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Abstract. Photoionization of neutral molecules in the coma surrounding a comet produces heavy ions which contaminate and mass-load the solar wind. Cometary ion distribution functions in the vicinity of comet Giacobini-Zinner (G/Z) are calculated using a Monte Carlo method. The distribution function calculated behind the shock has both cold (= 2 keV) and hot (=40 keV) components.

Introduction

The solar wind interacts very strongly with the very extensive atmospheres of comets (cf., Mendis et al., 1985; Galeev et al., 1985). The photoionization of cometary neutrals by solar radiation produces heavy ions (i.e., H$_2$O$^+$, OH$^+$, O$^+$, CO$^+$, etc.) which are picked-up by the solar wind and mass-load it. The long plasma tails associated with the draping of magnetic field lines are one manifestation of this mass-loading (cf., Mendis et al., 1985) and the formation of a weak turbulent shock is another (cf., Galeev et al., 1985; Omidi et al., 1986; Sagdeev et al., 1986). The distribution function of the cometary ions picked up by the solar wind is, at least initially, highly anisotropic and non-Maxwellian (cf., Galeev et al., 1985; Sagdeev et al., 1986). A schematic of the solar wind interaction with comet G/Z is shown in Figure 1 indicating the approximate locations of the shock and stagnation region.

The encounter of the ICE (previously ISEE-3) spacecraft with comet Giacobini-Zinner (G/Z) has provided the first in situ observations of the field and particle environment of a comet (see papers in the special Science issue, 1986). In particular, energetic ions (energies of the order of 100 keV and greater) were detected more than 10$^6$ km from the nucleus, and especially intense fluxes were seen in the "interaction region" near 10$^5$ km (Hynds et al., 1986; Gloeckler et al., 1986). The Ion Composition Instrument (ICI) on ICE observed lower energy ions (= 2 keV) at distances of about 1-4 x 10$^5$ km and actually identified several ion species including ions in the water group and CO$^+$ (Ogilvie et al., 1986).

Ideally, one would like to theoretically model the solar wind-cometary interaction by calculating the particle distributions and the fields (including wave fluctuations) self-consistently and on a global scale. In practice, there are several methods: (1) self-consistent particle/field simulations but spatially local (e.g., Winske et al., 1985); quasi-linear methods are a variant of this (Sagdeev et al., 1986), (2) complete global MHD simulations but with no information concerning either non-Maxwellian particle distributions or field fluctuations (e.g., Fedder et al., 1986), (3) the semi-kinetic method which uses self-consistent particle distribution functions and fields, but which has been applied only along the stagnation line (sun-comet axis) (e.g., Wallis and Ong, 1975; Galeev et al., 1985), and (4) the Monte Carlo method in which large numbers of particle trajectories are calculated using an adopted large-scale model for the background fields. It is also possible to include field fluctuations in Monte Carlo calculations.

Some initial results of a Monte Carlo calculation will be presented in this paper. Valuable information can be derived on the details of the cometary ion distribution function using this method, although these distributions are not necessarily consistent with the background field model assumed. This particular paper employs a relatively simple background model taken from Galeev et al. (1985); however, more realistic and complicated models, including field fluctuations, will be used in future calculations.

Model Description

The flow velocity vector, \( \mathbf{u} \), in the supersonic solar wind upstream of the shock can be described as a function of the distance, \( x \), from the nucleus on the sun-comet axis with the following expression (Biermann et al., 1967; Galeev et al., 1985):

\[
\mathbf{u} = \frac{2}{3p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 - 3p/4} \right)^{1/2}
\]

with

\[
p = 1 + \frac{Q M}{4 \pi \rho u \nu_{\infty}} \frac{1}{r}
\]

\( p \) is the mass flux normalized to that in the unperturbed solar wind far upstream, \( \rho u \nu_{\infty} \), and \( u = u/\nu_{\infty} \) is a similarly normalized flow speed. \( Q \) is the gas production rate of the comet (a value of 2.3 x 10$^{28}$ s$^{-1}$ is used here for G/Z at a

Fig. 1. Schematic of solar wind interaction with comet Giacobini-Zinner showing the approximate location of shock and stagnation region and a reasonable thickness for the shock (or interaction region). The actual shock position at the time of ICE encounter was somewhat closer to the nucleus (Fuselier et al., 1986). Three calculated trajectories are shown for which the flow field and shock position were assumed to be planar. The leftmost trajectory started 3 x 10$^5$ km upstream of the edge of the figure. The shock structure shown here is schematic. Locations of 5 "bins" along the x-axis are indicated by vertical straight lines.
heliocentric distance of 1 AU), M is the ion mass (18 amu is used here although far from the comet M = 16 or 17, that is, O⁺ or OH⁺, would be more appropriate), $v_\text{g} (=1 \text{ km/s})$ is the neutral outflow speed, and $\tau (=10^6 \text{ s})$ is the ionization lifetime. An unperturbed solar wind density of $n_\infty = 5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and speed of $v_\infty = 400 \text{ km/s}$ are assumed. These solar wind parameters are similar but not the same as those measured by ICE at the time of the encounter. The effects of the attenuation of the neutral density due to photoionization is neglected in equation (1). Values of $u$ from (1) and from the MHD model of Fedder et al. (1986) are in close agreement along the sun-comet axis. The magnetic field in the unperturbed solar wind is taken to be in the $y$ direction with a magnitude of $B_\infty = 5 \text{ nT}$. The field closer to the nucleus is found from $uB = u_\infty B_\infty$. The solar wind electric field is just $E = -u \times B$, or $E = u(x)B(x)$.

The shock parameters were adopted from Galeev et al. (1985). A Mach number 2 shock of finite width is placed between 0.8 and 0.9 x 10⁵ km. The flow speeds just ahead and just behind the shock are 300 km/s and 107 km/s, respectively. $B$ within the shock is assumed to vary linearly from its preshock to its postshock value. $u$ in the shocked solar wind is given by the gasdynamic expressions in Galeev et al. (1985).

1000 ions of mass $M$ are created randomly with zero initial velocity and with a probability proportional to $1/r^2$ where $r$ is the radial distance from the comet. Only ions created within the rather narrow volume $\Delta y = \Delta z = 0.3 \times 10^5 \text{ km}$ and $6 \times 10^5 \text{ km} \leq x \leq 0.2 \times 10^5 \text{ km}$ are considered because of the one dimensional dependence of the $E$ and $B$ fields on $x$ and because $u$ and $B$ are orthogonal. The trajectory for each ion is determined by numerically solving the equation of motion:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = q(E + v \times B)$$

where $q$ is the charge, $x = (x, y, z)$ is the position vector, and $v$ is ion velocity vector.

Results

Three sample trajectories are shown in Figure 1. The ion born far upstream of the shock (off the figure to the left) executes cycloidal motion as expected—drifting with the solar wind velocity $u$ and also gyrating with perpendicular velocity...
The Larmor radius, $r_L$, for this heavy water ion (or an oxygen ion) is extremely large—approximately $2 \times 10^5$ km. CO$^+$ ions have even larger Larmor radii. As the ion drifts towards the comet, the solar wind is gradually slowing down and the ion motion is no longer exactly cycloidal. The magnetic moment, $\mu = M v^2/2B$, is conserved except near the shock. Field fluctuations are not included in this preliminary model so that the parallel velocity along B always remains zero—that is, there is no isotropization.

The maximum velocity occurs at the top of the arc of the cycloidal path, and the maximum total energy is $T_{\text{max}} = 4T \sin^2 \alpha$, where $T_p$ is the perpendicular energy and $\alpha$ is the angle between the solar wind and magnetic field direction (Hynds et al., 1986). $\alpha$ is 90° for this calculation. $T_{\text{max}}$ for the H$_2$O$^+$ trajectory shown in Figure 1 is 60 keV; it would be 93.2 keV for a CO$^+$ ion with $v = 400$ km/s.

The ion trajectory undergoes a sudden drift in the $+z$ direction at the shock (Figure 1) due to the sharp gradient in the magnetic field strength. The ion gains energy, $\Delta T = qE\Delta z = q|\mathbf{B}|v_{drift} = qMv^2$, due to its drift along the direction of $E$. In general, the magnitude of $\Delta T$ depends on the phase at which the ion first encounters the shock. The post-shock ion continues to drift towards the comet with $v = 100$ km/s and $v_{drift} = 800$ km/s.

Another ion (Figure 1) is born just ahead of the shock. It too experiences some acceleration at the shock but is not quite as energetic as the first ion. A third sample trajectory starts in the shocked solar wind and initially executes cycloidal motion with $v_{drift} = 100$ km/s; this ion is much less energetic than the other two ions and belongs to the ion population that the ICI instrument on ICE (Ogilvie et al., 1986) is capable of observing.

Particle distribution functions were calculated at 5 planar surfaces (i.e., $x = 0.6, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 3.0 \times 10^5$ km). Velocity space diagrams ($v_x,v_y$) are shown for these four of these (for the shocked solar wind with $x = 3 \times 10^5$ km, Figure 2a) the points are distributed in a circular (it appears elliptical in the figure) ring with radius $v_{drift} = 380$ km/s and with a displacement along the $v_x$ axis of $-380$ km/s. There are fewer points near $v_{drift}$ since the probability of a particle being at a given location on this diagram is proportional to the flux in the $x$-direction, $F_x$, which goes to zero at $v_{drift} = 0$. The distributions closer to the comet (Figures 2b, c) are somewhat more complicated. For instance, at $x = 1 \times 10^5$ km, there are several rings, all displaced along the $v_x$ axis by $v_{drift}$.

The information collected at each bin was also used to calculate a variety of distribution functions, some of which are shown here. The distribution of both the density, $dn/dV^2$, and the ion flux in the $x$-direction, $F_x$, are shown as functions of the total velocity squared (i.e., energy) in Figure 3. The distribution functions for the supersonic solar wind can be calculated from the flux and density as a function of energy.
understood in terms of the convolution of ring distributions taking into account the appropriate Jacobean. As expected, both $dn/dV^2$ and $F_e$ increase with decreasing $x$. These calculated distributions are all highly anisotropic since pitch-angle scattering was neglected. Far from the nucleus this is in agreement with observations, but in the vicinity of the interaction region the measured distributions appear to be isotropized (Hynds et al., 1986; Gloeckler et al., 1986). Furthermore, the calculated distributions in the solar wind frame of reference (not shown in Figure 3) have both low and high energy cutoffs, whereas the Gloeckler et al. (1986) measurements suggest that if there is a low energy cutoff it is considerably lower than what the calculations here would predict.

The density distribution in the shocked solar wind has the hot and cold components discussed earlier (Figure 3c). The hot component (with ion energies about 40 keV) has a high energy tail associated with shock acceleration. As Galeev et al. (1985) pointed out, the cold component (ion energies less than 2 keV) continues to grow as $x$ decreases and it contains most of the mass, although the hot component accounts for most of the pressure. The hot component is expected to decay near the stagnation region because the hot ions will escape from this region along the field lines and also because these ions will charge exchange with neutrals. These decay processes were not included in the current version of the Monte Carlo Model.

Summary

Cometary ion distributions were determined at several locations in the vicinity of comet G/Z using a Monte Carlo method in which 1000 trajectories were calculated. The background electric and magnetic fields were taken from a relatively simple model appropriate for the stagnation line. The next step in the development of this method is to employ a more realistic model for the average fields, such as the Fedder et al. (1986) MHD model. Magnetic field fluctuations should also be included to allow for pitch angle scattering and isotropization of the picked-up ions in the vicinity of the shock (or interaction region), as suggested by ICE measurements of ion distribution functions (Gloeckler et al., 1986). Finally, the results of the Monte Carlo model should be carefully compared with measurements made by instruments on the spacecraft flown to comets G/Z and Halley (e.g., Ogilvie et al., 1986).
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