I. Introduction

I want to talk about two problems in grand unification and indicate their solutions. These are the decoupling problem and the gauge hierarchy problem. They are interrelated. This work has been performed with Kazama and Unger.

As is well-known, in grand unification, we assume the existence of a symmetry $G$, such that some scalar acquires a big vacuum expectation value $V$ and then subsequently another scalar develops a vacuum expectation value $v$ to bring the symmetry to $SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times (SU(2) \times U(1))_{\text{EM}}$.

$$G \rightarrow G' \rightarrow SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times (SU(2) \times U(1))_{\text{EM}}$$

Now, the problems are:

1. Gauge hierarchy issue: There are two aspects:
   (a) why is $V >> v$. We have no deep answer for this. It is in our opinion the same kind of question "why is $m_\mu >> m_e$?". They are just input parameters.
   (b) To us, a more serious problem is "can we define a light sector"? In other words, can we maintain light particles to have small mass to all orders in perturbation without fine tuning. The answer is yes, to all orders in coupling expansion.

2. Decoupling theorem: Having said that we know how to separate particles naturally into light and heavy sectors, we may ask "does there exist an effective local Lagrangian theory, such that we can use it to reproduce all the light particle physical matrix elements at energy and $|p_i| << M$? The answer is again yes, to all orders of perturbation in couplings, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(g, M, m, \nu) \rightarrow M \ (1 \ \text{light particle irreducible})$$

$$\mathcal{L}^\star (g^\star, m^\star, \nu) \rightarrow M^\star \ (1 \ \text{particle irreducible})$$
we can show that

\[ \Gamma_n = \frac{2n}{2} + \frac{1}{M^2} \]

\[ \phi^+ = g^+ (\phi \ln M/\mu) \]

\[ m^+ = m f (\phi \ln M/\mu) \]

Besides, we know how to calculate, to all orders in $g$, $g^+$ and $m^+$ via improved perturbation, i.e. renormalization group equation. The operator structure of $\mathcal{L}^{*}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ by deleting all terms involving heavy fields.

Note that we do our physics in the low energy region throughout. We consider $\ln M/\mu$ effects to be radiative correction due to heavy particles. This is in contradistinction to some other people's attitudes, in which they boost up the energy of the external particles and devise methods so that their effective theories, which may not be local, will join smoothly with the full theory.

II. Model

We need a model to make my statements more concrete. Let me now be more specific. Let us consider an $O(3)$ gauge model with two scalar triplets

\[ \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^- - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^- - e A_{\mu}^+ \times A_{\nu}^+)^2 \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi^1 - e A_{\mu}^+ \times \phi^1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi^2 - e A_{\mu}^+ \times \phi^2)^2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi^1 \phi^1 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \phi^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \phi^1 \phi^1 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \phi^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \phi^1 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_4 (\phi^1 \phi^2)^2 \]

We assume that $\phi^1, \phi^2 > 0$ so that there is a lower bound for the potential. Now, we shall assume that the vacuum is unstable, such that

\[ \phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + \phi \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} v_2 + \phi \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \]
with \( v_1 \gg v_2 \) (renormalized \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are input parameters). The other parameters are adjusted to make the potential reach its absolute minimum.

At the tree level, we have \( \lambda_4 > 0 \) and

\[
\max \left( \frac{-\lambda v^2}{11}, \frac{-\lambda v_2^2}{22} \right) < \lambda_3 < \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}
\]

The minimum vacuum conditions are

\[
\begin{align*}
-m &= \lambda v_1^2 + \lambda v_2^2 \\
-m &= \lambda v_1^2 + \lambda v_2^2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

In this way, \( m \) and \( m \) are determined parameters. In fact, we can show that a perturbation series can be organized such that \( m \) and \( m \) never appear in any calculation, to all orders.

Let us now talk about the spectrum which will bring out another problem which must be treated in discussing decoupling. We find that \( \sigma \) and \( \phi \) mix.

The mass eigenstates are

\[
\begin{align*}
H &= \sigma \cos \theta - \phi \sin \theta \\
h &= \sigma \sin \theta + \phi \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\]

with masses

\[
\begin{align*}
m_H &= 2(\lambda v_1^2 + (\lambda / \lambda) v_2^2) \\
\text{Heavy} \\
m_h &= 2(\lambda - \lambda^2 / \lambda) v_2^2 \\
\text{Light} \\
\sin \theta &= -\lambda v_3^2 / (\lambda v_1^2)
\end{align*}
\]

Now, we quantize the theory in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{2a} \left( \partial_{\mu} A_{1} - a \nu_{2} \psi_{3} \right)^{2} \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2a} \left( \partial_{\mu} A_{2} - a \nu_{1} \psi_{3} \right)^{2} \]
\[ -\frac{1}{2a} \left( \partial_{\mu} A_{3} - a \nu_{1}(\pi_{2} - \nu_{2} \psi_{1}) \right)^{2} \]
\[ + \mathcal{L}_{\text{ghost}} \]
\[ a_{\text{tree}} = 1 \]

Then we have the following spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Particles</th>
<th>(mass)²</th>
<th>would be Goldstone partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_{1} )</td>
<td>( e^{2} \nu )</td>
<td>( \psi_{3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{2} )</td>
<td>( e^{2} \nu )</td>
<td>( \pi_{3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{3} )</td>
<td>( e^{2}(\nu + \nu_{1}) )</td>
<td>( \xi = (\nu_{1} \pi_{2} - \nu_{2} \psi_{1})/(\nu + \nu_{1}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>( 2(\lambda_{1} \nu + (\lambda_{2} \nu \nu_{1}) )</td>
<td>( 2(\nu_{1} \pi_{2} \nu_{1})/(\nu + \nu_{1}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>( 2(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}) \nu_{1} )</td>
<td>( 2(\nu_{2} \nu_{1})/(\nu + \nu_{1}) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The light particles are \( A_{1}, h, \) and \( \psi_{3} \) and the ghost of \( A_{1} \). Let us generically call these tree (masses)² m². Our solution to the gauge hierarchy problem is

\[ m^{2} = m^{2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=\text{loop}}^{n_{1}} [a_{i} (\xi_{i} \nu / \mu) + b_{i} (\xi_{i} \nu / \mu)^{2} + \ldots]) \]

to all orders.

Now h and H will mix further when we carry out the loop expansion. We shall devise a set of Green's functions, such that mixing is automatically taken into account. To illustrate the natural choice, let us consider h-h
scattering. In the full theory we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^n (p_1) &= \frac{Z^n}{2} \Gamma^* n (p_1) + O \left( \frac{1}{\nu_1^2} \right) \\
1\text{LPI} &\quad 1\text{PI}
\end{align*}
\]

\[\nu_1 \ll M\]

So, the Green's functions in the full theory that we want are the one light particle irreducible Green's functions. The decoupling theorem that we can prove to all orders in coupling expansion is the following

\[
\Gamma^n (p_1) = \frac{Z^n}{2} \Gamma^* n (p_1) + O \left( \frac{1}{\nu_1^2} \right)
\]

in which \( \Gamma^n \) is calculated via the full Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}(g, \lambda, \nu_1, \nu_2, \mu, \alpha) \), while \( \Gamma^* \) is calculated from a light Lagrangian, the operator structure of which is that obtained when all heavy fields in \( \mathcal{L} \) are all deleted. The coupling, mass and gauge parameters are all functions of \( g, \lambda, \nu_1, \nu_2, \mu \) and \( \alpha \).

\[
\mathcal{L}^*_{\text{light}} = \mathcal{L}^* (g^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*, \mu, \alpha^*)
\]

\[
g^* = g^* (g, \lambda, \alpha, \ln \frac{\nu^2}{\mu^2})
\]

\[
\nu^* = \nu_2 \mathcal{L}(g, \lambda, \alpha, \ln \frac{\nu^2}{\mu^2})
\]

\[
\lambda^* = \lambda^* (g, \lambda, \alpha, \ln \frac{\nu^2}{\mu^2})
\]

\[
\alpha^* = \alpha^* (g, \lambda, \alpha, \ln \frac{\nu^2}{\mu^2})
\]

We do minimal subtraction in both theories.
III. Methodology

How do we prove such results?

(1) We first show that given any diagram with heavy internal lines, the corresponding integral can be rearranged so that the $O(1)$ terms all have the heavy lines shrunken into vertices with no more than 4 light lines entering and/or leaving. The rest are negligible. This establishes the local renormalizable nature of the effective vertices. In fact, we can identify what these effective vertices are in relation to the light Lagrangian. However, a more economical way to show what the resulting local theory ensues is via BRS identities.

(2) We can show that the relevant BRS identities in the full theory $(v_1 \gg |p_1|, v_2) \cdot O(2)$ spontaneously broken BRS identities.

Thus, the limit of this $O(3)$ theory is just the Abelian Higgs model in its asymmetrical phase. Besides, only $v_2$ appears explicitly in the resulting identities, which is a confirmation of the stability of gauge hierarchy.

To illustrate the first part, it is best to give an example. Consider a three point function

\[
I = M^2 \int d^4 \ell \frac{1}{(\ell + p_1)^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{(\ell - p_2)^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{\ell^2 + \lambda^2}
\]

we define

shrinking a (sub) graph to a point

$\equiv$ setting all external momenta which go into/out of this graph to zero

$\equiv$ localization
There are two (sub)graphs which contain a heavy line.

1.

\[
\text{shrink operator } \tau_1 \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu^2} = \frac{1}{\mu^2}
\]

2.

\[
\text{shrink operator } \tau_2 \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu^2} \frac{1}{(k+p_1)^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{(k-p_2)^2 + m^2} = \frac{1}{k^2 + \mu^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2}
\]

Then we have an identity

\[
= (1-\tau_2)(1-\tau_1) \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \end{array} \right] + (1-\tau_2) \left[ \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c} \tau_2 \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right]
\]

Note that the resulting integrals are automatically renormalized. Only the second and third terms, which have been localized, contribute to order 1. The first term is negligible.

The proof of reduction of BRS identities depends heavily on power counting.

IV. Renormalization Group Equations

I want to devote the remainder of the discussion to the renormalization group equations which will be used to perform leading \( \ln \) sum of the dependence on \( \ln \frac{v_1^2}{\mu^2} \) of the effective parameters. As I said earlier,
we stay in low energy region to do our physics. Now when we work with the full theory, we have the renormalization group equation

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \gamma_v \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln v_1} + \gamma_p \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \gamma_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \alpha} - n\gamma) \Gamma^n &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\beta_g = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} g, \; \gamma_v = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln v_1, \text{ etc.,}
\]

where \( \Gamma^n \) has only light external lines.

When we work with the effective theory, we have

\[
(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta^* \frac{\partial}{\partial g^*} + v^* \gamma^* \frac{\partial}{\partial v^*} + \gamma^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln v^*} + \alpha^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \alpha^*} - n\gamma^*) \Gamma^n = 0
\]

Now, we put the decoupling equation, which is

\[
\Gamma^n = 2n/2 \Gamma^n + 0 \left( \frac{1}{M^2} \right)
\]

into the renormalization group equation of the full theory and demand that the resulting equation agree with that from the effective theory. We obtain many relations, which are basically chain rules for differentiation. For example

\[
\beta^*(g^*) = (\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_g \frac{\partial}{\partial g^*} + \gamma_v \frac{\partial}{\partial v^*} + \gamma_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \alpha^*}) g^*
\]

This rather humble equation in fact allows us to sum up the leading contributions. For example, returning to \( O(3) \) model, we have for the gauge coupling,

\[
e^* = e f(e^2 \ln v_1^2/\mu^2) + e^3 f'(e^2 \ln v_1^2/\mu^2) + ...
\]

\( e \) is considered to be small and \( e^2 \ln v_1^2/\mu^2 \) is \( O(1) \). In leading order

\[
e^* \approx e f(e^2 \ln v_1^2/\mu^2)
\]
\[ \beta^*(e^*) \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{e^3}{16\pi^2} \]

\[ \beta(e) \approx -\frac{20}{3} \frac{e^3}{16\pi^2} \]

we have an equation

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \right) e^* = \beta^*(e^*) \]

or

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \right) (-\frac{24\pi^2/e^2}{e^2}) = 1 \]

To solve this equation, we define a new running coupling constant with respect to the full theory where \( \kappa = \ell_n \nu_1/\mu \).

\[ \frac{d}{dk} e = \beta(e) \]

The solution is

\[ \frac{24\pi^2}{e^*^2} = \frac{24\pi^2}{e^2} + \ell_n \nu_1/\mu \]

or

\[ e^*^2 = \frac{e^2}{1 + \frac{7}{16\pi^2} \ell_n \nu^2_1 / \mu^2} \]

This result, when generalized to an appropriate group, agrees with solutions of other people.

In conclusion, we have shown that

(a) Gauge hierarchy is a non-issue, by which we mean that there is no need for fine tuning to separate out the light sector. Once we divide heavy and light
sectors at the tree level, then at every loop order only \( g^2 \ln v_1^2 / \mu \) corrections contribute to the light effective parameters.

(b) We have a decoupling theorem, i.e. there exists a light Lagrangian, 
\[ \mathcal{L}(g^*, \lambda^*, v^*, \alpha^*, \mu), \]
which can be used to deal with low energy physics. Besides, the dependence of \( g^*, v^*, \lambda^*, \alpha^* \) on the parameters in the full theory \( (g, \lambda, v_1, v_2, \alpha) \) can be determined by staying in low energy regions. There exist natural renormalization group equations to sum up the \( \ln v_1^2 / \mu \) powers.
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