Dental enamel forms in two stages. During the secretory stage, enamel mineral ribbons initiate on the surface of mineralizing dentin. Each ribbon appears to elongate by the incremental addition of amorphous calcium phosphate (1) at a mineralization front along the secretory surface of ameloblasts (2). This process requires the secretion of amelogenin (3, 4), ameloblastin (5, 6), enamelin (7, 8), and matrix metalloproteinase 20 (MMP20) (9, 10). By the end of the secretory stage, the enamel layer has achieved its final thickness, but is hypomineralized and still contains accumulated organic matrix comprised largely of enamel protein cleavage products. During the subsequent maturation stage, ameloblasts secrete kallikrein-related peptidase 4 (KLK4) (11, 12) and resorb the residual organic matrix. The enamel layer hardens as the crystallites formed during the secretory stage grow in width and thickness until they come into contact with adjacent crystallites and interlock (13). Thus, two secreted proteases – MMP20 and KLK4 – are necessary for proper dental enamel formation (14).

To gain a better understanding of the roles played by MMP20 and KLK4 in dental enamel formation, we used backscatter scanning electron microscopy to characterize enamel mineralization in incisors from 9-wk-old wild-type, Klk4 null, and Mmp20 null mice, and in developing pig molars. Backscattered scanning electron microscopy images can distinguish tissues that vary in their degree of mineralization because the quantity of high-energy backscattered (reflected) electrons increases as the atomic numbers of the atoms in the specimen increases. The more highly mineralized a tissue is, the more calcium numbers of the atoms in the specimen increases. The more highly mineralized a tissue is, the more calcium (atomic number = 20) there is to scatter electrons. As the atomic number increases, the quantity of high-energy backscattered (reflected) electrons increases as the atomic numbers of the atoms in the specimen increases. The line was apparent in Klk4 null mice, but absent in Mmp20 null mice. Enamel in the Klk4 null mice matured normally in the maturation stage reached a similar density. The line was apparent in Klk4 null mice, but absent in Mmp20 null mice. Enamel in the Klk4 null mice matured normally at the DEJ.

null mice. Enamel in the Klk4 null mice because of hypomineralization at the DEJ.
microscopy images that fell within one of five ranges of gray intensity. All areas in a range were filled with the same colour, regardless of whether they were at the top or the bottom of the range. Some caution must be exercised while interpreting these images. The colorization highlights, but potentially exaggerates, differences in degrees of mineralization, so impressions gained from the colour images need to be reassessed by examining the gray-scale scanning electron microscopy images. There is also the potential for edge effects where there is contact between high- and low-reflecting surfaces, so that the edges of cracks appear to be hypermineralized, and early events at the dentino–enamel junction (DEJ), before the enamel layer is sufficiently mineralized to backscatter electrons, must be interpreted with caution.

Fig. 1. Backscatter scanning electron microscopy longitudinal section of the mandible of a 9-wk-old wild-type mouse. Left column: backscatter scanning electron microscopy images. Right column: five-colour gray-scale level colour mapping of the images shown on the left, using ImageJ, with black, white, blue, orange, and red showing increasing levels of mineral density. All images are oriented so that the apical end is at the left and the incisal end is at right; the incisor dentin is above and the enamel below. (A, B) Low-magnification mosaic of backscatter scanning electron microscopy images. Four arrows indicate the approximate positions of the subsequent high-magnification images. (C, D) Apical end of incisor. A thin white (left image) or red (right image) line is evident on the dentin surface facing the enamel, which is not sufficiently mineralized to backscatter electrons. (E, F) Early secretory stage. An artifactual separation of the dentin and enamel layers is present, causing a minor ‘edge effect’. The line on the dentin side is stronger, suggesting that it is more than just an edge effect. (G, H) End of secretory stage. Mineralization in enamel appears to be greatest near the dentino–enamel junction (DEJ) and the enamel surface, with the intervening enamel being slightly less mineralized. The hypermineralized line is at the same location (at the DEJ), as noted in the more apical segments, but is more prominent and there is now no question of an edge effect. (I, J) Maturation stage. The enamel layer has increased in mineralization so that the DEJ no longer appears hypermineralized with respect to the rest of the enamel layer.
Material and methods

Tooth specimens

All procedures involving animals were reviewed and approved by the University Animal Care Unit Committee (UACUC) at the University of Michigan. First and second mandibular and maxillary molars were obtained from 6- and 9-month-old pigs as they were processed at the Michigan State University Meat Laboratory. Mouse mandibles were dissected from 9-wk-old C57BL/6 wild-type, Klk4 null (12), and Mmp20 null (10) mice. Human bicuspids from a 14-yr-old patient were obtained after being extracted for orthodontic reasons at the University Hospital (Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

Scanning electron microscopy

Tissue processing: 9-wk-old mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane and fixed by cardiac perfusion. Blood was cleared from the vasculature using lactated Ringer’s solution (30–45 s) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (135 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na2HPO4, 1.4 mM Na2H2PO4; pH 7.3) for 20 min. The mouse mandibles were removed and stripped of soft tissue. The soft tissue was also removed from the human and pig teeth, and all were fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and washed in PBS 3x (every 0.5–1 h) with one overnight wash at 4°C.

Embedding: The pig and human teeth and the mouse mandibles were dehydrated in 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 100% acetone, for 30 min each (twice for 100% acetone), and then infiltrated with Epon (Ted Pella, Redding, CA, USA)/acetone [1:1, volume by volume (v/v)] overnight. The next morning, the specimens were infiltrated with Epon/acetone (2:1, v/v) followed by Epon/acetone (3:1, v/v) in the afternoon. On the third day, infiltration was performed with fresh Epon/acetone (3:1, v/v) in the morning and with 100% Epon in the afternoon. On the fourth day, the specimens were infiltrated with pure Epon in the morning, degassed under a vacuum for 4 h, labelled, incubated at room temperature for 1 h, and allowed to polymerize for 3 d at 58°C.

Polishing: The Epon blocks containing the embedded specimens were trimmed with a coarse rotary diamond wheel on a Model 650 Low Speed Diamond Wheel Saw (South Bay Technology, San Clemente, CA, USA) and then sectioned along the plane to be examined using a fine diamond wheel. Some mouse mandibles were radially cross-sectioned to examine the continuously growing mandibular incisor at 1-mm increments. The cut Epon blocks were placed face down in a 25-mm Seriform mounting cup (Struers, Ballerup, Denmark), and the plastic support was thickened by the addition of castolite AC (Woodstock, IL, USA) and polymerized at room temperature overnight. The blocks were polished with successively finer grades (400, 600, and 800) of silicone carbide paper (South Bay Technology) followed by 16 h of polishing with 1.0 micro alumina abrasive on a syntron-vibrating polisher. The polished block surface was cleaned by sonication and air-dried.

Imaging: The polished surface was coated in carbon and examined at $\times$50 magnification in a Cameca SX-100 Electron Microprobe Analyzer (Madison, WI, USA) using the backscatter mode at a beam current of 15 kV and 10 nA. Images were captured at a working distance of 9 mm, with minor adjustments to focus. A computer-controlled stage and associated software were used to create montages of large block faces. Five-level colour mapping was performed using ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) on TIFF images that were normalized to have the same mean gray-level intensities for mineralized bone. For pseudo colourisation of the images, gray levels 1–57 were assigned as black, 58–97 as white, 98–162 as blue, 163–192 as orange, and 193–255 as red. These settings were saved in a look-up table and applied to all images in the study. For the comparative backscatter scanning electron microscopy imaging, a calibration standard was not used; instead, the intensity of backscatter from the bone surrounding the tooth was used for calibration. Differences in bone density among the samples would have caused similar variations in the apparent densities of enamel and dentin in the samples being compared.

Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis

Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS) is a method for micro-analyzing the elemental constituents within a target region of a sample being characterized by scanning electron microscopy. The electron beam is focused on the target region, which ionizes elements and replaces the lost electrons with higher-energy electrons that emit X-rays in the process. By analyzing the emitted X-rays, the elemental composition of the sample can be determined. EDS was collected by the Cameca SX-100 Electron Microprobe Analyzer equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray detector at 15.0 kV.

Fig. 2. Radiograph (upper image) showing the approximate positions of incisor cross-sections on a mouse mandible at 9 wk, and a backscatter scanning electron microscopy image (lower image) of a cross-section sliced at position 6. In Fig. 3 we show incisor backscatter scanning electron microscopy images that we estimate to be from sections 2, 4, and 6 shown in the upper image of this figure, although the level of precision might have varied by as much as 1 mm.
Results

The continuously erupting rodent incisor is a particularly useful model for studying tooth mineralization because all stages of enamel formation are displayed linearly, with early events at the apical end and late events at the incisal tip. We examined the apical half of the 9-wk mouse mandibular incisor in longitudinal sections (Fig. 1) and in cross-sections (Figs 2, 3) by scanning electron microscopy in the backscatter mode. At the

![Fig. 3. Backscatter scanning electron microscopy images from 9-wk-old wild-type, kallikrein-related peptidase 4 (Klk4) null, and matrix metalloproteinase 20 (Mmp20) null mouse incisor cross-sections. The cross-sections (from left to right) are from sectional positions 2 (late secretory), 4 (early maturation), and 6 (mid-maturation) of the mouse incisor, as shown in Fig. 2. The row immediately below each panel of backscattered scanning electron microscopy images shows five-colour mapping of the image above, with black, white, blue, orange, and red representing increasing levels of mineralization. (A) Wild-type mouse. Note that ameloblasts produce a backscatter image as though they are mineralized. This phenomenon is associated with the accumulation and subsequent transportation of iron into the enamel. (B) Klk4 null mouse. The enamel layer in late secretory stage is comparable with that of the wild-type mouse with respect to thickness, and maturation of the enamel appears normal on the surface, but is progressively undermineralized with depth. Backscattering of electrons from mid-maturation ameloblasts is detected, but appears to be less than in wild-type mice. (C) Mmp20 null mouse. At the late secretory stage an initial mineral layer without the texture of rod/inter-rod enamel covers dentin, and no hypermineralized line at the dentino–enamel junction (DEJ) is observed. During early maturation, a second mineralized layer appears to be deposited on top of the first layer. The deeper part of the inner layer appears to mature slowly, perhaps in part because of the retention of enamel proteins. The increase in mineral density of the superficial portion of the inner layer is uneven (orange and blue mineral densities). Unlike in the formation of normal enamel where the full thickness of the enamel layer is established in the secretory stage and does not increase later, the mineral layer covering dentin in the Mmp20 null mice thickens during the maturation stage, particularly in areas where mineralized nodules form. The backscatter images were normalized to have the same mean gray-level intensity as the alveolar bone at the level of the cemento–enamel junction.]
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apical end of the incisor, dentin alone generates a backscatter image, which shows increases in the intensity and the thickness of dentin in the apical-to-incisal direction (Fig. 1C,D). The intensity was greatest along a line on the dentin surface at the DEJ, although in the most apical section it was not clear if this line was caused by a higher degree of mineralization or an edge effect, as the adjacent enamel layer was not sufficiently mineralized to backscatter electrons (Fig. 1C,D). Moving incisally, the dentin layer showed a steady increase in thickness and still showed a distinctly strong signal along the DEJ, as the deepest part of the enamel layer became discernible (Fig. 1E,F). At the next level the full thickness of the enamel layer was apparent and achieved a density similar to that of the underlying bulk dentin (Fig. 1G,H). However, the highly mineralized line at the DEJ was still evident and had thickened, which is clearly not an edge effect as the adjacent dentin and enamel are both sufficiently mineralized to backscatter electrons. Eventually the entire enamel layer became highly mineralized and the line along the DEJ could no longer be distinguished (Fig. 1I,J).

We also used backscatter scanning electron microscopy to assess the relative levels of mineralization in mouse mandibular incisor cross-sections for wild-type, Klk4 null, and Mmp20 null mice (Figs 2, 3). The approximate positions of the cross-sections in the 9 wk developing incisor are shown in Fig. 2. The highly mineralized line at the DEJ, apparent in the longitudinal sections (Fig. 1) was also evident in the secretory stage (section 2) cross-sections from the wild-type (Fig. 3A) and Klk4 null (Fig. 3B) mouse incisors, but was reduced and discontinuous in the Mmp20 null mouse incisor (Fig. 3C). Early maturation enamel (section 4) in the incisor from the wild-type mouse was highly mineralized, but speckled with areas of reduced mineral density. The spots corresponded to places where the enamel rods were cut in cross-section and part of the electron beam passed through inter-rod enamel, which is less mineralized than rod enamel at this stage. Secretory-stage enamel (section 2) in the Klk4 null mouse looked similar to that of the wild-type mouse. The Klk4 null mouse maturation-stage sections looked similar to those of the wild-type at the surface only, with the enamel showing progressively less mineralization with depth. The maturation deficit in the Klk4 null mice was predominantly manifested as an inability to mineralize the deeper enamel.

The enamel from the Mmp20 null mouse displayed two well-delineated layers, neither of which resembled wild-type enamel (Fig. 3C). The layer covering dentin was deposited during the secretory stage. It appeared to be fairly uniform in thickness (25–30 μm), but did not have...
a speckled pattern characteristic of rod/inter-rod organization, and did not progressively mineralize during the maturation stage. The outer layer was irregular in thickness and appeared to form largely in the maturation stage. A major feature of this second layer was mineralized surface nodules that varied greatly in their dimensions. The outer layer became progressively more mineralized during the maturation stage, but not in all areas.

A surprising finding in the wild-type incisors was discernible backscatter from maturation-stage ameloblasts. The EDS results suggested that this signal was caused by the accumulation and subsequent transport of iron, which gives the mouse incisors their yellowish-brown colour (Fig 4). Although iron was the main element present at the site of soft-tissue backscatter, calcium and phosphate were also detected, and further studies are required to understand this phenomenon. Discernible backscatter from the maturation ameloblast layer was reduced in the Klk4 null mouse and at the lowest level in the Mmp20 null mouse.

We examined a developing (unerupted) second molar from 9-month-old pigs, which had completed the secretory stage but had a crown at varying stages of maturation (Fig. 5A,B): the enamel was progressively less mature from the direction of the cusp tip to the cervical margin. As in the mouse incisors, a well-demarcated, highly mineralized layer was observed at the DEJ, which could no longer be distinguished after the overlying enamel reached a similar level of mineralization (Fig. 5 C,D). In the porcine first molar at 6 months, which is more advanced developmentally and already erupted, the enamel was fully mature and highly mineralized in all areas (Fig. 6, 6C). Maturation of the porcine enamel layer was more advanced with depth and occurred latest at the enamel surface. The mineral density of the enamel layer in the erupted first molar of the 9-wk-old mouse was not the same in all areas, but seemed to be highest near the DEJ and enamel surface and sometimes less mineralized in the middle (Fig. 6A). We examined a human bicuspid from a 14-yr-old patient, but mineralization was already complete in all areas of the crown (Fig. 6D). Thus, a highly mineralized layer at the DEJ is a temporary feature that initially appears on the dentin surface during the secretory stage and is apparent until the adjacent enamel layer matures to a similar level of mineralization.

**Discussion**

In this study we used backscatter scanning electron microscopy to examine the progressive mineralization of developing teeth. An important finding was that we were able to trace the origins of the thin, highly mineralized layer along the DEJ to the secretory stage of development and confirmed earlier observations that the highly mineralized line at the DEJ is present in wild-type and Klk4 null mice, but not in the Mmp20 null mice (15). We rejected the hypothesis that this highly mineralized layer is an artifact (edge effect) because it is greatly reduced in Mmp20 null mice, which produce normal dentin, and would be expected to show the same edge effect as the other mice. In fact, the faint interrupted line in the Mmp20 null mice appears to show how small the edge effect is at the outer dentin surface. Additional evidence that the highly mineralized line along the DEJ is more than an edge effect is the observation that it does not disappear when the enamel layer achieves a mineral density similar to that of dentin (when there is no ‘edge’), but instead becomes thicker and more obvious.

A highly mineralized layer at or above the DEJ in developing porcine teeth has long been recognized (16).
Previously, it was proposed that this layer hypermineralizes because odontoblasts secrete KLK4 through their processes at the DEJ (17). However, in Klk4 null mice, the coding region for KLK4 was replaced with a LacZ reporter, and histochemical analyses clearly demonstrated that KLK4 is not normally expressed by mouse secretory-stage ameloblasts or by the odontoblasts beneath them (18). These data strongly suggested that KLK4 is not present during formation of the DEJ in mice. It is also unlikely that Klk4 expression patterns vary significantly between pigs and mice. Accumulated amelogenins (19–21) and ameloblastins (22, 23) in porcine secretory-stage enamel are cleaved at the same sites that are generated by MMP20 in vitro, so KLK4 does not make its presence felt sufficiently in terms of its contribution to accumulated cleavage products to support the argument that KLK4 is active in porcine secretory-stage enamel. We conclude that MMP20 is critically important for the formation of a normal DEJ (24), while KLK4 is not. This conclusion is also supported by the observation that enamel fractures at the DEJ in Mmp20 null mice, but in the enamel layer above the DEJ in the Klk4 null mice (12, 18). The apparent reason for Klk4 null enamel fracturing deep within the enamel layer is caused by the progressive failure of enamel maturation with depth, so that the weakest part is just above the DEJ. However, it is not clear why the enamel is able to mature at the surface without KLK4. We suspect that continued expression of MMP20 and endocytosis by ameloblasts combine to remove proteins from the surface enamel, but that KLK4 may be necessary to break up aggregates of accumulated enamel protein cleavage products so that they can return to the ameloblast for endocytosis.

The continued characterization of Mmp20 null mice increases appreciation for its central role in DEJ and enamel formation. MMP20-catalyzed cleavages are critical for development of a highly mineralized dentin surface during early crown formation and for subsequent organization of the enamel layer. The mineral bilayer that forms over dentin in Mmp20 null mice bears little resemblance to true enamel and is capped by mineralized...
nodules. Our current interpretation of events in the Mmp20 null mouse is that during the secretory stage a 25–30 μm defective mineralized layer is deposited on top of the DEJ and contains abundant uncleaved enamel proteins. During the maturation stage, ions that normally contribute to the maturation of crystallites deposited during the secretory stage cannot penetrate the inner mineral layer and instead precipitate as a second layer on top of the first. In wild-type mice, Mmp20 catalyzed cleavages are necessary to hypermineralize the DEJ, maintain the mineralization front where enamel crystals elongate, cleave enamel proteins to promote their reabsorption by ameloblasts, and to sustain the ameloblast Tomes’ process, potentially through a mechanism involving the cleavage of E-cadherin (25).

The disturbances of enamel formation observed in the various knockout mice affect ameloblasts more than might have been predicted by the classical view that ameloblasts create a well-defined extracellular space conducive for mineralization and secrete enamel proteins to project control over that mineralization from a distance. The process of enamel formation requires the formation of a mineralization front along the ameloblast cell membrane to generate mineral ribbons. Sustaining the mineralization front requires the secretion, processing, selective accumulation, and removal of organic matrix, and also requires continuous cell–matrix interactions to adjust for the constantly changing requirements of mineral ribbon deposition and elongation. Enamel formation requires an ameloblast Tomes’ process to establish rod/inter-rod organization and the coordinated movement of ameloblasts to produce the decussating rod architecture and to thicken the enamel layer. Without proper enamel formation, ameloblasts cannot sustain their phenotype, so the process of enamel formation requires proper differentiation of ameloblasts and then the process becomes more physiologically (responding to matrix feedback mechanisms) than developmental (responding to epithelial–mesenchymal interactions). Successful production of initial enamel is required to provide extracellular stimuli necessary to maintain healthy ameloblasts.
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