The Annals of Human Genetics has an archive of material originally published in print format by the Annals of Eugenics (1925-1954). This material is available in specialised libraries and archives. We believe there is a clear academic interest in making this historical material more widely available to a scholarly audience online.

These articles have been made available online, by the Annals of Human Genetics, UCL and Blackwell Publishing Ltd strictly for historical and academic reasons. The work of eugenicists was often pervaded by prejudice against racial, ethnic and disabled groups. Publication of this material online is for scholarly research purposes is not an endorsement or promotion of the views expressed in any of these articles or eugenics in general. All articles are published in full, except where necessary to protect individual privacy.

We welcome your comments about this archive and its online publication.

GENETIC STUDIES ON ECTOPIA LENTIS*

II. ANTHROPOMETRIC AND LINKAGE DATA

BY C. W. COTTERMAN AND HAROLD F. FALLS[†] Department of Human Heredity, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., U.S.A.

A kindred exhibiting dominant hereditary ectopia lentis has been reported by the authors in another publication (Falls & Cotterman, 1943), which describes the ocular findings on 157 members of the group. In the present paper, data are presented on several additional hereditary characters which were investigated in the same group of families. This series includes the ABO blood group, the MN blood type, the Rh agglutinogen of Landsteiner & Wiener (1940), the 'secretor' factor of Schiff & Sasaki (1932), taste reaction to phenyl-thiocarbamide, eye colour, and red-green colour-blindness. Ectopia of the lens has been frequently observed in association with arachnodactyly and other skeletal abnormalities (Marfan's syndrome). Although no striking evidence of this syndrome was observed in this kindred, a series of anthropometric measurements were secured with the view of studying a possible association of this kind. These records are also presented.

As is true of many other 'dominant' abnormalities, there is considerable variability in the degree of defect demonstrated by the affected individuals. Indeed, two women who must be regarded as carriers of the gene show no observable defect of the lenses at their present ages of 41 and 50 years. Owing to this occasional difficulty in diagnosis, the search for linkage with other more readily detectable genes is of practical importance. It is also hoped that the data will be of value to those interested in the mathematical analysis of human pedigrees. Since the kindred was selected for study because of the ocular defect alone, the records on other factors should provide unbiased estimates of the gene frequencies. However, as Fisher (1940) has shown, a sample of relatives supplies less information concerning gene ratios than a sample of unrelated individuals, and the problems of estimation are intricate. Thus, while 154 persons were tested for the agglutinogens M and N in the present kindred, an inspection of the pedigree will show that the number of independent genes sampled cannot exceed 88, or the equivalent of 44 unrelated individuals. On the other hand, the interrelationship of all families in the present data would be expected to enhance their value for the evaluation of linkage and other genetic ratios. A full utilization of the record, however, should require modification of the statistical methods now available for human data, since these have been primarily designed for collections of unrelated families.

It will be convenient first to describe the pedigree chart and the method used for designating individuals. This chart (Fig. 1) differs from the conventional diagram in having the children of each union arranged in vertical columns by order of birth. Each sibship is numbered, 1-58, and a particular individual may be specified by his sibship number and position of birth. Thus, 22-6 is the sixth, and youngest, member of sibship 22 and the daughter of 9-4. Stillbirths and miscarriages, as well as unexamined individuals, are all counted in constructing the individual's number.

* Support for this study was provided by the Horace H. Rackham School of Graduate Studies, University of Michigan.

† Walter R. Parker Scholar.

The propositi for our pedigree are the affected sister and brother, 32-3 and 32-4, who were both diagnosed as having 'congenital dislocation of the lenses' when examined in 1935 at the University of Michigan Hospital. A preliminary visit to their home established a dominant pattern of inheritance, with the abnormality tracing to the great-great-grandfather 1-1. This man resided in Germany and, according to his grandson (7-2), became blind during the fifth decade of life following a sudden attack of ocular pain. The authors interpret this as a probable instance of subluxation of the lenses into the anterior chamber, since this complication has been experienced by several of the descendants. Three of the children of 1-1 (2-1, 2-2, 2-5) emigrated to America and left descendants who, with few exceptions, are now living in Lucas County, Ohio. Most of these individuals, together with their spouses, were examined by us in their homes or at the University ophthalmic clinic during the summer of 1942.

Fig. 1 shows all of the known descendants of 1–1, but the spouses are not indicated on the diagram except in three instances of double family marriage (sibships 2 and 3, 7 and 8, 18 and 20) and in one case where the wife (19–1) possessed a child by previous marriage. These spouses, not shown on the chart, will be designated by suffixing the letter a to the number of the appropriate descendant. Thus, 16–2a is the husband (not shown) of 16–2 and the father of the propositi. In the case of double marriage the second spouse is indicated by the letter b and the marriage line is drawn below that for the first marriage. For example, 21–6b is the second husband of 21–6 and the father of sibship 48. Since genealogical information was not secured from the spouses, it is impossible to state whether consanguineous matings are represented in the pedigree. Further information regarding these families may be obtained from the University of Michigan Heredity Clinic where all records on the kindred (no. 256) are on file.

The following notes are concerned with the techniques used for determining the several characteristics studied.

Ectopia lentis. The ocular examinations were made as complete as was practicable in the home. Mydriatic drugs were employed in some cases, and several individuals of doubtful lenticular pathology were brought to the University ophthalmic clinic for slit-lamp examination and refraction. The two eyes of an affected individual usually presented quite similar abnormalities, but the degree of defect varied considerably between individuals. The direction of the dislocation was predominantly up and out. The extent of the displacement, however, varied from conditions in which the greater portion of the pupil was aphakic to minor degrees of dislocation or mere irregularity of the lens border and zonula. Complete subluxation into the anterior chamber had occurred in some of the younger, as well as in older, individuals, and was usually responsible for blindness in the absence of prompt surgical intervention. Iridodonesis, strabismus, lenticular myopia, cataract and glaucoma were common sequelae of the lenticular dislocation. Associated anomalies of the lids, lashes, cornea and pupil, such as have been noted in some pedigrees, were not observed. Further ophthalmological details are presented in a separate article (Falls & Cotterman, 1943). Individuals indicated in Fig. 1 as heterozygous for the abnormal gene are of three kinds: cases which were diagnosed on examination, cases which are regarded as 'probably affected' on the basis of information furnished by relatives and physicians, and cases presenting no pathology of the lenses but possessing affected children and grandchildren. Two women, 9-6 and 18-1, who received very thorough study, are placed in the last category.

ABO and MN blood tests. Small quantities of blood were obtained by finger puncture and preserved as saline suspensions. A refrigerated thermos jug was used in transporting the samples



160

to the laboratory where they were tested within 48 hr. after collection. The tests for the presence of agglutinogens A_1 , A_2 , B, M and N were made with 2 % cell suspensions, using standard testing sera of high titres. Control bloods of the various ABO, MN and Rh classifications were employed in each series of tests.

Rh agglutinogen. A single human anti-Rh serum was employed for all but a few of the Rh determinations. This serum was obtained from a Rh-negative patient who had survived a transfusion reaction with Rh-positive blood. Since the patient belonged to group A, the serum was first diluted with the saliva of a group B secretor in order to neutralize the isoagglutinin β . A single drop of the diluted serum was then mixed with a drop of 2% cell suspension and incubated for 2 hr. at 37° C. Sedimentation and agglutination readings were made at 1 and at 2 hr., following the techniques recommended by Wiener (1943). For the remainder of the Rh tests an anti-rhesus guinea-pig serum, absorbed with human cells of groups A and B, was employed.

The authors are indebted to Dr Alexander S. Wiener for his donation of these anti-Rh reagents. According to Dr Wiener, the human anti-Rh serum contains two distinct agglutinins, anti- Rh_1 and anti- Rh_2 , which can be separated by elective absorption (cf. Wiener, 1943, p. 252). When used unabsorbed, the serum gave about 13 % negative reactions in Dr Wiener's studies, as compared with 17.5 % (27/154) in our kindred. The mode of inheritance of the Rh-positive property appears to be dominant, as in the families studied by Wiener and others (cf. Wiener, 1943, p. 249).

Secretor test. It was first shown by Lehrs (1930) and Putkonen (1930) that the salivas of certain individuals of groups A, B and AB contain the corresponding antigens in concentrations much higher than the red blood cells, while the salivas of other individuals of these same groups are nearly devoid of such substances. Schiff & Sasaki (1932) designated the two types of individual as 'secretors' and 'non-secretors', respectively. These authors further showed that the difference extends to group O individuals and is determined by a single dominant gene for secretion. Approximately 5 ml. samples of saliva were collected for the tests on the present kindred. In the case of infants, smaller quantities were obtained by swabbing the mouth with pieces of absorbent cotton. After collection, the tubes were promptly placed in boiling water for 10 min. to destroy the antigen-splitting enzyme present in the fresh saliva. The tubes were refrigerated during transport and centrifuged before testing in order to remove the sediment.

Each sample was tested for the presence of the three antigens A, B and O by means of the 'inhibition method'. Table 1 illustrates the test on a single family containing secretors and non-secretors of various blood groups. For each antigen present in the blood cells of the individual, quantitative tests were performed in six tubes, using single drops of saliva in serial four-fold dilution. For antigens not present in the blood, only two tubes (saliva 1:1 and 1:4) were used, these serving as controls. Omission of four tubes is indicated by 'o' in Table 1. Single drops of serum, adjusted to a titre of approximately 8, were then added to each series of tubes. After standing for 30 min. at room temperature, test cells of the appropriate group were added. The agglutination, which was observed after 2 hr., is recorded in the table in four grades (\pm , +, + +, +++). In the presence of a group-specific substance in the saliva, the corresponding agglutinin of the serum is wholly or partially absorbed, thereby inhibiting the agglutination of the test cells.

The anti-O reagent which was used for the classification of group O salivas was prepared according to the method of Witebsky & Klendshoj (1941). A selected beef serum, having an initial titre of 256 against cells of group O and a titre of 64 against cells of groups A_1 , B and A_1B , was absorbed three times with 1/100 portions of washed, packed cells of group A_1B . This preparation ¹¹ Vol 12</sup> was used in a dilution of 1:16, which strongly agglutinated group O but failed to agglutinate group A, B or A_1B bloods. As is illustrated by Table 1, our tests have regularly confirmed the observation of Witebsky & Klendshoj that secretors of groups A, B and AB also secrete an Osubstance, although usually in concentrations smaller than those of group O secretors. The distribution of non-secretors in the pedigree appears to agree with the hypothesis of recessive inheritance, although the proportion of non-secretors (28/156 or 17.9%) is small in comparison with the average value (27.2%) found by several other investigators (cf. Wiener, 1943, p. 278). In making such comparisons, however, it must be remembered that our sample is worth only about 44 unrelated cases.

Saliva			Saliva	dilution			Blood	Saliva
of	4 ⁰	4 ⁻¹	4-2	4-8	4-4	4 ⁻⁵	group	reaction
		1						
7-5	—	. –		i –	l ±	+	A_1B	Secretor
8-3	+++	+++	0	0	0	0	0	Non-secretor
18–1	++	++	0	0	0	0	B	Secretor
18–2	-	_	-	_	±	+	A_1	Secretor
18-3	+++	+++	0	0	0	0	B	Non-secretor
18-4	+ + +	+++	0	0	0	0	B	Secretor
18-5	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	A_1	Non-secretor
7-8	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	Secretor
7-5	±	+	++	++	++	+ + +	A_1B	Secretor
8-3	+ + +	+++	о	0	0	0	ō	Non-secretor
18I	-	_	_	±	+	++	B	Secretor
18-2	+ + +	+++	0	0	0	0	A_1	Secretor
18-3	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	B	Non-secretor
18-4	-		_	_	-	±	B	Secretor
18-5	+ + +	+++	0	o	0	0	A_1	Non-secretor
7-8	+++	+++	o	0	0	0	Ō	Secretor
		Ant	i-O serum +	saliva $+0$ c	ells			
7-5		±	+	++	++	1. ++	A_1B	Secretor
8-3	++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+++	Ō	Non-secretor
18-1	_	±	+	+	++	++	B	Secretor
18–2		-	±	+	+ +	++	A_1	Secretor
18-3	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	B	Non-secretor
18-4	-)	+	++	++	++	++	B	Secretor
18-5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	A_1	Non-secretor
7-8	-		-	±	+	++	o	Secretor

Table 1. Tests for the salivary antigens A, B and O in several members of a family

Taste test. The separation of 'tasters' and 'non-tasters' of phenyl-thiocarbamide is probably best accomplished by means of threshold determinations with serial dilutions of a saturated solution. In the present study, however, small quantities of the powdered crystals were placed upon the tongue. Tasters were asked to describe the taste and non-tasters were tested a second time in order to check the result. Unfortunately, it was usually necessary to test a family under conditions which allowed the subject to learn the reactions of his relatives. Test substances other than phenyl-thiocarbamide were not employed as controls, and a number of tests are recorded for children who are perhaps too young for reliable diagnosis. Nevertheless, the results are

162

regarded as sufficiently accurate to make them of value for linkage detection. One apparent contradiction (48-2) to the recessive inheritance of taste deficiency is present in the record.

Eye colour. Although a detailed description of iris pigmentation was generally recorded, the eye colour is tabulated here merely as three grades of increasing pigmentation. Grade 1 includes eyes with little or no brown pigment; grade 2, with an intermediate amount of pigment; and grade 3, with heavy brown pigment. These records are included with the hope that they may be of interest in linkage analysis, even though the phenotypic classification is quite arbitrary.

Colour-blindness. All members of the kindred, with the exception of the younger children, were tested for colour-blindness by means of the pseudo-isochromatic charts of Ishihara and Stilling. Red-green blindness of the deuteranopia type was observed in eight males and in one female. Five of the affected males, 21-10, 22-1, 45-1, 45-2 and 48-1, are related through females and have most probably derived their gene from a common ancestor (2-5 or 2-5a). The other affected males, 20-8, 25-1 and 33-1, are apparently of independent origin. The colour-blind woman, 9-7b, has a colour-blind son (25-1) and also states that her father and a maternal uncle were known to have defective colour vision. This information, which is not included in the pedigree or table of data, confirms the expectation of sex-linked inheritance. It is of further interest that 9-7b, although unaware of her colour-blindness, actually showed a more pronounced defect than was demonstrated by her son or by other affected males of the kindred. Almost all of the Ishihara plates were read as blanks by this woman.

Counting both genes of the affected female, five genes for deuteranopia may be considered to have been sampled in this kindred. Individual 22–1 inherited both deuteranopia and ectopia lentis from his mother. However, all other persons having ectopia lentis, including those with seriously impaired vision, were definitely normal in colour vision, and the data suggest nothing other than independent transmission of the two anomalies. No cases of yellow-blindness (tritanopia) were detected by means of the Stilling charts.

Anthropometric measurements. The skeletal changes most commonly accompanying ectopia lentis are those producing an elongation of the hands and feet. For the present investigation, six measurements were adopted because of their easy determination. These include (1) total stature, (2) span, (3) biacromial diameter, (4) length of left hand, measured from the flexion crease at the base of the palm to the apex of the middle finger, (5) width of the left hand, taken as the maximum contact diameter at right angles to the axis of the palm, and (6) length of the left middle finger, measured from the base of the first phalanyx to the apex of the finger. The hand measurements were made with the hand and fingers extended and resting, palm upwards, on a flat surface. The difference between measurements (2) and (3) provides a measurement of arm length.

The data are presented in Table 2. The ages at the time of examination are included chiefly for their interest in connexion with the anthropometric measurements and eye colour. Taste tests, colour-vision tests and body measurements were omitted in the case of young children. Colour vision was also indeterminate in 7-5 and 26-3 because of blindness resulting from complications of ectopia lentis. Due to lack of co-operation, blood samples were not obtained from 22-6 and 43-1, but the blood groups were reconstructed in such cases from the saliva. The testing of 44-8 is incomplete owing to the death of this child occurring before the authors' second visit to the home. It should also be mentioned that the anomalous blood group (A_2) of 41-3 would make it seem advisable to omit this child's record for the purpose of calculation.

164

GENETIC STUDIES ON ECTOPIA LENTIS

Table 2. Test factors and measurements on a kindred exhibiting ectopia lentis

The data are arranged by sibships, with the parental records preceding each list when one or both parents have been examined. Entries preceded by an asterisk (*) are previously listed in the table and may be omitted in the tallying of frequencies. The columns of data represent: (1) individual number, corresponding to the pedigree of Fig. 1; (2) sex; (3) E = heterozygous for ectopia lentis; e = normal; (4) ABO blood group; (5) S = secretor, s = non-secretor; (6) MN blood type; (7) Rh = presence, rh = absence of agglutinogen Rh; (8) T = taster, t = non-taster of phenyl-thiocarbamide; (9) C = normal colour vision, c = deuteranopia; (10) grade of eye colour; (11) stature; (12) span; (13) biacromial diameter; (14) hand length; (15) hand width; (16) length of middle finger; (17) age, in months. The measurements are all in millimetres.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	II	12	13	14	15	16	17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-6	3	e	A, B	S	N	Rh	T	\overline{c}	т	1714	1820	401	105	80	116	648
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-9	Ŷ	e	B	S	MN	Rh	T	\overline{C}	I	1601	1643	347	173	75	104	603
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-10	3	e	0	S	MN	Rh	t	C	2	1778	1823	404	193	89	116	565
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										·							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7-2	0 0	E	A_1	N N	MIN	Rh Di			I	1758	1773	375	181	82	III	797
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7-4	Ő	e T	A_1	N G		Rn D1			I	1597	1024	352	172	80	107	742
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7-5	¥ 1			0	MN			a	3	1530	1003	354	105		99	718
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7-8	o 1	E		D G	NIN				2	1737	1703	353	103	03	110	041
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-7-9	0		A1						2	1517	1550	341	102	177	97	015
8-4 \mathcal{Q} e O s M Rh t C 3 1537 1593 356 161 75 95 598 9-1 \mathcal{Q} e O S N Rh T C 1 1641 1675 356 181 79 112 744 9-4 \mathcal{Q} E A1 S MN Rh T C 3 1673 1662 337 179 75 11632 1619 342 188 2 109 604 9-7 \mathcal{E} O \mathcal{S} MN Rh T C 1 1787 1867 356 203 92 125 564 9-8 E O s MN Rh T C 1 1714 1829 401 195 89 116 648 5-6 \mathcal{C} A_1B MN Rh	8-3	3	e	0	8	M	Rh	T		I	1669	1810	400	180	82	110	651
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8-4	Ŷ	e	0	8	M	Rh	t		3	1537	1593	356	161	75	95	598
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-1	0	0	0	S	N	Rh	T	C	T T	1641	1675	256	181	70	112	744
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9-1	+ 0	E	A.	ŝ	M	Rh	\bar{T}	$\begin{vmatrix} \sigma \\ c \end{vmatrix}$	2	1612	1678	261	184	82	107	65T
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-5	¢	E	A.	ŝ	MN	Rh	\overline{T}	\ddot{c}	2	1677	1662	227	170	75	111	622
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95	¢	E	0	S	MN	Rh	\overline{T}	\ddot{c}	J	1673	1762	357	181	82	100	604
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-7	7	E	Ă.	8	M	Rh		\ddot{c}	Î.	1787	1877	356	203	02	125	564
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-8	Ŷ	Ē	0	8	MN	Rh	t	c	2	1661	1672	362	175	82	107	530
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9-9	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	T	c	I	1632	1619	349	171	76	101	490
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										<u> </u>					- <u>-</u>		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*5-6	ð	e	A_1B	S	N	Rh			I	1714	1829	401	195	89	116	648
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-6a	Ŷ	e	A_2	S	MN	Rh	t		I	1001	1643	347	173	75	104	579
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13-3	ð	e	A_2B	S	MN	Rh	t	C	I	1775	1879	414	206	90	124	330
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13-5	Ŷ	e	A_2B	8	N	Rh	t		I	1687	1727	370	177	76	106	266
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13-6	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	N	Rh			I	1628	1663	339	177	69	104	189
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13-7	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	N	Rh			I	1584	1601	344	163	72	98	146
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-0 <i>a</i>	2	e	В	S	MN	rh	T	C	3	1722	1815	400	188	87	115	672
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*5-9	Ŷ	e	B	S	MN	Rh	T	C	I	1601	1643	347	173	75	104	603
1+2 0 0 D <t< td=""><td>TA-2</td><td>1</td><td>o</td><td>R</td><td>S.</td><td>MN</td><td>Rh</td><td>T</td><td>c</td><td>T</td><td>1728</td><td>1822</td><td>286</td><td>177</td><td>70</td><td>102</td><td>222</td></t<>	TA-2	1	o	R	S .	MN	Rh	T	c	T	1728	1822	286	177	70	102	222
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											-75-			-//			-3-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*5-10	ð	e	0	S	MN	Rh	t	C	2	1778	1823	404	193	89	116	565
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-10 <i>a</i>	₽	e	A_1	S	M	Rh	T	C	2	1577	1549	368	159	72	103	570
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15-1	8	е	· 0	S	MN	Rh	T	C	2	1711	1775	390	192	83	116	324
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15-3	ð	e	0	s	M	Rh	t	C	2	1750	1786	402	185	87	115	267
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*7-2	1		4	S	MN	Rh	T	\overline{c}		1758	1770	275	78 T	82		707
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*8-4	요 오	e	0^{Λ_1}	8	M	Rh	t	ö	2	1537	1503	375	161	75	05	508
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-6 -	0	T	1	-	14.17	mh	m	0	5	-557	- 575	0.00	-64		33	390
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10-2	¥ 1		A_1	S	M	Rh	1	\hat{c}	3	1027	1020	351	104	80	702	471
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10-3	*	e		S	MN	Rh	<i>v</i>	a	3	10/4	1097	303	171	80	102	429
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10-0	0	e	0	8	M	Rh	ť	a	-	1/01	1039	391	105	70	107	220
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		+	С								+342						~~~~
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*7-4	3	e	A_1	$S \mid$	N	Rh	t	C	r	1597	1624	352	172	8o	107	742
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7-4 a	₽	e	A_1	S	M	rh	t	C	I	1590	1585	322	166	75	103	678
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17-1	5	e	A_1	s	MN	Rh	t	C	r	1718	1770	389	188	86	III	437
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17-4	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	t	C	I	1543	1554	340	170	75	104	317
$17-6$ \bigcirc e A_1 S MN Rh t C 2 1540 1573 347 167 69 100 260	17-5	₽∣	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	t	C	2	1559	1549	347	165	70	98	296
	17-6	₽	e	A_1	$S \mid$	MN	Rh	t	0	2	1540	1573	347	167	69	100	260

** 3-3 δ e 0 0 e M Rh T C 1 1660 1810 400 180 82 110 651 ** 7-5 φ E A A B S M Rh Rh T C 1 1530 1603 354 165 74 99 718 18-1 φ E B S M Rh T C 1 1525 1648 404 185 85 114 407 18-3 φ E B e M N Rh T C 1 1594 1652 351 1667 5100 422 18-4 φ E B S M Rh T C 1 1594 1652 351 1667 5100 422 18-4 φ E B S M Rh T C 1 1567 1565 169 75 100 422 18-4 φ E B S M Rh T C 1 1567 1565 1681 79 112 744 18-6 d e A 1 e M Rh T C 1 1567 1565 1681 79 112 744 18-1 φ E A S M Rh Rh T C 1 1567 1565 1681 79 112 744 18-1 φ E A S M Rh Rh T C 2 11667 1565 346 175 73 101 509 21-2 φ e A 1 S M Rh Rh T C 2 1166 1655 346 175 73 101 509 21-2 φ e A 1 S M N Rh t C 1 1677 1712 322 179 73 110 391 21-7 φ e A 1 S M N Rh t C 2 1167 1693 332 102 78 116 370 21-8 φ e A 1 S M N Rh t C 2 1167 1563 345 177 79 109 347 21-9 δ e O S M Rh t C 2 11687 1693 332 102 78 116 370 21-8 φ e A 1 S M N Rh T C 2 1666 1652 336 184 79 116 370 21-9 δ e O S M N Rh t C 2 1772 1734 363 196 85 115 294 21-11 δ e O S M N Rh T C 1 1667 1791 322 179 73 116 370 21-6 φ A 8 MN Rh T C 2 1752 1734 363 196 85 115 294 21-11 δ e O S MN Rh T C 1 1666 1790 416 182 85 115 294 21-11 δ e O S MN Rh T C 1 1775 1796 380 183 184 183 117 561 22-2 δ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 117 561 22-2 δ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 116 337 22-6 φ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 116 337 22-6 φ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 116 337 22-6 φ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 116 337 22-6 φ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1786 394 194 85 116 337 23-2 φ e A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 1350 191 73 110 288 49-7 δ E A 1 B M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 391 188 85 116 337 23-2 φ E A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 394 194 85 116 337 23-2 φ E A 1 S M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 1370 350 191 73 110 288 49-7 δ E A 1 B M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 1370 1350 191 73 110 288 49-7 δ E A 1 B M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 1357 137 350 191 73 110 288 49-7 δ E A 1 B M Rh T C 1 1747 1780 1370 1350 191 73 110 288 49-7 δ E A 1 B M Rh T C 1 1775 1879 1877 356 103 93 117 335 191 33 115 321 24	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*83	°	e	0	8	M	Rh	T	C	I	1669	1810	400	180	82	110	651
	*7-5	Ŷ	E	A_1B	\boldsymbol{s}	MN	Rh			3	1530	1603	354	165	74	99	718
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18-1	Ŷ	E	B	S	M	Rh	t	C	2	1499	1543	340	155	69	95	494
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18-2	ð	e F	A_1	8	M	Rh mh			I	1725	1848	404	185	85	114	467
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10-3	Ŷ		B	S	MN	Rh		a	1	1594	1032	351	100	70 75	100	422
$^{\bullet}9^{-1}$ $$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	18-6	ð	e	\overline{A}_1	8	M	Rh	T	Õ	I	1687	1838	412	177	85	102	362
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*9I	Ŷ	e	0	S	N	Rh	T	C	I	1641	1675	356	181	79	112	744
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-1	Ŷ	e	A_1	\boldsymbol{s}	MN	Rh	t	0	I	1577	1589	342	166	73	101	509
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-2	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh			2	1616	1655	346	175	74	108	476
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-0	Ŷ	e		N S	MN	Rh Rh			I	1077	1712	322	179	73	110	391
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-7	Ŷ	e	A_1	s	MN	rh	t		2	1631	1699	332	192	70	110	370
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21-9	ð	e	0	8	MN	Rh	t	\overline{c}	2	1752	1734	363	196	85	118	312
$21-11$ 3 e O S MN Rh T C 2 1626 1682 336 184 78 114 239 $9-4a$ 3 e A_1 s M Rh T C I 1616 1790 416 182 85 110 695 $22-2$ d e A_1 s M Rh T C I 1747 1780 394 104 82 116 337 $22-2$ d e A_1 s M Rh T C I 1778 394 104 82 117 327 $22-6$ e A_1 s M Rh T C I 1763 362 183 89 117 629 $23-21$ d e M Rh	21-10	ð	e	A_1	\boldsymbol{s}	MN	Rh		c	2	1717	1712	368	191	85	115	294
9-44 3 e A1 s M Rh T C 1 1616 1790 416 182 85 110 695 22-1 3 E A1 s M Rh T C 3 1613 1678 361 184 82 107 651 22-2 6 A1 s M Rh T c 1 1755 1796 389 194 82 117 361 22-2 9 e A1 s M Rh T C 1 1755 1796 389 89 123 293 293 22-6 9 e A S M Rh T C 1 1753 1623 396 163 73 99 259 22-6 9 E A S M Rh T C 1 1763 187 365 183 82 109 644 350 32-1 \$ #	21-11	ð	e	0	8	MN	Rh			2	1626	1682	336	184	78	114	239
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9-4 <i>a</i>	ð	e	A_1	8	M	Rh			r	1616	1790	416	182	85	110	695
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*94	¥	E	A ₁	8	M	Rh			3	1613	1678	361	184	82	107	651
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22-I	ð	E	A_1	8	M	Rh Dl		C	I	1747	1780	394	194	82	117	361
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22-2	0 	E	A.	2	M	Rh	$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ T \end{bmatrix}$		1	1755	1790	389	103	89 87	110	337
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22-5	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	M	Rh	\hat{T}	ö	J	1558	1628	350	199	72	00	250
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22-6	Ŷ	e	Â	S	—		-		—			-		-		224
*9-6 \mathcal{Q} E O S MN Rh T C \mathbf{i}	9-6a	ð	e	A ₂ B	S	М	Rh	T	C	2	1731	1845	391	188	85	117	629
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*96	Ŷ		0	S	MN	Rh			I	1673	1763	362	181	82	109	604
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23-1	ð	e	B	S	MN	Rh			I	1766	1820	376	170	88	116	350
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23-2	¥	<u> </u>	A ₃	<u>×</u>		Rh 	T		I	1724	1718	357	187	76	114	331
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*97	ð	E	A ₁	8	M	Rh		c	I	1787	1877	356	203	92	125	564
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24-I	\$	E	A_1	S	M	Rh	t	C	3	1728	1730	350	191	73	110	288
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*9-7	3	E	A1	8	M	Rh		C	I	1787	1877	356	203	92	125	564
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9-70	Ŷ	e	0	8	N	Rh		c	I	1548	1550	339	172	74	102	511
9-8a 3 e 0 s N rh T C I I721 I750 404 191 84 115 563 26-1 Q e O s N rh T C I 1661 1672 362 175 82 107 530 26-1 Q e O s N rh T C I 1661 1692 345 185 74 109 244 26-3 d E O s N rh T C I 1664 1692 345 185 74 109 244 26-3 d e O s MN Rh T C 3 1676 1738 384 181 84 114 606 *9-8 Q E O S MN Rh T C 2 1626 1672 353 181 80 112 193 27-1 d e	25-1	ð	e	A ₁	8	MN	Rh	T	c	I	1712	1688	375	195	83	115	232
$^{\circ}9-8$ \checkmark E O s MIN Kh t C 3 1661 1672 362 175 82 107 530 $26-1$ \bigcirc e O s N rh T C I 1664 1692 345 185 74 109 244 $26-3$ d E O s N rh t $ I$ 1664 1692 345 185 74 109 244 $26-3$ d E O s MN Rh T C 3 1676 1738 381 192 86 117 233 $9-8b$ d e O s MN Rh T C 3 1676 1738 384 181 84 114 606 $*9-8$ E O s MN rh T C 1 1235 123	9-8a	ð	e	0	8	N	rh	T		I	1721	1750	404	191	84	115	563
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*98	¥	Ľ		8	MIN .	Kh			3	1001	1072	362	175	82	107	530
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26-1	P 1	e	0	8		rh		o	I	1664	1692	345	185	74	109	244
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-3	<u>ठ</u> 	<u></u>		8	N	Th			I	1707	1798	381	192	80	117	233
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9-8b	ð	e F	0	S	MN MN	Rh Pl			3	1676	1738	384	181	84	114	606
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	·y-0	¥			8		16/1			3	-6-6	1072	302	175	02	107	530
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27-I 27-2	∂° ⊋	e e	0	S S	MN MN	rn Rh	T		2 I	1020	1072	353	181	80 60	112	193 02
*9-9 Q e A_1 S MN Rh T C I 1/37 1/36 401 1/4 62 110 527 *9-9 Q e A_1 S MN Rh T C I 1/37 1/36 401 1/4 62 110 527 28-1 Q e A_1 S N Rh T C I 1632 1619 349 171 76 101 490 28-1 Q e A_1 S N Rh T C 2 1138 1115 231 123 56 71 72 *13-3 δ e A_2B S MN Rh t C I 1775 1879 414 206 90 124 330 13-3a Q e O S MN Rh T C I 1645 1721 375 175 80 109 304 30 304				0	8	MN	Rh	T	C	т	1757	1728		174	82		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*9-0	Ŷ	e		\tilde{s}	MN	Rh	\hat{T}	ŏ	Î	1632	1619	340	171	76	101	400
*13-3 3 e A_2B S MN Rh t C I 1775 1879 414 206 90 124 330 13-3a 2 e O S MN Rh T C I 1645 1721 375 175 80 109 304 29- I 3 e A_2 s MN Rh $ I$ $ -$ 4	28-1	Ŷ	e		S	N	Rh	T	O	2	1138	1115	231	123	56	71	72
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*13-3	8	e	A.B	S	MN	Rh	t	C	r	1775	1870	414	206	00	124	320
29-1 $ \delta e A_2 s MN Rh - - 1 - - - - - 4$	13-30	Ŷ	e	ò	S	MN	Rh	T	C	I	1645	1721	375	175	80	109	304
	29–1	3	e	A ₂	8	MN	Rh			I	-						4

Table 2 (continued)

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
*15-1	ð 0	e	0 	S S	MN M	Rh Rh	T T	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \\ \end{array}$	2	1711	1775	390	192 164	83	116 08	324 314
30-I	÷ ç	e	0	\tilde{s}	MN	Rh			3	1211	1195	265	128	57	77	97
30-2 30-3	ð	e e	A_2	8	MN MN	Rh Rh			3 3	1091 838	1090 844	249 214	118	54 44	70 60	77 26
16-2 <i>a</i> *16-2	* 0 0	e E	0 A ₁	S 8	MN MN	rh rh	T T	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \end{array}$	1 3	1806 1627	1918 1628	384 351	199 164	87 77	117 99	510 471
32-1 32-2	3	e e	0	$egin{array}{c} S \ S \end{array}$	MN MN	rh rh	$T \\ T$	$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ C \end{bmatrix}$	3 1	1698 1815	1791 1022	385 410	169 198	77 88	104 118	253 238
32-3 32-4	° ₽ °0	E E	$O A_1$	$egin{array}{c} S \ S \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} N \ MN \end{array}$	rh rh	T T	C C	I I	1638 1635	1672 1683	321 316	166 174	74 76	102 106	221 188
*16-3	 *0 0	e	A_1	\overline{s}	M MN	Rh Rh		C	3	1674	1697	383	171 166	80	102	429
33-I	∓ ð	e	A_1	$\overset{\circ}{S}$	M	Rh	T	c	I	1643	1717	394	180	74 81	109	193
33-2	₽ 	<u>e</u>		8		Rh	t	<u> </u>	2	1435	1448	315	147	66	87	162
*16–6 16–6 <i>a</i>	ð q	e e	0 0	$egin{array}{c} S \ S \end{array}$	MN M	Rh Rh	$egin{array}{c}t\\T\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \end{array}$	1 3	1781 1718	1839 1751	391 352	185 184	82 77	112 112	408 341
34-1	Ŷ	e	0	\boldsymbol{s}	М	Rh	t	C	I	1266	1234	263	126	56	80	82
*17→1 17-1 <i>a</i>	° ₽	e e	$egin{array}{c} A_1 \ A_2 \end{array}$	$\frac{s}{s}$	MN MN	Rh rh	$t \\ T$	С С	1 2	1718 1640	1770 1662	389 352	188 172	86 73	111 100	437 412
35-1	Ŷ	e	A_2	S S	MN MN	Rh		C	2	1491	1509	320	162	65	97 80	144
35-2 35-3	¥ ð	e	$\begin{array}{c} A_1\\ A_1\end{array}$	5 5	M	rh		—	1 2							120
17-4 <i>a</i> *17-4	ð ç	e e	$B \\ A_1$	8 S	N MN	rh Rh	Tt	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \end{array}$	2 I	1741 1543	1836 1554	390 340	188 170	84 75	116 104	335 317
36-1 26-2	₽ o	e	A_1 $A_1 B$	8 8	$MN \ N$	$Rh \\ rh$		$\begin{array}{c} c\\ c \end{array}$	I	1177	1197	251 231	130 112	57 48	78 68	87 51
17-50	 		1	$\frac{2}{s}$	 M	 rh	$\frac{1}{T}$	$\frac{c}{C}$	- -	 1772	1860	389	101	83	122	353
*17-5	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	t	C	2	1559	1549	347	165	7°	98	296
37-1	¥	<i>e</i>	$\frac{A_1}{n}$	8		Rh 		-	I 							0
*18-1 38-1	¥ ð	E	B B	8 8	M MN	Rh Rh	t T	$\begin{array}{c} c\\ c\end{array}$	2	1499 1721	1543 1715	340 352	155 177	69 82	95 105	494 270
18-10	ే	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	T	C	2	1785	1885	387	201	90	119	462
*18-1	₽ ¢	E E	B B	S S	M MN	Rh Rh	t T	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \end{array}$	2	1499 1622	1543 1650	340 24 I	155 167	69 73	95 102	494 102
39-2		E	ō	\tilde{s}	M	Rh	Ţ.	Ĉ	2	1656	1670	320	176	74	106	171
*18–2 19–1	ð 9	e e	$\begin{array}{c} A_1\\ O\end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} s \ s \end{array}$	M MN	Rh Rh	T T	C C	1 2	1725 1525	1848 1607	404 362	185 169	85 74	114 93	467 438
4I-I	₽ <i>≴</i>	e	A_1	S S	MN MN	Rh Rh	$\begin{array}{c} T \\ T \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C \\ C \end{array}$	2	1530	1609 1220	329 264	158 126	72 58	100 75	175 80
41-3 41-4	₽ ₽	e		\tilde{s}	MN M	Rh Rh			- 3 2	942 	950 	213	104	46	61 —	36 11
*18-3	ę	E	B	8	MN	rh		\overline{c}		1594	1632	351	166	76	100	422
42-I	₽ *	e	B A	S		Rh Ph	T		I	1526	1544	329	157	67	96 08	184 162
42-2 42-3	đ Q			5		Rh	T	0 0	3 3	1139	1550	320 254	159	74 54	90 72 6-	84
42-4	రే	E	B	S	N	rh	-		I	957	949	211	105	48	67	45

Table 2 (continued)

Table 2 (continued)

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	1 4	15	16	17
20-8 *18-4	5 0	e E	A_1 B	$egin{array}{c} S \\ S \end{array}$	N MN	Rh Rh	$T \\ T$	c C	1 2	1706 1660	1797 1669	385 365	191 169	79 75	114 102	466 406
43-I	ę	e.	AB	\boldsymbol{s}	_		T	С	I	1375	1367	298	136	65	81	120
21-1 <i>a</i> *21-1	1 0 0	e e	A_1B	8 8	N MN	rh Rh	T		3	1684	1719	382	188 166	86 72	114	562
44-I	Ŷ	e	A_1B	\tilde{s}	N	Rh	T	c	3	1526	1541	358	163	73	97	300
44-5	5	e		S	N	Rh		C	3	1566	1564	310	159	75	99	192
440 447	0 10	e e	B	$\stackrel{S}{s}$	MN	Rh Rh	$\begin{array}{c} T \\ T \end{array}$		3	1408 1307	1307	270 264	147	60 61	92 86	132 107
44-8	Ŷ	e		-			—	C	3	1090	1091	234	119	53	76	68
44-9	¥		A ₁	<u> </u>					3	852	871	200		44		20
21-2 <i>a</i> *21-2	ð 2	e e	$\begin{array}{c c} A_1 \\ A_1 \end{array}$	8 8	MN MN	Rh Rh	$\begin{array}{c}t\\T\end{array}$	0 0	I 2	1754 1616	1806 1655	391 346	178 175	84 74	111 108	528 476
45-I	ð	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	t	c	I	1741	1829	365	189	79	114	270
45-2	o° ⊋	e e	A_1 A_1	8 8	MN MN	Rh Rh	t t	0	T	1790	1882 1144	375	195 121	80 57	118	222 62
*ar_6	 0		4		MN											
47-1	Ŷ	e	A_1	8	N	Rh Rh		$\left \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ a \end{array} \right $	I	1077	1712	322	179	73	102	391
47-2	ð	e	$\begin{bmatrix} n_1\\0\end{bmatrix}$	S	N	Rh		\ddot{o}	I	1472	1600	309	166	69	100	153
21-60	 3	e	0	s	M	Rh	t	\overline{c}		1763	1823	353	183	86	107	497
*21-6	Ŷ	e	A ₁	S	MN	Rh	t	C	I	1677	1712	322	179	73	110	391
48-1	ð	e	0	S	MN	Rh	t	c	I	1369	1388	280	139	67	85	128
40-2	Ŷ	e	A_1 A_1	S		Rh Rh			I I I	1219	1211	242	133	55		05 48
21-7a	3	e		S	MN	rh	t	C	3	1791	1896	441	209	98	125	447
*21-7	¥	e		N O		Rh Dl	t		2	1087	1099	332	192	78	110	370
49-1	¢	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh Rh	t		2	1269	1280	313 251	143	64	88	89
49-4	ð	e	A_1	S		Rh		0	3	1083	1087	229	124	56	75	72
49-5	¥		A ₁	8	141 IN				I					<u> </u>		24
21-8a	ð	e		S	MN MN	Rh	t +		2	1738	1789	388	190	90	116	444
50-1	+	e		S	MN	Rh	t		2	1031	1003	200	165	79	06	134/
50-2	ð	e	A ₁	\tilde{s}	N	Rh	t	0 0	I	1345	1275	272	145	62	83	100
*21-9	3	e	0	S	MN	Rh	t	C	2	1752	1734	363	196	85	118	312
21-9a	Ŷ	e	A_1	S	MN	rh	T	0	2	1609	1658	335	176	71	108	296
51-1	5	e		S		Rh			2	1133	1095	239	118	56	78	61
51-2	ð	e	$\begin{vmatrix} A_1\\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$	s	MN	rh	<u> </u>		1	930	940			40	_	6
*22-2	3	e	A_1	S	M	Rh		C	I	1755	1796	389	183	89	116	337
22-20	¥ •	e		S		rh			3	1021	1067	308	167	74	103	285
53-I 53-2	ර රී	e e	A.	S S	MIN M	Rh Rh		_	3							30 20
53-3	8	e	A_1	S	MN	Rh	-	-	3	-	-		<u> </u>			8
*23-1	8	e	B	S	MN	Rh	T	C	I	1766	1820	376	170	88	116	350
23-10	¥ o	e	ש ק		MN	Rh PL	$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ m \end{bmatrix}$		I	1590	1051	309	173	75 61	82	320
54-1	Ť T	e	B	S	M	Rh	<u> </u>		I	948	962	215	108	52	66	43
54-3	8	e	B	s	M	Rh		-	I				<u> </u>		-	18

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
23-2 <i>a</i> *23-2	5 9	$e \\ E$	$\begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}$	$egin{array}{c} S \\ S \end{array}$	MN M	Rh Rh	$T \\ T$	$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ C \end{bmatrix}$	I	1709 1724	1773 1718	386 357	182 187	81 76	110 114	359 331
55-1 55-2 55-3	6 6 6	E e e	$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ A_2 \end{array} $	S S 8	MN MN M	Rh rh Rh	$\begin{bmatrix} t \\ T \\ - \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} c \\ c \\ - \end{vmatrix}$	III	1221 1037 —	1215 1036 —	245 230	131 112 —	58 50 —	75 67	76 46 2
24-1 <i>a</i> *24-1 56-1 56-2	*o ♀ ♀ ♀	e E e E	$\begin{array}{c} A_1\\ A_1\\ A_1\\ A_1\\ A_1 \end{array}$	8 S S 8	M M M M	Rh Rh Rh Rh	$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ t \\ t \\ - \end{bmatrix}$	0 0 0 	3 3 3 1	1718 1728 1075 915	1766 1730 1027 914	369 350 230 198	184 191 118 105	80 73 51 45	113 110 71 61	301 288 60 35
*38-1 38-1 <i>a</i> 57-1	5 9 8	e e e	В 0 0	8 8 8	MN MN M	Rh Rh Rh			3 3 3	1721 1658 —	1715 1680 —	352 335 —	177 172 —	82 73	105 102	270 235 19
44-1 <i>a</i> *44-1 58-1	3 9 9	e e e	$\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ A_1 B \\ A_1 \end{array}$	8 8 8	MN N N	Rh Rh Rh	$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ T \\ - \end{bmatrix}$	0 0 —	3 3 3	1728 1526	1812 1541	403 358 —	195 163 —	85 73	116 97	397 300 12

Table 2 (continued)

REFERENCES

- H. F. FALLS & C. W. COTTERMAN (1943). Genetic studies on ectopia lentis. I. A pedigree of simple ectopia of the lens. Arch. Ophthal., N.Y., 30, 610-20.
- R. A. FISHER (1940). The estimation of the proportion of recessives from tests carried out on a sample not wholly unrelated. Ann. Eugen., Lond., 10, 160-70.

KARL LANDSTEINER & A. S. WIENER (1940). Studies on an agglutinogen (*Rh*) in human blood reacting with anti-rhesus sera and with human isoantibodies. J. Exp. Med. 74, 309-20.

HUGO LEHRS (1930). Über gruppenspezifische Eigenschaften des menschlichen Speichels. Z. Immun-Forsch. 66, 175-6.

TAUNO PUTKONEN (1930). Über die gruppenspezifischen Eigenschaften verschiedener Korperflüssigkeiten. Acta Soc. Med. 'Duodecim', A, 14, part 2.

FELIX SCHIFF & H. SASAKI (1932). Über die Vererbung des serologischen Ausscheidungstypus. Z. Immun-Forsch. 77, 129-39.

A. S. WIENER (1943). Blood Groups and Transfusion, 3rd ed., pp. xix + 438. Springfield, Ill.: Chas. C. Thomas.

ERNEST WITEBSKY & N. C. KLENDSHOJ (1941). The isolation of an O specific substance from gastric juice of secretors and carbohydrate-like substances from gastric juice of non-secretors. J. Exp. Med. 74, 309-20.