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Background: Insomnia is an important symptom in alcohol-dependent patients because it may persist despite abstinence and predispose to relapse to drinking. The goal of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence and clinical correlates of insomnia in a sample of 302 alcohol-dependent patients admitted to treatment programs in Poland.

Methods: Participants were mostly men (73.8%) with a mean (SD) age of 43.5 (9.7) years. Insomnia in the past 1 month was assessed using a total score of 6 or higher on the Athens Insomnia Scale.

Results: Insomnia affected 62.9% of patients, and delayed sleep induction was the most common subtype. Insomnia was associated with bivariate analyses with less education, inadequate finances, problem drinking at an earlier age of onset, drinking frequency and quantity, drinking-related consequences, severity of alcohol and nicotine dependence, psychiatric and physical severity, and a childhood history of sexual or physical abuse (p < 0.05). Logistic regression analysis showed that mental and physical health status, severity of alcohol dependence, number of drinking days in the past 3 months, and childhood abuse were independent predictors of insomnia, explaining approximately 30 to 40% of the variance.

Conclusions: More than 60% of alcohol-dependent patients in a Polish sample screened positive for insomnia using a validated scale, a rate similar to those assessed with other scales in other countries. The study also showed that insomnia in alcohol-dependent patients is associated with poor physical health and childhood abuse, similar to the general population. The multifactorial nature of insomnia in alcohol-dependent patients has treatment implications.
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insomnia in 1 study (Buckeland et al., 1974), but not another (Feuerlein, 1974). Other indicators of dependence severity, such as scores on the Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (MAST) and Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) symptom count correlated significantly with insomnia in 1 study (Brower et al., 2001), whereas scores on the Severity of AD Questionnaire were not significantly correlated with insomnia in another study (Foster and Peters, 1999). Of note, Brower and colleagues (2011) found a bivariate correlation between insomnia and DSM-IV symptom count that did not remain significant when other variables were analyzed concurrently. Thus, some inconsistencies in the literature may stem from the presence or absence of covariate analyses.

Interestingly, none of these studies included physical health status, cigarette smoking, or childhood abuse, yet a relationship between physical health and insomnia is well-established in the general population (Doi et al., 2003; Janson et al., 2001; Pallesen et al., 2001), as is a relationship between cigarette smoking and insomnia (Peters et al., 2011; Pomerleau et al., 2000; Soldatos et al., 1980; Wetter and Young, 1994). Moreover, cigarette users who smoke during nighttime awakenings report significantly greater sleep disturbance than those who do not smoke during the night (Peters et al., 2011), and other studies have shown that insomnia predicts relapse among smokers trying to quit (Brower and Perron, 2010b). Finally, 5 studies investigated sleep disorders among women with a history of childhood sexual abuse (Briere and Runtz, 1987; McCauley et al., 1997; Noll et al., 2006; Pigeon et al., 2009; Teegen, 1999). Rates of sleep disturbance in women with a childhood history of sexual abuse are higher (Noll et al., 2006) and more severe (Briere and Runtz, 1987) than in women without such a history. These rates range from 43 to 88% (Briere and Runtz, 1987; McCauley et al., 1997; Pigeon et al., 2009; Teegen, 1999), and are not limited to nightmares. Only 2 of these studies, however, also examined childhood physical abuse (Briere and Runtz, 1989; McCauley et al., 1997) and none investigated sleep among male abuse victims.

Therefore, the goal of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence and clinical correlates of insomnia in a sample of alcohol-dependent patients admitted to treatment programs in Poland, including some correlates in the general population that have not been previously studied in alcohol-dependent patients. A secondary goal was to investigate which subtypes of insomnia are most commonly reported in terms of delayed sleep induction (sleep-onset insomnia), awakenings during the night, and final awakening earlier than desired. Of these subtypes, sleep-onset insomnia has been most consistently associated with relapse to drinking (Brower et al., 1998, 2001; Conroy et al., 2006; Foster and Peters, 1999; Skoloda et al., 1979). We hypothesized that mental and physical health severity, nicotine dependence, and childhood abuse would independently predict insomnia, whereas alcohol consumption and dependence severity would have bivariate correlations with insomnia, but not remain in a model with other significant correlates of insomnia.
The MAST is self-administered with questions about the effects of alcohol on respondents’ interpersonal relationships, work performance, and health (Gibbs, 1983; Selzer, 1971)

The 15-item Short Inventory of Problems (SIP) was used to assess the level of drinking-related consequences in the month prior to treatment admission. It is a short version of the Drinker Inventory of Consequences, which was developed for Project MATCH to evaluate adverse consequences of drinking (Forcehimes et al., 2007).

The 36-item short-form (SF-36) General Health Survey was referenced to the 4 weeks prior to treatment entry, and includes 2 component summary scores representing physical and mental health status (the PCS and MCS, respectively). Both the PCS and MCS have a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10 in the general population. Lower scores reflect a lower state of health, more functional limitation and distress, and greater social and role disability (Ware and Kosinski, 2001; Ware and Sherbourne, 1992). The SF-36 has been used in several studies to evaluate patients with depressive symptoms, gastrointestinal problems, and cardiovascular diseases (Dudek et al., 2007; Regula et al., 2005; Szczesniak et al., 2008).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical techniques were performed in SPSS, version 18 (IBM, Armonk, NY). A categorical variable of insomnia was created, using an AIS score of 6 or higher to define insomnia. Continuous variables were tested for normality. Descriptive statistics for normally distributed variables are presented as means and standard deviations, whereas medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) are presented for nonnormal variables. Bivariate analyses examined associations between baseline variables and insomnia. Chi-square tests were used to analyze the relationship between categorical variables. The t-test for independent samples and the nonparametric alternative Mann–Whitney U-test (when appropriate) were used to compare patients with and without insomnia on continuous measures. Those variables that were significantly (p < 0.05) associated with insomnia in the bivariate analyses were entered into a logistic regression analysis. To model the best predictors of insomnia we employed the backward method of binary logistic regression (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2001). This method is used for exploratory work, not constrained by a priori hypotheses. Although some prior work on correlates of insomnia in AD has been published as reviewed above, this is the first study in a Polish population and with the AIS; so we let the present data guide the model as a basis to generate hypotheses for future work in this area.

RESULTS

Prevalence and Subtypes of Insomnia in the Study Sample

Insomnia affected 190 (62.9%) of 302 patients. Of those, 62.1% had delayed sleep induction (sleep-onset insomnia), 56.9% endorsed awakenings during the night, and 41.0% reported final awakening earlier than desired (Table 2). Frequencies of other AIS symptoms among those with and without insomnia are shown in Table 2. A minority of patients who did not meet criteria for insomnia (AIS score < 6) nonetheless reported moderate or severe symptoms of insomnia, as indicated by item scores of 2 or 3. Specifically, 6.3% reported considerable or serious problems with awakening during the night, 5.4% reported markedly delayed sleep induction, and 4.5% experienced sleepiness during the day (Table 2).

**Table 1. Bivariate Comparisons Between Patients With and Without Insomnia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total sample (n = 302)</th>
<th>Insomnia (n = 190)</th>
<th>No insomnia (n = 112)</th>
<th>p-Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>43.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>43.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>43.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (men)</td>
<td>223 (73.8)</td>
<td>141 (74.2)</td>
<td>82 (73.2)</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>12 (11-13)</td>
<td>11 (11-13)</td>
<td>12 (11-14)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>116 (38.5)</td>
<td>67 (35.4)</td>
<td>49 (43.8)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>152 (50.3)</td>
<td>93 (48.9)</td>
<td>59 (52.7)</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough money for needs</td>
<td>104 (34.8)</td>
<td>53 (28.2)</td>
<td>51 (45.9)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-related variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of drinking problems (years)</td>
<td>22 (18-29)</td>
<td>20 (18-28)</td>
<td>22 (19-31)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of drinking days in past 90 days</td>
<td>26 (4-52)</td>
<td>33 (6-57)</td>
<td>20 (0-36)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP score</td>
<td>24.1 ± 11.1</td>
<td>26.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>20.4 ± 11.6</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of drinks in past 90 days</td>
<td>582.5 (267.5-968)</td>
<td>657 (288-1,146)</td>
<td>502.5 (262-725)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days since last drink</td>
<td>40.0 (25.0-67.0)</td>
<td>37.0 (20.0-57.8)</td>
<td>48.5 (33.0-77.5)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAST score</td>
<td>34.6 ± 9.6</td>
<td>36.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>31.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidly factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS score</td>
<td>38.3 ± 11.9</td>
<td>34.6 ± 11.1</td>
<td>44.4 ± 10.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS score</td>
<td>46.7 ± 9.9</td>
<td>44.5 ± 9.4</td>
<td>50.2 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>242 (80.1)</td>
<td>151 (79.5)</td>
<td>91 (81.3)</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTND total score</td>
<td>6 (2-7)</td>
<td>6 (3-8)</td>
<td>5 (2-7)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used other drugs (past 28 days)</td>
<td>43 (14.2)</td>
<td>26 (13.7)</td>
<td>17 (15.2)</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood abuse history</td>
<td>98 (34.4)</td>
<td>75 (39.5)</td>
<td>23 (20.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square, t-test, or Mann–Whitney U-test was performed where appropriate. Significant results are bolded. SIP, Short Inventory of Problems; MAST, Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary; FTND, Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence scale.
Bivariate Comparisons Between Patients With and Without Insomnia

No significant differences between patients with and without insomnia were found for age, gender, marital status, or employment (Table 1). Patients with insomnia were significantly less satisfied with their financial state (28.2 vs. 45.9%, p < 0.05), and had significantly less education (median 11 [IQR: 11 to 13] years) than those without insomnia (median 12 [IQR: 11 to 14] years). The 2 groups did not differ significantly in terms of other substances use, including tobacco smoking or not; however, patients with insomnia reported greater nicotine dependence (p < 0.05). Altogether, 14.2% of patients admitted using 1 or more drugs other than tobacco in the previous 28 days, including sedative-hypnotics (9.3%), cannabis (4.6%), cocaine (1.0%), other stimulants (3.3%), and opioids (1.0%). Patients with insomnia also used alcohol more frequently in the past 90 days (p < 0.05) and consumed a greater number of drinks over the last 3 months (p < 0.05), and they were abstinent for a shorter period of time prior to the assessment (p < 0.05). Patients with insomnia had an earlier age of onset for alcohol problems. Patients with insomnia also had significantly higher MAST scores as well as higher SIP scores, indicating a greater severity of both dependence and alcohol-related problems (physical, interpersonal, social responsibility, interpersonal, and impulse control). As predicted, patients with insomnia had significantly lower MCS and PCS scores, indicating worse health status, than patients without insomnia. Finally, patients with insomnia were significantly more likely than those without insomnia to report a childhood history of either sexual or physical abuse (39.5 vs. 20.5%, p = 0.001).

Multivariate Analysis of Insomnia Predictors

All significant variables from the bivariate analyses were included in the logistic regression analysis except for quantity of alcohol consumption in the prior 90 days, because it was strongly correlated with frequency of alcohol consumption (Spearman r = 0.84) and the latter variable had fewer missing data values. Similarly, days since last drink was excluded, because it also correlated strongly with frequency of alcohol consumption (Spearman r = 0.61) and had more missing values than frequency of alcohol consumption. The model was statistically significant and retained 5 of the original 10 variables: mental and physical health (each p < 0.0005), frequency of drinking days over the last 90 days (p = 0.008), childhood abuse history (p = 0.010), and severity of AD (p = 0.025). Missing data reduced the sample size to 241 (79.8%) of 302 patients. Therefore, a second logistic regression analysis was performed, entering only the 5 variables that were significant in the exploratory model and forcing them to stay in the model. This increased the sample size to 287 (95.0%) participants, and confirmed that each of the 5 variables remained significant, independent predictors (Table 3). Overall, the model was statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 99.1, df = 5, p < 0.0005$) and explained between 29.2% (Cox and Snell $R^2$) and 39.7% (Nagelkerke $R^2$) of the variance in insomnia. The strongest correlates of insomnia were mental and physical health status. For each 5-point worsening in mental and physical health scores, respectively, the odds of insomnia increased by 40%. Similarly, the odds of insomnia increased by 18% for each additional 10 days of drinking, and patients with greater severity of AD were more likely to report insomnia (OR = 1.045). Finally, patients who reported childhood abuse were 2.4 times more likely to report insomnia than those who did not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental component summary (MCS score)</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.900-0.951</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical component summary (PCS score)</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.900-0.958</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of drinking days in last 90 days</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.006-1.030</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAST (total score)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>1.012-1.080</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood abuse history</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>2.378</td>
<td>1.227-4.610</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final model (n = 287). Initially 10 variables were explored with the backward method of binary logistic regression (satisfaction with financial state, education, age at onset of alcohol problems, number of drinking days over the last 90 days, Short Inventory of Problems score, Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (MAST) score, nicotine dependence severity, MCS, PCS, and childhood abuse). Only significant variables (MCS, PCS, MAST score, number of drinking days over the last 90 days, childhood abuse) from the first model were entered into the final model (enter method).
DISCUSSION

The aims of the study were to evaluate the prevalence and subtypes of insomnia in alcohol-dependent patients and to assess associations between insomnia and other patient characteristics. The rate of insomnia as defined by the AIS in this study (62.9%) is consistent with previous studies of insomnia in alcohol-dependent patients, which range from 36 to 91%. By comparison, an international study of 35,327 individuals in the general population from 10 countries (not including Poland) utilized and validated the AIS, and found a global rate of insomnia of 31.6% (Soldatos et al., 2005).

Our results also point to the importance of sleep-onset insomnia in patients with AD. The results are consistent with Currie and colleagues (2003) who found that problems getting to sleep were worse than sleep maintenance difficulties in recovering alcohol-dependent patients. Moreover, difficulty falling asleep is significantly associated with subsequent relapse in alcohol-dependent patients (Brower, 2003). Thus, it may be important to assess the subtype of insomnia, and scales such as the AIS can assist the clinician.

The independent correlates of insomnia in this study were physical health, mental health, frequency of recent drinking, severity of AD, and childhood abuse history. The associations between insomnia and both physical and mental health were expected, because they are also characteristic of the general population (Taylor et al., 2003), although physical health has not been included in previous studies of insomnia correlates in alcohol-dependent patients. In contrast to the general population, however, alcohol-dependent patients are more likely to suffer pervasive physical health difficulties (Clark et al., 2001; David et al., 2004; Glenn et al., 1989). In this study, the PCS score of 44.5 in alcohol-dependent patients with insomnia is very similar to the score of 43.1, which was reported in 103 patients with AD presenting as primary care outpatients (Volk et al., 1997), but markedly higher (better) than the score of 34.4 among alcohol-dependent patients who visited a Veterans Administration (VA) facility for any symptom or condition during the previous year (Kalman et al., 2004). By contrast, the MCS score of 34.6 for alcohol-dependent patients with insomnia was lower (worse) than the corresponding scores, 40.5 and 42.2, for patients with AD from primary care (Volk et al., 1997) and the VA (Kalman et al., 2004), respectively. However, VA patients with co-occurring AD and other psychiatric disorders had a mean MCS score of 33.3 (Kalman et al., 2004), which is equivalent to our finding of 34.6.

Like the MCS which measures general mental health, a recent study of alcohol-dependent individuals in the United States that utilized the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI; Dorgatis and Melisaratos, 1983) to assess overall psychiatric distress also found a significant relationship with insomnia (Brower et al., 2011). Other studies of alcohol-dependent patients have found an association between depressive symptoms and insomnia (Currie et al., 2003; Foster and Peters, 1999), but not always (Cohn et al., 2003; Escobar-Cordoba et al., 2009). It may be that depression-specific measures do not detect anxiety and other stress-induced mental states that contribute to insomnia, in contrast to measures such as the MCS and BSI. Clinicians should look more broadly than depressive states when assessing psychological factors affecting insomnia.

The association of insomnia with drinking frequency is consistent with some (Baekeland et al., 1974; Shinba et al., 1994) but not all (Brower et al., 2011; Foster and Peters, 1999) studies correlating consumption with insomnia in alcohol-dependent patients. Nevertheless, many alcohol-dependent individuals consume alcohol to aid their sleep, yet alcohol consumption before bedtime is known to disrupt sleep. Some (Baekeland et al., 1974; Brower et al., 2011) but not all (Cohn et al., 2003; Foster and Peters, 1999) studies have also found a relationship between severity of AD and insomnia. We assumed incorrectly that severity of AD would not predict insomnia after controlling for other variables, based on these inconsistent results and our own previous study that found only a bivariate relationship (Brower et al., 2011). That both mental health severity and alcohol-specific variables were independent predictors has clear treatment implications in that both should be addressed to improve sleep.

A history of childhood abuse was associated with more than a 2-fold increase in odds for insomnia, while controlling for mental health status, indicating that childhood trauma can influence insomnia as an adult through a pathway separate from mental health problems. Given that nightmares are not assessed by the AIS, it can be assumed that sleep was more broadly affected in this sample. This is the first study to our knowledge, however, that reports this finding in alcohol-dependent patients, and the first to assess that relationship in a predominantly male sample.

Of particular interest is the independent contribution of childhood abuse to adult insomnia in this study. Other long-term effects of child abuse reported in the literature include self-destructive behavior, anxiety, depression, poor self-esteem, difficulty trusting others, anger and hostility, and substance use disorders (Beitchman et al., 1992; Langeland and Hartgers, 1998). Yet the contribution of childhood abuse to adult insomnia was independent of mental health and substance use variables in this study. Without data on order of onset and duration of insomnia, we can only, but reasonably, speculate that insomnia may be an early and persistent response to childhood abuse, occurring prior to the age at which heavy drinking habits and AD develop, and obviously prior to mental health functioning as an adult. In other words, the independent effect of childhood abuse may possibly be explained by an association with insomnia that is early in onset and primary in relation to both AD and adult mental health functioning. In this regard, it is noteworthy that childhood sleep problems have been shown in longitudinal research to be a predictor of early onset drinking and substance-related problems during adolescence and young adulthood (Wong et al., 2004, 2010). Moreover, cross-
sectional research indicates that more than 50% of alcohol-dependent patients reported that insomnia preceded onset of their AD (Currie et al., 2003).

The majority of studies in the general population have shown a significant association between smoking status and insomnia (Peters et al., 2011; Pomerleau et al., 2000; Soldatos et al., 1980; Wetter and Young, 1994). Although smoking status per se was not associated with insomnia in this study, the severity of nicotine dependence was significantly related, but only before and not after controlling for other variables. Interestingly, average FTND scores differed by only 1 point in patients with and without insomnia (medians of 6 and 5, respectively), yet Fagerstrom and colleagues (1990), suggested that difference be used as the cutoff between high and medium nicotine dependence.

A number of demographic variables such as age, sex, marital status, and employment have been associated with insomnia in some general population studies (Ford and Kamerow, 1989; Zhang and Wing, 2006); however, the negative results here parallel other studies with alcohol-dependent patients, which found no relationships with age (Backeland et al., 1974; Brower et al., 2001, 2011), sex (Brower et al., 2001; Escobar-Cordoba et al., 2009), and marital status (Brower et al., 2001, 2011). Another study of AD found employment was associated with insomnia, but not after controlling for other variables (Brower et al., 2011). Nevertheless, Brower and colleagues (2011) found that women with AD were more likely than alcohol-dependent men to have insomnia while controlling for other variables, but that sample consisted of outpatients and individuals from the community not in treatment. Like this study, the other 2 negative studies for an effect of sex included mostly inpatients (Brower et al., 2001; Escobar-Cordoba et al., 2009), a status that is likely associated with greater illness severity, and hence insomnia, regardless of sex. Lower education has also been associated with insomnia in the general population (Gellis et al., 2005; Li et al., 2002), but not in other studies of alcohol-dependent patients (Brower et al., 2001, 2011). In this study, education was significant in the bivariate analysis, but not in the logistic model.

Some limitations of this study should be noted. First, the AIS is a well-validated screening tool, but no diagnostic confirmation of insomnia via a clinical interview occurred in this study, nor were any objective measures of sleep included. Second, the sample size was relatively strong for statistical purposes, but the Polish patients studied here were racially and ethnically homogeneous and recruited from treatment sites, so the results may not extend to other groups of alcohol-dependent individuals. Third, we did not assess if the onset of insomnia preceded the onset of drinking problems, which can affect the persistence and independence of insomnia symptoms, apart from drinking variables. Fourth, being a childhood abuse survivor is an important problem with serious long-term sequelae (Beitchman et al., 1992; Kendall-Tackett, 2002) that might lead to premorbid insomnia as well, yet information about childhood abuse was limited in this study to a categorical yes-no variable. Therefore, the potential effects of the duration, onset, and other circumstances of abuse on insomnia and its onset are not known, which may be important (Bagley and Ramsay, 1986; Beitchman et al., 1992; Browne and Finkelhor, 1995). Including such variables in future studies of alcohol-dependent patients may lead to a better understanding of the relationships between them and insomnia. In regard to trauma history, nightmares are also important to assess, which are not covered in the AIS or this study. Fifth, comorbid psychiatric diagnoses were not included in the main analyses, because only a subset of 141 patients was assessed by a structured diagnostic interview, the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998). While psychiatric disorders requiring medication was an exclusion criterion for the study, 44 (31.2%) of 141 patients had either a current mood or anxiety disorder, including 24.6% with a mood disorder (major depression, dysthymic disorder, or hypomania) and 12.1% with an anxiety disorder (generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, or obsessive-compulsive disorder). Those with a comorbid psychiatric disorder were significantly more likely to have insomnia than those without a co-occurring disorder (70.5 vs. 48.5%, $\chi^2 = 5.93$, df = 1, $p = 0.015$). When both the presence/absence of a comorbid psychiatric disorder and mental health scores were entered into a logistic regression analysis with insomnia as the dependent variable, however, only mental health scores remained significant ($p = 0.002$ vs. 0.268). Therefore, mental health scores likely served as a reasonable proxy for psychiatric diagnosis in this study.

In conclusion, this study evaluated the frequency and correlates of insomnia in a sample of alcohol-dependent Polish patients. More than 60% of patients screened positive for insomnia using the AIS, which confirms the importance of using such scales in clinical settings. This study also clearly demonstrated the multifactorial nature of insomnia that is associated with AD, including the importance of physical health status and childhood abuse history, in addition to previously reported correlates such as mental health status, alcohol consumption, and severity of AD. The present findings highlighted that these were independent predictors of insomnia among alcohol-dependent patients. The multifactorial nature and independence of predictors may help to explain why insomnia persists despite abstinence in some patients, while simultaneously underlining the contribution and importance of addressing alcohol-related factors in treatment. Inquiring about childhood abuse history is especially important because of its role in insomnia and other health outcomes. Although replication and extension of the current findings is warranted, the study results can inform clinical practice about factors to assess, treat, and monitor when responding to complaints of insomnia among alcohol-dependent patients.
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