Erosional variability along the northwest Himalaya
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Erosional exhumation and topography in mountain belts are temporally and spatially variable over million year timescales because of changes in both the location of deformation and climate. We investigate spatiotemporal variations in exhumation across a 150 × 250 km compartment of the NW Himalaya, India. Twenty-four new and 241 previously published apatite and zircon fission track and white mica 40Ar/39Ar ages are integrated with a 1-D numerical model to quantify rates and timing of exhumation alongstrike of several major structures in the Lesser, High, and Tethyan Himalaya. Analysis of thermochronometer data suggests major temporal variations in exhumation occurred in the early middle Miocene and at the Plio-Pleistocene transition. (1) Most notably, exhumation rates for the northern High Himalayan compartments were high (2–3 mm a−1) between ~23–19 and ~3–0 Ma and low (0.5–0.7 mm a−1) in between ~19–3 Ma. (2) Along the southern High Himalayan slopes, however, high exhumation rates of 1–2 mm a−1 existed since 11 Ma. (3) Our thermochronology data sets are poorly correlated with present-day rainfall, local relief, and specific stream power which may likely result from (1) a lack of sensitivity of changes in crustal cooling to spatial variations in erosion at high exhumation rates (>~1 mm a−1), (2) spatiotemporal variation in erosion not mimicking the present-day topographic or climatic conditions, or (3) the thermochronometer samples in this region having cooled under topography that only weakly resembled the modern-day topography.


1. Introduction

Recent work has suggested that erosional driven exhumation plays an important role in the deformation history of collisional orogens such as the Himalaya [e.g., Beaumont et al., 2001; Hodges et al., 2001; Koons, 1989; Willett, 1999; Zeitler et al., 2001]. The interactions between spatial and temporal variations in tectonics and exhumation are related to several factors. First, in convergent orogens tectonics governs the influx of material by accretion. According to the critical wedge theory, as an orogen grows the accumulation of mass changes and influences the location of deformation [e.g., Dahlen et al., 1984; Davis et al., 1983]. Changes in the location of deformation will activate different structures and affect local topographic slopes and erosive processes. Second, climate affects the spatial distribution of erosion on a variety of timescales [e.g., Bookhagen et al., 2005; Molnar and England, 1990; Pratt-Sitaula et al., 2004; Schaller and Ehlers, 2006; Zhang et al., 2001]. Spatial and temporal variations in climate can thus change the locus of exhumation by redistributing mass, and in turn change the location of deformation and surface uplift to maintain critical taper [e.g., Beaumont et al., 2001; Dahlen and Suppe, 1988; Hilley and Strecker, 2004; Hoth et al., 2006; Koons, 1989; Reiners et al., 2003; Whipple and Meade, 2006; Willett, 1999]. In this study we quantify the spatial and temporal variations in long-term (106–107 years) exhumation across the front of the NW Himalaya and evaluate the tectonic and erosional mechanisms responsible for the observed variations.

Crustal shortening, rock uplift and erosion have shaped the Himalayan orogen since the India-Eurasia collision ca. 55 Ma ago [e.g., Garzanti et al., 1987; Patriat and Achache, 1984]. After a longer phase of crustal thickening and peak metamorphism, deformation processes changed significantly during the early Miocene, when a metamorphic, possibly partially molten midcrustal layer was extruded [Beaumont et al., 2001; Grujic et al., 1996]. During this event the High Himalayan Crystalline complex was thrust far to the south, onto the Lesser Himalaya [Gansser, 1964]. Since then, vigorous erosion has affected the uplifting mountain front as evidenced by thick foreland-basin sediment sequences as well as sediments stored in the Indus and Bengal fans [e.g., Clift et al., 2002; Copeland and Harrison, 1990].
4. Major knickpoints in longitudinal river profiles [Seeber and Gornitz, 1983], topographic discontinuities [Wobus et al., 2003] and young cooling ages obtained from low-temperature thermochronology analysis in the High Himalaya (HH) [Blythe et al., 2007; Grubic et al., 2006; Huntington et al., 2006; Jain et al., 2000; Lal et al., 1999; Schlup et al., 2003; Sorkhabi et al., 1996; Thiede et al., 2004, 2005; Vannay et al., 2004] 150 km north of the Himalayan mountain front suggest rapid rock uplift and exhumation over the last ~3 Ma. Several competing orogenic processes have been invoked to explain the ongoing rock uplift and exhumation of the HH. These include (1) a midcrustal ramp forcing rock uplift within the orogenic wedge [Cattin and Avouac, 2000; Pandey et al., 1995], (2) surface breaking faults causing uplift at the base of the HH [e.g., Hodges et al., 2004; Wobus et al., 2003] in combination with recent reactivation of the Main Central Thrust System (MCT) [e.g., Catslos et al., 1997; Harrison et al., 1997; Seeber and Gornitz, 1983], and (3) uplift through thrust sheet stacking [e.g., DeCelles et al., 1998; Robinson et al., 2006] and/or duplex formation by underplating [Bollinger et al., 2004]. What is common to all these models is that they require erosional removal of cover units. Consequently, this erosion led to localized strain within the orogenic wedge and rock uplift far away from the orogenic front.

5. Significant climate change has accompanied the tectonic evolution of the Himalaya. Most notably, the Indian monsoon developed in the Miocene and has extensively impacted the timing and distribution of precipitation across the Himalayan front. The timing of Indian monsoon development is not well constrained and still a matter of debate. The monsoon could have initiated as early as ~23 Ma [Clift and Sun, 2006], and certainly existed by ~12–10 Ma [Dettman et al., 2001, 2003; Rea, 1993]. Several studies also suggest monsoon intensification between ~9 and 7 Ma [Huynh et al., 2005; Kutzbach et al., 1993; Quade et al., 1989]. More recently, orbitally forced worldwide climate fluctuations modulating monsoon intensity and probably enabling moisture entry by the Westerlies into the NW Himalaya likely developed in the last ~2.6 Ma [Prell and Kutzbach, 1992; Zhisheng et al., 2001]. The degree to which the previous variations in deformation and climate have influenced the formation of the Himalaya is still actively debated. Previous studies have defined how orogen-scale variations in tectonics and climate affect deformation and erosion across large orogen perpendicular transects. However, the magnitude and frequency of change in exhumation rates, variations in rock uplift, and topographic growth on small (~10^2 km) spatial scales along-strike of orogens is less well known.

6. In this study we quantify the spatial and temporal distribution of exhumation over timescales of 10^6–10^7 years along the southern Himalayan margin in NW India. The spatial distribution of a large database of thermochronometer cooling ages is used as a proxy for exhumation. We evaluate if the long-term trends in exhumation are correlated with modern observations of topography, structure, and climate using present-day rainfall, relief and specific stream power data. We present 24 new apatite fission track ages (AFT) linked to 241 previously published AFT (n = 122) and zircon fission track (ZFT) ages (n = 58), as well as 40Ar/39Ar white mica (40Ar/39Ar-wM) ages (n = 61) (Figure 1). Our study integrates previous and new work by evaluating spatial and temporal variations in exhumation within a 150 × 250 km segment in the NW Himalaya, covering parts of Garhwal, Kinnaur, Kulu, Lahaul and Spiti in NW India. A one-dimensional (1-D) advective thermal model is used to calculate exhumation rates for each thermochronometer system and compare the predicted exhumation rates to morphometric variations across the range front.

2. Geologic Setting

[7] The 2500-km-long and ~300-km-wide Himalayan arc forms the southern margin of the India – Eurasia collision zone and the Tibetan Plateau. Since the collision, continued convergence has been accommodated along major fault zones, such as the Southern Tibetan Detachment System (STDS) [Burchfiel et al., 1992; Burg and Chen, 1984], Main Central Thrust System (MCT), the Main Boundary Thrust Fault (MBT), and the Main Himalayan Thrust with the Frontal Thrust fault (MFT) as its most southern continuation (Figure 1) [Fuchs, 1981; Gansser, 1964; Hodges, 2000; Lefort, 1975]. Crustal shortening, thickening and peak metamorphism were achieved during the Eocene and Oligocene [Searle et al., 1999; Vance and Harris, 1999]. During early Miocene (~23 Ma) the onset of decompression [e.g., Dezes et al., 1999; Searle et al., 1999; Vannay and Grasemann, 2001] was accompanied by rock uplift and rapid extrusion of high-grade High Himalayan Crystalline (HHC) rocks. Deformation was accomplished by simultaneous displacement along the STDS in the hanging wall and MCT in the footwall [Burchfiel et al., 1992; Burg and Chen, 1984]. It has been hypothesized that a lower-crustal channel flow at high temperatures (~700–800°C) is extruded in this region [Beaumont et al., 2001; Jamieson et al., 2004]. The low-temperature data, however, used in this study record near-surface cooling (~40°C) and thus postdate the deep-seated, high-temperature history. Later deformation propagated south, from the MCT to the MBT by ~10–5 Ma [DeCelles et al., 2001; Huynh et al., 2001; Meigs et al., 1995], and most recently to the MFT [Lavé and Avouac, 2001]. Contemporaneous, an increasing number of units of the Lesser Himalaya were detached from the underthrust Indian continent and became incorporated into the Himalayan orogenic wedge, forming the Lesser Himalayan Crystalline (LHC). These crystalline nappes were stacked, achieved peak metamorphism, and were exhumed, forming the LH Duplex in middle Miocene (~11 Ma) [Caddick et al., 2007; DeCelles et al., 2001; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994]. Today, the southern front of the Himalaya is formed by the sub-Himalaya, where the Miocene foreland-basin sediments are uplifted by the MFT. By accommodating about ~20 mm a ~1 of crustal shortening the Main Himalayan Thrust with the MFT to the south takes up a major proportion relative motion between the underthrusting Indian plate and the Himalaya [Bilham et al., 1997; Lavé and Avouac, 2001; Wang et al., 2001].

8. Variable tectonic and climatic forces during the topographic evolution of the Himalaya have generated the three main tectonomorphic provinces: Lesser (LH), High or Greater (HH), and Tethyan Himalaya (TH). The TH is
exposed north of the STDS and consists of Proterozoic to Eocene sedimentary rocks, which usually only show a low-grade metamorphic overprint [Gaetani and Garzanti, 1991]. The STDS separates the TH on top from the structurally underlying HHC. The HHC consists of high-grade metamorphic rocks and granitic intrusions, and forms the core of the mountain belt. The mylonites immediately below the MCT (in NW India also called the Vaikatra Thrust) separate the rocks of HHC from high-grade crystalline rocks related to the LHC below [Ahmad et al., 2000; Hodges et al., 1996;]

Figure 1. Spatial compilation of new and published apatite fission track (AFT) cooling ages across the southern Himalayan front, NW India, and used in this study. For zircon fission track (ZFT) and 40Ar/39Ar-wM sample locations see Figure 5c; all thermochronology data used are listed in Table S2 in the data repository. (a) Sample locations with respect to the major tectono-morphic units and faults. Color coding of symbols refers to AFT cooling age in Ma. Diamond symbol denotes new analysis (Figure 1b shows the exact age), and squares identify published data with numbers corresponding to the publication. Orange symbols show location of the new analysis with the corresponding age and 1σ error. The different shape symbols represent tectono-morphic unit of the sample location, where triangles, squares, and diamonds correspond to Lesser, High, and Tethyan Himalaya, respectively. Notice in both Figures 1a and 1b the NW–SE striking belt of young (<3 Ma) AFT cooling ages along the transition between topographic Lesser Himalaya and High Himalaya. TH, Tethyan Himalaya; HH, High Himalaya; LH, Lesser Himalaya; STD, Southern Tibetan Detachment; MCT, Main Central Thrust; MT, Munsiai Thrust; MBT, Main Boundary Thrust; MFT, Main Frontal Thrust.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Latitude (DD)</th>
<th>Longitude (DD)</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Number of Individual Grains Dated</th>
<th>Spontaneous Induced Dosimeter</th>
<th>Chi-Square P (%)</th>
<th>Central Age Dpar (Ma)</th>
<th>Error (±1 s)</th>
<th>Number of Microns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT05-98</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>30.77094</td>
<td>78.59806</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25.119</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>10.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-102</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>30.96067</td>
<td>78.69497</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31.646</td>
<td>6078</td>
<td>12.4512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-103</td>
<td>3390</td>
<td>30.99731</td>
<td>78.67617</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44.315</td>
<td>8939</td>
<td>12.4469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-106</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>30.90525</td>
<td>78.35078</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19.239</td>
<td>2896</td>
<td>12.4253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-108</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>30.97611</td>
<td>78.43967</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>LHC?</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6.077</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>12.4113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-115</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>31.03017</td>
<td>78.05072</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>MT?</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>22.779</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12.3680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-119</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>31.19131</td>
<td>77.73281</td>
<td>Metasandstone</td>
<td>HHC?</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>30.939</td>
<td>4419</td>
<td>12.3544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-120</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>31.25503</td>
<td>77.97606</td>
<td>Mica Shist</td>
<td>HHC?</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16.878</td>
<td>3834</td>
<td>12.3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-126</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>31.34822</td>
<td>77.67533</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>MT?</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>38.450</td>
<td>5828</td>
<td>12.3259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-130</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>31.46358</td>
<td>77.82275</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>90.713</td>
<td>14221</td>
<td>12.2970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-138</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>31.25469</td>
<td>77.47714</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC?</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.462</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>12.2829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-145</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>31.51244</td>
<td>78.00392</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19.062</td>
<td>3912</td>
<td>12.2543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-155</td>
<td>4710</td>
<td>31.39950</td>
<td>77.97444</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>LHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>47.251</td>
<td>8338</td>
<td>11.2835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-163</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>31.32044</td>
<td>77.88408</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>30.114</td>
<td>5901</td>
<td>11.2144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-167</td>
<td>3480</td>
<td>31.35808</td>
<td>78.09848</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>39.340</td>
<td>3021</td>
<td>11.1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-169</td>
<td>4580</td>
<td>31.38750</td>
<td>78.14572</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>28.488</td>
<td>5166</td>
<td>11.0780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-170</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>31.41444</td>
<td>78.15289</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>50.147</td>
<td>7886</td>
<td>11.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-177</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>31.10194</td>
<td>78.29928</td>
<td>Orthogneiss</td>
<td>MCT?</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39.360</td>
<td>3605</td>
<td>11.0346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT05-184</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>31.13747</td>
<td>78.47758</td>
<td>Paragneiss</td>
<td>HHC</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>37.605</td>
<td>5701</td>
<td>10.8713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Figure 1 for location. Rho-D, induced track density in external detector adjacent to dosimetry glass (tracks/cm²); Nd, number of tracks counted in determining Rho-D; Rho-I, spontaneous track density; Dpar, diameter of etched spontaneous fission tracks measured parallel to the crystallographic c-axis. Age, the sample pooled fission track age [Hurford and Green, 1983] calculated using zeta calibration method [Galbraith and Laslett, 1993]. Analytical precisions with an error low as 0.2 (±1 s) could be obtained from these young AFT ages because of the high U-content and the large number of grains counted per sample. Only grains with c axes parallel to slide plane were dated; zero-track grains were analyzed.*
Valdiya, 1980]. Within the NW Himalaya, the HH is composed of both the HHC and LHC units and today highly elevated with peak elevations of ~7 km. Both HHC and LHC rocks have been thrust over rocks related to the LH on a series of a series of ductile thrust systems named the Munsiari Thrust primarily during the early to middle Miocene [e.g., Robinson et al., 2003]. The LH consists of Proterozoic to Palaeozoic (metasedimentary) sedimentary rocks with minor fragments of granites and gneisses and is bounded by the MBT to the south.

3. Methods: Thermochronology, Thermal Modeling, Rainfall, and Specific Stream Power

[9] In regions that have experienced significant exhumation, thermochronometer cooling ages record the time since cooling below an effective closure temperature of specific minerals [Dodson, 1973]. If the distance from the closure-temperature isotherm to the surface can be estimated, then time-averaged exhumation rates can be provided for timescales of millions of years. Estimation of the closure-temperature depth is often achieved using 1-D, 2-D, or 3-D thermal models of different complexity [e.g., Ehlers et al., 2005; Reiners et al., 2005; Whipp and Ehlers, 2007; Whipp et al., 2007]. We constrain the erosional-exhumation history of the NW Himalaya by using several geochronologic systems and a 1-D thermal model for the same region. A brief background to each of the methods used is given below.

3.1. Thermochronologic Analysis

[10] Twenty-four new AFT cooling ages were analyzed in this study using the external detector method [Dumitru, 2000; Næser, 1979; Wagner and Van den Haute, 1992]. Further details on the approach are provided in Appendix B. All samples were obtained from bedrock exposed within HHC, LHC, and crystalline nappes covering LH sedimentary units between the Bhagirati River (upper Ganges) in the east and the Surlej River in the west (Figures 1a and 1b). Additional sample preparation details are provided in Table 1. The young (~5 Ma) AFT cooling ages imply rapid transit through the partial annealing zone, which limits annealing. An effective closure temperature of 135 ± 10°C has been estimated for cooling rates between 70 and 100°C Ma⁻¹. All ages presented in this study pass the chi-square test, and central ages are reported with 1σ error. We interpret the AFT cooling ages as a proxy for the rate of exhumation.

[11] To obtain elevation-independent AFT-cooling ages for the exhumation rate calculation the samples were projected onto the average elevations of 1 and 3 km for LH and HH units, respectively. Elevation corrections were applied by using the slope of local age/elevation relationship (e.g., Figure 2). Because of the lack of an age/ elevation relationship of the TH AFT data, only LH and HH-cooling ages were corrected.

3.2. Thermal Modeling: Estimating Range of Exhumation Rates

[12] Thermal modeling is a well-established approach used to quantify time-averaged exhumation rates [e.g., Ehlers and Farley, 2003; Laslett et al., 1987; Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997; Purdy and Jaeger, 1976]. To evaluate the range of rates required to obtain observed AFT, ZFT and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar-wM cooling ages, we used the AGE2E-DOT program by Brandon et al. [1998; see also Ehlers et al., 2005]. This program solves the steady state advection diffusion equation for user-defined initial conditions and material properties. Sample-cooling histories through the thermal field are tracked and cooling rate–dependent cooling ages are calculated using an effective closure temperature. A consequence for rapidly exhumed regions is that thermal advection dominates the thermal history over the influences of thermophysical properties and basal heat flux [Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997; Stüwe et al., 1994]. The assumption of a steady state thermal field is justified because of the short timescale required for the upper crust to reach thermal equilibrium in rapidly eroding regions [Rahl et al., 2007; Reiners and Brandon, 2006]. For example, thermal steady state is 90% reached by ~1 Ma for AFT data if the exhumation rate is increasing >1 mm a⁻¹; steady state is reached within ~5 Ma for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar-wM data if the exhumation rate decreases from 2 to 3 mm a⁻¹ to <1 mm a⁻¹. A more detailed justification for our use of a 1-D and steady state thermal model is given in Appendix B.

[13] In the model, the thermal field is represented by a steady state solution for a layer with thickness L, a thermal diffusivity κ, a uniform internal heat production rate H_T, a surface temperature, and an estimate of the initial near-surface thermal gradient for no exhumation. To obtain a range of exhumation rates we conducted a systematic survey of geologically possible values of κ, H_T, and G_T. More specifically, we varied κ, H_T, the near-surface thermal gradient and kept L and T_s constant. We determined the upper, intermediate, and lower limit for κ, H_T, and the mean thermal gradient for the upper 30 km (Table S2). For each of the thermochronometers we conducted at least 27 simulations surveying each combination of the input parameter. We identified the range of exhumation rates that could produce the observed cooling ages. We extracted four solutions for each thermochronometer, which cover the upper and lower limits of the thermophysical properties of rocks exposed in the Himalaya (see Figures S1, S2, and S3 within the supplementary material).

[14] A limited number of studies provide constraints on the thermal physical properties of rocks exposed in the Himalaya [England et al., 1992; Ray et al., 2007; Whipp et al., 2007]. The thermal parameters we used are presented in Table S2 in the supplementary material. In addition, we also considered six new thermal conductivity measurements from rocks in the study area. Results and further details are provided within the supplementary material and Table S2. On the basis of our own and previously published measurements we used thermal conductivity values ranging between ~3.5 and 2.0 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ to calculate the thermal diffusivity [Ray et al., 2007] (and own new data). HHC rocks are known to have a high U content causing high volumetric heat production with H_T values ranging between 3.0 and 0.8 μW m⁻³ [e.g., England et al., 1992; Roy and Rao, 2000] as compared to the LHC and LH which are characterized by H_T values of ~0.8 μW m⁻³.

1Auxiliary materials are available in the HTML. doi:10.1029/ 2008JF001010.
Peak metamorphic conditions in the HHC and LHC provide valuable constraints on model simulations. By late Oligocene–early Miocene (~23 Ma) the upper crust reached peak metamorphism conditions of 600–750°C at 8 kbar depth, leading to the formation of the HHC [e.g., Dezes et al., 1999; Searle et al., 1999; Vannay and Grasemann, 2001]. The LHC reached 600–700°C at 8 kbar depth by ~11 Ma [Caddick et al., 2007; Chambers et al., 2008; Vannay et al., 2004]. Using these geologic constraints we assumed that basal temperature must be approximately around peak metamorphism temperatures and that the geothermal gradient was between 25 and 45°C km⁻¹ from the early Miocene onward. A model depth of L~30 km roughly matches the depth of the basal

Figure 2. (a–f) AFT data divided into six regional groups and plotted against elevation. See map for location. Line a-a’ shows the orientation of the cross section in Figure 3. TH, Tethyan Himalaya; HH, High Himalaya; LH, Lesser Himalaya.
décollement of the Main Himalayan Thrust and the maximum burial during peak metamorphism, respectively. We used these petrologic data to provide a lower constraint for estimated exhumation rates, and explored the sensitivity of our results to different depths and temperatures of the basal boundary condition. We found that differences in predicted ages were within sample uncertainties and the results presented here are relatively insensitive to the assumed basal condition because of the high exhumation rates of the region, as found by Whipp et al. [2007].

3.3. Relief Analysis

[16] Early assessments of landscape-scale exhumation rates resulted in a linear relation between exhumation rate and mean local relief for midlatitude drainage basins [Ahnert, 1970]. Subsequently, Summerfield and Hulton [1994] concluded from a global study that local relief and runoff are the dominant controls on exhumation rate for major drainages. Ahnert’s linear correlation does not hold for data from tectonically active areas, for which Montgomery and Brandon [2002] suggested a power law function relationship between exhumation rate and relief. If so, is there a relationship between relief and megayear timescale exhumation rates in the NW Himalaya? For the relief analysis we used processed Shuttle Radar Topography Model 90 m digital elevation models (DEM) V.3.0 A. Jarvis, unpublished data, 2004 (available at http://srtm.cgiar.org and http://www.ambiotek.com/topoview) and calculated the local relief for selected sites from Central Nepal and Bhutan [Barros et al., 2000; Bookhagen and Burbank, 2006; B. Bookhagen and D. W. Burbank, Controlling factors for monsoonal rainfall distribution and its implication for specific stream power amounts in the Himalaya, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2008]. The data have been processed for a 9 year period (1998–2006) and mean annual rainfall amounts have been integrated over the watershed to produce averaged exhumation rates as a function of relief and mean annual rainfall, and specific stream power, to characterize spatio-temporal variation of exhumation across the southern Himalayan front.

3.4. Rainfall Measurements

[17] In mountainous regions the distribution of orographic rainfall is highly variable. For the Himalayan front recent work has estimated rainfall amounts from satellite data using the Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission (TRMM) [Bookhagen and Burbank, 2006]. The TRMM satellite provides daily rainfall estimates on a ∼5 × 5 km pixel size and was calibrated with ground-based rainfall measurements from Central Nepal and Bhutan [Barros et al., 2000; Bookhagen and Burbank, 2006; B. Bookhagen and D. W. Burbank, Controlling factors for monsoonal rainfall distribution and its implication for specific stream power amounts in the Himalaya, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2008]. The data have been processed for a 9 year period (1998–2006) and mean annual rainfall amounts have been integrated over the watershed to produce river discharge assuming 100% surface runoff and no storage for the specific stream power analysis. We calculated annual averaged accumulated flow amounts using the 90 m flow-routing grid derived from the patched topographic data. Further details of data processing are described by Bookhagen and Burbank [2006; also submitted manuscript, 2008].

3.5. Specific Stream Power

[18] The regional distribution of specific stream power (SSP) across the study area was calculated to quantify a proxy for modern erosion potential. Our SSP model is a proxy for fluvial erosion based on channel slope, channel width, and river discharge. Total stream power per unit channel length $\Omega$ (W m$^{-1}$) is calculated by multiplying the specific weight of water $\gamma$ ($\gamma = \rho_w g = 9810$ N m$^{-3}$), where $\rho_w$ is the density of water and $g$ denotes gravity acceleration) with the water discharge $Q$ (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) and energy slope $S$ (m m$^{-1}$), which may be approximated by the slope of the channel bed [Bagnold, 1966, 1977; Knighton, 1998]. The total stream power is an expression for the rate of potential energy expenditure per unit length of channel.

[19] The corresponding specific stream power $\omega$ (W m$^{-2}$ or J m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) is given by $\Omega$ divided by the channel width $w$ (m) or by multiplying the mean boundary shear stress $\tau_0$ (N m$^{-2}$) and the mean flow velocity $v$ (m s$^{-1}$). This equation defines the rate at which potential energy is supplied to a unit area of the bed. Stream power is influenced by the integrated effects of channel slope, discharge, and channel width, of which the latter two parameters are difficult to derive. Usually, discharge is derived as a power law function of area, and channel width is assumed to scales with discharge. The catchments in the Himalaya exhibit a very steep rainfall gradient caused by orography along the HH resulting in a steep discharge gradient that is in turn difficult to capture in a power law relation. Here, we use calibrated TRMM values that predict discharges for the Himalayan catchments. Bedrock channel width usually exhibits a power law relation with discharge ($w = Q^b$). Valid values for $b$ in bedrock channels have been suggested to be between 0.3 to 0.5 [Leopold and Maddock, 1953; Montgomery and Gran, 2001]. We use an exponent of 0.4. This value has been found to be a valid scaling factor in mountainous catchments in the Marsyandi River of central Nepal [Craddock et al., 2007]. We calculated specific stream power amounts for every point in the landscape and applied a 10 km smoothing filter to remove any local effects, but maintain the general pattern and produce a coherent SSP map.

4. Results

[20] In the following section, we introduce the new AFT ages and put them in regional perspective with published data. Next, we analyze the entire data set by looking at age–elevation relationships and regional changes, and provide preliminary characteristics for exhumation. Subsequently we determine averaged exhumation rates as a function of AFT, ZFT, and $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar-wM cooling ages by applying thermal models. The average exhumation is converted into transient exhumation rates for certain time intervals. Finally, we correlate the exhumation rates with topographic relief, rainfall, and specific stream power, to characterize spatio-temporal variation of exhumation across the southern Himalayan front.

4.1. New Apatite Fission Track Data

[21] Twenty-four new AFT samples yield cooling ages between 10.8 ± 1.8 and 0.6 ± 0.2 Ma (1$\sigma$ error). Analytical results are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. In general, the samples mostly characterized by moderate U content provide robust AFT ages (five representative radial plots are presented in Figures 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, and 3e). The ages can be subdivided into three groups with respect to their age, elevation, and tectonomorphic regions (LH, HH, TH):

[22] 1. Within the LH, 8 samples yield ages between 10.1 ± 0.9 and 3.0 ± 0.4 Ma for sample elevations between
800 and 2700 m a.s.l. (shown as diamonds in Figure 1b). These ages suggest minimum cooling rates between $12^\circ$ to $45^\circ$ C Ma$^{-1}$ for transit between an estimated closure temperature of $125 \pm 10^\circ$ C and the surface (Figure 4). All samples were of granitic or metamorphic origins that form nappes covering sedimentary units in the Lesser Himalaya. For the LH the obtained ages are strongly elevation-dependent (Figure 2e), with ages of 10 to 8 Ma for elevations >2000 m a.s.l. The majority of the AFT samples obtained at moderate elevations (<2000 – 500 m) yield cooling ages between 6 and 3.5 Ma.

[23] 2. Along the southern front of the HH, the 14 AFT ages are generally younger than those in the LH, ranging from $3.6 \pm 0.5$ to $0.6 \pm 0.2$ Ma, and are consistent with earlier studies [Sorkhabi et al., 1996; Thiede et al., 2004; Vannay et al., 2004]. Sample elevations ranged between 1200 and 5000 m a.s.l. (squares in Figure 1b), and suggest cooling rates between >200 and $40^\circ$ C Ma$^{-1}$. The only exception we obtained is the age of sample RT05–108.

Figure 3. Temporal and spatial variations in exhumation across the NW Himalaya related to a schematic cross sections derived from previous studies [Robinson et al., 2006; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994; Vannay et al., 2004]. See Figure 2 for the location of the cross section. Regional distribution of exhumation rates was determined from the 1-D thermal model (see section 4.3 for further details). Dashed lines in the cross section indicate estimates of volume loss due to erosion since the early Miocene. HHC, High Himalayan Crystalline; LHC, Lesser Himalayan Crystalline; LHD, Lesser Himalayan Duplex; MCT, Main Central Thrust; STD, Southern Tibetan Detachment; MBT, Main Boundary Thrust; MFT, Main Frontal Thrust.

Figure 4. Representative regional mean cooling histories and example of model result for converting AFT ages to exhumation rates. (a) Cooling pathway of the tectono-morphic provinces constrained by closure temperatures of the corresponding thermochronometer and peak metamorphism conditions. Abbreviations are the same as in Figure 3. (b) Relationship between AFT cooling age and exhumation rate for $K = 3.6$ W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $H = 3.1$ W $10^{-6}$ m$^{-3}$ of model $M_{18}$. Note that a small change in thermochronometer age corresponds to a large variation in exhumation rates for AFT ages <1–2 Ma.
Table 2. Results: Modeled Exhumation Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total Number of Samples</th>
<th>Elevation Interval</th>
<th>Range of Cooling Ages</th>
<th>Mean (Ma)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum Number of Samples</th>
<th>Mean ND (Ma)</th>
<th>Median ND (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THr/j = (HHC + LHC)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40–139</td>
<td>3.6–53.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THr/Fr = (HHC + LHC)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.9–19.9</td>
<td>3.6–53.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHCAFT = (HHC + LHC) (79)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30–139</td>
<td>0.6–3.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THZFT</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.6–45</td>
<td>3.6–53.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THAr/Ar</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32.6–53.8</td>
<td>0.6–3.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCZFT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4–4.8</td>
<td>0.6–3.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHCpeak meta (8 kbar = 30 km depth)</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.6–3.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHAFT (19)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.5–6.3</td>
<td>0.6–3.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Unit morphostructural unit. 2) Exhumed by evaluation of maximum sediment thickness and temperature. 3) Sample with age >50 Ma ignored. 4) Data from Thiede et al. [2007] and published. 5) Differs from other studies. 6) TH, Tethyan Himalaya; HHC, High Himalayan Crystalline; LHC, Lesser Himalayan Crystalline; LH, Lesser Himalaya.

3. Cooling ages from the hanging wall of the STDS range from 3.6 ± 0.5 and 4.5 ± 0.6 Ma at elevations between 2300 and 4500 m a.s.l., and suggest cooling rates of ~30° to 40°C Ma⁻¹ (triangles in Figure 1b).

4.2. Regional Variations in Thermochronometer Age/Elevation Relationships

[25] To evaluate spatial variations in the AFT data (new and published) we divided the data into six regional groups (Figure 2). The high data density along the southern margin of the HH allowed us to divide this region into four subgroups (Figures 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2f), whereas TH (Figure 2c) and LH (Figure 2e) are compiled into a single group. All HH sample groups yield consistently young ages (<3 Ma). Nonetheless, a clear age/elevation relationship exists. The range of slopes of error-weighted regression lines through sample ages versus elevation yield slopes and average R² correlations of 1.0 to 1.5 mm a⁻¹ and 0.68, respectively. We note much less variability in the slopes compared to transsects in central Nepal [Blythe et al., 2007], suggesting that the entire HH has been subject to rapid exhumation for at least the last 3–4 Ma, if not longer. In contrast, the TH and LH sample groups are characterized by older, and more variable AFT ages (Figures 3c and 3e), suggesting slower cooling rates. Moreover, the TH data suggest that the TH has stayed within the upper 3 km of the crust for >5 Ma or even 30 Ma, except regions near recently exhumed domes, such as the Leo Pargil dome, or deeply incised river valleys, such as the upper Sutlej Valley [e.g., Thiede et al., 2006].

[26] Age/elevation relationship can provide information on temporal variations in exhumation. However, none of the slopes obtained by the regression of the AFT age/elevation relationship in this study can be rigorously related to true exhumation rates because the combination of the large lateral distances over which transects were collected, and high-relief and long-wavelength topography likely invalidate the assumptions required to quantify rates using the slope of regression lines [Braun, 2002; Stüwe et al., 1994]. In summary, the observed age-elevation relationship is not only the result of vertical exhumation, but also potential changes in relief, oblique exhumation and/or spatial variations in exhumation, and topography.

4.3. Numerical Modeling and Transient Exhumation Rates

[27] By conducting 75 1-D thermal model simulations we explored the range of possible exhumation rates as a function of AFT, ZFT and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar-wM cooling ages (results summarized in Table 2 and in Figures S1, S2, and S3 in the supplementary material). We identified exhumation rates that vary in space and time between 0.1 and 4.5 mm a⁻¹ across the Himalaya between the Miocene and Present. This range was identified by using our best estimate for thermophysical properties and boundary conditions of the different tectono-morphic provinces (Table S2, within the supplementary material). We note that recent work by Whipp and Ehlers [2007] highlights the significance of fluid flow in biasing exhumation rate calculations in the Nepalese Himalaya.
laya. A full consideration of the effect of fluid flow on our calculated exhumation rates is beyond the scope of this study because of the size of the data set analyzed and large geographic region considered. However, we emphasize that if fluid flow does significantly influence the thermal field in the study area then our calculated exhumation rates should be regarded as minimum estimates of the true exhumation rate [cf. Whipp and Ehlers, 2007]. We discuss this in additional detail in section 5.1.

[28] Our exhumation rates yield a time-averaged rate. While useful, this approach fails to account for changes in exhumation that postdate passage through each minerals closure temperature. Transients in the exhumation history of the samples can be more accurately quantified if, for example, the time-averaged ZFT derived rate is corrected for any change in the rates recorded by the AFT sample. Rather than reporting the time-averaged rates from sample closure to the Present we follow the approach of Rather than reporting the time-averaged rates from sample closure to the Present we follow the approach of Whipp and Ehlers [2007]. We discuss this in Appendix A.

[29] Our method for calculating the transient exhumation rates for each thermochronometer system is as follows. Consider a suite of AFT and ZFT ages used to determine exhumation rates of HHC rocks for the last 13 Ma. Mean ZFT ages (13.4 ± 3.6 Ma) suggest an average exhumation rate of 0.55 mm a\(^{-1}\) (\(E_0\)) for the last ~13 Ma (t\(_0\)) (Table S2), while mean AFT ages (1.9 ± 0.7 Ma) represent an average exhumation rate (\(E_1\)) of ~1.52 mm a\(^{-1}\) (HHC\(_{\text{AFT}}\)) for the last 1.9 ± 0.7 Ma (t\(_1\)). Thus, the exhumation rate (\(E_2\)) for the period between 13 and 2 Ma must have been lower and is given by

\[
E_2 = [(E_{0t0}) - (E_{1t1})](t_0 - t_1)^{-1}.
\]

[30] Applying the previous values for (\(E_{0t0}\), \(E_{1t1}\), t\(_0\)), and t\(_1\)) in equation (1) suggests an exhumation rate of 0.4 mm a\(^{-1}\) between ~13 and 2 Ma and that exhumation has increased by a factor of ~3–4 (from 0.4 to 1.52 mm a\(^{-1}\)) since ~2 Ma. A similar approach was used to obtain the exhumation rate (\(E_3\)) as a function of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar sample ages between 19 and 13 Ma. In this approach the HHC has been eroded at an average rate (\(E_3\)) of 0.63 mm a\(^{-1}\) for the last (t\(_0\)) ~19 Ma (HHC\(_{\text{AFT/Ar}}\)) and the ZFT and AFT has been eroded at average rates of \(E_2\) of 0.4 mm a\(^{-1}\) for (t\(_z\)) ~13–2 Ma and \(E_1\) ~1.5 mm a\(^{-1}\) for (t\(_1\)) of ~2 Ma. Therefore, the exhumation rate (\(E_3\)) for the period between 19 and 13 Ma must have been higher and is given by

\[
E_3 = [(E_{0t0}) - (E_{2t2}) - (E_{1t1})](t_0 - t_2 - t_1)^{-1}.
\]

[31] This suggests an exhumation rate of 0.8 mm a\(^{-1}\) between ~19 and 13 Ma, which then decreased by a factor of 2 from 13 Ma onward.

[32] Finally, we can also determine exhumation rates between the interval from the onset of decompression subsequent to peak metamorphism at ~23 Ma for HHC and ~11 Ma for the LHC, and the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar cooling ages. Both units were at a depth of ~30 km (~8 kbar) during peak metamorphism. Using the same approach as above, we can determine the exhumation rate (\(E_4\)) for the HHC for the period between 23 and 19 Ma (HHC\(_{\text{peak-meta}}\))

\[
E_4 = \left[\frac{(E_{0t0}) - (E_{1t1})}{(t_0 - t_2)}\right]^{-1}.
\]

[33] This suggests high exhumation rates of 4.5 mm a\(^{-1}\) immediately after peak metamorphism, followed by a decrease of a factor of ~6 at ~19 Ma. The same approach has also been applied to the LH, LHC, and TH and the results are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3.

4.4. Relief

[34] Calculation of local relief over radii of 2.5, 5 and 10 km produced no discernable difference in the pattern of relief change over the study area (Table S2 in the auxiliary material). For brevity, in the following we only present relief averaged over 5 km distance. Figure 5a illustrates the regional distribution of the local relief and the range of cooling ages for reference (see Figure 5c for legend on ages). The three tectono-metamorphic groups LH, HH, and TH show characteristic differences in the spatial distribution of relief. For example, the mean relief at the AFT sample locations is highest in the HH and averages ~2.55 km (i.e., compare yellow squares with blue shaded regions). Relief is lower in both the TH and LH with averages of ~1.93 and 1.65 km, respectively. We found little to no correlation between AFT cooling ages and relief. Similarly poor correlations were found when comparing cooling ages to local slope (results not shown). Also, no clear correlation, however, were observed between modern relief and higher-temperature thermochronometer systems (e.g., ZFT, Ar-wM) was found (Figures 6a, 6b, and 6c).

4.5. Rainfall

[35] Rainfall has a first-order control on discharge, and therefore directly affects fluvial erosion. In the NW Himalaya rainfall maxima of ~2 m a\(^{-1}\) are located SW of the HH, south of the highest relief and topography, for details see Bookhagen and Burbank [2006]. The magnitude of precipitation decreases dramatically to ~0.5 mm a\(^{-1}\) along the boundary between HH and TH (Figure 5b). This is due to the high elevated HH functioning as an orographic barrier to rainfall.

[36] In general, we find a poor correlation between megaannum timescale exhumation rates and mean annual rainfall (Figures 6c and 6d). Similar observation have been made in central Nepal [Burbank et al., 2003]. A more detailed comparison between exhumation rates and rainfall is not warranted because the magnitude of fluvial erosion near each sample location depends heavily on the integrated rainfall rate upstream from the sample location, not just the amount of rainfall near the sample location. Thus, as presented next, comparisons between exhumation rates and specific stream power should be more meaningful.

4.6. Specific Stream Power

[37] Results from our SSP analysis indicate stream power ranges between ~5 and ~100 W m\(^{-2}\). As shown in Figure 5c
the higher values are located in steep and deeply incised valleys within the HH. In general, SSP values within the HH are two to four times higher than in the LH and TH, respectively. Regions with the highest SSP typically correspond to places with high mean annual precipitation and relief (e.g., compare Figure 5c with Figures 5a and 5b).

The comparison of exhumation rates with specific stream power resulted in slightly improved correlations (Figures 6e and 6f) compared to correlations with rainfall because the upstream contributing area of rainfall at a sample location is accounted for. Over timescales >5 Ma (Figure 6e), a high correlation ($R^2 = 0.61$) between exhumation rates derived from wM-Ar samples and specific stream power was limited to the TH. For all other regions we obtain poor correlations ($R^2 < 0.21$). Over timescales <3 Ma (Figure 6f), only the TH show a moderately positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.63$) with AFT-derived exhumation rates.

5. Discussion

[39] In the following we discuss how exhumation rates varied over different time intervals from the early Miocene to recent. Subsequently, we evaluate why poor correlations are found between long-term exhumation rates and present-day topographic relief, rainfall, and specific stream power. We conclude on the basis of our analysis of the spatial variations in exhumation across the NW Himalayan front that both climate and tectonics are drivers for the observed exhumation patterns.

5.1. Spatial and Temporal Variations in Exhumation

[40] Exhumation rates calculated in this study suggest that the three main tectono-morphic units are characterized by large spatial and temporal variations in exhumation (Table 2) and cooling rate (Figure 4a). A synthesis of the exhumation history is calculated over different time intervals using equations (1)–(3) and is presented in Figure 3 as follows:

[41] 1. Between ~23–19 Ma, following peak metamorphism of the HHC, our results suggest exhumation rates >3 mm a$^{-1}$.

[42] 2. Between ~19–13 Ma exhumation rates decreased by a factor of 6, and were between ~0.5 and 0.7 mm a$^{-1}$.

[43] 3. Between ~13–4 Ma exhumation rates remained low (~0.5 mm a$^{-1}$) in the HHC, but increased to ~3 mm a$^{-1}$ within the LH. Within the LH exhumation rates are low and ~0.8 mm a$^{-1}$ since 10 Ma.

[44] 4. Finally, exhumation rates over the last 3 Ma increase to ~1–2 mm a$^{-1}$ within the LH and HHC, and decrease <1 mm a$^{-1}$ both in the TH to the north and the LH to the south. In summary, the highest exhumation rates (~3–4 mm a$^{-1}$) documented in this part of the Himalaya occurred between ~23–19 Ma, followed by a pulse of rapid exhumation (~3 mm a$^{-1}$) between ~13–2 Ma farther south in the LH. This later pulse of exhumation in the LH is possibly the result of emplacement and exhumation of crystalline nappes of the HHC and LHC on top of the LH. In addition it may result from continuous development of the Lesser Himalayan Duplex [DeCelles et al., 2001].

Finally, we observe relatively uniform and broadly distributed exhumation between 3 and 0 Ma across the LHC and HHC (step 4 above), which does not show any variability across individual structures. Two possible explanations for this include that (1) variations in exhumation rate across active structures during this time are not discernible, as Whipp et al. [2007] suggested; alternatively, (2) broadly distributed exhumation at this time could indicate climatic (because of repeated perturbation or continuous oscillation on short timescales persisting on million year timescales), rather than tectonic forcing.
For the interpretation of exhumation rates and to measure pronounced changes in erosion, it is important to note that the sensitivity of thermochronometer-cooling ages to identify variations in exhumation decreases significantly when exhumation rates are high (>2 mm a\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4b)), see also [Rahl et al., 2007]. The poor sensitivity is a function of thermal diffusivity, and the compression of isotherms underneath topography with rapid exhumation.
This is illustrated in the model result shown in Figure 4b, where, for example, for very young AFT cooling ages (<1 Ma) small changes in age cause large changes in exhumation rate, and model uncertainties, during rapid exhumation (~2 to 5 mm a⁻¹) can exceed 1 mm a⁻¹. In contrast, at low exhumation rates (<0.5 mm a⁻¹) the errors can be low as 0.2 mm a⁻¹. This inherent limitation to interpreting variations in exhumation rates in rapidly denudating regions is often overlooked by other studies in the Himalaya [e.g., Burbank et al., 2003]. Given this limitation, exhumation rates of >1–2 mm a⁻¹ from AFT data should therefore be thought of as minimum estimates of the rate, and the true rate could be significantly higher by a factor of 2 or 3. Despite these uncertainties, significant temporal variations in the exhumation rate were obtained across the Himalayan front. For example, exhumation rates in the LHC and HHC units vary by greater than a factor of 4, depending on the time interval analyzed. The implications of these variations are discussed below.

One caveat to our calculation of exhumation rates is that we do not account for the impact of upper crustal fluid flow on cooling ages. Whipp and Ehlers [2007] suggest that fluid flow influences cooling ages and thermochronometer derived exhumation rates in the Himalaya. The approach used here does not account for this effect because of the structural complexity of the region and large geographic region and number of samples considered. Rather, we note that (1) if fluid flow is significant in the region, then it is pervasive because age/elevation relationships in the HH are similar in terms of the slopes throughout the region, and (2) furthermore, if fluid flow is significant here, then the exhumation rates presented in our study are minimum estimates for each thermochronometer system, with the greatest impact being on the lower-temperature systems such as AFT [e.g., Whipp and Ehlers, 2007].

5.2. Exhumation Rate Versus Relief, Rainfall, and Specific Stream Power

Here, we evaluate how well exhumation rates derived from thermochronometer data are correlated with the present-day climatic, geomorphic, and tectonic setting along the NW Himalayan margin.

In general, we observe only a poor correlation between AFT-derived exhumation rates and relief, rainfall and SSP. In Figures 6b, 6d, and 6f the AFT-derived exhumation rates plot in discrete areas and only partially overlap. However, both rainfall and stream power plots (Figures 6d and 6f) show the same characteristics at the transition between TH and HH, suggesting that rainfall is the limiting factor. ZFT and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar data show a poor correlation, suggesting that the processes that controlled their exhumation are not related to the pattern of present-day relief, rainfall or SSP observed today.

The poor correlations between exhumation rates versus relief, precipitation, and stream power (Figure 6) can be explained in several ways. One explanation is that both modern topography and erosion are not representative of conditions in the past at the time samples cooled. The topography, for instance, could be transient or decoupled from variations in rainfall over the last several million years. This is the case for the HH and LH, where we know that erosion was highly variable in space and time [Bernet et al., 2006; Bookhagen et al., 2005; Burbank et al., 2003; Clift et al., 2008; Pratt et al., 2002]. However, the stronger correlation between relief and stream power with exhumation rates in the TH suggests that at least in this region exhumation may have occurred under topographic conditions with a similar relief as today. Second, as discussed above, the sensitivity of thermochronometer data to changes in exhumation rates is nonlinear and the sensitivity decreases at rates >~2 mm a⁻¹ (e.g., Figure 4b). Interestingly, all plots in Figure 6 demonstrate an asymptotic behavior for erosion rates >1 mm a⁻¹ by increasing young ages, while at rates <1 mm a⁻¹, which are typical for the TH, the behavior is more linear. Thus, the poor correlation between exhumation rates and the various morphometric parameters shown in Figure 6 are likely the result of a decreased sensitivity of thermochronometer data to changes in erosion in these regions characterized by high exhumation rates. Third, it is also possible that a strong correlation between long-term exhumation rates and relief or stream power could exist in our study area, but is not resolvable within uncertainties in the thermochronometer data.

5.3. Belt of Rapid Exhumation

Figures 7 and 1a show strong variations in exhumation over an area of 200 x 150 km from AFT cooling ages that coincide with tectonomorphic units of the Himalayan front. More specifically, we identified a 30–40 km wide belt of rapid exhumation shown in Figure 7. This zone is characterized by cooling ages that are <3, ~4, ~6 Ma for AFT, ZFT, ArAr-wM, respectively, with calculated exhumation rates of ~3 mm a⁻¹ between 11 and 2 Ma, before rates decrease to ~2 mm a⁻¹.

The lateral extent of this zone (Figure 7) is significantly larger than previously thought [Thiede et al., 2005], and is not as well correlated with the TRMM-derived rainfall data as was previously suggested. The belt of rapid exhumation extends parallel to the range front and its across-strike width of the belt and AFT cooling ages are generally invariant with respect to the present-day geometry of the MCT or MT thrusts within the NW Himalaya (Figure 7). The southern boundary of this zone coincides with a well-
defined break in topographic height near the LH-HH boundary. These observations are consistent with recent studies in central Nepal that argue for a reactivation of the MCT [Hodges et al., 2004; Wobus et al., 2005, 2003]. However, the northern boundary is not as clearly defined as the southern one and it is characterized by large embayments that extend ~20–40 km into the plateau. These embayments coincide with major, deeply incised river valleys, such as the Sutlej or the Bhagirathi that drain the Tethyan Himalaya. The rapid exhuming region is bounded both to the north and south by sectors of moderate to slow exhumation (<2 mm a\(^{-1}\)). The lateral extent of this zone is significantly larger than previously suggested by Thiede et al. [2005] and runs parallel to the range front.

Figure 7. Belt of rapid exhumation defined by young AFT cooling ages along the southern Himalayan Front over the last 3 Ma. The width alongstrike varies, and AFT cooling ages are generally invariant with respect to the geometry of the MCT or MT thrusts within the NW Himalaya. The southern boundary of the area of high exhumation coincides with a well-defined break in slope near the LH-HH boundary. The northern boundary is not as clearly defined as the southern one and is characterized by a large embayment that extends ~20–40 km into the plateau. Such embayments coincide with major, deeply incised river valleys, such as the Sutlej or the Bhagirathi that drain the Tethyan Himalaya. The rapid exhuming region is bounded both to the north and south by sectors of moderate to slow exhumation (<2 mm a\(^{-1}\)). The lateral extent of this zone is significantly larger than previously suggested by Thiede et al. [2005] and runs parallel to the range front.

5.4. Exhumation of the Lesser Himalaya

Apatite-poor, LH lithologies across much of the Himalayan front have hampered previous AFT studies from quantifying the long-term exhumation history. The NW Himalaya, however, has the advantage that remnants of crystalline nappes covering the LH have survived erosion and provide apatite-rich lithologies. Our new AFT data provide insights into the tectonic evolution of the Lesser Himalaya. These include (1) the 10 to 8 Ma AFT cooling ages obtained from high-elevation samples of the crystalline nappes, combined with earlier published 12 to 10 Ma ZFT cooling ages that suggest thrust sheet emplacement onto the LH was completed prior to ~10 Ma and (2) the majority of the AFT samples obtained at moderate and low elevations within the LH yield cooling ages between 6 and 3.5 Ma (Figure 2e), suggesting that rock uplift, river incision, and exhumation have been active since that time. Viewed in the context of the general tectonic evolution of the southern Himalayan front, our cooling age data indicate that the deformation and rapid rock uplift propagated south into the LH and toward the MBT between 10 and 6 Ma.

6. Conclusions

Our results indicate that rapid crustal exhumation documented in a belt along the southern slopes of the Higher (or Greater) Himalaya have possibly been exhumed with similar rates to what has been observed in the western and eastern syntaxes, as well as in central Nepal during late Miocene until present day. More proximal and internal regions of the orogen (the LH and TH) experienced an order of magnitude lower exhumation rate during the same time. Major temporal variations in exhumation rate in the High Himalaya are spatially consistent in a 40–80 km wide belt along 200 km of strike of the area studied, and are insensitive to structural variations.

Our main findings indicate that:

1. Major temporal variations in exhumation occurred in the early middle Miocene and at the Pliocene/Pleistocene transition, respectively. Most notably, minimum estimated exhumation rates for the internal and northern compartments of the High Himalaya, forming the hanging wall of the MCT, were highest (~3 mm a\(^{-1}\)) between ~23–19 Ma and (~2 mm a\(^{-1}\)) between 3 and 0 Ma, with a deceleration in rates (0.4–0.8 mm a\(^{-1}\)) noted between ~19–3 Ma.

2. Contemporaneously, in the footwall of the MCT to the south, we obtained consistently high exhumation rates
of 2–3 mm a\(^{-1}\) between 11 and 3 Ma and possibly longer, for Lesser Himalayan Crystalline rocks.

[61] 3. Although rainfall, relief, and specific stream power (proxies for modern erosion) in the Himalaya are among the highest globally, spatial correlations between calculated long-term exhumation rates and these proxies are poor. We interpret this poor correlation to be the consequence of either (1) temporal variation in erosion, which are possibly beyond the resolution of what thermochronometers are able to detect, (2) a lack of sensitivity to detect changes in cooling because of varying erosion in rapidly exhuming regions (>1 mm a\(^{-1}\)), and/or (3) that the thermochronometer samples in this region cooled under topographic conditions that only weakly resemble the present-day conditions. Consequently a strong correlation between long-term exhumation and relief or stream power could still exist in our study area, but is not resolvable with the thermochronometric data available in this study.

[62] 4. Our new AFT data improve constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Lesser Himalaya. They suggest that crystalline nappe emplacement and posttectonic regional thermal reequilibration happened prior to 12–10 Ma. It was followed by river incision and erosion-driven exhumation with average rates of ~1 mm a\(^{-1}\) since at least ~6 Ma until present.

Appendix A: Justification for 1-D Steady State Thermal Model

[63] Previous thermochronometer studies of the Himalaya have used numerical models of varying sophistication to interpret exhumation rates. Whipp et al. [2007] and Huntingdon et al. [2007] present two of the more advanced, 3-D, approaches where the effect of 3-D exhumation trajectories on sample cooling ages in Nepal is accounted for. Here we explain our rationale for using a 1-D thermal model to quantify exhumation rates.

[64] First, previous work indicates that low-temperature thermochronometer data have a decreased sensitivity to the exhumation pathway in rapidly eroding orogens. For example, Whipp et al. [2007] found a low sensitivity of AFT and \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar-wM cooling ages to lateral exhumation along thrusts [see Whipp et al., 2007, Figure 8]. The reason for this is that exhumation rates, and thermal gradients, are sufficiently high that sample cooling histories are not integrated over a long enough distance to distinguish between lateral and vertical motion. Instead, Whipp et al. [2007] found that cooling ages are most sensitive to the vertical (1-D exhumation) component of the kinematic field. Thus, although useful information can be gleaned from 3-D models, in rapidly eroding regions such as the Himalaya, cooling ages are predominately sensitive to vertical (1-D) motion. This would not be the case for lateral motion of rocks in more slowly exhuming settings.

[65] Second, thermal transients are typically short-lived in rapidly eroding orogens. Previous studies have highlighted that transient denudation rates can result in temporal variations in thermal gradients and closure isotherm depths [e.g., Mancktelow and Grasemann, 1997]. However, the response time of the thermal field to variable denudation rates depends primarily on the magnitude and duration of changes in the denudation rate [e.g., Reiners and Brandon, 2006]. In general, the faster the exhumation rate is around which changes occur, the faster the response time is until the thermal field reaches a new thermal equilibrium. Relevant to our application of a steady state model we highlight that:

[66] 1. AFT age/elevation relationships from the High Himalaya are linear over the last 3–4 Ma (Figure 2), suggesting constant exhumation rates over this duration.

[67] 2. Application of the program RESPTIME [see Ehlers et al., 2005; Reiners and Brandon, 2006] to Himalayan conditions simulated in this study suggests a rapid equilibration of the closure temperatures to a steady state thermal field following a step change in the exhumation rate. For example, the response time for the AFT closure isotherm to reach 90% of a new steady state depth is 1.2 ± 0.2 Ma for the change in exhumation rates suggest in this study of 0.5 to 2.0 mm a\(^{-1}\). The response time was calculated using a 30-km-thick crust, thermal diffusivity between 28.6-50 km\(^2\) Ma\(^{-1}\), heat production rate between 11.5 and 34.4C Ma\(^{-1}\), and an initial thermal gradient of 45C km\(^{-1}\). The previous rapid response time suggests that for a change in exhumation rates prior to 3 Ma, (as suggested by linear AFT age-elevation relationships) the thermal field would be reequilibrated within ~1.2 Ma of this change. Thus, our interpretation of a change in rates from AFT ages that occurred ~2 Ma at 3 km depth suggests a minimal (<10%) influence of thermal transients on our interpretation of these data.

[68] Similarly, application of RESPTIME to quantify the duration of thermal transients between closure of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar-wM and ZFT used in this study also suggests a small effect on our interpretations. For example, for a decrease in rates from 3.0 to 0.5 mm a\(^{-1}\) ~19 Ma requires 8 ± 2 Ma before 80–90% of thermal equilibration to the new rate is achieved. This means that the decrease in rates between closure of the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar-wM and ZFT data has a similarly low (<10–20%) effect on our interpretation of exhumation rates from ~13 Ma ZFT ages and ~19 Ma \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar-wM-ages.

[69] In summary, although thermal transients were likely present in the study area because of changes in rates, the rapid exhumation rates in the study area, and the range of ages used to calculate exhumation rates, suggest a small <10% to <20% effect of transients on our calculated rates.

Appendix B: Determination of Exhumation Rates

[70] The range of possible exhumation rates was determined by our best estimate considering varying thermophysical properties for the individual tectonomorphic provinces exhumed within the Himalaya. We used the model to identify the range of possible exhumation rates by varying model parameters such as the thermal conductivity and heat production, for all three thermochronometers (e.g., Figures S1, S2, and S3 within the auxiliary material accompanying this paper). The contours in Figures S1, S2, and S3 represent the predicted cooling ages of each thermochronometer system. Previously published and new thermal conductivity and heat production measurements for the LH, LHC, HHC, TH are summarized in Table S2 within the auxiliary material. Petrologic estimates of peak temperature and depth of metamorphics (i.e., HHC ~600–700°C, ~30 km depth) were used as the basal temperature
and depth boundary condition for the model. The individual plots of the model results presented in Figures S1, S2, and S3 took into consideration the range of plausible thermal conductivity and heat production values observed in this region. The white dashed boxes show the range of exhumation rates derived from the variability of cooling ages obtained within individual tectonomorphic units.

Appendix C: Thermal Conductivity Measurements

[71] Effective thermal conductivity (data table in auxiliary material accompanying this paper) was measured using the optical-scanning method on cut rock samples of at least 5 cm length and measured under dry laboratory conditions at ~20°C. The distribution of the thermal conductivity \( k \) and the coefficient of thermal heterogeneity both parallel and perpendicular to the metamorphic foliation was measured. The error of the measurement is <5% for thermal conductivities in the range of 0.2–50 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) [Popov and Pevzner, 1994]. An effective porosity of 1% was assumed to be the typical value for all samples, yielding a ratio of the thermal conductivity of between water- and air-saturated rock of 1.03 [Forster and Förster, 2000].
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