MGS Radio Science electron density profiles: Interannual variability and implications for the Martian neutral atmosphere

S. W. Bougher,¹ S. Engel,² D. P. Hinson,³ and J. R. Murphy⁴

Received 10 July 2003; revised 9 January 2004; accepted 4 February 2004; published 23 March 2004.

Martian electron density profiles provided by the Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) Radio Science (RS) experiment over the 95–200 km altitude range indicate what the height of the electron peak and the longitudinal structure of the peak height are sensitive indicators of the physical state of the Mars lower and upper atmospheres. The present analysis is carried out on five sets of occultation profiles, all at high solar zenith angles (SZA). Variations spanning 2 Martian years are investigated near aphelion conditions at high northern latitudes (64.7–77.6N) making use of four of these data sets. A mean ionospheric peak height of 133.5–135 km is obtained near SZA = 78–82°; a corresponding mean peak density of 7.3–8.5 × 10⁴ cm⁻³ is also measured during solar moderate conditions at Mars. Strong wave number 2–3 oscillations in peak heights are consistently observed as a function of longitude over the 2 Martian years. These observed ionospheric features are remarkably similar during aphelion conditions 1 Martian year apart. This year-to-year repeatability in the thermosphere-ionosphere structure is consistent with that observed in multiyear aphelion temperature data of the Mars lower atmosphere [Clancy et al., 2000; Smith, 2004]. Coupled Mars general circulation model (MGCM) and Mars thermospheric general circulation model (MTGCM) codes are run for Mars aphelion conditions, yielding mean and longitude variable ionospheric peak heights that reasonably match RS observations. A tidal decomposition of MTGCM thermospheric densities shows that observed ionospheric wave number 3 features are linked to a nonmigrating tidal mode with semidiurnal period (σ = 2) and zonal wave number 1 (σ = −1) characteristics. The height of this photochemically determined ionospheric peak should be monitored regularly.


1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Very few Mars thermosphere and ionosphere data sets presently exist to confirm the solar cycle, interannual, seasonal, dust storm and diurnal variations of the Mars upper atmosphere above 100 km. The temporal and spatial coverage afforded by spacecraft sampling of the Mars thermosphere and lower ionosphere to date is rather limited [cf. Zhang et al., 1990; Bougher et al., 2002]. Nevertheless, a concerted effort is presently being made to utilize available Mars Global Surveyor neutral and plasma data sets to systematically investigate thermosphere/ionosphere variations on solar to diurnal time scales.

The radio occultation technique can be used to probe the neutral and plasma structure of the Mars atmosphere. The Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) Radio Science (RS) experiment employs an ultrastable oscillator aboard the spacecraft that permits retrieval of neutral temperature profiles (0–50 km) as well as electron density profiles (~95–200 km), providing a means to monitor the characteristics of the Martian lower and upper atmospheres. Detailed analysis of the available neutral temperature profiles of the lower atmosphere is described in several recent papers [e.g., Hinson et al., 1999, 2001, 2003a; Hinson and Wilson, 2002, 2004]. Corresponding electron density profiles are also beginning to be analyzed [Bougher et al., 2001; Mendillo et al., 2003; Ness et al., 2003; Krymskii et al., 2003]. These
electron density profiles are obtained at locations and during time periods often distinct from available accelerometer measurements of neutral thermospheric densities during aerobraking campaigns [Keating et al., 1998; Withers et al., 2003]. Clearly, the radio occultation profiles provide an opportunity to obtain high vertical resolution measurements of the Mars lower and upper atmosphere not possible otherwise.

In a recent paper, Bougher et al. [2001] examined an initial set of RS electron density profiles obtained in late 1998 (24–31 December 1998), covering high northern latitudes (65°–67°N), early morning solar local times (SLT = 3–4), and high solar zenith angles (SZA = 78 to 81°). These 32-profiles covered a wide range of planetocentric longitudes with regular spacing about the planet. The height of the primary ionospheric peak was observed to have a mean during this aphelion season at this location of ~134.4 km. In addition, strong wave number 3 oscillations about this mean were clearly observed as a function of longitude. These oscillations appear to correspond to the background neutral density structure. Available neutral density variations measured by the MGS accelerometer experiment at the same latitude but slightly earlier during the Martian year show similar longitude variations. A mean ionospheric peak density of 8.1 x 10^9 cm^-3 was also observed during this RS sampling period, and was found to be comparable to values observed by the Mariner 9 extended mission radio occultation measurements, taken also during similar solar cycle (F10.7 = 130 at 1 AU), seasonal (~1.63–1.66 AU heliocentric distance), and SZA (70°–80°) conditions [Zhang et al., 1990].

Analysis of these 32 MGS RS electron density profiles confirms that photochemical processes typically control the primary ionospheric peak height and magnitude [Bougher et al., 2001]. Previous Martian ionospheric measurements and modeling exercises have shown that the dayside ionosphere below ~180 km is not subject to vertical or horizontal transport of ionization [e.g., Zhang et al., 1990; Fox, 1997], but rather local photochemistry. Similarly, the mean RS ionospheric peak height observed in late 1998 is consistent with photochemical theory incorporating SZA and seasonal variations for Mars [Stewart, 1987; Zhang et al., 1990]. Furthermore, the longitude variations of the peak height about this mean reflect underlying neutral density oscillations in the thermosphere. Together, these neutral and electron density variations appear to be an excellent indicator of the dynamical coupling of the Mars lower and upper atmospheres. In particular, nonmigrating tidal forcing is implicated by these high latitude ionospheric wave features [Bougher et al., 2001].

These oscillations exhibit a longitude phasing similar to neutral density variations observed by the MGS accelerometer near 130 km [Keating et al., 1998; Withers et al., 2003], and temperature variations observed by the MGS Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) near 25 km [Wilson, 2000; Banfield et al., 2003]. The propagation and impact of these tidal waves throughout the Martian lower and upper atmospheres is now being investigated by several modeling groups [Forbes and Hagan, 2000; Forbes et al., 2002; Wilson, 2002; Withers et al., 2003].

This analysis of these RS electron density profiles confirms that the lower atmosphere indeed plays a role in elevating or lowering the thermosphere, thereby raising and lowering the ionospheric peak height. The peak height still occurs at optical depth unity, where peak absorption of EUV radiation by CO2 molecules occurs. However, the altitude at which this occurs is pushed up or down on constant pressure surfaces as the thermosphere expands or contracts. This expansion and contraction of the Mars atmosphere is well known; i.e., it is the result of changing solar heating with the seasons and aerosol heating that varies with the passage of dust storms [e.g., Zhang et al., 1990; Wang and Nielsen, 2003]. In addition, neutral density variations as a function of planetocentric latitude further modify the height of the ionospheric peak, giving rise to localized peaks and troughs in accord with photochemical theory [Bougher et al., 2001]. These properties of ionospheric peak heights will be exploited in this paper to extend the sampling of the neutral upper atmosphere to unique observing periods outside aerobraking campaigns using new RS electron density profiles.

1.2. Objectives of This Paper

A new suite of MGS RS electron density profiles is now present on the Stanford public Web site and available for study [Hinson et al., 2003b]. In this paper, we present a new analysis of RS ionospheric peak heights gleaned from five separate RS data sets obtained over two Martian years. This temporal sampling affords us the opportunity to examine interannual variations of these ionospheric features and the underlying neutral thermospheric structure near aphelion conditions. Our primary goal is to interpret the mean and longitude variations of these peak heights in order to further quantify the coupling of the Mars lower and upper atmospheres. In addition, our studies seek to confirm the repeatability of the Mars thermospheric structure near aphelion conditions from one Mars year to the next. The present MGS RS profiles collected over just two Martian years cannot fully characterize this interannual variability. Nevertheless, Mars lower atmosphere observations over several Martian years [see Clancy et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2003; Smith, 2004] clearly demonstrate a repeatable pattern of aphelion temperatures that should be reflected aloft in thermospheric densities and ionospheric peak heights.

The interpretation of these new RS electron density profiles will make use of a detailed three-dimensional (3-D) Mars thermospheric general circulation model (MTGCM) which incorporates a photochemical dayside ionosphere. The MTGCM simulates seasonal, SZA and longitude variations in the neutral density structure which will be reflected in the corresponding peak heights that are calculated. Matching of the observed RS and predicted MTGCM peak height variations enables us to extract the underlying SZA variation of the neutral thermospheric densities of the actual Martian thermosphere. The tidal processes responsible for maintaining the longitude variations of these peak heights will also be quantified by using the MTGCM code.
Table 1. MGS/RS Data Set Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sets (Profiles)</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Ls</th>
<th>SZA (LAT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDS1 (32)</td>
<td>24–31 December 1998</td>
<td>74–77</td>
<td>78–81 (64.7–67.3N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS2 (43)</td>
<td>9–27 March 1999</td>
<td>108–116</td>
<td>76.5–77.8 (69.7–73.3N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS3 (134)</td>
<td>9–21 December 2000</td>
<td>86.8–92.5</td>
<td>80.5–82.2 (67.5–69.6N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS4 (220)</td>
<td>5–29 May 1999</td>
<td>134.7–146.3</td>
<td>78.6–86.9 (64.7–69.1S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS5 (448)</td>
<td>9 Dec. 2000–31 Jan. 2001</td>
<td>86.8–110.9</td>
<td>75.3–82.2 (67.5–77.6N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. MGS/RS Electron Density Peak: Mean Magnitudes and Heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sets</th>
<th>Ne, 1/cm³</th>
<th>Height, km</th>
<th>Earth F10.7-cm flux</th>
<th>Mars F10.7-cm flux</th>
<th>Distance, AU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDS1</td>
<td>8.1E+04</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td>132–181</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS2</td>
<td>8.5E+04</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>103–155</td>
<td>41–59</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS3</td>
<td>7.5E+04</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>126–201</td>
<td>43–59</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS4</td>
<td>7.3E+04</td>
<td>134.1–139.4</td>
<td>132–186</td>
<td>54–76</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS5</td>
<td>8.5E+04</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>126–202</td>
<td>47–75</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aF10.7-cm fluxes at Mars: 25 (solar minimum, aphelion), 67 (solar moderate, average heliocentric distance), and 110 (solar maximum, perihelion). The F10.7-cm fluxes at Mars are properly rotated according to the specific solar elongation (see tables of Espenak [1994]). Terrestrial F10.7-cm fluxes are not rotated, but taken directly from online data sets. Mean heights and magnitudes of the primary peak for each data set are tabulated. Read 8.1E+04 as 8.1 × 10⁴.
at $130 \pm 10^\circ$ and $0 \pm 10^\circ$ longitude [Bougher et al., 2001]. (This plot is modified slightly from Figure 2a of Bougher et al. [2001]; i.e., 1-$\sigma$ error bars are corrected and presented in Figure 1). Deviations from this mean height can reach $\pm 4$–$7$ km. The wave number 1–3 least squares fit reveals that wave number 2 and 3 features are predominant. A wave number 3 fit alone (not shown) has much larger 1-$\sigma$ error bars. F1-peak magnitudes (see Table 2) have a mean of $8.1 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. Figure 2 demonstrates that the longitude coverage of the EDS2 data set is not complete. However, the mean F1-peak height (133.6 km) and magnitude ($8.5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$) are reasonably close to EDS1 data set values. This is consistent with the similar net solar flux, SZA, and seasonal conditions at Mars during the two observing periods. The longitude variation of F1-peak heights that is available (yet incomplete) for this EDS2 data set is still consistent with that of the earlier data set; i.e., peaks and troughs in the vicinity of 130E longitude appear to coincide. Aphelion season 1 (1998–1999) RS profiles are characterized by similar mean F1-peak heights and longitude specific variations that can be compared with corresponding features one Martian year later (2000–2001). This comparison specifically involves EDS1-2 (season 1) and EDS3 plus EDS5 (season 2) RS data sets.

### 3.2. Northern Hemisphere, Season 2 (EDS3, EDS5)

[15] Two data sets (EDS3, EDS5) are likewise available for aphelion season 2 (2000–2001) in the northern hemisphere (see Table 1). The EDS3 data set is a subset of the larger EDS5 data set (see Table 1). The former is composed of 134 electron density profiles collected near aphelion over a very small range of SZAs ($80–82^\circ$) again during solar moderate conditions ($F_{10.7} = 43–59$ at Mars). These parameters are similar to those of RS profiles obtained 1 Martian year earlier (see Tables 1 and 2), enabling interannual comparisons to be made directly. On the other hand, the larger (EDS5) data set is composed of 448 electron density profiles also collected around aphelion conditions. However, the SZA range is much larger than for previous data sets (EDS1, EDS2, EDS3), requiring that SZA variations be considered before extracting longitude variations of F1-peak heights. EDS5 electron density profiles were also sampled over a wider range of solar fluxes affecting magnitudes (see Table 2).

[16] Season 2 F1-peak heights (Figure 3) exhibit a longitudinal variation that closely resembles the longitude pattern observed in season 1 (Figures 1 and 2). A mean height of $\sim 134.8$ km is now extracted with local ridges at

---

**Figure 1.** EDS1 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of longitude (season 1, aphelion). For this plot, a least squares wave number 1–3 spectral fit (solid curve) is applied to the RS data, with corresponding 1-$\sigma$ errors (dotted curves) illustrated for this fit. The figure is revised from Figure 2a of Bougher et al. [2001]; a corrected 1-$\sigma$ envelop is now displayed.

**Figure 2.** EDS2 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of longitude (season 1, aphelion). For this plot, a least squares spectral fit is not applied, since the longitude coverage for this sampling period is poor (not uniform).

**Figure 3.** EDS3 data set (subset of EDS5). This EDS3 data set covers a narrower range of SZA than the entire EDS5 data set, thereby minimizing SZA variations. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of longitude (season 2, aphelion). For this plot, a least squares wave number 1–3 spectral fit (solid curve) is applied to the RS data, with corresponding 1-$\sigma$ errors (dotted curves) illustrated for this fit.
lower and upper atmosphere structures are nearly the same for the 2 aphelion seasons covered by the MGS RS data sets. Available multiyear temperature data from the MGS TES instrument [Liu et al., 2003; Smith, 2004] and ground-based microwave observations [Clancy et al., 2000] near aphelion observing periods confirm the general repeatability of the Mars lower atmosphere structure from one Mars year to the next. Furthermore, larger scale dust storms that typically occur around perihelion do not have an impact on dust opacities near aphelion, which vary little from year to year [Liu et al., 2003]. The new MGS RS observations of the Mars ionospheric structure presented in this paper are consistent with this lower atmosphere aphelion trend. However, it is not possible to extend this conclusion to all aphelion seasons without further upper atmosphere data.

3.3. Southern Hemisphere, Season 1 (EDS4)

[19] The EDS4 data set is obtained during northern hemisphere mid-summer conditions (Ls = 134.7–146.3) from RS occultations conducted in the southern hemisphere (see Table 1). This season 1 (1999) data set has no multi-Martian year counterpart, but it is useful for comparison to the previous data sets near aphelion conditions at smaller SZAs. The EDS4 data set is composed of 220 electron density profiles collected over a relatively large range of SZAs (78.6–86.9°) again during solar moderate conditions (F10.7-cm = 54–76) at Mars.

[20] Figure 6 illustrates the SZA variations of F1-peak heights for this EDS4 data set. Clearly, SZA variations must be taken into account before extracting longitude variations of F1-peak heights. The rise of F1-peak heights, and the decrease of the corresponding magnitudes, with increasing SZA is very characteristic of photochemical equilibrium conditions. The F1-peak heights require independent information about the background neutral atmospheric scale height near the F1-peak to perform a suitable correction for SZA variations [Chamberlain and Hunten, 1987; Zhang et al., 1990]. For our studies, peak heights will be examined

Figure 4. EDS5 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of SZA (season 2, aphelion). No clear trend of heights with SZA is apparent in this data set. This enables the entire EDS5 data set to be examined directly with no SZA correction. A total of 448 RS profiles is included.

Figure 5. EDS5 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of longitude (season 2, aphelion). For this plot, a least squares wave number 1–3 spectral fit (solid curve) is applied to the RS data, with corresponding 1-σ errors (dotted curves) illustrated for this fit. A total of 448 RS profiles is included.
over smaller segments of the entire EDS4 data set, for which SZA variations are greatly reduced; i.e., (a) 78–81°, (b) 81–84°, and (c) 84–87° bins are chosen for this purpose. [21] F1-peak heights over these three chosen EDS4 bins are examined, with a wave number 1 fit applied to the longitude variations that appear for each (Figures 7a–7c). In addition, a composite fit to the entire EDS4 data set (spanning SZA = 78–87°) is conducted and presented in Figure 7d. For the fitted segments and the composite, F1-peak heights exhibit a wave number 1 variation, with a ridge near 125 ± 25E and a corresponding trough near 305 ± 25E. The background mean height rises from (a) 134.1 km, to (b) 135.0 km, to (c) 139.4 km in conjunction with the increasing SZA conditions for each bin. Most importantly, the overall longitude pattern for each bin remains unchanged, with a composite mean F1-peak height of ~136.0 km (see Figure 7d). The larger range of SZA conditions distinguish this data set from the others thus far examined in the northern hemisphere. The range of the F1-peak heights derived for this data set is larger than the range of the mean heights observed in the northern hemisphere. The most similar comparison of peak heights is found among data sets with

Figure 6. EDS4 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of SZA (season 1, northern mid-summer). Strong trends of these heights with SZA are visible, suggesting the partitioning of the entire data set into smaller SZA intervals is appropriate. A total of 220 RS profiles is included.

Figure 7. EDS4 data set. The heights of the primary electron density peak are presented as a function of longitude (season 1, northern mid-summer) for: (a) subset bin 1 (SZA = 78–81°), (b) subset bin 2 (SZA = 81–84°), (c) subset bin 3 (SZA = 84–87°), and (d) the entire EDS4 sample (SZA = 78–87°). For these plots, a least squares wave number 1 spectral fit (solid curve) is applied to the RS data, with corresponding 1-σ errors (dotted curves) illustrated for each fit.
similar SZA conditions (78–81°), which occurs for the EDS1 data set (134.4 km) and the early portion of the EDS4 data set (134.1 km). These data set comparisons confirm that SZA and seasonal conditions are important for controlling local Martian ionospheric peak heights on the dayside. Additional longitudinal variations are superimposed upon these well known SZA and seasonal trends.

[22] It is noteworthy to compare the differences in the longitude structures observed in the northern and the southern hemisphere data sets, both for high SZA conditions. Figures 1, 3, and 5 reveal a consistent wave number 2–3 pattern of longitude variations of the ionospheric peak heights for the northern hemisphere. Each of these data sets was obtained during local summer at early morning solar local times (SLT = 3–4). On the other hand, Figure 7d illustrates a southern hemisphere pattern of corresponding longitude variations for which wave number 1 features are predominant. This data set was obtained during winter conditions near local noon. It is possible that these differences reflect the role that seasonal and diurnal conditions have upon the successful vertical propagation of migrating and nonmigrating tidal modes up to thermospheric heights. Further discussion is given in section 4.

4. Brief Overview of Tidal Theory and Mars Applications

[23] Atmospheric tides are global-scale oscillations in temperature, wind, density, and pressure at periods which are harmonics of a solar or lunar day [Forbes, 1995]. The dominant forcing in the Martian atmosphere is solar heating by atmospheric and dust absorption. Both in situ thermally forced and upward propagating tides are thought to impact Martian altitudes above 100 km. If the excitation of such oscillations is nonuniform in longitude, then a Fourier series approximation of the zonal asymmetries can be derived for each atmospheric response field. Chapman and Lindzen [1970, Forbes and Hagan [2000], and others carefully outline the equations associated with these classical tidal oscillations. Mostly importantly, zonal wave number (s = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...), temporal harmonic (σ = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...), and phase (φ) parameters are commonly specified to identify the different tidal modes and their characteristics. For a diurnal tide, σ = 1; for a semidiurnal tide, σ = 2. Westward propagating tides have s > 0, eastward propagating tides have s < 0, and zonally-symmetric tides have s = 0. To an observer fixed on the planet, waves with s = σ migrate westward with the apparent motion of the sun; these oscillations are called “migrating tides”. Waves with values of s ≠ σ travel faster or slower than the sun, or are standing (s = 0); these oscillations are called “nonmigrating tides”. Much of the excitation for these nonmigrating tides on Mars is thought to occur as a result of nonlinear interactions between radiative processes and the surface, especially local topography. To first order, a longitudinal modulation of the westward-migrating tides is induced by the large scale topographic features of Mars [Zurek, 1976; Wilson and Hamilton, 1996; Forbes et al., 2002]. MGS accelerometer and RS observations were taken at nearly constant solar local time. In this context, the classical tidal equations demonstrate that the observed migrating tides become independent of longitude, while nonmigrating tides capture the longitude dependence of the tidal oscillations [Forbes et al., 2002]. These nonmigrating tides now appear to be stationary with respect to the Mars surface with a zonal wave number of m = |s − σ|.

[24] Nonmigrating tidal components in the lower and upper atmospheres of Mars have received a great deal of attention since the advent of MGS TES and accelerometer data [e.g., Banfield et al., 2000, 2003; Forbes and Hagan, 2000; Wilson, 2002; Forbes et al., 2002; Withers et al., 2003]. The existence of significant longitude variations in the Mars thermospheric densities was first reported by Keating et al. [1998]. Later, detailed descriptions of these longitude features were given by Forbes et al. [2002] and Withers et al. [2003]. MGS accelerometer measurements of dayside thermospheric densities near 130 km revealed the presence of a ±22% wave number 3 component and a ±18% wave number 2 component throughout Phase 2 aerobraking over 50N to 60S latitude [Withers et al., 2003]. High northern latitude (60N) wave number 3 density oscillations were observed to be even larger. Subsequently, Bougher et al. [2001] observed the wave number 3 component again in the longitude variations of the RS electron density peak heights at 65–67N latitude (see section 1). It was proposed that this wave number 3 feature is due to an upward propagating nonmigrating tidal mode having σ = 2 (semidiurnal) and s = −1 (wave number 1, eastward propagating) characteristics [Bougher et al., 2001].

[25] This paper confirms the persistence of this wave number 3 feature in new RS data sets over 2-Mars years (see section 3). We now seek to quantify the nonmigrating tidal mode responsible for this wave number 3 feature by making use of new coupled MGCM-MTGCM simulations.

5. MTGCM Simulations and Interpretation of Northern Hemisphere Data Sets

5.1. Brief Summary of Coupled MGCM-MTGCM Codes Used

[26] The MTGCM itself is a finite difference primitive equation model that self-consistently solves for time-dependent neutral temperatures, neutral-ion densities, and three component neutral winds over the globe (see details given by Bouger et al. [1990, 1999a, 1999b, 2000, 2002, 2003]). Prognostic equations for the major neutral species (CO2, CO, N2, and O), selected minor neutral species (Ar, He, and O2), and several photochemically produced ions (e.g., O2+, CO2+, O+, and NO+ below 180 km) are included. These fields are simulated on 33 pressure levels (above 1.32 bar), corresponding to ~70–300 km (solar maximum conditions), with a 5° latitude and longitude resolution. The vertical coordinate is log-pressure, with a vertical spacing of 0.5 scale heights. Key adjustable parameters which can be varied for individual MTGCM cases include the F10.7 index (solar EUV/UV flux variation), the heliocentric distance and solar declination corresponding to the Mars seasons.

[27] The MTGCM is presently coupled to the NASA Ames Mars General Circulation Model (MGCM) code [e.g., Haberle et al., 1999] at the 1.32 bar level, which falls in the altitude range of 60–80 km. This coupling allows both migrating and nonmigrating upward propagating tides to cross the MTGCM lower boundary and the effects of the
thermal expansion and contraction of the Mars lower atmosphere to extend to the thermosphere. The entire atmospheric response to simulated dust storms can also be monitored using these coupled models. Key prognostic and diagnostic fields are passed upward from the MGCM to the MTGCM at the 1.32-microbar pressure surface at every MTGCM grid point: temperatures (T), zonal (U) and meridional (V) winds, and geopotential heights (Z). Two dimensional interpolation is applied to construct MGCM fields at 1.32-microbars that match the specific 5°/C2/C176 MTGCM grid structure. No downward coupling from the MTGCM to the MGCM is presently activated. These two climate models are each run with a 2-minute time step, with the MGCM exchanging fields with the MTGCM at this frequency. Ten Martian day simulations are typically conducted for various Mars seasonal and solar cycle conditions. Model histories are archived at 1- or 3-hour intervals throughout the Martian day, in order to capture the impact of longitude forcing upon time-dependent (specific local time) features throughout the integration. This coupled MGCM-MTGCM system has been validated using an assortment of spacecraft observations, including MGS Phase 1 and 2 aerobraking data [e.g., Bougher et al., 1999b, 2003]. Assuming constant solar EUV fluxes, the MTGCM model cannot simulate interannual variability apart from interannual changes in the MGCM lower atmosphere dust opacities. The dust distribution and integrated vertical opacity can be simulated or specified according to recent MGS TES global data [see Liu et al., 2003; Smith, 2004].

Figure 8. MGCM-MTGCM simulation for aphelion (Ls = 90) solar moderate flux conditions: (a) LOG10 electron densities (units of #/cm³) and (b) LOG10 mass densities (units of kg/km³). Both slices at SLT = 3 local time, illustrating the SZA behavior of the simulated primary peak magnitude and height, plus the underlying neutral density structure. Latitudes of 67.5 to 77.5N correspond to solar zenith angles of 82 to 74°.
[28] At present, a simple photochemical ionosphere is formulated for the MTGCM including O$_2$, CO$_2$, O$^+$, and NO$^+$ below 180 km. Key ion-neutral reactions and rates are taken from Fox et al. [1995]; empirical electron and ion temperatures are adopted from the Viking mission. The ionization rates required for these production rates are calculated self-consistently making use of specified solar EUV fluxes. Photoelectron contributions to these ionization rates are parameterized within the MTGCM code.

[29] Aphelion season ($L_s = 90$) inputs are chosen for the coupled MGCM-MTGCM simulation presented in this paper. The scaled solar fluxes at Mars during aphelion solar moderate conditions are prescribed with an $F_{10.7} = 47.0$. The corresponding solar declination for northern summer on Mars is $+25.0^\circ$. An MGCM visible dust opacity of 0.4 is implemented and assumed to be horizontally uniform over the globe. We compare the MTGCM simulations with the two years of MGS aphelion northern hemisphere data which is presented above in section 3. MTGCM plots are presented in section 5.2 that illustrate the longitude and SZA variations of the simulated electron density peak heights and magnitudes. Model comparisons to data are supplemented by the tidal decomposition of local neutral densities over thermospheric altitudes. This will enable the tidal fields responsible for the simulated longitude variations at high northern latitudes to be identified and their relative importance quantified as a function of altitude and latitude.

5.2. MGCM-MTGCM Coupled Model Results

[30] Figure 8a illustrates the latitude and altitude variations of MTGCM calculated electron densities at a constant solar local time of 3.0 hours (SLT = 3). A longitude of 225$^\circ$ is chosen for display, which is located midway between high northern latitude ridges and troughs of the MTGCM background neutral density structure (see Figure 9). Latitudes from 67.5 to 77.5$^\circ$ correspond to solar zenith angles from 82 to 74$^\circ$, consistent with the SZA range of RS data sets acquired in the northern hemisphere (see Table 1).

[31] Photochemical control is clearly demonstrated as one observes the MTGCM electron density peak heights to rise from $\sim 130$ to $134$ km, and the peak magnitudes to decrease from $9.2 \times 10^9$ to $8.7 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$ with increasing SZA (74 to 82$^\circ$). MTGCM calculated F$_1$-peak heights ($131–134$ km) covering 78–82$^\circ$ SZA encompass those observed for the EDS1, EDS2, and EDS3 data sets, as well as for the mean SZA conditions of the EDS5 data set (see Table 2). This demonstrates that the coupled MGCM-MTGCM reliably reproduces the fundamental features of the neutral lower and upper atmospheres of Mars at aphelion conditions. The corresponding SZA variation of calculated thermospheric densities is illustrated in Figure 8b, revealing a 33% decline of neutral densities at 135 km from SZA = 74 to 82$^\circ$. This simulated SZA variation suggests that the Mars near terminator neutral densities at this location are declining toward the nightside at a rate less than required to keep up with the increase in the slant path. Therefore peak heights are rising with increasing SZA, unlike the situation over most of the Venus dayside [Cravens et al., 1981]. Small model-data set differences do exist; i.e., the MTGCM calculated peak magnitudes are somewhat larger than observed (by 20–25%), and the corresponding average MTGCM peak heights are somewhat lower than observed (by $\sim 3.0$ km) at the highest RS latitudes sampled. Historically, electron density peaks and altitudes were derived from radio occultation electron profiles obtained during the Mariner 9 extended mission [Zhang et al., 1990]. For similar solar cycle, orbital, and SZA conditions, altitudes of $135 \pm 5$ km and densities of $0.75–1.2 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ were observed. These values are consistent with the MTGCM predictions. Hence the reasonable match of this single MTGCM photochemical ionosphere simulation with RS observations over 2 Martian years provides a reference upper atmosphere model against which additional longitude variations of neutral densities and F$_1$-peak heights can be studied.

[32] The averaged MTGCM neutral densities over 62.5–77.5$^\circ$ latitude are displayed in Figure 9a as a function of longitude at a constant solar local time (SLT = 3). These
longitude variations in total density are given at 133 km, close to the calculated mean ionospheric peak height. These density variations are produced by the interaction of in situ driven thermospheric densities and upward propagating nonmigrating tides captured by the coupled MGCM-MTGCM simulation [e.g., Bougher et al., 2003; Withers et al., 2003]. Neutral density ridges at these high northern latitudes are calculated at $0 \pm 20^\circ E$, $135 \pm 15^\circ E$, and $255 \pm 15^\circ E$ longitude; the largest of these is found at $0 \pm 20^\circ E$ longitude. The longitude phasing of these MTGCM density ridges reasonably matches that observed for season 1 and 2 RS electron density peak heights (see Figures 1, 3, and 5).

The magnitudes of these simulated MTGCM density ridges and troughs have a standard deviation of $\pm 43\%$ about a mean of $\sim 0.7$ kg/km$^3$. Assuming an atmospheric scale height of $\sim 6.5$–7.5 km, this implies the corresponding F1-peak heights should oscillate by $\pm 3.0$–3.5 km about a longitude mean value.

Figure 9b illustrates the corresponding longitude variations of the averaged electron density peak heights for this same latitude band. A wave number 3 oscillation appears for these peak heights, yielding ridges and troughs that are in phase with MTGCM neutral densities over 62.5–77.5N latitude (see Figure 9a). The magnitudes of the MTGCM height variations (ridge-to-trough) are scattered about a mean value of 133.5 km with a standard deviation of $\pm 3.4$ km. Figure 9b also combines all the northern hemisphere RS observations (the rms fitted and $1-\sigma$ curves) and the MGCM-MTGCM calculated F1-peak heights for detailed comparison of longitude features. The ridge-to-trough height variations (MTGCM and RS data) are generally matched from 0E to 200E longitude, corresponding to the most prominent density ridges in Figure 9a. However, weaker longitude features in the observed RS peak heights, particularly near 210–270E longitude, are not well reproduced by the MGCM-MTGCM simulation.

Finally, a wave number versus frequency decomposition of the MTGCM density field has been conducted (Figure 10a), revealing a prominent semidiurnal tidal response at high northern latitudes at 130 km. Simulated wave number 3 density features in fixed solar local time are clearly maintained by an eastward propagating, semidiurnal period ($\sigma = 2$) zonal wave number 1 ($s = -1$) tidal mode (long dashed curve) [Bougher et al., 2001; Wilson, 2002; Withers et al., 2003]. Current theory suggests that this wave number 1 nonmigrating tidal mode (hereafter indicated by SW1) arises from wave number 3 topographic modulation of the migrating semidiurnal tide [e.g., Forbes et al., 2002]. Banfield et al. [2003] finds seemingly little evidence for this SW1 mode’s presence at tropical latitudes in the Martian lower atmosphere thermal field during the time intervals covered by the RS data. Likewise, Figure 10a illustrates that this SW1 mode is much weaker in the MGCM-MTGCM simulation at lower latitudes (equatorward of 50 N). The migrating diurnal tide, generated by in situ solar EUV heating, is also important in the Martian thermosphere above $\sim 125$ km (solid curve). Figure 10b illustrates a latitude-height plot of the variation of the SW1 nonmigrating tidal mode amplitude with respect to the background mean, revealing fractional amplitudes of 0.15 to 0.30 over 110 to 160 km near 60–80N latitude. This plot should be compared with that of Wilson [2002] (see Figure 3c), whose lower atmosphere GFDL MGCM model also predicted the importance of this same SW1 tidal mode specifically at these high northern latitudes in the Martian thermosphere. The present RS analysis further quantifies the importance of this nonmigrating tidal forcing upon the lower ionospheric structure at Mars.

In conclusion, it is evident that wave number 3 longitude features exhibited in these MTGCM neutral densities near 130 km partially explain the corresponding variations in the observed F1-peak heights at these high northern latitudes. The impact of upward propagating nonmigrating tides upon thermospheric density variations and corresponding ionospheric peak heights is consistent with an expanded application of photochemical theory. Furthermore, the application of a global thermosphere model (MTGCM) with an embedded simple photochemical ionosphere is a valuable technique for interpreting these dayside RS electron density profiles below 180 km. General agreement between the simulated mean F1-peak heights, and their longitude variations, with several RS data sets is
obtained. However, the actual structure of the Mars neutral atmosphere and wave dynamics is not completely reproduced by this coupled MGCM-MTGCM simulation. An isolated semi-diurnal period \((s = -1)\) tidal mode is not sufficient to capture the wave number 2 and 3 longitude features observed. Furthermore, gravity wave impacts on atmospheric density variations are not addressed. In the future, the joint application of a detailed ionospheric model [e.g., Fox, 1997], a global model coupling both the small and large scale dynamics of the Mars lower and upper atmospheres [e.g., Bougher et al., 2003; Angelats-i-Coll et al., 2003], and a time-variable solar flux model [Tobiska et al., 2000] is needed to systematically sort out the combined F1-peak height and magnitude variations in the Martian dayside ionosphere. Temporal (day-to-day, seasonal, solar cycle) and spatial (SZA, longitude) variations can then be addressed in great detail.

6. Summary and Conclusions

[36] Northern hemisphere MGS Radio Science electron density profiles taken over two Mars years at high latitudes \((65–78^\circ N)\) provide a means to investigate the interannual variability of the Mars thermosphere/ionosphere during aphelion conditions. The primary ionospheric peak height varies with the background neutral atmosphere structure as a function of SZA and season, as expected according to photochemical theory. During 1998-1999 (aphelion season 1) and 2000–2001 (aphelion season 2), a repeatable longitude pattern is also observed in the high northern latitude ionospheric peak heights. A mean height of \(133.5–135\) km is maintained over these two Martian years, with distinct local maxima occurring at \(130 \pm 20^\circ E\) and \(345 \pm 15^\circ E\) longitude. A pattern with prominent wave number 2 and 3 components is visible, and is consistent with upward propagating non-migrating tides generated by modulation of migrating tides that interact with the large Martian topography [Bougher et al., 2001; Wilson, 2002; Forbes et al., 2002; Withers et al., 2003]. This longitude pattern is superimposed upon the background SZA and seasonal trends in the ionosphere that are well known. It is remarkable that these ionospheric features, both the F1-peak mean height and longitude variations, are so similar during aphelion conditions one Martian year apart. The present analysis implies that the Mars lower and upper atmosphere structures are nearly the same for the 2 aphelion seasons covered by the MGS RS data sets. Available multiyear temperature data from the MGS TES instrument and ground-based microwave observations near aphelion observing periods confirm the general repeatability of the Mars lower atmosphere structure from one Mars year to the next. The new MGS RS profiles of the Mars ionospheric structure presented in this paper are consistent with this lower atmosphere aphelion trend. However, it is not possible to extend this conclusion to all aphelion seasons without further upper atmosphere data.

[35] Coupled MGCM-MTGCM simulations for these same aphelion and solar moderate conditions at Mars yield mean and longitude variable ionospheric peak heights, as well as mean ionospheric peak magnitudes, that reasonably match MGS RS observations. This correspondence indicates that the presently coupled MGCM-MTGCM models do capture the basic atmospheric dynamics and fundamental photochemical processes controlling the Martian dayside ionosphere near 130 km. The MTGCM thus provides a reference model upper atmosphere against which additional longitude variations of neutral densities and F1-peak heights can be studied. A tidal decomposition of MTGCM thermospheric densities reveals wave number 3 features that are specifically linked to semidiurnal period \((s = 2)\) zonal wave number 1 \((s = -1)\) tidal modes having the largest impact at/above 125 km. This pattern of electron peak heights is also largely consistent with the MGS accelerometer longitude pattern of neutral densities near 130 km.

[39] Finally, only one season \((1998–1999)\) of electron density profiles is thus far available for the southern hemisphere \((65–69^\circ S)\), now spanning a significant swath of SZA \((78–87^\circ S)\). The observed variations in densities (decreasing) and heights (increasing) with increasing SZA confirm the strong photochemical control of the primary ionospheric peak heights and magnitudes. This is surprising since southern hemisphere crustal magnetic field structures are widespread and possess strong radial fields that should impact the ionospheric structure [Ness et al., 2003; Krymskii et al., 2003]. In addition, wave number 1 zonal variations in the ionospheric peak heights are observed, but are thus far unexplained.

[40] This paper demonstrates that the zonal variations of the Mars ionospheric peak heights first reported by Bougher et al. [2001] seem to be a persistent feature of the high latitude ionosphere near aphelion conditions. This ionospheric variability is in accord with the underlying neutral atmospheric structure. This fact should be exploited to expand our sampling of the Mars upper atmosphere. A long-term program for Mars thermosphere-ionosphere monitoring is needed to systematically investigate upper atmosphere variations on solar, seasonal, and diurnal time scales. The value of our present results, based upon a limited sampling of RS data, supports regular observations of ionospheric peak heights on the dayside of Mars. These data would provide an independent measure of the changing state of the Martian upper atmosphere.
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