Resiliency in Adolescents at High Risk for Substance Abuse: Flexible Adaptation via Subthalamic Nucleus and Linkage to Drinking and Drug Use in Early Adulthood
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Introduction: The personality trait resiliency is the ability to flexibly adapt impulse control relative to contextual demand. Low resiliency has been linked to later alcohol/drug problems. The underlying psychological and neural mechanisms are unknown, but neurocomputational models suggested relations between resiliency and working memory. Cortical–striatal connectivity has been proposed to underlie adaptive switches between cautious and risky behaviors.

Methods: Working memory was probed in sixty-seven 18- to 22-year-olds from a larger community study of alcoholism, using the n-back task during functional magnetic resonance imaging. Functional connectivity between task-related regions was investigated with psychophysiological interaction analysis. Resiliency was measured in early teen years and related to early adulthood measures of drinking/drug use, task activation, and connectivity. Relationships with risk factors, including family history, age of drinking onset, and number of alcohol problems, were also investigated.

Results: Higher resiliency was related to lower levels of substance use, fewer alcohol problems, and better working memory performance. Whole-brain regression revealed resiliency negatively correlated with activation of subthalamic nucleus (STN) and pallidum during the n-back. High and Low resiliency quartile groups (n = 17 each) differed in coupling strength between STN and median cingulate cortex, a region of reduced activation during working memory. The high resiliency group had later onset of drinking, fewer alcohol problems, had used fewer illicit drugs, and were less likely to smoke cigarettes than their low resiliency counterparts.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that resiliency in early adolescence may protect against alcohol problems and drug use, although the direction of this effect is currently unknown. This protective factor may relate to executive functioning as supported by the finding of a neural link shared between resiliency and working memory in basal ganglia structures. The STN, a key basal ganglia structure, may adaptively link flexible impulse control with cognitive processing, potentially modulating substance use outcomes.
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As temperament and executive function have been identified as precursors and mediators of psychopathology (Barkley, 1997; Eisenberg et al., 2000; Nigg, 2000), another MLS study investigated and found consistent relations between resiliency and executive functioning (Martel et al., 2007). Resiliency may share underpinnings with executive abilities, perhaps enhancing its development, or interacting with it, to shape cognition and social adaptation. Indeed, resiliency and executive functioning contributed to adolescent outcomes in an additive, incremental fashion, as opposed to overlapping in their effects (Martel et al., 2007), supporting the hypothesis that they are to some degree separable.

However, executive function is itself a broad, underspecified construct, likely including response suppression, planning, mental set shifting, and working memory (e.g., Pennington and Ozonoff, 1996). This study examines neural responses during working memory which has been identified as relevant to impulsive behavior (Finn et al., 1999) and substance use outcomes (Corral et al., 1999; O’zkaragoz et al., 1997). Neuroimaging studies show that working memory processes involve activation of a network including the basal ganglia and anterior cingulate, parietal, and prefrontal cortices (Chang et al., 2007; Owen et al., 2005; Smith and Jonides, 1997) accompanied by deactivation in the “default network” including posterior cingulate and medial frontal cortices (Greicius and Menon, 2004; Raichle et al., 2001; Spadoni et al., 2008), similar to other executive tasks. It has been suggested that resiliency is related to an anterior attention system involving cingulate and prefrontal cortices and their projections to the basal ganglia and thalamus (Eisenberg et al., 2003; Rothbart et al., 2000).

Neurocomputational models propose the basal ganglia perform dynamic gating of working memory via disinhibition to allow the prefrontal cortex to focus on task demands (O’Reilly and Frank, 2006). Inhibitory control of the basal ganglia is influenced by the subthalamic nucleus (STN) whose activity produces slower more accurate choices (Frank et al., 2007). The STN is believed to be dynamically modulated through “proactive inhibition” of sensorimotor responses by the medial prefrontal cortex, precuneus/posterior cingulate, inferior parietal cortex, as well as the dorsal anterior cingulate based on the degree of decision conflict (Ballanger et al., 2009; Botvinick et al., 2001; Bush et al., 1998; Frank; 2006; Yeung et al., 2004). In addition, changes in cortical–striatal connectivity are proposed to underlie adaptive switches between cautious and risky behaviors (Forstmann et al., 2010). We hypothesized, then, that resiliency may influence substance use outcomes via flexible adaptation entailing some of the same neural networks as working memory.

To test this hypothesis, resiliency, measured by observer ratings during early teen years, was examined in relation to brain activation during working memory and with self-reported drinking and drug use in young adulthood. We expected resiliency to be negatively related to both substance use and inhibitory activity in the cortico-striatal working memory pathway. We also hypothesized that resiliency would be linked with the measures of vulnerability to substance abuse, including family history of alcoholism (National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2000), early onset of drinking (Grant and Dawson, 1997; Hingson et al., 2006), and higher levels of alcohol-related problems (Bonomo et al., 2004; Dick et al., 2011; Viner and Taylor, 2007). Using psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis (Friston et al., 1997), we evaluated functional coupling of regions associated with resiliency, proposing differences by level of resiliency (High vs. Low quartiles).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were 67 right-handed youth (43 men/24 women), aged 18.0 to 22.3 years (mean, 20.2 ± 1.2), recruited from the MLS of families with parental alcoholism (FH+; 2/3 of sample) and contrast nonalcoholic families (FH−; 1/3 of sample). Parental alcoholism was based on DSM-IV criteria. A detailed description regarding MLS recruitment strategy/assessment procedures can be found elsewhere (Zucker et al., 1996, 2000). Exclusionary criteria were the following: (i) any neurological, acute, uncorrected, or chronic medical illness; (ii) any current or recent (within 6 months) treatment with centrally active medications; or (iii) a history of psychosis or schizophrenia in first-degree relatives. Presence of most Axis I psychiatric or developmental disorders was also exclusionary. However, externalizing disorders (i.e., conduct disorder, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder [ADHD], or prior substance use disorder) were not exclusionary, as these are on the same developmental spectrum with alcoholism risk (Krueger, 1999). In addition, participants were given a multidrug 5-panel urine screen before scanning and those with a positive drug screen were not included in this study. Participants gave written informed consent after explanation of the experimental protocol, as approved by the local Institutional Review Board.

**Measures**

**Resiliency Measure.** Resiliency was assessed by observer ratings using the California Child Q-Sort common language version (Block and Block, 1980; Caspi et al., 1992) for participants when they were 12 to 15 years (mean, 13.5 ± 0.9) as part of the ongoing MLS (Martel et al., 2007). The Q-sort was completed by clinically trained assessors, blinded to family history status of subjects, following a 3- to 4-hour interview/testing protocol with the child (Shedler and Taylor, 2007). The Q-sort consists of 100 cards that must be placed in a forced-choice, 9-category normal distribution. The assessor described the subject by placing descriptive cards in 1 of the categories, ranging from 1 (least descriptive) to 9 (most descriptive). The resiliency scale was indexed by 11 items suggested by Eisenberg and colleagues (1997, 2003), for example, is resourceful in initiating activities, uses, and responds to reason. All items scored are listed in Supplemental Table S1. Scores are means of item totals with high scores indicative of more resiliency. Resiliency has been shown relatively stable over time (Hart et al., 1998), which was also true in our sample (3.0 to 17.9 years, r = 0.27, p < 0.01; Martel et al., 2009). We chose an early adolescence time point as predictor to outcome in early adulthood. To maximize variance, low and high resiliency groups were defined as lower and upper quartiles (n = 17 each).

**Drinking and Drug Use Measures.** The self-report Drinking and Drug History (DDHx, Zucker and Fitzgerald, 1994; Zucker et al., 1990) was completed by participants annually since age 11. Data used were collected (mean 0.83 ± 1.04 years) from scan and reflect
extent of drug involvement since age 11. Total drinking in past 6 months was calculated from DDHx counts of drinking days/month multiplied by drinks usually consumed/drinking day. Alcohol problems (AP) were number of drinking problems (of possible 37 items) ever reported by the subject since age 11. Number of illicit drugs ever used was quantified from a list of 18 drugs ever reported by the subject since age 11.

Data Analysis

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) Task. The n-back task (Callicott et al., 1999) required subjects to continually update their mental set while responding to previously seen stimuli. Subjects viewed stimuli consisting of numbers (1 to 4) shown in random sequence displayed on a diamond-shaped box (see Fig. 1). On each trial, subjects press 1 of the 4 buttons to indicate the appropriate numeral. In the 0-back condition, the correct response is the numeral currently displayed on the screen; for 2-back, the correct response is the numeral seen 2 screens back. The actual task included 5 conditions (0-, 1-, 2-, 3-back, and rest); each of 5 runs consisted of 30-second blocks of each load, pseudo-randomly ordered, with 15 stimuli per block. Total task time was approximately 15 minutes with all responses recorded.

MRI Data Acquisition. Whole-brain BOLD fMRI data were acquired on a 3.0 Tesla GE Signa system, Excite2 release (Milwaukee, WI), standard radio frequency coil. Functional imaging was performed using T2*-weighted single-shot combined spiral in/out acquisition (Glover and Law, 2001): repetition time = 2,000 ms, echo time = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, field-of-view = 64 × 64 matrix, slice thickness = 4 mm, 29 slices. High-resolution anatomical T1 scans were acquired for spatial normalization. Motion was minimized with foam pads and emphasis on the importance of keeping still.

Data Analysis

Resiliency, Performance, and Drinking and Drug Use. Performance was measured by (i) response time (RT); and (ii) performance decrement (PD) defined as the difference in correct response rates in 0-back and 2-back conditions (Jansma et al., 2000). The 2-back load was utilized as it maintained cognitive demand without exceeding capacity constraints (Callicott et al., 1999; Sweet et al., 2008), with satisfactory accuracy (77.9 ± 16.7% here). RTs <100 ms were considered anticipatory responding and removed from subsequent analyses. All performance measures were normally distributed (KS test, p > 0.07) in SPSS version 17 (Chicago, IL).

Bivariate Pearson’s or Spearman’s rank correlations were used to test the associations between resiliency and performance and substance use measures. Significance (2-tailed) was established at p < 0.025 accounting for multiple comparisons; RT and PD were highly correlated (r = −0.332, p = 0.008), as were all substance use/vulnerability measures (p > 0.692, p < 0.004). Independent sample t-tests were used to investigate differences in resiliency, performance, substance use, and vulnerability by gender, family history, and resiliency groups.

fMRI Data Preprocessing. Functional data were reconstructed using iterative image reconstruction (Fessler et al., 2005; Sutton et al., 2003) and motion-corrected using FSL 4.0 (Analysis Group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK). Runs exceeding 2-mm translation or 2° rotation were excluded: 6 subjects had 1 run; 5 subjects had 2 runs removed. Number of subjects with excluded runs did not differ by resiliency or family history (p > 0.203), but trended by gender (χ² = 5.62, p = 0.037). Age of onset and substance use did not differ between subjects with/without excluded runs (p > 0.234). Image processing was completed using statistical parametric mapping SPM2 (Wellcome Institute of Cognitive Neurology, Oxford, UK). Functional images were spatially normalized to standard stereotactic space as defined by the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI). A 6-mm full-width-half-maximum Gaussian spatial smoothing kernel was applied.

Individual Task Statistical Maps and Group Correlation Analysis. A general linear model using SPM’s canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF), modeled each condition (rest, 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-back), and 6 motion regressors. Linear contrasts compared task load and 0-back. A second-level 1-sample t-test investigated task effect using 2-back versus 0-back contrasts. A second-level linear regression used individual resiliency scores as covariate and the same contrasts as dependent variable. To test our hypotheses, an a priori regions-of-interest (ROIs) mask (frontal-cingulate-parietal-basal ganglia; Bogacz et al., 2010; O’Reilly and Frank, 2006) was created using WFU Pickatlas (Maldjian et al., 2003). Regions of significant correlation within this mask were identified using a voxel-wise threshold of p < 0.005 uncorrected, combined with cluster size threshold of 61 contiguous voxels. This combined threshold provides protection against type I error (Forman et al., 1995) and was estimated with Monte Carlo simulation using AlphaSim (Douglas Ward, http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/pub/dist/doc/program_help/Alphasim.html), giving an overall corrected threshold of p < 0.05. For identified clusters, activation data were extracted from individual contrast maps for correlation with behavioral measures.

Individual and Group Functional Connectivity Analysis. PPI determines regions whose time series of activation exhibit significant covariance with the seed region as a function of task manipulation, that is, 2-back versus 0-back. Regressing out the contribution of the seed region time series and the experimental context, the interaction is the contribution-dependent change in regional responses to the experimental factor (Friston et al., 1997), here working memory load. The clusters identified in the regression analysis (see Results), right pallidum, and STN, were used for PPI seed ROIs. For these ROIs, the time series data from the primary model were extracted for 5-mm-diameter spheres centered at [MNI coordinates: 20, −14, −8] for right pallidum and [10, −14, −8] for STN. Anatomical
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Fig. 1. Depiction of n-back working memory task showing correct responses for the 0-back and 2-back conditions. The 0-back response requires identifying the numeral currently presented while the 2-back response involves updating, temporarily maintaining, and storing information to recall numbers previously seen.
RESULTS

Resiliency, Demographic, and Performance Data

Table 1 presents demographic and working memory performance data for the entire group and the Low- and High-quartile resiliency groups separately. Mean resiliency score for the entire sample was 5.5 ± 1.2 (range, 3.1 to 7.2), in line with that of the entire MLS population: 5.8 ± 0.8 (range, 3.1 to 7.6), n = 496. Performance and RTs were in agreement with previous work using the same n-back task (Jansma et al., 2000). No family history or gender effects were found for performance (p > 0.18). Resiliency did not differ by family history (t = 0.06, p = 0.952), but women showed a trend for higher resiliency (t = 1.81, p = 0.075); therefore, gender was added as a covariate in subsequent analyses.

Consistent with previous work from the entire MLS sample (Martel et al., 2007), Pearson’s correlations showed positive correlation between resiliency and IQ (r = 0.39, p = 0.001); IQ was added as a covariate in subsequent analyses. Resiliency had negative correlations with RT and PD (Table 2) maintaining significance when controlled for gender and IQ (p < 0.045).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire sample</th>
<th>Low Resiliency quartile</th>
<th>High Resiliency quartile</th>
<th>Low/high group differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/females</td>
<td>43:24</td>
<td>10:7</td>
<td>5:12</td>
<td>2.98, 0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of alcoholism (Neg: Pos)</td>
<td>20:47</td>
<td>6:11</td>
<td>7:10</td>
<td>0.13, 0.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>20.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>20.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>20.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.50, 0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler intelligence scale IQ</td>
<td>111 (11)</td>
<td>105 (10)</td>
<td>113 (12)</td>
<td>0.90, 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resiliency (California Q-Sort)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>6.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>−1.97, 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse or dependence</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.30, 0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana abuse or dependence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.11, 0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other drug abuse or dependence</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00, 0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any substance use disorder Dx</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.91, 0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct disorder Dx</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.97, 0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention deficit disorder Dx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00, 0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Dx</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.91, 0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of drinking onset (years)</td>
<td>14.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>13.9 (2.3)</td>
<td>16.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>2.59, 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of alcohol problems</td>
<td>5.2 (5.6)</td>
<td>6.9 (5.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>2.17, 0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoker (No:Yes)</td>
<td>23:44</td>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>12:5</td>
<td>12.14, 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs used</td>
<td>1.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.73, 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total drinking past 6 months (drinks)</td>
<td>29.9 (41.5)</td>
<td>32.5 (33.5)</td>
<td>20.4 (39.7)</td>
<td>1.91, 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-back working memory performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response time (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-back</td>
<td>601 (114)</td>
<td>688 (121)</td>
<td>515 (49)</td>
<td>5.41, 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-back</td>
<td>290 (104)</td>
<td>349 (156)</td>
<td>241 (62)</td>
<td>2.62, 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct response rate (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-back</td>
<td>86 (7)</td>
<td>88 (5)</td>
<td>85 (8)</td>
<td>1.57, 0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-back</td>
<td>76 (15)</td>
<td>74 (18)</td>
<td>82 (17)</td>
<td>−1.26, 0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance decrement (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-back to 2-back</td>
<td>8 (17)</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
<td>−1.68, 0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neg, negative; Pos, positive; Dx, diagnosis; numbers displayed as: mean (standard deviation). Bold text indicates significant differences between groups.

aFamily history positive: At least one parent with an alcohol use disorder based on DSM-IV criteria over the course of the youth’s life.

Includes alcohol, marijuana, and/or other drug abuse or dependence.

Includes conduct disorder, attention deficit disorder, and/or any substance use disorder.
Low and High resiliency groups did not differ by gender or family history, but did differ in reaction times and all substance use measures except total drinking in the past 6 months.

Neuroimaging Results

**Effect of Task in 2-Back Working Memory.** Second-level analysis for the entire sample \((n = 67)\) revealed working memory task activation occurred in right prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices, inferior parietal lobe, and left inferior frontal gyrus similar to previous studies (Braver et al., 1997; Callicott et al., 1999; Jansma et al., 2000; Owen et al., 2005). Task-related reductions in activation were found in posterior cingulate cortex, medial frontal regions, and bilateral pre-/postcentral gyri similar to previous work (Hampson et al., 2006). See Fig. 2 for 2-back versus 0-back contrast mappings and Table S2.

**Correlation of Resiliency with 2-Back Task-Related Regions.** Resiliency correlated with reduced activation in right pallidum and STN (see Table 3). At a more lenient threshold, \(p < 0.005\) uncorrected, voxel extent 50, this effect also held in the left STN and pallidum. Figure 3 shows STN and pallidum ROIs and extracted data plotted against resiliency.

Post hoc analysis of extracted ROI data was conducted excluding subjects with any diagnosis of substance use disorder, conduct disorder, or ADHD \((n = 7: 2 \text{ FH}−/5 \text{ FH}+, \text{ resulting in } n = 60)\). Resiliency remained significantly correlated with both regions at \(p < 0.005\), confirming that the effects of externalizing disorders were not driving these correlations. Additional post hoc regression of resiliency with STN and pallidum activation maintained significance controlling for IQ \((p < 0.001)\) and gender \((p < 0.028)\).

**Relationship Between Activation Data and Behavioral Measures.** Right STN activation showed a positive trend with 2-back PD \((r = 0.22, p = 0.089)\) and no correlations with RT. No correlations were found between substance use, AP, age of onset, and activation across the entire sample \((p > 0.68)\) or within gender, family history, or resiliency groups \((p > 0.14)\).

**PPI Analysis of Functional Connectivity with Right Pallidum.** The test comparing Low and High resiliency quartiles revealed no clusters having connectivity differences meeting significance criteria.

**PPI Analysis of Functional Connectivity with Right STN.** The Low and High resiliency contrasts revealed the Low group had stronger connectivity between right STN and a cluster in right median cingulate (Brodmann Area [BA] 23), an area with reduced activation during 2-back demand, see Table 3. Post hoc regression maintained significance when controlling for IQ and gender \((F = 19.03, p < 0.001)\). At a more lenient threshold, \(p < 0.005\) uncorrected, voxel extent 25, a cluster in left median cingulate was identified, see Fig. 4. The High and Low contrasts had no clusters meeting significance criteria.

**Relationship Between Connectivity Data and Behavioral Measures.** For the entire sample, right STN-right median cingulate connectivity correlated with 0-back RT \((r = 0.30, p = 0.016)\), but with no other performance measures
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Table 2. Statistics for Relationships Between Resiliency with Working Memory Performance, Age of Onset, and Drinking and Drug Use for Entire Sample \((n = 67)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>(t) or (\rho)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response time, 0-back</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response time, 2-back</td>
<td>-0.371</td>
<td>0.003a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance decrement (0-back to 2-back)</td>
<td>-0.335</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of drinking onset</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of alcohol problems</td>
<td>-0.292</td>
<td>0.017a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drugs used</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
<td>0.005a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total drinking past 6 months</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>(t) or (\rho)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoker (Yes: No)</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.004a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Survives correction for multiple comparisons.
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**Fig. 2.** Group whole-brain contrast maps for 2-back versus 0-back contrast for working memory task, displayed at a threshold of \(p < 0.05\) false discovery rate corrected and minimum cluster size of 10, coordinates in Montreal Neurological Institute space. Orange region represents regions more activated during 2-back working memory relative to the 0-back task while blue regions reflect the opposite relationship.
There were no other correlations between connectivity and substance use or vulnerability measures ($p > 0.077$), across the entire sample or within family history/resiliency groups; therefore, these data do not support the hypothesized relationship between connectivity and substance use despite connectivity differences by resiliency group.

**DISCUSSION**

Resiliency has been defined as the ability to modulate impulses, affect expression, and behavior to adapt to environment context (Eisenberg et al., 2003) and identified as protective against behavioral and substance use problems (Block et al., 1988). Its neural basis has not been explored but may help identify developmental biomarkers of risk in psychiatric disorders. As earlier behavioral research linked resiliency in early childhood with later drinking and drunkenness onset in mid-adolescence, we anticipated a similar relationship in this study. It was present: resiliency in early adolescence was associated with a later onset of drinking.

**Table 3. Brain Regions Identified in Whole Brain Analyses During 2-Back Versus 0-Back Working Memory Task**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation with resiliency for entire sample ($n = 67$)</th>
<th>MNI space $x, y, z$</th>
<th>Cluster extent</th>
<th>Peak $T$</th>
<th>Voxel level $p$ (uncorrected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative correlations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Pallidum</td>
<td>20, −2, 6</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R STN</td>
<td>10, −14, −8</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI analysis for Low and High resiliency group differences in functional connectivity with R STN ($n = 17$ each group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low &gt; High resiliency</td>
<td>8, −24, 34</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; R, right; STN, subthalamic nucleus; PPI, psychophysiological interaction; BA, Brodmann Area.

*Statistical threshold: corrected $p < 0.05$, determined by dual threshold of voxel level $p < 0.005$ uncorrected and cluster size $k > 61$ contiguous voxels.

*Statistical threshold: corrected $p < 0.05$, determined by dual threshold of voxel level $p < 0.005$ uncorrected and cluster size $k > 71$ contiguous voxels.
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**Fig. 3.** (A) Subthalamic nucleus (STN) and pallidum regions of interest with negative correlation with resiliency measures from whole-brain group analysis displayed at a threshold of $p < 0.005$ and minimum cluster size of 50, coordinates in Montreal Neurological Institute space. (B) Extracted bilateral pallidum and STN activity during 2-back versus 0-back condition of working memory plotted against individual resiliency measures for all subjects ($n = 67$).

($p > 0.169$). There were no other correlations between connectivity and substance use or vulnerability measures ($p > 0.077$), across the entire sample or within family history/resiliency groups; therefore, these data do not support the hypothesized relationship between connectivity and substance use despite connectivity differences by resiliency group.
fewer AP, and less substance use in the transition years. These findings thus extend the continuity of this relationship from early childhood to early adulthood. Resiliency also correlated with faster reaction times and less decrement during increased cognitive demand, supporting a link between this trait and executive function. Therefore, resiliency in adolescence and drinking and drug use behaviors appear to be related; though, further study is needed to determine the direction of this association. Possibly, those who engage in early use of substances have cognitive changes that lead to altered working memory and reduced resiliency, a trait that is highly related to executive functioning. Alternatively, those who are most resilient when entering adolescence may resist the use of substances most efficiently.

The novel finding reported is a neural link shared between resiliency and working memory. Negative relationships were found between resiliency and activity in the interconnected basal ganglia structures, the STN and pallidum, during working memory. Likely because of their size and partial volume averaging with surrounding white matter, these nuclei were not detected in first-level task analyses, but were prominently localized in subsequent correlational analyses.

Investigation of task-related functional connectivity found Low and High resiliency groups differed in connectivity strength between the STN and median cingulate. The Low resiliency group had significantly more substance use, earlier onset of drinking, and more AP than the High group, as expected. However, these use and vulnerability measures were not related to connectivity; therefore, it is unclear whether this functional coupling represents a pathway linking resiliency, working memory, and substance use as predicted.

Resiliency and Basal Ganglia During Working Memory

Working memory is a limited capacity, constantly updated, system that temporarily maintains and stores information and interfaces thought processing, perception, and action (Baddeley, 2003) and suggested as the core cognitive element of higher-order regulation (Unsworth et al., 2009). The negative correlation between the regulatory trait resiliency and neural function of the STN and pallidum during working memory suggests an association with flexible adaptation of control during cognitive challenge. The STN, as a key basal ganglia structure, has reciprocal connections with the pallidum as part of the thalamocortical pathway and influences information processing within the basal ganglia and through projections to the frontal regions (Aron and Poldrack, 2006; Temel et al., 2005). The pallidum is considered the main output structure from the limbic system (Temel et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005).

Although the present study found only a trend for an association between STN activation and working memory, the findings are consistent with, and add to, an emerging literature. Experimental and clinical studies have highlighted interactions between higher-order cognitive processing and the STN. Animals with STN lesions show impaired working memory (El Massioui et al., 2007) and with disconnections between the STN and prefrontal cortex show reduced accuracy, increased perseveration, and slowed response (Chudasama et al., 2003). In patients with Parkinson’s disease, deep brain stimulation of the STN has improved motor and some executive performance, including working memory, suggesting that STN stimulation was “releasing the brake” on frontal function (Jahanshahi et al., 2000, p. 1142) and supporting a role for the STN in higher-order cognitive regulation (Marceglia et al., 2011).

STN and Substance Use

The STN’s influence may have relevance to substance use through regulation of behavior. Animals with STN lesions exhibit increased impulsive action, decreased impulsive choice (Uslaner and Robinson, 2006), and changes in motivation (Baunez et al., 2005; Winstanley et al., 2005). The lesion-induced changes increased motivation for natural (food) rewards and reduced motivation for drug (cocaine) rewards suggesting influence on incentive salience. Further, in “high-drinker” rats, STN lesions enhanced motivation for alcohol but further decreased it in “low-drinker” rats, suggesting a role involving motivation and individual preference (Lardeux and Baunez, 2007) with the authors proposing the STN as a target for treatment of addiction (Baunez et al., 2005). Again, patients with Parkinson’s disease provide complementary information; STN stimulation, combined with reduction in dopaminergic treatment, has decreased pathological gambling (Ardouin et al., 2006; Bandini et al., 2007) and addiction (Witjas et al., 2005) in patients.

In this present study, there was no association found between STN or pallidum activation and levels of substance use as would be expected if resiliency were influencing these behaviors via this basal ganglia pathway. However, during this transition period into adulthood, drinking and drug use are at their highest (Johnston et al., 2004; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2006), which may be overshadowing this relationship. Further studies are needed to determine who continues heightened use, who desists, the impact of liability over time, and whether the relationship between resiliency and basal ganglia activation may manifest as a protective factor.

Connectivity of the STN During Working Memory

Using PPI analysis, we found group differences in connectivity between the STN and median cingulate cortex, a default network region (Fransson and Marrelec, 2008), when contrasting a low-level (0-back) and a cognitively demanding (2-back) task. In the High resiliency group, these regions showed greater coupling in 0-back than in 2-back condition, whereas the Low resiliency group showed the opposite pattern. A recent PET study showed that stimulation of the STN decreased metabolism in a large region of the cingulate gyrus, centered in BA 24 and encompassing the median...
cingulate region identified in the present study, substantiat-
ing a link between these regions (Le Jeune et al., 2010). Ana-
tomically, in nonhuman primates, the cingulate regions of
BA 23 (controlling movement execution) and BA 24 (con-
trolling higher cognitive aspects of movement) both send
projections to the STN providing integrated motor informa-
tion to the basal ganglia (Takada et al., 2001). Consistent
with this, we found that connectivity between the STN and
median cingulate was related to increased processing speed
during the low demand 0-back condition. As an influence on
interregional communication efficiency, this connectivity
may represent a pathway linking resiliency and flexible
implementation.

Limitations

Some limitations to this study should be noted. First, PPI
does not yield information regarding causal relationships.
The coupling between the STN and median cingulate infers
interregional correlations, but does not identify direction or
even whether other, unmeasured regions are driving activa-
tion at both loci. Additionally, resiliency could be argued to
influence motivation, instead of, or in addition to, working
memory. However, previous work by our group found con-
sistent relationships between resiliency and other measures
of executive functioning including response inhibition, inter-
ference control, and planning (Martel et al., 2007), support-
ing a relationship with performance. In addition, we did not
find differences in current levels of substance use based on
family history. Some studies have found increased drinking
during young adulthood in FH+ subjects (Harford et al.,
1992; LaBrie et al., 2010) while others have not (Engs, 1990;
Schuckit and Sweeney, 1987). As density of family history
has been shown to moderate alcohol outcomes (Conway
et al., 2003), the definition of liability one uses may impact
findings. Familial risk is defined in this study as at least 1 par-
ent with alcohol abuse or dependence, in contrast to other
definitions (e.g., any biological relative with a “significant”
drinking problem; LaBrie et al., 2010) or graduated scales
(i.e., Engs, 1990; Harford et al., 1992; Schuckit and Sweeney,
1987). Importantly, another longitudinal study, which used
FH criteria similar to this study, found that despite the
absences of a family history effect on drinking at baseline
(mean, 18.5 years), FH+ subjects were less likely to transition
out of heavy drinking (Jackson et al., 2001). We expect that
continued longitudinal examination of outcomes, which is
under way in this sample, will reveal the family history, as
well as the resiliency, groups diverging as they exit the cur-
rent high-usage period. We did find a trend for earlier age of
onset of drinking in the FH+ sample, suggesting that they
are indeed at heightened liability for poor outcome.

CONCLUSION

Alcohol and drug use are outcomes of decisions supported
by both cognitive and behavioral functions; the young adults
in our study with early high resiliency were less likely to
smoke, had tried fewer illicit drugs, and had fewer AP than
their less resilient counterparts independent of familial liabil-
ity. The STN may represent a neural link between individual
resiliency and cognitive processing, potentially influencing
substance abuse risk. Our PPI analysis linking resiliency with
connectivity strength between the STN and cingulate regions
potentially represents efficiency of communication between
salience assigned by limbic regions and flexible adaptation
facilitated by cognitive neural circuits. The extensive devel-
omental time span of the resiliency/substance involvement
relationship suggests that neural connections may be present
considerably earlier than observed here. Other studies will
need to examine this possibility.
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