Evaluation of Dosing and Clinical Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Conversion of Insulin Glargine to Insulin Detemir
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Study Objectives. To evaluate the dose and frequency of insulin detemir for patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, and to assess glycemic control, weight gain, and risk of hypoglycemia after converting to insulin detemir.

Design. Retrospective medical record review.

Setting. Large academic medical center.

Patients. Thirty-one patients with type 1 (10 patients) or type 2 (21 patients) diabetes who were converted from insulin glargine to insulin detemir by usual practice between January 1, 2006, and March 3, 2007, after an Iowa Medicaid formulary switch.

Measurement and Main Results. Data were collected for 12 months after conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir. No significant change in mean basal insulin dose was noted in patients with type 1 diabetes at the end of 12 months (insulin detemir 31.1 units/day vs baseline insulin glargine 32.0 units/day, p=0.89; insulin detemir 0.41 unit/kg/day vs baseline insulin glargine 0.42 unit/kg/day, p=0.91). In patients with type 2 diabetes, however, the mean basal insulin dose was significantly higher with insulin detemir compared with baseline insulin glargine (74.2 vs 55.8 units/day, p=0.002; 0.68 vs 0.48 unit/kg/day, p=0.001) at the end of 12 months. Twice-daily administration was required in a higher proportion of patients receiving insulin detemir (15 patients [48%]) at 12 months compared with insulin glargine (4 patients [13%]) at baseline (p=0.043). A significant change in hemoglobin A1c was not observed in patients with type 1 diabetes (9.7% with insulin detemir vs 9.3% with insulin glargine, p=0.41) or type 2 diabetes (9.4% with insulin detemir vs 9.7% with insulin glargine at baseline, p=0.57) despite the use of higher insulin detemir doses in patients with type 2 diabetes. No significant differences in weight or frequency of hypoglycemia were noted.

Conclusion. Treatment with insulin detemir appears to require more frequent administration and higher insulin doses compared with insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes, with 33% higher doses, on average, observed in this study. These findings suggest that a unit-for-unit conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, as suggested by the manufacturer of insulin detemir, may not be adequate in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Key Words: glargine, detemir, basal insulin, long-acting insulin, insulin dose conversion.

Several landmark clinical trials have demonstrated that tight glycemic control reduces the incidence and delays the progression of long-term complications, particularly microvascular complications, associated with diabetes mellitus. Guideline from the American Diabetes Association recommend targeting a hemoglobin A1c (A1C) level of less than 7%. Long-acting insulin, with or without bolus insulin, is often required to achieve this tight glycemic control. There are two long-acting insulins available: insulin glargine (Lantus; Sanofi-Aventis, Bridgewater, NJ) and insulin detemir (Levemir; Novo Nordisk, Princeton, NJ). Both of these insulins have a long duration of action and have little variability in effect throughout the dosing interval (Table 1). However, insulin detemir has a dose-dependent onset and duration of action. Unlike insulin glargine, insulin detemir has a neutral pH and does not precipitate on injection. It instead exhibits its prolonged duration of action as a result of strong self-association and reversible binding to albumin. On May 1, 2006, Iowa Medicaid switched its preferred long-acting insulin from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, requiring the majority of insulin-dependent patients with Medicaid in Iowa to be switched to insulin detemir. The reason for the formulary change was unclear.

The manufacturer of insulin detemir suggests using a unit-for-unit dose conversion when switching patients from insulin glargine to insulin detemir. Previous published studies, however, have evaluated the appropriateness of this dosage conversion primarily as a secondary end point or in trials of short duration. Thus, the primary objective of this study was to evaluate the dose and frequency of insulin detemir required when converting patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) from insulin glargine to insulin detemir. Secondary objectives were to assess glycemic control, weight gain, and the risk of hypoglycemia after conversion to insulin detemir.

Methods

This retrospective analysis evaluated 31 patients who underwent conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir by usual practice at a large academic medical center between January 1, 2006, and March 3, 2007. Patients were included if they were at least 18 years of age and had T1DM or T2DM and were excluded if they had gestational diabetes mellitus. Electronic medical records were used to identify patients, and data were collected during the 3 months before and 12 months after insulin conversion. This study was approved by the University of Iowa Institutional Review Board.

Primary outcome measures were mean basal insulin doses in both units/day and units/kg/day on the index date, defined as the date of conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, and at 12 months; mean total insulin doses (basal plus bolus) in patients receiving more than one type of insulin; and frequency of basal insulin administration (once/day vs twice/day). Secondary outcomes were change in A1C and body weight observed during the 12 months after insulin conversion. In addition, the frequency of hypoglycemia, defined as the proportion of patients reporting at least one episode of hypoglycemia, was assessed during the 3 months before the index date while receiving insulin glargine and compared with the first 3 months after conversion to insulin detemir using any episode noted within the electronic medical records.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software, version 17 (Chicago, IL). Paired t tests were used for continuous variables, including insulin dose, A1C, and weight. Discrete variables were analyzed with chi² statistics. The analysis was performed using the last value carried forward for patients who did not complete the full 12 months of insulin detemir therapy. A planned subgroup analysis was performed to assess for differences between patients with T1DM and those with T2DM.

Results

A total of 31 patients, 10 patients with T1DM (32.3%) and 21 patients with T2DM (67.7%),
were included in the analysis; their baseline characteristics are shown in Table 2. The average age was 45 years, and patients generally had poorly controlled diabetes, with a mean baseline A1C of 9.6%. The primary reason that patients were converted from insulin glargine to insulin detemir was insurance requirements, and the majority of patients were covered by Iowa Medicaid. Two patients had private insurance: one patient was converted to insulin detemir in an effort to reduce blood glucose variability and occurrence of hypoglycemia, whereas the other patient was converted due to the inability to mix insulin glargine with the patient’s bolus insulin. (Although this was the reason documented in the patient’s medical records, it should be noted that the manufacturer of insulin detemir does not recommend mixing insulin detemir with any other insulin preparations.)

Seven patients discontinued insulin detemir before completing 12 months of therapy. Four of these patients discontinued insulin detemir as a result of a lack of improvement in or worse blood glucose levels after a 4–7-month trial. Of the other three patients, one had an allergic reaction to insulin detemir, one was started on an insulin pump, and one discontinued insulin after subsequently developing hyperkalemia.

The majority (23 patients [74%]) were converted from insulin glargine to insulin detemir on a unit-for-unit basis. Of the eight patients who were not converted on a unit-for-unit basis, five were placed on a higher insulin dose, potentially due to uncontrolled diabetes, and three had a decrease in insulin dose on conversion. Twelve months after switching, no significant change in mean insulin detemir dose was noted compared with baseline insulin glargine dose in patients with T1DM (31.1 vs 32.0 units/day, \( p = 0.89 \); 0.41 vs 0.42 unit/kg/day, \( p = 0.91 \); Table 3). Conversely, patients with T2DM required a higher mean insulin detemir dose compared with the baseline insulin glargine dose (74.2 vs 55.8 units/day, \( p = 0.002 \); 0.68 vs 0.48 unit/kg/day, \( p = 0.001 \)). Insulin detemir doses were recorded at baseline (dose started on conversion) and at 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after conversion (Table 4). At 1 month, patients with T2DM were on 11% higher mean basal insulin doses; at 3 months, 15% higher doses; at 6 months, 27% higher doses; at 9 months, 30% higher doses; and by the end of 12 months, patients were maintained on 33% higher insulin detemir doses compared with insulin glargine. Three patients with T2DM had bolus insulin added to their diabetes regimen at the end of the study period compared with baseline (67% vs 52%, \( p = 0.35 \)).

Lifestyle modifications and changes in other drug therapy were documented to assess whether

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the 31 Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>19 (61.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>10 (32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>21 (67.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>45 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 diabetes</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>50 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4 yrs</td>
<td>2 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10 yrs</td>
<td>9 (29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 yrs</td>
<td>20 (64.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean baseline A1C (%)</td>
<td>9.58 ± 2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 diabetes</td>
<td>9.29 ± 2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>9.72 ± 2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At goal &lt; 7%</td>
<td>4 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean baseline weight (kg)</td>
<td>100.6 ± 30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 diabetes</td>
<td>76.1 ± 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>112.3 ± 28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for conversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>29 (93.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are no. (%) of patients or mean ± SD.

*Of the 31 patients, 10 had type 1 and 21 had type 2 diabetes.
changes in these factors could account for any change in insulin doses or glycemic control observed (Table 5). A similar number of patients were found to be implementing lifestyle modifications at baseline and study completion, as were a similar number of patients taking oral drugs. Thus, these changes were thought to have minimal impact on glycemic control and on the increases in insulin detemir doses observed in this study.

Fifteen patients with T1DM or T2DM were administering insulin detemir twice/day at the end of 12 months compared with four patients administering insulin glargine twice/day at the

end of 12 months compared with four patients administering insulin glargine twice/day at the
A nonsignificant increase in A1C was observed in patients with T1DM from baseline to study completion (9.3% vs 9.7%, p=0.41), with no change in basal insulin dose in these patients. Despite the use of significantly higher insulin detemir doses in patients with T2DM, no significant change in A1C was observed from baseline to study completion (9.7% vs 9.4%, p=0.57). In addition, with the conversion, a lower proportion of patients achieved a goal A1C of less than 7% as recommended by the American Diabetes Association at the end of the 12 months, although this was a nonsignificant difference (13% vs 4%, p=0.148). Weight gain was not observed despite an increase in total insulin doses (mean change -1.4 kg, p=0.87). Mean weight change was -0.4 kg in patients with T1DM and -1.82 kg in patients with T2DM from baseline to study completion. The number of patients experiencing at least one episode of hypoglycemia did not differ significantly between insulin glargine and insulin detemir (13 patients [41.9%] vs 6 patients [19.4%], p=0.054).

Discussion

In this study, treatment with insulin detemir required higher basal insulin doses compared with insulin glargine, with 33% higher doses observed in patients with T2DM 12 months after conversion. Most of the patients in this study had uncontrolled diabetes, with an average A1C of 9.6% at baseline. Thus, it is not unexpected that the patients would require higher insulin doses to reach glycemic targets. However, contrary to what one would expect, the higher doses did not result in improved glycemic control. It could be argued that a clinically significant change in A1C was observed in patients with T2DM (although not statistically significant), but there was one patient whose A1C decreased from 16.2% at baseline while receiving glargine to 9.8% while receiving detemir, and this very dramatic change in A1C skewed the data. When the data for this patient were removed, the A1C did not change from baseline (mean 9.4%). These findings suggest that a unit-for-unit conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, as suggested by the manufacturer of insulin detemir, may not be adequate. In addition to an increase in basal insulin doses, an increase in total insulin doses was also observed. The reason for the lack of improvement in A1C despite more aggressive insulin regimens is unclear.

The need for twice-daily administration was found to be more frequent with insulin detemir than with insulin glargine. This can be explained by the pharmacokinetic differences between insulin detemir and insulin glargine; insulin glargine typically has a 24-hour duration of action, whereas the duration of action of insulin detemir is dose dependent. In one study, insulin detemir’s duration of action was demonstrated to vary from 5.7, 12.1, 19.9, 22.7, and 23.2 hours with doses of 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, and 1.6 units/kg, respectively. In our study, the average insulin detemir dose at 12 months was 0.41 unit/kg/day in patients with T1DM (10 patients) and 0.68 unit/kg/day in patients with T2DM (21 patients). Thus, it would be expected to have durations around 20 and 21 hours, respectively. The average basal insulin dose in patients who were receiving twice-daily insulin detemir at study completion was 0.40 unit/kg/day in patients with T1DM (five patients) and 0.87 unit/kg/day in patients with T2DM (10 patients) at 12 months. This could help explain the increased number of patients requiring twice-daily administration of insulin detemir, particularly in the patients with T1DM. This may also contribute to the increase in basal insulin dose when patients with T2DM were converted to insulin detemir, as it has been demonstrated in previous trials that when twice-daily administration of basal insulin is used, the basal insulin dose is often elevated without corresponding glycemic control. It should be considered that more frequent administration may also result in increased health care costs and burden to the patient and may not be desirable in all patients.

In addition, no significant weight gain or change in the frequency of hypoglycemia was observed despite the use of higher basal insulin doses in patients with T2DM. Although not statistically significant, it can be argued that the differences observed were clinically significant and may be important considerations in patients experiencing difficulties with weight gain or hypoglycemia while being treated with insulin glargine.
Previous trials have compared insulin glargine and insulin detemir doses, primarily as a secondary end point or for a shorter study duration, and have suggested that higher insulin detemir doses may be required compared with insulin glargine to achieve similar or improved glycemic control.\textsuperscript{12–14, 17} In one study, higher mean basal insulin doses were reported for 321 patients with T1DM with twice-daily insulin detemir compared with once-daily insulin glargine after 26 weeks (0.47 vs 0.35 unit/kg/day) with similar A1C reduction (8.8% to 8.2% in the insulin detemir group and 8.7% to 8.2% in the insulin glargine group); however, no p value was reported for the difference between doses.\textsuperscript{13}

The minimal change in basal insulin doses in patients with T1DM observed in this study is different than that observed in a previous trial in which basal insulin doses were found to increase from 36 to 46 units/day after 12 months in 24 patients with T1DM who were converted from insulin glargine to insulin detemir.\textsuperscript{15} This was compared with a control group of patients who continued to receive insulin glargine, in whom there was no change in dose. The explanation for the difference in findings between our study and this previous study is unclear, but it is possible that no difference was observed in our study because of the small number of patients with T1DM. Although no statistically significant change in A1C was noted in our study, it could be argued that a clinically relevant increase in A1C was observed after the change from insulin glargine to insulin detemir, indicating that perhaps higher insulin detemir doses were warranted.

Two different studies in patients with T2DM reported a similar increase in mean basal insulin detemir dose requirements.\textsuperscript{12, 14} Whereas one trial found no significant difference in basal insulin doses after 52 weeks in patients converted to either insulin detemir or insulin glargine (baseline A1C 8.7%),\textsuperscript{17} another study noted an increase in mean basal insulin doses in patients with T2DM from 0.27 to 0.32 unit/kg/day (p<0.001) when patients were converted from once-daily insulin glargine to once- or twice-daily insulin detemir, with a subsequent decrease in A1C (−0.59%, p<0.0001) after 12 weeks (baseline A1C 8.31%).\textsuperscript{12} Most of the patients (79%) in this trial were converted to once-daily insulin detemir. Another study randomized patients to either once-daily insulin glargine (248 patients) or once-daily (45%) or twice-daily (55%) insulin detemir (227 patients) and found higher daily insulin detemir doses of 0.78 unit/kg (0.52 unit/kg with once-daily insulin detemir and 1.00 unit/kg with twice-daily insulin detemir) compared with 0.44 unit/kg with insulin glargine, with similar glycemic reductions (baseline A1C decreased from 8.6% to 7.2% and 7.1% with insulin detemir and insulin glargine, respectively).\textsuperscript{14} A pooled analysis of 22 studies of at least 20 weeks’ duration in patients with T2DM initiating either insulin glargine or insulin detemir evaluated dose requirements and found that a significantly higher insulin detemir dose was needed to achieve the same decrease in A1C (51.5 vs 38.8 units/day).\textsuperscript{21} It should be noted that only one of the trials included in this analysis was a head-to-head comparison.

The difference in dose requirements between insulin detemir and insulin glargine is further supported by a case series assessing the reverse scenario: basal insulin dose requirements in three patients with T2DM who were converted from insulin detemir to insulin glargine.\textsuperscript{16} Basal insulin doses were found to decrease substantially in all three patients, from 206 units of insulin detemir to 104 units of insulin glargine 7 weeks after conversion in the first patient, from 102 to 81 units after 6 weeks in the second patient, and from 126 to 104 units after 6 weeks in the third patient. No change or a slight improvement in A1C was observed on conversion to insulin glargine.

Conflicting recommendations regarding the conversion between intermediate-acting and long-acting insulin also add to the confusion. When converting from intermediate-acting NPH insulin to long-acting insulin detemir, the manufacturer states that some patients may require more insulin detemir compared with NPH insulin to achieve glycemic targets.\textsuperscript{8} This was demonstrated in a study in which the insulin detemir doses required were 1.4–4 times higher (mean 2.4) than the NPH insulin doses.\textsuperscript{22} In contrast, when patients are transferred from twice-daily NPH insulin to once-daily insulin glargine, it is recommended to reduce the initial insulin glargine dose by 20% to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia and then adjust the dose based on patient response.\textsuperscript{19} Given that higher doses of insulin detemir are generally required compared with NPH insulin and that insulin doses should be reduced when converting from NPH insulin to insulin glargine, the evidence supporting a unit-for-unit conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir seems inconsistent.
There are some study limitations that should be noted. First, this was a retrospective analysis with a small patient population. Second, more than half (63.3%) of the patients included in this study had an adjustment in their insulin glargine dose within 3 months before their documented baseline A1C. Thus, the baseline A1C may not have been a true reflection of the patients’ glycemic control while receiving insulin glargine and may have actually overestimated their baseline A1C. In addition, data on drug adherence were unable to be collected given the retrospective nature of the study. Therefore, it is possible that no improvement in glycemic control was observed despite the higher prescribed insulin detemir doses due to poor adherence rates. Similarly, it should be noted that diabetes is a progressive disorder and often requires intensification of therapy the longer a patient has the disease, and there was no control group in this study for comparison. This, too, could be suggested as the reason that no A1C reduction was observed with the higher insulin detemir doses, and the lack of a control group for comparison limited our ability to assess for this. However, given that patients seemed to require higher doses even early in the follow-up period (at 1, 3, and 6 mo), disease progression was not thought to be the primary reason for the higher insulin detemir doses observed in patients with T2DM.

Conclusion

Treatment with insulin detemir appears to require more frequent administration and higher insulin doses compared with insulin glargine in patients with T2DM, with 33% higher doses, on average, observed in this study. These findings suggest that a unit-for-unit conversion from insulin glargine to insulin detemir as suggested by the manufacturer of insulin detemir may not be adequate, particularly in patients with uncontrolled T2DM.
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