Graphene and Other Carbon Sorbents for Selective Adsorption of Thiophene from Liquid Fuel
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Significance

Four types of carbons (activated carbon, Maxsorb superactivated carbon, mesoporous templated carbon CMK-3, and graphene) were investigated as selective sorbents for adsorption of thiophene from its solution in n-octane. Graphene showed clearly the highest sulfur capacity. The relative sulfur capacities correlated well with the relative heats of adsorption. The carbene-type zigzag edge sites and the carbyne-type armchair edge sites on graphene are among the possible sites for strong interactions with thiophene.
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Desulfurization of liquid hydrocarbon fuels and coal-derived liquids has attracted intense research interests.1,2 Deep desulfurization of transportation fuels has been mandated by the United States and is also needed for fuel cell applications.2 Various types of adsorbents such as mixed metal oxides, zeolites, activated carbon, clays and mesoporous materials have been developed and investigated.2–11 Among carbon materials, nanostructured carbons, ordered mesoporous carbon (CMK-n), and graphene, have recently attracted much research interest. Mesoporous carbons, having the features of controllable porosity, ordered and uniform pore sizes and high-surface areas, have been studied and applied in the fields of battery electrodes, supercapacitors, adsorbents, and catalysis.12,13 Graphene, a one-atom-thick planar sheet of sp²-bonded carbon atoms that are densely packed in a honeycomb crystal lattice, has shown fascinating characteristics of the quantum Hall effect, extremely high-carrier mobility, ambipolar field-effect, sensitive response to single molecules, and is currently a research topic of intense interest.14,15 However, the desulfurization properties of these carbons have not been studied. In this Letter, four types of carbon sorbents, mesoporous carbon, graphene, activated carbon and superactivated carbon Maxsorb were investigated for the adsorption of thiophene from the liquid mixture of thiophene/n-octane. These typical carbon sorbents were studied and compared as potential candidates for desulfurization of transportation fuels.

The synthesis and characterization details of these carbon sorbents were described in Supplementary Materials. The surface areas and porosities of the four carbon sorbents were evaluated by nitrogen adsorption at 77 K and shown in Figure 1. The isotherm of activated carbon (AC) exhibited a Type I curve, thus, showing the presence of microporosity. The BET surface area and pore volume were 1040 m²/g and 0.57 cm³/g, respectively. These textural properties are comparable to those of typical activated carbons.16 The isotherm of the Maxsorb carbon exhibited a steep increase at low pressure and a slow increase before 200 Torr, indicating the presence of micropores and some larger pores. The BET surface area and pore volume of the Maxsorb carbon were 3311 m²/g and 1.7 cm³/g, which were among the highest for activated carbons.16 The high-surface area and porosity of Maxsorb resulted from the molten alkali (KOH) activation process, which is an established method for producing highly microporous carbon. For mesoporous carbon (CMK-3), the nitrogen isotherm clearly exhibited the Type IV behavior.
with a hysteresis loop, indicating the presence of mesoporosity. The BET surface area and pore volume were 1273 m$^2$/g and 1.2 cm$^3$/g for CMK-3. For graphene, the isotherm exhibited a Type-I curve at low-relative pressures and a Type-IV curve with a hysteresis loop at higher pressures. This indicated the presence of microporosity, mesoporosity, and some macroporosity. The huge hysteresis loop in the higher relative pressure (larger than 0.4) indicated the presence of a large amount of mesopores and macropores. It is noted that the BET surface area of graphene was 708 m$^2$/g, which was lower than the theoretical surface area of 2630 m$^2$/g for a single isolated graphene sheet. This was due to the overlap and stacking of the exfoliated graphene layers, which was also responsible for the formed mesopores and macropores.

To characterize the structure of the synthesized CMK-3 and graphene, transmission electron microscopy was performed on these samples. The images of CMK-3 showed well-ordered mesostructure (Figures 2A and 2B). The TEM image of graphene showed a wrinkled and agglomerated graphene sheet-like structure (Figure 2C). The graphene layers were overlapped and connected to form mesoporosity and macroporosity, which were consistent with the nitrogen adsorption results.

The adsorption isotherms were measured in the low concentration range of thiophene sulfur (0–200 ppmw-S) for simulating the thiophene contained in commercial fuels. The equilibrium isotherms for the adsorption of thiophene from binary solutions in $n$-octane on AC, Maxsorb, CMK-3 and graphene are shown in Figure 3. Clearly, all carbon sorbents could adsorb thiophene from octane, and the adsorbed amount of thiophene continued to increase with the increase in concentration for all carbon sorbents. It is interesting to note that the thiophene adsorption capacities of these carbon sorbents followed the order of graphene > CMK-3 > Maxsorb > AC, which was different from the order of the BET surface areas of these carbon sorbents. Maxsorb, with the highest BET surface area among all the carbons tested, showed a low capacity, while graphene with the lowest surface area adsorbed the largest amount of thiophene uptake. These results indicated that surface area is not a critical factor influencing sulfur adsorption capacity of carbon sorbents.

Normalized by the BET surface areas, the difference in the thiophene adsorption capacities among these sorbents became clearer. The normalized thiophene capacities per 500 m$^2$/g sorbent were 0.06 mmol-S for graphene, 0.027 mmol-S for CMK-3, 0.017 mmol-S for AC, and 0.007 mmol-S for Maxsorb. Clearly, graphene had a superior capacity for thiophene compared to other carbon sorbents. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study of the desulfurization property of graphene. To understand the difference in the desulfurization capacity among these sorbents, we further
investigated and compared the temperature dependence of thiophene adsorption isotherms and heats of adsorption on these sorbents.

The heats of adsorption are known to be critical in estimating the performance of an adsorptive separation process and understanding the adsorption process. Figure 4 shows the equilibrium isotherms of thiophene from the binary n-octane solution on Maxsorb, AC, CMK-3 and graphene at 293 and 323 K. Since adsorption is an exothermic process, the adsorption amount of thiophene decreased on all carbon sorbents as temperature was increased from 293 to 323 K. Isosteric heats of adsorption were calculated using the Clusius-Clapeyron equation (see Supplementary Material). As shown in Figure 4 insets, the isosteric heats \( q_{st} \) were \( 19-24 \) kJ/mol for graphene, \( 16-18 \) kJ/mol for CMK-3, \( 17 \) kJ/mol for AC, and \( 14-15 \) kJ/mol for Maxsorb. This result can be attributed to a number of possible reasons. The heats of adsorption on these sorbents were in fair agreement with their specific adsorption capacities. The higher heat of adsorption on graphene indicated the presence of more energetic sites on graphene. It is known that defect sites and edge sites (i.e., armchair and zigzag edge sites), and their joint corner sites could act as active sites for adsorption.\(^{17,18}\) As discussed by Radovic and Bockrath,\(^{17}\) the carbene-type zigzag edge sites (i.e., with two unshared valence electrons), as well as the carbyne (with a triple bond between two C atoms) type armchair edges are highly active and they dictate the electronic and surface properties. The strong interactions between thiophene and graphene could possibly be attributed to the electrostatic interactions with these edge sites. The connectivity, variation in size and shape of the graphene sheets, and number of stacked graphene sheets that formed the pore walls caused the energetic heterogeneity. The sulfuric acid and nitric acid treatments during sample preparation also contributed to forming heterogeneous sites on graphene. It was reported that acid functional groups on carbon surface strengthened the adsorption of sulfur-containing compounds.\(^{19,20}\) Acid functional groups (e.g., carboxylic groups) are complementary to the lone pair of electrons of the sulfur atoms of thiophene.\(^{19,20}\) For Maxsorb, the KOH process during synthesis of Maxsorb removed the acid groups, which explained its low-sulfur adsorption capacity. It is noteworthy that although all these carbon sorbents could adsorb thiophene from n-octane, their capacity for thiophene was not high when compared to bulky thiophenic sulfur or adsorption on modified sorbents. From the heats of adsorption results, it is known that this was due to the relatively weak interactions between the small thiophene molecule and the carbon sorbents.

In brief, four types of carbons (activated carbon, Maxsorb superactivated carbon, mesoporous carbon CMK-3, and graphene) were investigated for adsorption of thiophene from its binary solutions in n-octane. We found that graphene had a superior capacity toward thiophene compared to other...
carbon sorbents. The adsorption capacities for thiophene followed the order: graphene > CMK-3 > Maxsorb > AC. Surface area is not a critical factor influencing sulfur capacity of carbon sorbents. The relative sulfur adsorption capacities were correlated well with the relative heats of adsorption. Further detailed study is needed to understand the desulfurization performance of these carbon sorbents.
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