf S$. Suppose $m \notin S$. Then m < s for all $s \in S$. We have that for $\epsilon_1 = 1$ there exists an $s' \in S$ such that m < s' < m + 1. Also, we have that for $\epsilon_2 = s' - m > 0$ there exists an $s'' \in S$ such that m < s' < m + 1, this contradicts the Peano Axioms.¹⁵ Therefore, $\inf S \in S$, so $\inf S = \min S$. If $\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| / [2(r_1 - r_2)]$ is not an integer, then $\min S = \lceil \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2}\rceil$ by the definition of the ceiling function.¹⁶ Suppose $n_s = \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| / [2(r_1 - r_2)]$ is an integer. Then $\min S = n_s + 1$ where
$$\begin{split} n_s &= \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} = \lceil \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \rceil \text{ because } S = \{n_s + 1, \, n_s + 2, \, n_s + 3, \cdots\}. \text{ Since } \left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\| \text{ is given, we compute the maximum value of } r_1 - r_2. \text{ Without loss of generality, let us assume that } r_1 = r_M. \text{ Since } r_m \leq r_2 < r_M, \\ r_1 - r_2 \leq r_M - r_m, \, n_m = \lceil \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \rceil \text{ if } \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \notin \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } n_m = \lceil \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \rceil + 1 \text{ if } \frac{\left\|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\right\|}{2r_1 - 2r_2} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ where } r_1 = r_M \\ \text{and } r_2 = r_m. \text{ By assumption, there exists a cyclic polygon with } 2n_m \text{ edges of length } r_1 - r_2 \text{ and an edge of length } \|\vec{r}_{c_fc_1}\| \text{ where } r_1 = r_M \text{ and } r_2 = r_m. \end{split}$$

Corollary IV.2 If circular curves of two distinct radii satisfy the condition:

$$r_1 = r_M \quad and \quad r_2 = r_m \tag{12}$$

then l_{12} has the maximum value for all \mathcal{O}_r .

Proof Let $\mathcal{O}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Then $l_{12} = r_r 2\delta_{12}$ where $\delta_{12} = 2 \arcsin\left(\left(r_1 - r_2\right)/2r_r\right)$. It is sufficient to determine the maximum value of δ_{12} for all r_r . Without loss of generality, let $r_1 = r_M$. Then $\delta_{12} = \arcsin\left(\left(r_M - r_2\right)/2r_r\right)$. Since the arc sine in δ_{12} is increasing for the sine angle ranging 0 to $\pi/2$ and $r_1 - r_2 \leq r_M - r_m$, δ_{12} has the maximum value for all r_r if $r_2 = r_m$. Therefore, l_{12} has maximum value for all r_r if $r_1 = r_M$ and $r_2 = r_m$. Note that since we consider all r_r , r_r depends on the two centers of the initial and final circular curves.

V. Geometric Analysis of the TDV Trajectory with Transitions

We consider two Cartesian coordinate systems: XYZ fixed in an inertial frame and xyz with origin c_1 located at the center of the first circular curve denoted by \mathcal{O}_1 . The x axis is taken to lie along a straight line $\overline{c_1p_0}$ in the direction of the point p_0 that represents the intersection between \mathcal{O}_1 and a ray of the chord $\overline{c_1c_0}$ from c_1 , and the y axis is perpendicular to the x axis in the lateral plane with direction determined by the right-hand rule. \hat{i} and \hat{j} are unit vectors in inertial x and y directions, respectively, as shown in Figure 4. For TDV velocity \vec{V} and unit tangent $\vec{T} = \frac{\vec{V}}{\|\vec{V}\|}$, let ψ represent the angle from unit vector \hat{i} to unit tangent \vec{T} . Then the unit tangent \vec{T} and the principal normal unit vector \vec{n} with respect to c_1 are given by

$$\vec{T} = \cos\psi\hat{i} + \sin\psi\hat{j} \tag{13}$$

$$\vec{n} = \begin{cases} -\sin\psi\hat{i} + \cos\psi\hat{j} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) \ge 0\\ \sin\psi\hat{i} - \cos\psi\hat{j} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0 \end{cases}$$
(14)

In the previous sections, we defined the set of products of alternate turning arcs in \mathcal{O}_r , denoted by \mathcal{B} , to determine a sequence connecting an initial displacement of the TDV with the approach end of the landing runway. The set \mathcal{B} includes elements b_i which is defined by connection of two different turning flight segments. To consider a nontrivial transition between constant-radius trim states, we extend a product of two different turning arcs to a product of a turning arc and a related transition arc in the next theorem. To smoothly change radius of curvature of a sequence of alternate turning arcs, we next define transitions for the TDV.

Definition (*TDV Transition*) A transition for the TDV is a smooth change in radius of curvature from r_1 to r_2 , or from r_2 to r_1 where r_1 and r_2 are in $[r_m, r_M]$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$.

Definition (*TDV Transition Arc*) Let p_i and p_f be in \mathbb{R}^2 , and r_1 and r_2 be in $[r_m, r_M]$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$. Then a transition arc for the TDV is a smooth curve connecting p_i and p_f with a rate of change of the radius of the curvature from r_1 to r_2 or from r_2 to r_1 , denoted by T_{12} or T_{21} , respectively, as follows:

$$\mathsf{T}_{12}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, p_i, p_f) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (15)

$$\mathsf{T}_{21}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}, p_i, p_f) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}] \to \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (16)

where $M_{T_{12}}$ and $M_{T_{21}}$ are rates of change of the radius of the curvature for the TDV with respect to s over T_{12} and T_{21} , respectively.

Let s_0 be the initial natural parameter of σ . At s_0 , the TDV starts its first circular turning segment. Let s_1 , $s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}$, s_2 , and $s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$ represent natural parameters of σ over the first TDV turning sequence including transition

arcs. Figure 4 illustrates TDV turning sequences, including an example time history of curvature radius illustrating our piecewise linear transition model. Note that the instantaneous transition case would be depicted with infinite transition slope, or transition slope may be sufficiently low to preclude reaching the designated minimum turn radius. The following theorem guarantees the existence and uniqueness for a space curve of $a_1 * T_{12} * a_2 * T_{21}$ where two transitions connect the first and the second circular turning segments a_1 and a_2 , respectively.



(a) Alternate Turning Arcs with Transition when sgn $(\dot{\psi}) > 0$ (b) Alternate Turning Arc

(b) Alternate Turning Arcs with Transition when sgn $(\dot{\psi}) < 0$



(c) Radius of Curvature for the TDV on $s_0 \leq s \leq s_{T_{21}}$



Theorem V.1 Let \mathcal{O}_r be in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_1\left(c_1, r_1, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2\left(c_2, r_2, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ be in Σ_c with $r_1 \neq r_2$. Let a_1 be a circular arc of \mathcal{O}_1 with center c_1 such that $a_1(c_1, p_0, p_1) : [0, T_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ where $\vec{r}_{p_0} = \vec{r}_{c_1} + \mathcal{C}(s_0)$, and $\vec{r}_{p_1} = \vec{r}_{c_1} + \mathcal{C}(s_1)$. Let a_2 be a circular arc of \mathcal{O}_2 with center c_2 such that $a_2(c_2, p_2, p_3) : [0, T_2 - T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ where $\vec{r}_{p_2} = \vec{r}_{c_1} + \mathcal{C}(s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}})$ and $\vec{r}_{p_3} = \vec{r}_{c_1} + \mathcal{C}(s_2)$. Suppose $M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}$ is constant and $M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = -M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}$. If the radius of curvature for the TDV, r(s), is given by:

$$r(s) = \begin{cases} r_1 & \text{if } s_0 \le s \le s_1 \\ M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(s - s_1) + r_1 & \text{if } s_1 \le s \le s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \\ r_2 & \text{if } s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \le s \le s_2 \\ M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}(s - s_2) + r_2 & \text{if } s_2 \le s \le s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \end{cases}$$
(17)

then the curvature k(s) is continuous on $s_0 \leq s \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$. Therefore, there exists one and only one $a_1 * \mathsf{T}_{12} * a_2 * \mathsf{T}_{21}$ having the curvature k(s) along σ where $\mathsf{T}_{12}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, p_1, p_2) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} - T_1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on $[s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$ and

 $\mathsf{T}_{21}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}, p_3, p_4) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - T_2] \to \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ on } [s_2, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}].$

Proof Assume the radius of curvature for the TDV, r(s), satisfies Eq. 17 on $[s_0, s_{T_{21}}]$ as shown in Figure 4(c). r(s) is the reciprocal of the curvature, thus the curvature of σ is given by:

$$k(s) = \frac{1}{r(s)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r_1} & \text{if } s_0 \le s \le s_1 \\ \frac{1}{M_{\tau_{12}}(s-s_1)+r_1} & \text{if } s_1 \le s \le s_{\tau_{12}} \\ \frac{1}{r_2} & \text{if } s_{\tau_{12}} \le s \le s_2 \\ \frac{1}{M_{\tau_{21}}(s-s_2)+r_2} & \text{if } s_2 \le s \le s_{\tau_{21}} \end{cases}$$
(18)

¿From the Serret-Frenet equations of a plane curve, 17 \vec{T} and \vec{n} along curve $\mathcal{C}(s)$ with respect to c_1 satisfy

$$\frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} = k\vec{n} \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{l\vec{n}}{ls} = -k\vec{T} \tag{20}$$

If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0$, then $\frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} = \frac{d\psi}{ds}\left(-\sin\psi\hat{i} + \cos\psi\hat{j}\right) = \frac{d\psi}{ds}\vec{n}$ and $\frac{d\vec{n}}{ds} = -\frac{d\psi}{ds}\left(\cos\psi\hat{i} + \sin\psi\hat{j}\right) = -\frac{d\psi}{ds}\vec{T}$ from Eqs. 13 and 14. If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$, then $\frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} = \frac{d\psi}{ds}\left(-\sin\psi\hat{i} + \cos\psi\hat{j}\right) = -\frac{d\psi}{ds}\vec{n}$ and $\frac{d\vec{n}}{ds} = \frac{d\psi}{ds}\left(\cos\psi\hat{i} + \sin\psi\hat{j}\right) = \frac{d\psi}{ds}\vec{T}$ from Eqs. 13 and 14. The heading angle of σ then satisfies

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \int kds + \psi_{\mathcal{C}} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0\\ -\int kds + \psi_{\mathcal{C}} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0 \end{cases}$$
(21)

where ψ_C represents a constant of integration in Eq. 21 along σ . Let ψ_0 be an initial heading angle of the TDV with respect to c_1 . Then $\psi_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ if $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0$, and $\psi_0 = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ if $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$. Let $\psi_1, \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, \psi_2$, and $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$ represent the constants of integration in Eq. 21 along σ over segments $[s_0, s_1]$, $[s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$, $[s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, s_2]$, and $[s_2, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$, respectively, with respect to c_1 . Substituting Eq. 18 into Eq. 21 and integrating with respect to s:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{If sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) &> 0, \text{ then} \\ \psi\left(s\right) &= \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} k d\tau + \psi_{C} \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{s_{0}}^{s} \frac{1}{r_{1}} d\tau + \psi_{0} = \frac{1}{r_{1}} \left(s - s_{0}\right) + \psi_{0} & \text{if } s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{1} \\ \int_{s_{1}}^{s} \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(\tau - s_{1}) + r_{1}} d\tau + \psi_{1} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}} \ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(s - s_{1}) + r_{1}}{r_{1}}\right) + \psi_{1} & \text{if } s_{1} \leq s \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \\ \int_{s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}^{s} \frac{1}{r_{2}} d\tau + \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} = \frac{1}{r_{2}} \left(s - s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}\right) + \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} & \text{if } s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \leq s \leq s_{2} \\ \int_{s_{2}}^{s} \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}(\tau - s_{2}) + r_{2}} d\tau + \psi_{2} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} \ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}(s - s_{2}) + r_{2}}{r_{2}}\right) + \psi_{2} & \text{if } s_{2} \leq s \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$

If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$,

$$\begin{split} \psi\left(s\right) &= \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} -kd\tau + \psi_{C} & \text{if } s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{1} \\ & \int_{s_{0}}^{s} -\frac{1}{r_{1}}d\tau + \psi_{0} = -\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left(s - s_{0}\right) + \psi_{0} & \text{if } s_{0} \leq s \leq s_{1} \\ & \int_{s_{1}}^{s} -\frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{2}}(\tau - s_{1}) + r_{1}}d\tau + \psi_{1} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}}\ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(s - s_{1}) + r_{1}}{r_{1}}\right) + \psi_{1} & \text{if } s_{1} \leq s \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \\ & \int_{s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}^{s} -\frac{1}{r_{2}}d\tau + \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} = -\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(s - s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}\right) + \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} & \text{if } s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \leq s \leq s_{2} \\ & \int_{s_{2}}^{s} -\frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}(\tau - s_{2}) + r_{2}}d\tau + \psi_{2} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}\ln\left(\frac{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}(s - s_{2}) + r_{2}}{r_{2}}\right) + \psi_{2} & \text{if } s_{2} \leq s \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \end{split}$$

10 of 18

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

where $s_0 = 0$ and $\psi_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{\psi}) > 0\\ \frac{3\pi}{2} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{\psi}) < 0 \end{cases}$. Let $E_{\mathsf{T}_0} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)$. Since $s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} - s_1 = \frac{r_2 - r_1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}$ and

 $s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - s_2 = \frac{r_1 - r_2}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}}$ from Eq. 17, heading angles over s in terms of ψ_1 and ψ_2 from Eqs. 22 and 23 are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} &= E_{\mathsf{T}_0} + \psi_1 \\ \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} &= E_{\mathsf{T}_0} + \psi_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0 \quad \text{, and} \qquad \begin{aligned} \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} &= -E_{\mathsf{T}_0} + \psi_1 \\ \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} &= -E_{\mathsf{T}_0} + \psi_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0 \tag{24}$$

where $\psi_1 = \psi(s_1)$, $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} = \psi(s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}})$, $\psi_2 = \psi(s_2)$, and $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \psi(s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}})$. Since $\vec{T} = \frac{d\mathcal{C}(s)}{ds}$ and $\vec{T} = \cos\psi\hat{i} + \sin\psi\hat{j}$, the natural representation $\mathcal{C}(s)$ of σ with respect to c_1 is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(s) = \int_{s_0}^s \vec{T} ds + \mathcal{C}_C \tag{25}$$

where C_C is a constant vector of integration along σ with respect to c_1 . By the definition of the transition arc, let $\mathsf{T}_{12}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, p_1, p_2) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} - T_1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on $[s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$, and $\mathsf{T}_{21}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}, p_3, p_4) : [0, T_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - T_2] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on $[s_2, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$ where $\vec{r}_{p_4} = \vec{r}_{c_1} + \vec{r}(s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}})$. Then the products of two arcs,⁵ including $a_1 * \mathsf{T}_{12}$ and $a_2 * \mathsf{T}_{21}$, are defined, and thus we define a product of two products as follows:

$$b_{1} = a_{1} * \mathsf{T}_{12} * a_{2} * \mathsf{T}_{21} = \begin{cases} a_{1}(t) & 0 \le t \le T_{1} \\ \mathsf{T}_{12}(t - T_{1}) & T_{1} \le t \le T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \\ a_{2}(t - T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}) & T_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \le t \le T_{2} \\ \mathsf{T}_{21}(t - T_{2}) & T_{2} \le t \le T_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \end{cases}$$
(26)

where a_1 , T_{12} , a_2 , and T_{21} are defined by the natural representation $\mathcal{C}(s)$ of σ on $[s_0, s_1]$, $[s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$, $[s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, s_2]$, and $[s_2, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$, respectively.

When we prove that r(s) is continuous on $[s_0, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$, we use the $\epsilon - \delta$ property of continuity.¹⁵ Let $\epsilon > 0, s_{1_a} \in [s_0, s_1]$, and $\delta = \epsilon$. Then $|s - s_{1_a}| < \delta$ implies $|r(s) - r(s_{1_a})| = |r_1 - r_1| = 0 < \epsilon$ because r(s) is a constant function on $[s_0, s_1]$. Therefore, the radius of curvature for the TDV, r(s), is continuous on $[s_0, s_1]$, and also on $[s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}, s_2]$. Let $s_{\mathsf{T}_{12_a}} \in [s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$ and $\delta = -\frac{\epsilon}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}$. Then $|s - s_{\mathsf{T}_{12_a}}| < \delta$ implies $|M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(s - s_1) + r_1 - (M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}(s_{\mathsf{T}_{12_a}} - s_1) + r_1)| = -M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}|s - s_{\mathsf{T}_{12_a}}| < \epsilon$. Therefore, the radius of curvature for the TDV, r(s), is continuous on $[s_1, s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}]$, and also on $[s_2, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$ because $M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} = -M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$. Since $\lim_{s \to s_1+} r(s) = r_1$ by the definition of transition arc T_{12} , $\lim_{s \to s_1-} r(s) = \lim_{s \to s_1+} r(s)$, and then $\lim_{s \to s_1} r(s) = r_1$. Similarly, $\lim_{s \to s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}} r(s) = r_2$, and $\lim_{s \to s_2} r(s) = r_2$ from the definitions of T_{12} and T_{21} . Therefore, the radius functions on any interval in \mathbb{R} such that $g(s_a) \neq 0$ for all s_a in the interval, then $\frac{f}{g}$ is continuous on the interval.¹⁵ Since $r_2 \leq r(s) \leq r_1$ for all $s \in [s_0, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$, the curvature of σ is continuous on $[s_0, s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}]$. By the fundamental existence and uniqueness theorem for space curves,¹⁷ there exists one and only one $a_1 * \mathsf{T}_{12} * a_2 * \mathsf{T}_{21}$ having the curvature k(s) along σ .

From sufficient condition 17 in Theorem V.1, $s_{T_{12}} \leq s_2$. From Eqs. 22 and 23,

$$|\psi_2 - \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}| \ge 0 \tag{27}$$

Substituting Eq. 24 and using $E_{\mathsf{T}_0} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} \ln \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} \right)$, we have the following constraints on $M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$:

$$\frac{2\ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)}{|\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \psi_1|} \le M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \tag{28}$$

If the rate of change of the radius of curvature for the TDV with respect to s does not satisfy this constraint on $M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$, then $\psi_2 - \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} < 0$ if sgn $(\dot{\psi}) > 0$, and $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} - \psi_2 < 0$ if sgn $(\dot{\psi}) < 0$. As a result, $s_2 \leq s_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}$, and thus the TDV must reverse direction or the alternate arc $2\pi - |\psi_2 - \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}|$ about a_2 should be considered. From the definition of the TDV, however, the TDV cannot reverse direction. We assume that $M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$ is greater than or equal to $2\ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right) / |\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \psi_1|$.

11 of 18

Let $\mathcal{O}_r \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}}$. Consider $b_1 = a_1 * \mathsf{T}_{12} * a_2 * \mathsf{T}_{21}$ in Eq. 26, as shown in Figure 4 (a) and (b). Let $\mathcal{O}'_2\left(c'_2, r'_2, sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)\right)$ be in Σ_c where $r_2 \leq r'_2 \leq r_1$ and let a'_2 be a circular arc of \mathcal{O}'_2 such that $a'_2\left(c'_2, p_1, p'_2\right)$: $[0, T'_2 - T_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose $a_1 * a'_2$ in \mathcal{O}_r such that Theorem II.1 holds, and p_4 in the transition arc $\mathsf{T}_{21}\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}, p_3, p_4\right)$ is located at p'_2 such that if $2\delta'_{12} \leq \pi$,

$$\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \psi_0 + 2\pi + 2\delta'_{12} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)}{sgn\left(k_{c_1 \times c_f}\right)} > 0 \tag{29}$$

$$\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \psi_0 + 2\pi - 2\delta'_{12} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{sgn\left(\psi\right)}{sgn\left(k_{c_1 \times c_f}\right)} < 0 \tag{30}$$

If $2\delta'_{12} > \pi$

$$\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \psi_0 + 2\pi - 2\delta_{12}' \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right)}{sgn\left(k_{c_1 \times c_f}\right)} > 0 \tag{31}$$

$$\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \psi_0 + 2\pi + 2\delta'_{12} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{sgn\left(\psi\right)}{sgn\left(k_{c_1 \times c_f}\right)} < 0 \tag{32}$$

where $\delta'_{12} = 2 \arcsin \frac{r_1 - r'_2}{2r_r}$ and $\psi_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0\\ \frac{3\pi}{2} & \text{if } \operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0 \end{cases}$. From Eq. 25, the natural representation of σ with respect to c_1 at $s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$ is given by: if $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \left[M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_1 - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \right] \hat{i} \\ + \left[M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \right] \hat{j} (33)$$

If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \left[M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \right] \hat{i} \\ + \left[M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_1 - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \right] \hat{j} (34)$$

where

$$E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^{1}} = \frac{r_{2}}{1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}^{2}} \left[\sin E_{\mathsf{T}_{0}} + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \cos E_{\mathsf{T}_{0}} - \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}} M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \right]$$
(35)

$$E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} = \frac{r_2}{1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}^2} \left[\cos E_{\mathsf{T}_0} - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} \sin E_{\mathsf{T}_0} - \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right]$$
(36)

$$E_{\mathsf{T}_0} = \frac{1}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}} \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right) \tag{37}$$

We then obtain the following simultaneous equations:

If $sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0$,

$$M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_1 - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = r_2' \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + (r_1 - r_2') \sin \psi_1 \tag{38}$$

$$M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} \\ = -r_2' \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + (r_2' - r_1) \cos \psi_1 \tag{39}$$

12 of ${\color{red}18}$

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

If $sgn\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$,

$$M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \sin \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = -r_2' \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} - (r_1 - r_2') \sin \psi_1$$

$$(40)$$

$$M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_1 - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \cos \psi_1 + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + \left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 \right) \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = r_2' \cos \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} + (r_1 - r_2') \cos \psi_1 \tag{41}$$

Suppose $\psi_{T_{21}} = 5\pi/2 - 2\delta'_{12}$. Then $\psi_1 = 3\pi/2 - \delta'_{12}$. Substituting into Eqs. 38 and 41 and using trigonometric identities,

$$\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2'\right)\cos\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2}\cos\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} = -M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}\cos\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2}\sin\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} \tag{42}$$

$$\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2'\right)\cos\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2}\sin\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} = -M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}\sin\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2}\sin\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} \tag{43}$$

Summing the obtained equations

$$\left[\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2' \right) \cos \frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} \right] \left(\cos \frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} + \sin \frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} \right) = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2'\right)\cos\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}\sin\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \cos\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} + \sin\frac{3\delta_{12}'}{2} = 0 \tag{44}$$

Lemma V.2 If $\cos \frac{3\delta'_{12}}{2} + \sin \frac{3\delta'_{12}}{2} = 0$, then there exists an \mathcal{O}'_2 such that the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r is a semicircle and n = 1.

Proof Assume $\cos \frac{3\delta'_{12}}{2} + \sin \frac{3\delta'_{12}}{2} = 0$. Then $\delta'_{12} = \pi/2$ and $r'_2 = r_1 - \sqrt{2}r_r$ because $0 < \delta'_{12} < \pi$ from the definition of δ'_{12} . By the horizontal feasibility condition 9, $\delta_{if} \in \{n\pi \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if $2n\delta'_{12} \leq \pi$, and $\delta_{if} \in \{\pi - n\pi \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ if $2n\delta'_{12} > \pi$. Since $0 < \delta_{if} \leq \pi$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_{if} = \pi$ and n = 1 if f $2n\delta'_{12} \leq \pi$. Therefore, the reference circle is a semicircle, and $r_r = \|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\|/2$. Then $r'_2 = r_1 - \sqrt{2}\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\|/2$, and $2(r_1 - r'_2) = \sqrt{2}\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\| > \|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\|$. By the existence and uniqueness theorem for convex cyclic polygons,¹⁴ such a cyclic polygon is unique. Therefore, \exists a changed \mathcal{O}'_2 such that the reference circle is a semicircle and n = 1.

If
$$\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2'\right)\cos\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} + M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}\sin\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} = 0$$
, then
 $\tan\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} + \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} + \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}}\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} = 0$
(45)

because $0 < \delta'_{12} < \pi$. Substituting the horizontal feasibility condition 9 into the above equation, we obtain the horizontal feasibility condition for the TDV with transition

$$\tan \frac{\delta_{if}}{4n} + \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} \sin \frac{\delta_{if}}{4n} + \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} = 0 \quad \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} \le \pi$$
$$\tan \frac{\pi - \delta_{if}}{4n} + \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} \sin \frac{\pi - \delta_{if}}{4n} + \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} = 0 \quad \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} > \pi \tag{46}$$

Suppose $\psi_{T_{21}} = 5\pi/2 + 2\delta'_{12}$. Then $\psi_1 = 3\pi/2 + \delta'_{12}$. Following a similar process using the trigonometric identities,

$$\left[\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2' \right) \cos \delta_{12}' - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2} \sin \delta_{12}' \right] \left(\cos 3\delta_{12}' + \sin 3\delta_{12}' \right) = 0 \tag{47}$$

13 of ${\color{red}18}$

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

If $\left(M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1 - r_2'\right)\cos\delta_{12}' - M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}\sin\delta_{12}' = 0$, then $\delta_1' = E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}} - 2r_1 = \delta_1'$

$$\tan\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} - \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}} - \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}}\frac{\delta_{12}'}{2} = 0$$
(48)

because $0 < \delta'_{12} < \pi$. Substituting the horizontal feasibility condition 9 into the above equation, we obtain the horizontal feasibility condition for the TDV with transition

$$\tan \frac{\delta_{if}}{4n} - \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} \sin \frac{\delta_{if}}{4n} - \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} = 0 \quad \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} \le \pi$$
$$\tan \frac{\pi - \delta_{if}}{4n} - \frac{2r_r}{M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} \sin \frac{\pi - \delta_{if}}{4n} - \frac{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1}}{E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^2}} = 0 \quad \text{if } 2n\delta_{12} > \pi \tag{49}$$

Suppose $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = 7\pi/2 + 2\delta'_{12}$. Then $\psi_1 = 5\pi/2 + \delta'_{12}$. We obtain the same horizontal feasibility condition for the TDV with transition as defined in Eq. 46. If $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = 7\pi/2 - 2\delta'_{12}$, we obtain the same horizontal feasibility condition for the TDV with transition as specified in Eq. 49. In order to determine the radius of the reference circle \mathcal{O}_r , we determine the minimum number of alternate turning arcs in \mathcal{O}_r . In our previous work,⁵ the reference arc representing a straight line was used to compute the minimum number of alternate turning arcs with transition in \mathcal{O}_r . As λ goes to $-\infty$ in Eq. 4, the arc a_r goes to a straight line. Therefore, we use the number of alternating turning arcs referenced to the straight line connecting c_1 and c_f as the minimum number n_m . With this direct (straight) reference line, the heading angles of the TDV with respect to c_1 at s_1 and $s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}$ are given from Eqs. 29 to 32 by: If $\operatorname{sgn}\left(\dot{\psi}\right) > 0$

If sgn $(\dot{\psi}) \ge 0$,

$$\psi_1 = \frac{3\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \frac{5\pi}{2}$$
(50)

If sgn
$$\left(\dot{\psi}\right) < 0$$
,
 $\psi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\psi_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = -\frac{\pi}{2}$
(51)

Also, p_4 in the transition arc $\mathsf{T}_{21}(M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}}, p_3, p_4)$ lies on the straight line. Then the *y* component of $\mathcal{C}(s_{\mathsf{T}_{21}})$ is equal to 0. Using this information and following a similar procedure to obtain the horizontal feasibility condition for the TDV with transition,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = \left(2M_{\mathsf{T}_{12}}E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} + r_1\right)\hat{i} \tag{52}$$

Note that we obtain the same $C_{T_{21}}$ regardless of the travel direction because of the straight line. In Theorem IV.1, the difference $r_1 - r_2$ between two radii is important when we determine the minimum number of alternate turning arcs for the TDV without transition. Therefore, the difference $r_1 - r'_2$ in alternate turning arcs with transition is given by:

$$r_1 - r_2' = M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} E_{\mathsf{T}_{12}^1} \tag{53}$$

Substituting into the equation of n_m in Theorem IV.1, n_m for the TDV with transition is given by:

$$n_{m} = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\|}{2M_{\tau_{21}}E_{\tau_{12}}} \right\rceil & \text{if } \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\|}{2M_{\tau_{21}}E_{\tau_{12}}} \notin \mathbb{N} \\ \left\lceil \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\|}{2M_{\tau_{21}}E_{\tau_{12}}} \right\rceil + 1 & \text{if } \frac{\|\vec{r}_{c_{f}c_{1}}\|}{2M_{\tau_{21}}E_{\tau_{12}}} \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$
(54)

VI. Example Landing Trajectories Possible with the TDV Solution

A series of TDV solutions are presented in this section to illustrate the properties of a TDV solution over different distances, turning directions, and transition speeds. Unless otherwise indicated, the landing site is JFK Runway 31L at latitude $40.6398^{\circ}N$, longitude $73.7789^{\circ}W$. For all cases we presume a minimum turn rate magnitude of 2.5 deg/sec and maximum turn rate magnitude of 7.5 deg/sec with a true airspeed of 225 ft/sec. Since this paper focuses on lateral plane TDV solutions, vertical flight path is not discussed.

Figure 5 illustrates a series of TDV paths with different transition rates. The TDV initial state is latitude $40.69^{\circ}N$, longitude $73.72^{\circ}W$, heading 210° . In this case $r_M = 5148.6315$ ft, $r_m = 1716.2105$ ft, and $\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\| = 27076.9137$ ft. Turning direction is clockwise (negative turn rate) as shown from an initial state p_0 to final state at JFK 31L. The leftmost subfigures, (a), (d), and (g), provide overhead views of the lateral TDV paths for the three subcases. The center subfigures show radius of curvature versus traversal distance s over a single TDV sequence, illustrating rapid (b), moderate (e), and slow (h) transition rates. The rightmost subfigures show how heading changes for these three cases over single TDV sequence. Parameter values for cases (a)-(c), (d)-(f), (g)-(i), respectively, are given by: $M_{T_{21}} = 8, 1.5, 0.75, r_r = 47518.64, 15289.08, 15283.68$ ft, $r'_2 = 1717.22, 1829.61, 2380.38 ft$. As shown, the Figure (a) path minimally varies from the TDV path without transitions since the transitions are rapid. As the transitions slow, progress along the reference circle over each sequence diminishes, resulting in increased number of sequences from the minimum no-transition value of 4 sequences to 5 in subfigures (d)-(f) to 6 in subfigures (g)-(i).



Figure 5. TDV Trajectories to the JFK 31L

Figure 6 illustrates dual solutions over the two reference circle options available to the TDV solver for the same initial and final positions but different headings. In subfigure (a), the initial and final headings are the same as for the Figure 5 cases, with negative (clockwise) turn rate. For subfigure (b) the initial heading is the same (210) but the final landing site is JFK 13R rather than JFK 31L to provide a counterexample

with positive (counterclockwise) turn rate. In both these cases, the distance between initial and final states is relatively large, resulting in multiple turning sequences ($n_m=5$ for subfigure (a), $n_m=4$ for subfigure (b)).



Figure 6. TDV Trajectories to the JFK 31L for $M_{T_{21}} = 1.5$

Figure 7 illustrates TDV solutions for situations in which the initial and final states are in close proximity relative to the TDV turning radii. In subfigure (a), travel direction is negative, and a single TDV sequence connects initial and final state. In subfigure (b), travel direction is positive, and the pair of solutions about the two possible reference circles requires two TDV turning sequences to reach JFK 31L. In both subfigures, the initial state has latitude $40.64^{\circ}N$, longitude $73.77^{\circ}W$, and heading 210° , with airspeed for this case set to 250 knots. These conditions result in $r_M = 5722.3935$ ft, $r_m = 1907.4645$ ft, and $\|\vec{r}_{c_1c_f}\| = 9968.2462$ ft. We presume transition rate $M_{\mathsf{T}_{21}} = 1.5$ in this figure. r_r is defined conditionally as $r_r = \{43740ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) < 0; 79534ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) > 0\}$ for subfigure (a) and $r_r = \{26214ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) < 0; 217.9ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) > 0\}$ for subfigure (a) and $r'_2 = \{2228ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) < 0; 1946ft, sgn(k_{c_i \times c_f}) > 0\}$ for subfigure (b).



Figure 7. TDV Trajectories to the JFK 31L for $M_{T_{21}} = 1.5$

VII. Conclusions and Future Work

This paper has presented an analytic trajectory planning method in which minimum and maximumradius turning flight segments are sequenced and connected with analytically-derived smooth transitions. A solution, described as a Turning Dubins Vehicle (TDV) path, is analytical in nature thus can be generated without substantial computational overhead. Given initial and final positions and headings, the TDV solver generates a reference circle that connects these states. We have shown the resulting solution exists and is unique over the comprehensive set of possible initial and final states in the lateral plane. A set of feasible landing trajectories comprised of alternating maximum and minimum radius turning radius segments can be used to guide an aircraft autonomously or used as a "geometric advisor" to the flight crew. To promote safety through a minimum-length but feasible solution, the minimum number of turning sequences for the TDV is determined, and we have shown that a path following the associated reference circle is feasible and has a minimum-length flight path. This paper extends a traditional Dubins path solver to cases in which straight flight is not possible, providing a computationally-efficient alternative to optimization or searchbased solvers. Emphasis in this paper is placed on definition of the TDV and analytically incorporating realistic transitions between minimum and maximum radius turning arcs.

In this paper, we have derived a 2-D TDV trajectory, i.e., a constant-altitude path. In future work, the sequence of alternate turning arcs must be extended to three-dimensional space that also manages airspeed and flight path angle to ensure longitudinal plane (and coupled) performance constraints are also satisfied. A steady descent flight path angle may be sufficient in some damage situations, although large-magnitude descents to the landing site will generally require extending the minimum-length TDV solution by inserting additional turning sequences. Flight performance envelope degradation may also require a more complex coupling between lateral and longitudinal path, as would be the case if flight path and airspeed constraints differ for minimum versus maximum radius turning arcs. We plan to focus on a full 3-D TDV implementation in near-term future work and incorporate this solver into a realistic flight management environment to enable evaluation of appropriate pilot interfaces as well as applicability to identified severe damage and failure scenarios.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank collaborator Robert Sanner from the University of Maryland for his valuable insights and contributions to the adaptive flight planner. This research was supported in part under the NASA Aviation Safety program, Integrated Resilient Aircraft Control (IRAC) NRA Cooperative Agreement NNX08AB93A.

References

¹Atkins, E., Portillo, A., and Strube, M., "Emergency Flight Planning applied to Total Loss of Thrust," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 43, No. 4, Jul.-Aug. 2006, pp. 1205–1216.

²Strube, M., Sanner, R., and Atkins, E., "Dynamic Flight Guidance Recalibration after Actuator Failure," Proceedings of the 1st AIAA Intelligent Systems Technical Conference, Chicago, Illinois, Sep. 2004.

³Tang, Y., Atkins, E., and Sanner, R., "Emergency Flight Planning for a Generalized Transport Aircraft with Left Wing Damage," Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference, Hilton Head, South Carolina, Aug. 2007.

 $^4 {\rm Zhao},$ Y. and Tsiotras, P., "Mesh Refinement Using Density Function for Solving Optimal Control Problems," AIAA Infotech@Aerospace Conference, Seattle, Washington, Apr. 2009.

⁵Choi, H. and Atkins, E., "An Analytic Trajectory Planner for Aircraft with Severe Damage or Failures," Proceedings of the AIAA Infotech@Aerospace Conference, Seattle, Washington, Apr. 2009.

⁶Chen, T. and Pritchett, A., "Development and Evaluation of a Cockpit Decision-Aid for Emergency Trajectory Generation," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 38, No. 5, Sep.-Oct. 2001, pp. 935–943.

⁷Saunders, J., Beard, R., and McLain, T., "Obstacle Avoidance Using Circular paths," Proceedings of the AIAA Guidance, Navigation, and Control Conference, Hilton Head, South Carolina, Aug. 2007.

⁸Brinkman, K. and Visser, H., "Optimal Turn-Back Maneuver after Engine Failure in a Single-Engine Aircraft during Climb-Out," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers - Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, Vol. 221, No. 1, 2007, pp. 17–27.

⁹Boskovic, J., Prasanth, R., and Mehra, R., "A Multi-Layer Autonomous Intelligent Control Architecture for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," *AIAA Journal of Aerospace Computing, Information, and Communication*, Vol. 1, Dec. 2004, pp. 605–628.

¹⁰Frazzoli, E., Dahleh, M. A., and Feron, E., "Maneuver-based motion planning for nonlinear systems with symmetries," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, Vol. 21, No. 6, Dec. 2005, pp. 1077–1091.

¹¹Ramsay, A. and Richtmyer, R. D., Introduction to Hyperbolic Geometry, Volume 1994, Sringer, 1995.

¹²Massey, W., A Basic Course in Algebraic Topology, Springer, 1997.

¹³Alexander, D. C. and Koeberlein, G. M., *Elementary Geometry for College Students*, Houghton Mifflin, 1999.

¹⁴Pinelis, I., "Cyclic polygons with given edge lengths: Existence and uniqueness," *Journal of Geometry*, Vol. 82, No. 1-2, Aug. 2005, pp. 156–171.

¹⁵Ross, K. A., *Elementary Analysis: The Theory of Calculus*, Springer Science & Business, 8th ed., 2003.

¹⁶Boyd, S. and Vandenberghe, L., *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

¹⁷Prástaro, A. and Rassias, T. M., Geometry in Partial Differential Equations, World Scientific, 1994.