Physicochemical and Physiological Mechanisms for the Effects of Food on Drug Absorption: The Role of Lipids and pH
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Abstract
Drugs are absorbed after oral administration as a consequence of a complex array of interactions between the drug, its formulation, and the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The presence of food within the GI tract impacts significantly on transit profiles, pH, and its solubilization capacity. Consequently, food would be expected to affect the absorption of co-administered drugs when their physicochemical properties are sensitive to these changes. The physicochemical basis by which ingested food/lipids induce changes in the GI tract and influence drug absorption are reviewed. The process of lipid digestion is briefly reviewed and considered in the context of the absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs. The effect of food on GI pH is reviewed in terms of location (stomach, upper and lower small intestine) and the temporal relationship between pH and drug absorption. Case studies are presented in which postprandial changes in bioavailability are rationalized in terms of the sensitivity of the physicochemical properties of the administered drug to the altered GI environment.

Introduction

The presence of food within the gastrointestinal (GI) tract can markedly alter the oral bioavailability of drugs via changes in the rate and/or extent of absorption, pre-systemic metabolism, and systemic drug clearance. These changes can lead to variations in efficacy and toxicity profiles because medications are often taken under conditions of varying food and fluid intake. Although knowledge of such interactions is important from a developmental, clinical, and regulatory standpoint, the effect of food on bioavailability has often been addressed in a largely phenomenological manner.

The presence of food within the GI tract was historically regarded as a barrier to absorption, leading to suggestions that drugs should be taken on an empty stomach when possible. However, it is currently accepted that the interaction between food and drugs should be examined on an individual basis. The character and magnitude of the effect of food on bioavailability is a function of the drug, the dose, the nature of the formulation, the size and composition of the food, and the temporal relationship between food ingestion and drug administration.

Notwithstanding the physical and chemical interactions that may occur between drugs and specific food components, altered postprandial absorption is generally a function of the changes associated with conversion from the fasted to the fed state. Changes due to (i) secretion of gastric acid and bile and pancreatic fluids, (ii) modification of gastric and intestinal motility patterns, and (iii) alterations in visceral blood and lymph flow have the most significant impact on absorption.

Algorithms for estimating drug absorption often involve consideration of the dose, aqueous solubility, and drug permeability. Amidon et al. developed useful predictive relationships for absorption in the fasted state employing physical model approaches for drugs with a "reasonable degree" of water solubility (considered in the context of the administered dose). However, the predictive aspects of postprandial bioavailability are more problematic.

The review of the literature presented here demonstrates that there is often a physicochemical basis to altered bioavailability when drugs are administered postprandially. We briefly review the major physiological changes that occur in the postprandial GI environment to provide a framework for examining effects of food on bioavailability. We focus on the wide ranging effects of stimulation of the lipid digestion cascade (increased drug wetting and solubilization, recruitment of intestinal lymph, and effects on GI motility) and modulation of GI pH (degree of ionization, solubility) on drug absorption. The effect of food on bioavailability from modified-release formulations is not considered because we specifically...
address intrinsic absorption-related factors rather than extrinsic dose form effects.

**Lipids And The Post-Prandial Intestinal Environment**

**Digestion and Absorption of Lipids**—Lipids are a major constituent of food that can influence drug absorption. Ingested lipid decreases gastric motility, and the presence of lipid digestion products within the upper small intestine induces secretion of biliary and pancreatic fluids that dramatically alter the luminal environment. The digestion and absorption of lipids has received most attention in the nutritional and physiology literature because of the fundamental role it plays in health maintenance.

Lipid digestion is the result of three sequential processes involving (i) dispersion of fat globules into a coarse emulsion of high surface area, (ii) enzymatic hydrolysis of the fatty acid glyceryl esters (primarily triglyceride lipid), and (iii) dispersion of the products of lipid digestion into an absorbable form. Lingual lipase secreted by the salivary gland and gastric lipase secreted by the gastric mucosa are responsible for initiating hydrolysis of ingested triglyceride (TG) to the corresponding diglyceride (DG) and fatty acid (FA) within the stomach. Liberation of these amphiphilic lipid digestion products, in combination with the shear produced by antral contraction and gastric emptying, facilitates formation of a crude emulsion that empties into the duodenum. The presence of lipid in the duodenum stimulates secretion of bile salts, biliary lipids, and pancreatic juice. Biliary lipids adsorb to the surface of the crude emulsion, stabilizing it and further reducing droplet size. Subsequently, the majority of TG hydrolysis occurs under the action of pancreatic lipase, which requires the presence of colipase to be active in the presence of bile salts. It is an interface enzyme that acts at the surface of the emulsified TG droplets to quantitatively produce the corresponding 2-monoglyceride (MG) and two FA. These digestion products are effective emulsifying agents, and because FA promotes binding of the lipase/colipase complex to the emulsion surface, the lipolytic process is essentially self-promoting. Phospholipids are hydrolyzed to the corresponding lysophospholipids by phospholipase A₁ prior to absorption, and in quantitative terms, the majority of phospholipid reaches the GI tract via biliary secretion with only modest inputs from dietary sources.

In the early 1960s, Hofmann and Borgstrom subjected human postprandial intestinal contents to ultracentrifugation-based separation and determined that the in vivo products of lipid digestion partitioned between an oily phase and a solubilized bile salt mixed micellar phase. More recently, Carey et al. identified the presence of unilamellar vesicles in equilibrium with bile salt mixed micelles from aspirates of human postprandial intestinal fluid. Current understanding suggests that during lipolysis, digestion products “pinch off” from the surface of the emulsified TG/DG emulsion to produce liquid crystalline structures, which then form multilamellar and unilamellar structures in the presence of sufficient bile salt concentrations. The intestinal mixed micellar phase described by Hofmann and Borgstrom is now thought to exist in a two-phase equilibrium system with unilamellar lipid vesicles. The absorptive membrane of the enterocyte is separated from the bulk aqueous phase of intestinal contents by a poorly mixed unstirred water layer (UWL) that constitutes a barrier to absorption of poorly water-soluble lipid digestion products. Although the specific absorption mechanisms have not been elucidated, the common role of the mixed micellar phase in solubilizing poorly water-soluble lipid digestion products and providing a concentration gradient for absorption of mono-meric lipids is generally accepted. Micelles are not absorbed intact, and lipids are thought to be absorbed from a monomolecular intermicellar phase. In support of the importance of the intermicellar phase, concentrations of cholesterol several times higher than the saturated aqueous solubility can be achieved in the intermicellar environment. The dissociation of monomolecular lipid from the mixed micellar phase prior to absorption may be stimulated by a micrometeor of lower pH associated with the absorptive site. Reports have described the existence of an entero-lymphatic transport is less clear cut than this “rule of thumb” as there are reports of lymphatic transport of medium-chain FA and portal blood absorption of long-chain FA.

**Bile and Bile Salt Micelles**—An average composition of human gall bladder bile is ~84% water, 11.5% bile salts, 3% lecithin, 0.5% cholesterol, and 1% other components, such as bile pigments, inorganic ions, and protein. In response to the intestinal presence of digestion products (especially lipid digestion products), cholecystokinin-mediated contraction of the gall bladder and relaxation of the sphincter of Oddi leads to expulsion of bile, with peak flow occurring ~30 min after meal ingestion. Although variable, typical bile salt concentrations in the fasted intestine are 4–6 mM compared with postprandial levels of 10–20 mM. Approximately 40% of bile salts are conjugates of cholic acid, 40% are conjugates of Chenodeoxycholic acid, and the remaining 20% are conjugates of deoxycholic acid.

Bile salts form polymolecular aggregates, the structural characteristics of which are dependent on solution pH, temperature, compositional distribution of other bile acids, and the presence of biliary lipids and lipid digestion products. Although the formation of bile salt aggregates is termed micellization, bile salt aggregation is more gradual than observed for synthetic surfactants, and the aggregation number is much less. Carey and Small described bile salts forming either primary or secondary micelles. Primary bile salt micelles have aggregation numbers up to 10 and are formed via hydrophobic interactions, whereas secondary micelles are formed via hydrogen bonding interactions of the primary micellar structures.

The chemistry of mixed bile salt micelles is dictated by the structural inclusion of lecithin, lysolecithin, and the products of lipid digestion that decreases the critical micelle concentration (cmc), increases the size of the micelle, and significantly increases solubilization capacity. For example, the inclusion of swelling amphiphiles such as lecithin and MG decreases the cmc of mixed micellar systems to values <1 mM. Therefore, it is likely that the cmc of mixed bile salt micelles is surpassed even in the fasted state. The secretion of bile in response to food is responsible for an overall increase in the solubilizing capacity of the GI tract by increasing micelle numbers rather than increasing bile salt levels to supramicellar concentrations.
The Role of Bile in Drug Dissolution and Solubilization

The presence of bile may improve the bioavailability of poorly water-soluble drugs by enhancing the rate of dissolution and/or solubility. An increase in the rate of dissolution can occur via (i) a decrease in the interfacial energy barrier between solid drug and the dissolution medium (via enhanced wetting), leading to an effective increase in surface area, or (ii) an increase in solubility via micellar solubilization. However, a consequence of drug solubilization within the bile salt micelle is a decrease in the apparent diffusion coefficient of the drug. In general, enhanced wetting predominates at bile salt concentrations below the cmc, whereas enhanced solubility is dominant at concentrations above the cmc. It is important to note that changes in the dissolution rate of poorly water-soluble drugs due to wetting or solubilization is compound dependent due to the specificity of the interactions associated with these processes.

Poorly water-soluble drugs partition between the postprandial multilamellar and unilamellar structures, emulsion droplets, and the mixed micellar phase. The character of these colloidal phases is controlled by the relative concentrations of bile salts, biliary lipids, and lipid digestion products and is therefore continually changing. As time progresses after ingestion of a meal and the relative proportions of the high lipid load emulsion droplets and multilamellar vesicles decreases relative to the end stage mixed micelle and unilamellar vesicles, the nature of the available "dissolution media" changes correspondingly. Therefore, dissolution is subject to change not only as a function of the fed/fasted cycle but also the kinetics of lipid digestion.

Solubility and Wetting—The effect of bile salt solubilization has been studied for many drugs; for example, griseofulvin, danazol, digoxin, diethylstilbestrol, diazepam, halofantrine, leucotriene antagonists, and gemfibrozil. Typically, solubility may increase 0.2–2 orders of magnitude in representative postprandial simple micellar systems compared with buffer control. The further addition of lecithin and/or lipid digestion products to simple bile salt systems does not necessarily lead to further increases in solubility or dissolution rate.

Bile salts can increase the rate of dissolution via enhanced wetting in a compound-specific manner. For example, Miyazaki et al. suggested that the enhanced dissolution of phenylbutazone in simple bile salt solutions was mediated via increased wetting, whereas micellar solubilization was responsible for improved dissolution of indomethacin. Bakatselou et al. investigated the powder dissolution rate of five steroids in sodium taurocholate (NaTC) solutions representative of fasted and fed states (3.75 and 15 mM NaTC, respectively). The increased dissolution under simulated fed state conditions for four of the five steroids (log P values 1.01–1.94; viz., triamcinolone, hydrocortisone, dexamethasone, and betamethasone) was primarily augmented by improved wetting. In contrast, the increased dissolution of danazol (log P 4.5) was primarily mediated via solubilization. Subsequent studies examined the dissolution of hydrocortisone in simple and mixed micellar systems and determined that wetting was the predominant factor that improved the rate of dissolution in simple bile salt micelles, whereas solubilization appeared to be major mechanism for improving dissolution in the mixed micellar systems. The solubility and dissolution of cholesterol in bile salts has been extensively studied by Higuchi et al. Although these studies were targeted towards understanding gallstone dissolution, they provide valuable data regarding the dissolution of highly lipophilic compounds. Dissolution was facilitated by simple bile salt micelles, although addition of lecithin to form mixed micelles markedly decreased dissolution in spite of improved solubility. Subsequent studies demonstrated that dissolution in mixed micelles was not diffusion controlled but limited by an electrostatic barrier at the dissolving interface. Although cholesterol is an extreme example, surface-based reactions may become important for extremely lipophilic compounds with minimal aqueous solubility.

Not all interactions of poorly water-soluble drugs with bile salts lead to increased solubilization. Examples where the formation of insoluble, poorly absorbed drug–bile salt complexes leads to decreased bioavailability include, among others, pafenolol, tubocurarine, neomycin and kanamycin, and various large molecular weight antibiotics. Related situations where poorly absorbed complexes are formed between the drug and components of the ingested meal include the well-known examples of tetracycline and penicillamine. These examples of food-affected bioavailability are outside the scope of this review and reviews by Welling and others should be consulted for further detail.

The lack of predictability of solubility and dissolution rate of poorly water-soluble drugs in bile salt systems indicates the compound-specific nature of the interaction. Additionally, there is a likely differential between the sensitivity of the kinetic processes (rate of wetting and rate of dissolution) and the equilibrium processes (solubility and composition of the drug containing micelle) in the micellar components. Figure 1 is a schematic representation that summarises the various steps involved in lipid digestion, the formation of the various micellar phases, and the influence that the postprandial GI environment can have on the dissolution and solubilization of coadministered drugs.

Absorption, Permeability, and the Postprandial Intestine

The constituents of the mixed micellar phase impact on the intestinal permeability of poorly water-soluble drugs via three major processes. First, lipid digestion products and bile salts characteristic of the fed state may alter the intrinsic permeability of the intestinal membrane leading to increased penetration via paracellular or transcellular routes. Second, solubilization of poorly water-soluble drugs within bile salt micelles may facilitate diffusion through the UWL leading to increased absorption. Third, and conversely, solubilization may decrease the intermicellar “free” fraction of drug that could lead to a decrease in absorption. These divergent effects are the basis for the often contradictory reports concerning drug absorption when drugs are administered as solubilized systems. These issues are not new, and the points raised in a 1970 review by Gibaldi and Feldman are as relevant today as when the article first appeared.

The permeability enhancing abilities of bile salts, FA, and MG in the intestinal tract is well known. When considering these naturally occurring permeability enhancers, it is apparent that the intestinal mucosa is frequently subjected to dietary-induced damage and that mechanisms have evolved for rapid repair. Kvetys et al. studied the role of lipolytic digestion products in causing mucosal injury and demonstrated that perfusion of emulsified oleic acid through the rat small intestine induced damage to villus tips, although the damage was rapidly repaired within 1 h of stopping the perfusion. These data led to the conclusion that damage to the intestinal epithelium by the products of lipid digestion may be a common occurrence during the normal digestive and absorptive processes.

The following representative examples describe the range of effects that lipid digestion products and bile salts may have on drug absorption when studied using in situ methods.
In summary, solubilization in the terminal phases of lipid digestion, notably the intestinal micellar phases, can have a considerable effect on the absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs. Consequently, the potentially large increase in dissolution and solubilization of such compounds in the postprandial environment is the most likely basis for enhanced absorption.

Altered GI Transit Profiles

The products of lipid digestion delay gastric emptying, and most pharmaceutical attention has focussed on the relationship between gastric emptying, food ingestion, and the handling of different dosage forms. Generally, delayed gastric emptying has the potential to increase absorption of poorly water-soluble drugs by increasing the time available for dissolution. For acid-labile and ionizable drugs, coadministration with food increases the residence time in the acidic environment, which impacts on solubility and stability issues. However, the increase in stomach pH in response to food ingestion makes it difficult to predict the likely overall effect on bioavailability, and these issues are addressed in a subsequent section.

Intestinal Lymphatic Drug Transport

The intestinal lymphatics are a specialized transport path for highly lipophilic drugs, lipids, and lipidic derivatives. The physiological, biopharmaceutic, and formulation-related factors that influence lymphatic drug transport have been recently reviewed. Once a drug has gained access to the intestinal lymphatics (in association with intestinal lipoproteins), it drains into the thoracic lymph duct that empties into the systemic circulation at the junction of the left internal jugular and left subclavian veins. Therefore, lymphatic transport can bypass hepatic first-pass metabolism and thereby increase bioavailability, and target drugs to regions of the body directly supplied by the mesenteric lymphatics.

Examples of compounds that are transported (to varying degrees) by the intestinal lymphatics include cyclosporin, naftifine, probucol, mepiotistane, lipophilic vitamins and vitamin derivatives, halofantrine, xenobiotics such as DDT and related analogs, benzo-(a)-pyrene, and numerous lipophilic prodrugs.

Compounds transported via the intestinal lymphatics are generally associated with the lipid TG core of chylomicrons that are formed by the enterocyte. The resynthesis of TG to absorption of a highly lipophilic rimnophenazine derivative in the presence of high concentration simple or mixed bile salt micelles. The tacit assumption when the absorption of lipophilic compounds is improved with bile salt micelles is that transcellular absorption is enhanced. In this study, the absorption of a poorly absorbed hydrophilic marker (PEG 4000) was simultaneously assessed. At bile salt concentrations > 40 mM, there was a significant increase in the permeability of PEG 4000, whereas concentrations of 80 mM were required to enhance absorption of the lipophilic rimnophenazine derivative. This difference suggested that the enhanced permeability of PEG 4000 was due to a change in the paracellular permeability of the membrane mediated by the bile salt solutions. Similar observations were made with streptomycin and gentomycin for which mixed micellar systems markedly enhanced absorption. Subsequent studies demonstrated that the enhanced absorption was due to an alteration of the membrane permeability by the lipid component of the micelles, with the high aqeous solubility of streptomycin and gentomycin most likely favoring the paracellular route of absorption.
support chylomicron formation occurs via either of two pathways, with the major and most energy-efficient path being the direct and sequential acetylation of absorbed 2-MG with activated FA to form the corresponding DG and TG lipids. The resynthesized TG is then progressively shunted through intracellular organelles where the surface is stabilized by addition of phospholipids and apoproteins. The obligatory dependence of chylomicron formation on the phospholipid and apoprotein coating, and their ordered and sequential assembly, have been the subject of various reviews.22,23,26 Phospholipids, which cover as much as 80% of the chylomicron surface,131 are formed in the enterocyte via reesterification of absorbed lysophospholipids with an activated long-chain FA.

The rate of fluid transport in the intestinal lymphatics is ~0.2% (v/v) compared with portal blood.132 Consequently, there is minimal opportunity for the selective lymphatic transport of small molecular weight, water-soluble drugs where absorption via the portal blood or lymph is largely governed by the relative rates of fluid flow. However, association of lipophilic drugs with the TG core of chylomicrons can provide a means for attaining high lymphatic drug concentrations and thereby contribute to bioavailability.

The major factors for lymphatic transport to be a significant contributor to bioavailability include coadministration of a lipid source, metabolic stability, and sufficiently high lipophilicity. In terms of a “ball park” estimate, the log P of a candidate drug needs to be >5, with a TG solubility of at least 50 mg/mL, before lymphatic transport is likely to be a major contributor to bioavailability.116,133 Therefore, although lymphatic transport is a biological process, the potential for it to contribute to oral bioavailability can be reasonably estimated from consideration of the relevant physicochemical properties of the drug.

A common difficulty in assessing the role of lymph transport in enhanced postprandial absorption arises because conclusions are often indirectly drawn from consideration of plasma concentration–time profiles. The physicochemical properties of the drug should always be considered when estimating the potential contribution of lymphatic transport to enhanced postprandial bioavailability, and animal studies are typically required to confirm lymphatic transport.132,134

### Case Studies: Dissolution, Solubilization, and Lymphatic Transport

Notwithstanding the potential nonspecific binding of drugs to constituent parts of a meal, the effect of lipids on postprandial drug absorption can often be assessed by considering enhanced dissolution/solubilization, modulation of gastric emptying, and stimulation of lymphatic transport. The following case studies are selected examples for which enhanced postprandial oral bioavailability has been assessed in this manner (Table 1).

**Griseofulvin**—The classic example of enhanced postprandial bioavailability is griseofulvin.135 Bates et al.61–63 demonstrated that the enhanced solubility and dissolution of griseofulvin in supramicellar concentrations of bile salts was the primary basis for the enhanced absorption. Recently, it was demonstrated in vitro that addition of lecithin to NaTc micelles increased the solubility of griseofulvin up to 5-fold, only marginal effects were observed on dissolution rate.136 The lack of a concomitant increase in dissolution rate with increasing solubility was ascribed to a progressive decrease in the diffusion coefficient of the mixed micelle due to a lecithin concentration-dependent increase in its size. Within the lecithin and bile salt concentration range studied, the coexistence of simple and mixed micelles impacted on the relative partitioning of griseofulvin between these micellar structures, that is, increasing amounts of drug solubilized in the mixed micelles as the molar ratio of lecithin to bile salt increased. However, the concentration of “free” drug in the various bile salt/lecithin solutions remained relatively constant at ~10% of the total concentration.

**Danazol**—Danazol is a high-dose, poorly water-soluble steroid that exhibits highly variable oral bioavailability in the fasted state. The oral bioavailability from a capsule formulation increased 3-fold when administered 30 min after ingestion of a fatty meal (Table 2).137 The improved absorption after postprandial administration of the capsule was attributed, in part, to increased dissolution and improved solubilization within the postprandial GI contents. When the same dose of danazol was administered as a MG-based lipid emulsion to either fed or fasted subjects, the plasma levels were similar to those achieved after postprandial administration of the capsule formulation (Table 2). The apparently optimal performance of the MG-based emulsion formulation in the fasted subjects was ascribed to the triggering of an effective “postprandial” GI environment.

In vitro studies demonstrated a 10-fold increase in the solubility of danazol in 15 mM NaTc compared with buffer

---

### Table 1—Examples of Increased Postprandial Oral Bioavailability and the Likely Basis for the Increased Absorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Increase in Bioavailability</th>
<th>Basis for Food Effect</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Griseofulvin</td>
<td>3–5-fold (humans)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td>62, 63, 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danazol</td>
<td>4-fold (humans)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td>58, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tocopheryl</td>
<td>5-fold (dogs)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood and lymph transport</td>
<td>132, 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotinate</td>
<td>28-fold (humans)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td>67, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halofantrine</td>
<td>10-fold (dogs)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>2–5-fold (humans)</td>
<td>solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td>147, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinoic acid Δ derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td>likely solubilization → portal blood transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2—Effect of Food on the Oral Bioavailability of Danazol and the Effect of Bile Salt Concentration on the In Vitro Equilibrium Solubility and Initial Dissolution Rate of Danazol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Bioavailability Parameter&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Physicochemical Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bioavailability Parameter&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NaTc Conc (mM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plasma AUC (ng/mL)</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted Capsule</td>
<td>204 ± 125</td>
<td>37 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed capsule</td>
<td>639 ± 259</td>
<td>101 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted MG emulsion</td>
<td>779 ± 199</td>
<td>155 ± 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed MG emulsion</td>
<td>844 ± 194</td>
<td>126 ± 56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Results were determined after administration of 100 mg of danazol to human subjects (n = 11) and are expressed as mean ± SD. <sup>b</sup> The data are from refs 58 and 137. <sup>c</sup> DR, Dissolution rate.
control (Table 2), and 3- and 10-fold improvements in dissolution under representative postprandial simple and mixed micellar solutions, respectively. The magnitude of the increase in the dissolution rate and solubility of danazol at bile salt concentrations >5 mM is consistent with the increased postprandial bioavailability. Consideration of contact angle measurements in the context of the solubility and dissolution data indicated that solubilization, rather than enhanced wetting, was the primary basis for increased dissolution of danazol.

O-Tocopheryl Nicotinate—The fat-soluble vitamins, including α-tocopherol, have poor oral bioavailability and largely gain access to the systematic circulation via the intestinal lymphatics. As α-tocopherol is unstable due to oxidation of the phenyl group, numerous prodrug strategies have been employed to combat both its low bioavailability and poor stability. Hasegawa et al. studied the effect of food on the bioavailability of a nicotinate prodrug of vitamin E (α-tocopheryl nicotinate) in beagles and humans. When α-tocopheryl nicotinate was administered postprandially to beagles, there was a 5-fold enhancement in bioavailability compared with administration in the fasted state. In humans, the bioavailability of α-tocopheryl nicotinate improved 28-fold when administered in the fed state. These improvements in bioavailability of α-tocopheryl nicotinate after postprandial administration were a function of both improved dissolution and solubilization, which improved absorption into the portal blood, as well as recruitment of intestinal lymphatic transport as a contributor to oral bioavailability.

Halofantrine—Halofantrine hydrochloride (HfHCl) is a highly lipophilic phenanthrene derivative (calc. log P, 8.5) used for the treatment of drug-resistant malaria. When administered postprandially, bioavailability increased threefold in humans, and 10-fold in beagles (Table 3).

Table 3—Oral Bioavailability Parameters for Halofantrine HCl After Administration to Either Fasted or Fed Healthy Human Subjects and Fasted or Fed Beagle Dogs and the Effect of Bile Salt Concentration on the In Vitro Equilibrium Solubility and Intrinsic Dissolution Rate of Halofantrine HCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioavailability Parameter</th>
<th>Human Subjects</th>
<th>Beagle Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasted</td>
<td>Fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma AUC (mg h/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>11.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (µg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184 ± 115</td>
<td>1218 ± 464</td>
<td>275 ± 156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physicochemical Parameter</th>
<th>NaTC Conc</th>
<th>Solubility (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Intrinsic DR² (µg/s/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.75 mM</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75 mM + lecithin²</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>0.0722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mM + lecithin</td>
<td>2252</td>
<td>1.2243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mM + lecithin</td>
<td>4513</td>
<td>2.2769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD and are from refs 67 and 143. n = 6. *n = 3. **DR, Dissolution rate. *NaTC: lecithin molar ratio of 4:1.

Halofantrine hydrochloride (HfHCl) is consistent with the dramatically enhanced dissolution and solubilization of the compound in the presence of mixed bile salt micelles.

Atovaquone—Atovaquone is a highly lipophilic antiprotozoal drug that is slowly and irregularly absorbed after oral administration in the fasted state. Administration of a tablet formulation with either a low or high fat breakfast improved bioavailability 3.3-fold and 5.3-fold, respectively. The aqueous solubility of atovaquone is ~0.1 µg/mL, which increased to ~100 µg/mL under simulated postprandial conditions. The potential lymphatic transport of atovaquone in the fed state was investigated by isolating chylomicrons from the plasma and analyzing for the presence of drug. This is a useful approach for noninvasively identifying the occurrence of intestinal lymphatic transport in humans, although the rapid plasma clearance of chylomicrons and lipophilic drugs requires validation on a case-by-case basis. Atovaquone was not detected with this approach, suggesting that lymphatic transport was not a contributing postprandial absorption pathway. These data indicate that the basis for the enhanced absorption of atovaquone was most likely the enhanced solubilization capacity of the postprandial GI environment leading to enhanced portal blood absorption.

Retinoic Acid Derivatives—Etretinate is an orally active retinoid that undergoes first pass deesterification to form etretin, which subsequently isomerizes to form isoretinoin. The oral bioavailability of etretinate increased 3–5-fold when administered with a high fat meal or milk compared with fasted administration. The amount of metabolite formed (defined by the area under the metabolite plasma concentration–time curve) was unchanged after administration of parent compound in either the fed or fasted state, indicating that coadministration of etretinate with food significantly increased the amount of parent drug absorbed, but appeared to reduce the extent of metabolism. Due to the structural similarity with Vitamin A, it was speculated that solubilization of etretinate in the postprandial intestinal environment may have facilitated both portal blood absorption and lymphatic transport. Isoretinoin is another orally active retinoid where bioavailability is also significantly enhanced when administered postprandially.

Predictive Approaches to Assessing Lipid-Enhanced Bioavailability

Physicochemical properties, such as solubility in aqueous, organic, and lipidic solvents, and octanol/water partition coefficients, are a useful starting point in identifying possible absorption problems when used in combination with various absorption models. Analysis of these data can often identify whether absorption of a poorly water-soluble drug may be sensitive to the changes that occur in the postprandial GI tract. (Recent evidence has identified oxidative metabolism in intestinal villus tips and a P-glycoprotein countertransport process as potential contributors to the poor oral bioavailability of cyclosporine, which is poorly water soluble.) Furthermore, it appears that some lipidic derivatives may inhibit the P-glycoprotein countertransport system, thereby enhancing the bioavailability of compounds subject to this efflux system. Although further data and examples are required before these findings can be more widely assessed, they offer an interesting new perspective when assessing the limited bioavailability of poorly water-soluble drugs.)
The solubility and dissolution rate of drugs can be readily determined as a function of bile salt concentration, and these results can then be equated with the likely fasted and fed conditions that occur in vivo. These measurements are typically conducted with a single bile salt, such as NaTC or NaGC, although Staggers et al. suggested a mixture of 10 bile salts to more accurately represent the in vivo situation. As biliary lipids and lipid digestion products are required to form mixed micellar systems, there is also merit in examining these more complex micellar systems. However, financial and logistical constraints limit the number of components used in such experiments, particularly for dissolution media for which large volumes are often required.

The development of lipid digestion models is an area requiring further research because these models offer a means for following the passage of lipophilic drugs through the various phases associated with lipid digestion. Reports exist where in vitro lipid digestion models have been used to assess the rate and extent of transfer of drugs from a prospective formulation, through the respective colloidial phases, to the final mixed micellar phase. It has been proposed that the rate of transfer may be a crucial rate-determining step in the absorption of highly lipophilic drugs, particularly from lipid-based formulations.

There are various animal models available for the confirmation of intestinal lymphatic transport; however, the best first step is estimation of the potential for transport from consideration of the partition coefficient and lipid solubility of the drugs. If such calculations indicate that lymphatic transport may be a contributor to oral bioavailability, then further confirmatory experiments should be undertaken. These studies are especially important to identify optimal lipid-based formulations for such drugs.

The Effect of pH on Drug Absorption

As aspects of drug absorption and dosage form performance are sensitive to the pH environment of the GI tract, postprandial changes in GI pH values can influence drug absorption. The basal values and temporal changes that occur in GI pH profiles and the physicochemical basis by which these altered pH profiles can impact on drug absorption and bioavailability are now described.

**Basal Gastric Acid and Pancreatic Bicarbonate Secretion**—The human stomach contains approximately one billion parietal cells that produce HCl at a concentration of ~160 mEq/L. In the fasted state, basal gastric acid secretion is ~2–4 mEq/L/h in men and slightly lower in women. The exocrine secretions of the pancreas consist of a high-volume aqueous secretion containing bicarbonate ion and a low-volume aqueous secretion containing digestive enzymes. There is ~1 L of pancreatic fluid secreted daily into the duodenum, with the alkaline component ranging between 0.2 and 0.8 L. Basal secretion of bicarbonate is 1–2% of the maximal secretory rate, which can be induced by exogenous administration of secretin. A cyclical pattern of basal pancreatic secretion was demonstrated in humans and consisted of brief increases in bicarbonate secretion every 1–2 h that were associated with the interdigestive migrating motor complex. The flow rate typically increased from 0.3 mL/min in the resting state to 4.0 mL/min during stimulation. When the flow rate was at a lower value of 0.6 mL/min, the concentration of bicarbonate was ~25 mEq/L, resulting in a secretion rate of ~1 mEq/h.

**Fasting Upper GI pH in Young Healthy Subjects**—Fasting gastric pH has been well studied, and the generally accepted value is between 1.5 and 2. In a Heidelberg capsule study of 24 young healthy volunteers, Dressman et al. observed a median fasting gastric pH of 1.7 [inter-quartile range (IQR), 1.4–2.1]. During the 1 h observation period employed in the study, episodes of elevated gastric pH were recorded in the majority of subjects. For example, the fasting pH was ~2 for 68% of the time and ~3 for 90% of the time, whereas pH values >4 were evident 6% of the time, with readings as high as pH 6 rarely observed.

The fasting pH profile of the duodenum has been most extensively measured in the duodenal bulb, with the variability in reported values (pH 2.4 to 6.8) being due to the wide temporal and positional fluctuations in this area of the duodenum. A smaller number of studies have investigated the pH in the mid to distal region of the duodenum, with a median pH in the mid-distal duodenum of young healthy volunteers reported to be 6.1 (IQR, 5.8–6.5). The fasting pH of the jejunum is typically reported to range between 6 and 7. However, Ovesen et al. determined the fasting pH in the proximal jejunum to range between 5.9 and 6.2. Watson et al. described a range of jejunal pH values between 3.1 and 6.5, and Zentler-Munro et al. reported the fasting pH to be <5.0 for 17% of the time. Reported values of pH in the distal ileum in the fasted state range between 6.5 and 8.1, with a median pH in the mid-distal duodenum of young healthy volunteers reported to be 6.1 (IQR, 5.8–6.5).

**Table 4—Compilation of Regional Intestinal pH Values in Healthy Human Subjects in Pre- or Postprandial States**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intestinal Site</th>
<th>Preprandial pH</th>
<th>Postprandial pH (time after meal ingestion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>4.0 – 5.4</td>
<td>4.9 – 5.9 (0–240 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8 – 6.5</td>
<td>5.3 – 6.1 (180–240 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.5 – 5.5 (0–60 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.2 – 6.0 (0–180 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td>6.8 – 8.0</td>
<td>6.8 – 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.8 – 8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD or pH range (from ref 180).

**Variation in Upper GI pH Values**—Gastric pH is sensitive to increasing age, pathological conditions and drug-induced changes. Although the majority of elderly people exhibit gastric pH profiles similar to younger people, ~10–20% of the elderly population exhibit either diminished (hypochlorhydria) or no gastric acid secretion (achlorhydria), leading to basal gastric pH values >5.0. In a recent study of 79 healthy elderly subjects (71 ± 5 years), the observed median fasting gastric pH was 1.3 (IQR, 1.1–1.6), although in five achlorhydric subjects, the median fasting pH was as high as 7.1. Duodenal pH was also slightly higher in healthy elderly subjects compared with younger subjects. The overall median fasting duodenal pH of healthy subjects was 6.5 (IQR, 6.2–6.7), whereas in achlorhydric subjects it was 6.8.

**Pathological conditions in which there is a decrease in gastric acid secretion leading to elevated gastric pH values include pernicious anemia and AIDS. Inhibition of gastric acid secretion with either H2 receptor antagonists or proton pump inhibitors can result in marked increases in gastric pH. Although gastric pH can be considerably elevated in response to histamine H2 receptor antagonists, there are usually periods between doses where gastric pH may be relatively acidic. In contrast, omeprazole therapy can completely inhibit acid secretion on a round-the-clock basis.**

**Temporal Pattern of Gastric and Duodenal pH after Meals**—After ingestion of a meal, gastric acid secretion promptly increases above basal rates such that within 90 min after ingestion, the rates of acid secretion approach maximal capacity. Average acid secretion after a meal range between

---

20 and 30 mmol/l/h, with values for men typically being a little higher than for women.\textsuperscript{188-190} Total postprandial pancreatic output is \(\sim60-70\%\) of the output attained in response to maximal stimulation with intravenous infusion of cholecystokinin, resulting in a bicarbonate secretion rate of \(\sim15-17\) mEq/h.\textsuperscript{191} The secretion of the alkaline pancreatic fluid is largely regulated by the amount of acid entering the duodenum. The pH of the alkaline fluid entering the duodenum at high output rates is \(-8,\textsuperscript{192} and after mixing with the bile and alkaline fluids secreted by the mucosal lining of the intestine, the acidic chyme entering the duodenum is partially neutralized.

After ingestion of a meal, gastric pH is distinctly but briefly elevated in spite of increased gastric acid secretion, which is attributed to the diluting and buffering effect of the ingested food components.\textsuperscript{195} After gastric emptying occurs, the gastric pH gradually declines until the fasted-state pH environment has been reestablished. The decline in gastric pH is a function of both the ability of the meal to stimulate gastric acid secretion and the rate at which the meal is emptied from the stomach.

Few studies have measured gastric pH after ingestion of relatively normal solid/liquid meals.\textsuperscript{167,170,193} In one study, Malagelada et al.\textsuperscript{187} studied gastric pH in six healthy subjects by an aspiration technique after ingestion of a meal containing ground steak, bread, butter, vanilla ice cream with chocolate syrup, and water (458 Kcal). The pH of the gastric contents after ingestion of the meal was \(-5.0,\) reflecting both the dilution and buffering effects of the meal. Sixty minutes after meal ingestion, the pH had fallen to \(-3.0,\) and after 120 min, the pH had returned to values of \(-2.0.\) In a similar manner, Dressman et al.\textsuperscript{170} studied postprandial gastric pH in 24 young healthy men and women after administration of a meal containing hamburger, bread, hash brown potatoes, and milk (1000 Kcal). During the meal, gastric pH increased to a median value of 5.0 (IQR, 4.3-5.4). The highest recorded pH value was a peak value of 6.7 (IQR, 6.4-7.0) that occurred within the first 5 min of eating. By constantly monitoring gastric pH values, it was possible to determine the time course of the reestablishment of gastric pH, and it took 11 \pm 10 min to return to pH 5, 28 \pm 24 min to return to pH 4, 56 \pm 41 min to return to pH 3, and 107 \pm 70 min to return to pH 2. Similar profiles were observed in healthy elderly subjects for whom the pH during the meal was 4.9 (IQR, 3.9-5.5), with a peak pH value of 6.2 (5.8-6.7).\textsuperscript{185} However, the time required for gastric pH to return to 2.0 was significantly longer when compared with the younger subjects.

There is considerable variability in the pH of the duodenal bulb in the first 60-min period after ingestion of a meal, with values ranging between 3.8 and 6.1.\textsuperscript{171-174} Typically, the pH of the duodenal bulb has returned to fasting pH values within 3 h of meal ingestion. There is less data available about the postprandial pH in the mid-to-distal region of the duodenum.\textsuperscript{167,170,174} Dressman et al.\textsuperscript{170} noted that during ingestion of a standard solid-liquid meal by young healthy subjects, the overall median pH of the mid-to-distal portion of the duodenum was 6.3 (IQR, 6.0-6.7) and the overall postprandial median pH over a period of 4 h was 5.4 (IQR, 5.0 to 5.7). Comparatively, in healthy elderly subjects, the overall median pH during meal ingestion was 6.5 (IQR, 6.4-6.7) and the overall postprandial median pH was 5.6 (IQR, 5.1-6.0). These data indicate that a brief period of elevated duodenal pH occurs upon ingestion of the meal that can be attributed to the cephalic phase of pancreatic bicarbonate secretion.\textsuperscript{194} When considered in an overall context, the pH in the postprandial phase in the duodenum is lower than that in the fasted state.

Few studies have reported the pH of the jejunum in the fed state. In one study where a Lundh test meal (dried milk, vegetable oil, and dextrose) was administered to healthy subjects, the pH of the proximal jejunum increased to 6.5 at 30 min after meal ingestion and then decreased to 5.7 at 3 h after ingestion.\textsuperscript{174} Similarly, Temple et al.\textsuperscript{195} reported that the jejunal pH was 5.9 at 30 min after ingestion of a test meal and also decreased to a value of 4.7 at 2 h after ingestion. The pH of the ileum in the fed state has not been studied.

**Impact of Differences in Pre- and Postprandial GI pH on Bioavailability**

The rate of dissolution, chemical stability, and intrinsic permeability of a drug may be affected by temporal changes in GI pH. In the following sections we primarily address the role of the changing GI pH environment on the intrinsic factors related to drug absorption, rather than the pH dependence of the various extrinsic formulation-related factors.

**Drug Solubility and Dissolution**—The pH differences in the contents of the upper GI tract between fed and fasting states can influence the dissolution and absorption of weakly acidic and basic drugs. The dissolution rate of weak bases is typically greater in gastric fluids than in the intestine in the fasted state, whereas that of weak acids is at a minimum in the stomach and increases as undissolved drug is transported to the less acidic regions of the intestine. Therefore, elevation of gastric pH following a meal may enhance the dissolution of a weak acid in the stomach but inhibit that of a weak base. Furthermore, because food inhibits the rate of gastric emptying,\textsuperscript{195} prolonged retention in the stomach may increase the proportion of drug that dissolves prior to passage into the small intestine. The primary site of drug absorption is usually the small intestine because of its greater mucosal surface area and range of transport mechanisms, so the class of drugs most vulnerable to pH-related changes in postprandial absorption are poorly water-soluble weak bases.

**Drug Release**—The disintegration and dissolution of some coating materials used on tablets may be pH dependent, thereby affecting drug release. Examples of such polymers include, among others, shellac, polyvinylacetate, cellulose acetate phthalate, polyvinylacetate phthalate, and amphoteric polyvinylpyridine derivatives. For example, pH-dependent disintegration of the water-proof coating of sugar-coated tablets of chloramphenicol and metronidazole led to reduced bioavailability in subjects with elevated gastric pH.\textsuperscript{196,197} Modern enteric coatings, such as cellulose acetate phthalate, polyvinylacetate phthalate, and the polymethacrylates, rely on their pH-solubility profiles to provide enteric protection. These coatings are virtually insoluble at acid pH but begin to dissolve rapidly at characteristic pH values between 4.5 and 8 (depending on the polymer backbone, degree of substitution, and the pK\textsubscript{a} of the substituent species). The pH sensitivity of coating-dissolution profiles suggests that small alterations in GI pH could significantly affect formulation performance because higher than normal gastric pH could result in premature release of drug in the stomach, and lower than normal intestinal pH values could retard drug release with the potential for compromising bioavailability.\textsuperscript{198} For example, Qureshi et al.\textsuperscript{199} found that the T\textsubscript{max} (time to maximum concentration) from enteric-coated ketoprofen tablets was shorter and the C\textsubscript{max} (maximum concentration) was higher in subjects pretreated with omeprazole (to elevate the gastric pH) compared with control subjects; these results are consistent with initial drug release in the stomach.

Changing GI pH values may also impact on the permeability of insoluble film coatings used to provide controlled release of medications as well as on the overall dissolution and drug release patterns from various matrix-based sustained-
release formulations. For example, the release of ephedrine and amphetamine from a peroral depot polystyrene ion-exchange resin was high when in the stomach, but decreased during transit through the small intestine. Changing solution pH values influences the liberation of drugs embedded in slowly eroding FA-based wax matrices (e.g., butyl stearate, saccharose monostearate, glycerin monostearate) by affecting the hydrolysis rate of FA esters. Kohri et al.203 evaluated the effect of gastric pH on the bioavailability of dipiridamole from pH-dependent sustained-release granules (drug release decreased with increasing pH). When administered to gastric acidity-controlled rabbits, a 5-fold decrease in C<sub>max</sub> and a fourfold decrease in AUC (area under the curve of concentration versus time) was observed in the group with low gastric acidity compared with the group with high gastric acidity.

Formula excipients can also cause drug release to vary with pH. For example, various calcium salts are used as diluents or disintegrants in solid dosage forms, and these salts dissolve in gastric fluids via reaction with hydrochloric acid. The solubility of dicalcium and tricalcium phosphate is decreased 10-fold when the solution pH is increased from 1.0 to 2.0.202 Therefore, rapid disintegration of tablets containing these excipients only occurs under acidic conditions. A pH-dependent interaction of weak bases with the disintegrant croscarmellose sodium has been described (interaction increased with increasing pH),203 leading to the suggestion that the bioavailability of such formulations may be compromised at elevated pH. In terms of soft gelatin capsules, shell dissolution is dependent on pH as evidenced by the reported dissolution rate decrease of about 2–3-fold as pH was increased from 1.0 to 3.0 (after pH 3.0, the rate was essentially pH independent).204

**Drug Stability**—The stability of acid-labile drugs is a major consideration when interpreting oral bioavailability profiles under conditions of potentially varied pH. Elevated gastric pH may afford enhanced bioavailability of acid-labile drugs such as penicillin, erythromycin, and digoxin. For example, under acidic conditions, digoxin is hydrolyzed to the digoxigenin aglycone derivative, which has reduced pharmacodynamic activity. In a recent bioavailability study, Cohen digoxigenin aglycone derivative, which has reduced pharmacodynamic activity. In a recent bioavailability study, Cohen et al.205 found that pentagastrin (which increases gastric acid secretion) reduced the urinary excretion of unchanged digoxin from 34 to 21% of the administrated dose, whereas, omeprazole (which reduces gastric acidity) increased urinary excretion to 47% of the administrated dose.

**Intestinal Permeability**—For ionic drugs, the fraction of drug available for absorption may be altered by changing pH values. Because drugs absorbed by a passive, transcellular mechanism are predominantly absorbed in their un-ionized form, the pH in the intestinal lumen relative to the pK<sub>a</sub> of the drug is an important determinant of the absorption rate. According to the pH-partition theory,205 a weak base would be best absorbed at pH values above the pK<sub>a</sub>, whereas a weak acid would be best absorbed at pH values below the pK<sub>a</sub>, providing there are no solubility limitations. Therefore, the half-maximal rate of absorption occurs at pH values close to their pK<sub>a</sub>. In such cases, Winne demonstrated that the following equation (depending on whether the compound is an acid or base) can describe the absorption profile of sufficiently lipophilic drugs.

$$ \text{pH} (\text{max/2}) = P_{a} \pm \log \left(1 + P_{m}/P_{a}\right) $$

where P<sub>m</sub> is the membrane permeability, and P<sub>a</sub> the aqueous boundary layer permeability (as a first approximation, P<sub>m</sub>/P<sub>a</sub> can be represented by the octanol/water partition coefficient). For drugs with half-maximal pH absorption data in the range of small intestinal pH values, the absorption rate would be expected to be sensitive to small shifts in intestinal pH (with up to a 10-fold change in rate with a one unit shift in pH). Furthermore, if a drug is incompletely and variably absorbed, then minor changes in luminal pH would be expected to result in changes in the rate and extent of absorption. Examples of such compounds include some diuretics, antibacterial agents used to treat urinary tract infections, and various nonsteroidal antiinflammatory compounds.

The effect of luminal pH on intestinal permeability has been documented for several drugs for which there are solubility limitations to absorption.207–210 For drugs that are incompletely absorbed, altered permeability resulting from a change in intestinal pH would be expected to translate into an alteration in the rate of absorption. Drugs with pH-dependent permeability include aspirin,211 α-methyl dopa,212 and cimetidine.213 For example, data from intestinal perfusions conducted in humans indicated that the effective permeability of α-methyl dopa was maximal at pH 6.0 and decreased as the pH of the perfusion medium was either decreased to pH 4.5 or increased to pH 7.4.

**Case Studies: Effect of Changing GI pH on Drug Absorption**

It is important that poorly water-soluble weakly basic drugs dissolve rapidly in the stomach because dissolution of undissolved drug in the intestine may be too slow to permit complete absorption. Ketoconazole is a weak dibasic drug (pK<sub>a</sub> = 6.51, pK<sub>a</sub> = 2.94) that exhibits pH-dependent dissolution. At pH values of <3, in vitro dissolution was rapid, with >85% dissolved within 5 min, whereas at pH 6, only 10% dissolved after 1 h. Consequently, absorption of ketoconazole would be expected to be influenced by those factors that affect gastric acidity. Piscitelli et al.215 determined that when ranitidine was administered concomitantly with ketoconazole to titrate gastric pH to 6.0, the mean plasma AUC<sup>0–12 h</sup> values decreased to 1.6 µg h/mL from 37.1 µg h/mL, and mean C<sub>max</sub> values were correspondingly reduced from 8.2 to 0.6 µg/mL. Similar results were also observed when cimetidine was employed to raise gastric pH during concomitant ketoconazole therapy.216 Plasma concentration–time profiles of ketoconazole when administered to fasting or fed subjects, after pre-treatment with glumatic acid, after pre-treatment with cimetidine and bicarbonate to induce a simulated achlorhydria, or after pre-treatment with glumatic acid in the simulated achlorhydric state are shown in Figure 2.217 The marked changes in the plasma profiles are readily interpreted in the context of the expected solubility of the weakly basic drug in the gastric environment under these different treatment regimens.

Ogata et al.218 investigated the bioavailability of cinnarizine in subjects with low and high gastric acidity. Cinnarizine is a weak base that readily dissolves at pH 1, although the solubility is <10 µg/mL at pH values >5. The maximum plasma concentration and plasma AUC data for cinnarizine decreased by almost 85% in subjects with elevated gastric pH compared with those exhibiting low gastric acidity.

Lebsack et al.219 evaluated the effect of gastric acidity on the oral absorption of enoxacin, which is a quinolone antibiotic. Coinadministration of ranitidine significantly decreased the mean enoxacin plasma C<sub>max</sub> by 45% and the AUC values by 32%, whereas this effect was abolished when pentagastrin was used to raise a low gastric pH environment. Enoxacin is very soluble in solutions with pH values of <4.5 to 5, above which the solubility decreases to <0.5 mg/mL due to its amphoteric nature. In a clinical study in which ranitidine
was administered to increase gastric pH above 5, the observed decrease in bioavailability may have been due to the decreased solubility of enoxacin at higher pH values.

Cefpodoxime proxetil is an oral cepham antibiotic that also exhibits pH-dependent dissolution. For example, the solubility of cefpodoxime proxetil in aqueous solutions is reduced from \( \sim 11 \) mg/mL at acidic pH to \( \sim 0.4 \) mg/mL at near neutral pH. When subjects were treated with 40 mg of famotidine 1 h prior to administration of cefpodoxime proxetil, the mean peak plasma levels were reduced by 48% from 2.5 to 1.3 \( \mu g/mL \), and there was a similar decrease in the plasma AUC values from 14.0 to 8.4 \( \mu g \) h/mL.220

Diazepam is an example of a drug for which the rate, rather than the extent of absorption is affected by gastric pH. Diazepam is a weak base with solubilities of 13.4 mg/mL at pH 1 and 50 \( \mu g/mL \) at pH 7. In a clinical study conducted in healthy subjects, the maximum plasma concentration of diazepam was reduced by 50% in subjects with high gastric pH values compared with those with a low gastric pH, although there was not a corresponding decrease in the plasma AUC values.221

Dipyridamole (\( pK_a \) 6.4) has an aqueous solubility of 5 \( \mu g/mL \) at pH 7.0 that increases to 29 mg/mL at pH 2.5. Russell et al.222 found that when famotidine was administered concomitantly with dipyridamole to elevate gastric pH above 5.0, the plasma \( C_{max} \) of dipyridamole was reduced by 79% when compared to control and the mean plasma AUC\(^{0-90}\) h values were reduced by 37%.

The absorption of inorganic salts are also sensitive to GI pH profiles. For example, calcium salts, which are often used in the treatment of osteoporosis in elderly women, are relatively insoluble and depend on an acidic pH for dissolution. Recker223 found that the average fractional absorption of calcium, from a dose of calcium carbonate, was 0.047 in achlorhydric subjects compared with 0.225 in control subjects. The absorption of several trace elements, such as iron, zinc, and copper, also appears to depend on gastric acid for complete dissolution.184 Henderson et al.224 found that the mean plasma zinc AUC values from a dose of zinc acetate was reduced from 524 \( \mu g/h/dL \) in subjects with low gastric pH (pH <3) to 378 \( \mu g/h/dL \) when 40 mg of famotidine was administered prior to zinc administration, which maintained gastric pH above 5.0. When administered as zinc oxide, the zinc plasma AUC was reduced 82% in subjects with elevated gastric pH. The effect of gastric pH on the resulting plasma concentrations of zinc after administration of either zinc acetate or zinc oxide to subjects with low or high gastric pH is shown in Figure 3. In vitro studies verified the importance of a low pH value for facilitating the dissolution of these different zinc salts.

From the studies just described, gastric pH appears to be a primary determinant of absorption when drug dissolution is pH dependent and the solubility of the drug at higher pH values is poor. As described, the pH of gastric fluids is typically elevated above 3 (and to values as high as 5), during the first 60–90 min after ingestion of a meal. Consequently, if a poorly water-soluble, weakly basic drug is administered postprandially, dissolution in the stomach may be decreased and its subsequent absorption impaired due to periods of elevated gastric pH. However, if the particular drug is relatively lipophilic, these potentially limiting effects of pH may be offset by bile-mediated dissolution and increased residence time in the upper GI tract.

**Predictive Approaches to Assessing pH-Dependent Bioavailability**

Dissolution tests are a simple and ready means for characterizing the pH-dependency of drug release and dissolution. In vitro dissolution tests should be conducted over the range of pH values that encompass the different GI pH profiles typically observed in fasted and fed states. Confirmatory clinical studies are often conducted if the solubility and dissolution profile of a drug indicates that postprandial absorption and bioavailability may be sensitive to the anticipated changes in GI pH values.

**Clinical Studies**—Clinical studies in humans offer a useful means to investigate the effect of gastric pH on drug absorption with either H\(_2\)-receptor antagonists, proton pump inhibitors, or antacids to raise gastric pH. Oral or intravenous cimetidine can effectively lower basal gastric acid secretion for periods of at least 5 h.225,226 and meal-stimulated secretion of acid for periods of 3 to 4 h in healthy subjects.227,228 However, a drawback associated with the use of cimetidine to diagnostically evaluate the effect of gastric pH on bioavailability is its potential to inhibit the oxidative metabolism of...
some drugs via interaction with the cytochrome P-450 system.\textsuperscript{229–231} Ranitidine is \textasciitilde{}10–12 times more potent than cimetidine in inhibiting gastric acid secretion in humans,\textsuperscript{232,233} but famotidine is reported to be 20 times more potent than cimetidine on a molar basis.\textsuperscript{234} An oral dose of 300 mg of ranitidine is sufficient to increase 24 h median gastric pH values from 1.3 to 4.0 in humans,\textsuperscript{235} and produce an overall 70% reduction in acid secretion.\textsuperscript{236} Oral administration of a suspension of 40 mg of famotidine can elevate gastric pH above 5.0 for at least 2–3 h after administration.\textsuperscript{237} In terms of effects on cytochrome P-450, ranitidine is reported to be much less active than cimetidine,\textsuperscript{237,238} although famotidine does not appear to have any effect at therapeutic doses.\textsuperscript{239,240} However, ranitidine has been reported to either accelerate,\textsuperscript{241–243} have no effect,\textsuperscript{244–246} or delay gastric emptying in humans.\textsuperscript{246,247} Cimetidine\textsuperscript{241,246,247} and famotidine\textsuperscript{243,248} have no effect on the rate of gastric emptying in humans. Proton pump inhibitors, such as omeprazole and lansoprazole, can effectively elevate gastric pH above 4.0 on a round-the-clock basis.\textsuperscript{249} However, omeprazole has been reported to inhibit oxidative metabolism,\textsuperscript{250–252} but it has no effect on the rate of gastric emptying.\textsuperscript{255,256}

The use of antacids containing calcium, aluminum, or magnesium to study the effect of pH on absorption should be undertaken with caution because drugs such as tetracycline\textsuperscript{257} and the quinolone antibiotics\textsuperscript{258} form nonabsorbable chelates with the metal ions. Aluminum hydroxide gel has been found to delay gastric emptying in humans.\textsuperscript{259,260} Sodium bicarbonate is often employed to neutralize gastric acidity as it does not form chelates with drugs and it has no effect on gastric emptying.\textsuperscript{261}

The use of concomitant drug therapy to evaluate the effect of gastric pH on drug bioavailability is a well-accepted practice. However, care must be taken to ensure that the agent employed to modulate gastric pH does not alter other factors affecting drug bioavailability. Whenever these studies are undertaken, gastric pH should be continuously monitored in control and treated subjects because intragastric pH may fluctuate widely over time, and there is inherent variability in individual responses to proton pump inhibitors and H\textsubscript{2} receptor antagonists.\textsuperscript{262,263}

**Conclusions and Perspectives**

The effect of food on the absorption of coadministered drugs has often been assessed in a largely phenomenological manner. The combined effects of slowed gastric emptying and the potential for binding to food components has typically been assumed to reduce, or at least slow drug absorption. In many cases, and particularly for water-soluble drugs with high bioavailability, this is indeed the situation. However, for drugs with either pH-dependent solubility or poor aqueous solubility, postprandial alterations in GI pH and the enhanced solubilizing capacity of the GI tract have the potential to significantly impact on drug absorption.

Changes in postprandial gastric physiology are primarily defined by a slowing of gastric emptying and a marked rise in pH. These effects impact primarily on gastric dissolution, with the magnitude of effect being dependent on the pK\textsubscript{a} and solubility of the drug. The transient rise in gastric pH is of most importance when assessing the postprandial dissolution of weak bases.

In the small intestine, coadministration of food and/or lipids leads to secretion of bile and digestive enzymes that produce a complex system of intestinal colloidal phases designed to facilitate the digestion and absorption of dietary lipids. The increased concentration of bile and the presence of bile salt/dietary lipid mixed micelles can improve the wetting of poorly soluble drugs and increase their effective solubility via solubilization. Postprandial absorption may be improved by direct permeability enhancement due to FA and MG, or by solubilization that facilitates transport of lipophilic drugs across the aqueous diffusion layer. Intestinal lymphatic transport may also contribute to the absorption of highly lipophilic drugs.

Appreciation of the physicochemical basis for enhanced postprandial bioavailability can be prospectively used to design and optimize drug formulations and dosing strategies. Drugs or dosage forms that show poor dissolution or release rates at higher pH values should be administered in the fasted state. If it is necessary to administer such drugs in the fed state, those which cause gastric irritation (in the fasted state), then they could be coadministered with drinks such as fruit juices or carbonated beverages that buffer gastric pH to lower values. Because of the inherent variability associated with gastric pH in the preprandial state, consideration could also be given to coadministration of a suitable drink with drugs for which dissolution is highly pH dependent.

Two variables affecting dissolution that can be controlled by formulation are surface area and solubility. For example, particle size can be reduced to increase the effective surface area available for dissolution, and the solubility of weak acids or bases can be modified by buffering the surrounding "microenvironment". However, use of buffering agents is often limited by differences in solubility between the buffer and the drug, and the relative rates of dissolution preclude maintenance of the microenvironment during the period of dissolution.

The challenge is to identify the potential physicochemical basis for food-enhanced bioavailability of particular drugs and use it as a starting point to prospectively develop better oral formulations.
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