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On May 23, 1989, a great earthquake struck a remote region of the South Pacific Ocean between New Zealand and Antarctica. The nearby islands are Macquarie Island, about 300 km south of the epicenter, and Campbell Island, almost 600 km east of the epicenter; the latter experienced shaking with intensity V. Fortunately, both islands are sparsely inhabited and little damage or injuries were reported. In addition, only relatively small tsunamis were recorded on tide records in Australia. We can all take comfort from the fact that this great earthquake had little impact upon the activities of the nearby communities, yet it has had substantial impact within the global seismological and tectonic communities. With respect to seismology, the 1989 Macquarie Ridge event is the largest earthquake of the decade. Moreover, this earthquake provided a "test" for the rapid exchange of digital seismograms from the new-generation global seismographic stations. With respect to tectonics, this earthquake occurred in a somewhat unusual tectonic setting where a new subduction zone may be starting.

A special session on the great 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake was held at the 1989 Fall meeting of the American Geophysical Union. This special section is based largely on papers presented at the AGU meeting, in particular the papers by: Ekstrom & Romanowicz, Satake & Kanamori, Tichelaar & Ruff, Anderson, Park, and Gross & Chao. In addition, this special issue contains contributions from Braunnmiller & Nabelek, and Houston. These eight papers cover many different aspects of the great Macquarie Ridge earthquake, i.e., moment tensor, focal mechanism, source time function, rupture process, stress-drop, ultra-long period characteristics, and seismotectonics. Rather than preview the research contributions in the following papers, I turn my focus to the seismological environment and tectonic context in which this great earthquake occurred.

Seismological aspects

First and foremost, the 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake is the largest earthquake of the 1980's (Table 1 and Figure 1). To be more specific, it is the largest earthquake, as measured by seismic moment, since the great underthrusting earthquake of December 12, 1979, along the Colombia-Ecuador subduction zone. An interesting feature of seismicity in the 1980's is the large number of strike-slip earthquakes (Table 1).

The great size of the 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake was immediately apparent in the preliminary $M_s$ estimates of 8 or larger. Since the daily seismic activity is now available through electronic mail from the NEIC, many seismologists were soon aware of this event. Even more impressive is how quickly waveform data and preliminary estimates of focal mechanism and seismic moment were obtained. New generation IRIS digital seismographs were operating in Pasadena, CA and "Harvard" MA; the dial-up capability of these stations allows the entire seismological community immediate access to these waveforms. In fact, Dr. Dziewonski and coworkers (Harvard) and Drs. Kanamori and Satake (Caltech) used this capability to immediately analyze long-period waves for preliminary estimates of the moment tensor [see EOS news article by W. Maggs, 1989]. Unfortunately, both of these IRIS stations are at the same azimuth with respect to the Macquarie Ridge. The importance of real-time access to a digital global network is thus quite apparent. Indeed, Drs. Romanowicz and Ekstrom (IPG, Paris) used the capability of rapid access to several GEOSCOPE stations to determine a well-constrained moment tensor for the Macquarie Ridge earthquake [see EOS article by Romanowicz and Ekstrom, 1989].

As a personal testimony, I was fortunate to be visiting the University of Washington when the Macquarie Ridge earthquake occurred. There, I was able to use a prototype version of the "gopher" program, developed by Dr. Malone (U. of W.) for IRIS. This program, now implemented at the IRIS data management center, displays and sends all available waveform data through an internet connection. Later, I received nearly the full complement of GDSN waveform data via electronic mail, courtesy of M. Zirbes (USGS). A tape with GEOSCOPE data followed shortly after that, courtesy of Dr. Romanowicz (IPG, Paris). While a special GDSN event tape for the Macquarie Ridge earthquake was distributed in a relatively short time after the earthquake, many digital seismograms from global stations had already been received and analyzed by seismologists scattered about the world. The 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake not only ends the 1980's with impact, but it starts the 1990's as an example of rapid international digital data exchange.

Tectonic aspects

In addition to its great size, the 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake is interesting due to its tectonic setting. The Macquarie Ridge complex (MRC) stretches more than 1500 km between South Island, New Zealand, and the Pacific-Australia-Antarctica triple junction. The MRC consists of a roughly continuous bathymetric high with distinct troughs that occur on alternate sides of the ridge (see Figure 2, and cover figure). Plate reconstructions and geophysical studies indicate that the Macquarie Ridge has had a complicated
Table 1. The twelve largest earthquakes of the 1980’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Ms</th>
<th>M0 (10^20 Nm)</th>
<th>Faulting</th>
<th>Tectonic setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 May 1989</td>
<td>Macquarie Ridge</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>strike-slip</td>
<td>transitional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Sep 1985</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>subduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mar 1985</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>subduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 May 1986</td>
<td>Aleutian Is.</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>subduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Nov 1987</td>
<td>Gulf Alaska</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>strike-slip</td>
<td>intraplate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Mar 1988</td>
<td>Gulf Alaska</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>strike-slip</td>
<td>intraplate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Jul 1980</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Is.</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>subduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Mar 1983</td>
<td>Solomon Is.</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>intraplate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 May 1983</td>
<td>West Honshu</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>thrust</td>
<td>back-arc thrusting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 May 1981</td>
<td>Macquarie Ridge</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5*</td>
<td>strike-slip</td>
<td>transitional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Oct 1986</td>
<td>Kermadec Is.</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>strike-slip/thrust</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Nov 1983</td>
<td>Chagos Ridge</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>transitional?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ms (surface wave magnitude) is from NEIS. M0 (seismic moment) is from Harvard CMT catalog [see, e.g., Dziewonski and Woodhouse, 1983], except for *, which is from Ruff et al. [1989]. The Harvard CMT moment for 25 May 1981 is 3×10^20 Nm.

Fig. 1. The twelve largest earthquakes of the 1980’s, plotted as dots. The azimuthal equidistant projection is centered on the 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake (star), the largest event of the decade.

history over the past twenty million years or so. These complications are partly reflected in the tectonic evolution of New Zealand [see, e.g., Walcott, 1978]. The northern MRC has switched from a divergent boundary to its present-day oblique convergence [see, e.g., Hayes and Talwani, 1972; Falconer, 1972; Molnar et al., 1975; Weissel et al., 1977; Stock and Molnar, 1982]. The southernmost MRC, i.e. the Hjort trench segment, probably formed in an oblique convergent environment at the Pacific-Australia-Antarctica triple junction [see Weissel et al., 1977; Ruff et al., 1989]. The mixture of thrust and right-lateral strike-slip earthquakes along the MRC is roughly consistent with global determinations of the Pacific-Australia rotation pole (see Figure 2). As one caveat, there are some inconsistencies with transform azimuths along the Australia-Antarctica plate boundary just to the west of the MRC [De Mets et al., 1988]; perhaps the "Tasman spur" (the narrow extension of the Australia plate along the west side of the MRC) is not a perfect rigid extension of the Australia plate.

Macquarie Ridge earthquakes show an interesting response to oblique convergence: intermixed thrust and strike-slip earthquakes on adjacent, but not identical, faults. Ruff et al. [1989] focused on this relationship in the rupture area of the large 1981 strike-slip earthquake (see Table 1). Their noticed that the 1981 event was much larger than the preceding thrust events, which apparently did not occur on a single coherent underthrusting fault plane. Ruff et al. then speculated that this "dual rupture mode" was a temporary response due to the transitional tectonic environment, and that subduction initiation is occurring throughout the MRC. Geoid anomalies across the MRC, as measured by SEASAT, offer some support for these ideas [Ruff and Cazenave, 1985]. Of course, one of the best tests of a seismotectonic hypothesis is to have another large earthquake. Two of the following papers specifically address the impact of the 1989 earthquake on the MRC seismotectonics. Certainly one important tectonic aspect of the 1989 Macquarie Ridge earthquake is whether it confirms or denies the notion of subduction initiation in the MRC. However, perhaps it is more important that this great earthquake attracts the focus of seismologists and geophysicists to this fascinating region and the general problem of subduction initiation.
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