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Every speech community has its own language. Each language is capable of expressing the overt life experience of its speech community. Two speech communities can have similar meaning experiences, and their languages can have similar linguistic categories. Similar meaning experiences, however, can have different experience classification. And difference in experience classification can thus result in different distribution in similar linguistic categories.

In foreign language learning, differences between languages are always learning difficulties. Language teachers, however, dare not relax in the blissful belief that similarities between languages pose no teaching problems. There can be similar phonemes between languages. But there can also be different allophones and different arrangements of phonemes; hence "similar" phonemes also pose teaching problems. In this article we shall see that one similarity in meaning, one similarity in grammar, and one similarity in meaning and grammar may be teaching problems too, due to differences in distribution. The languages are American English and Mandarin Chinese.

Example 1. Meaning: four weather expressions.

Four expressions commonly used in Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A., in connection with the weather are hot, warm, cool, and cold. In English, the following is usually heard during summer:

1. It's hot today
2. It's warm today
3. It's cool today
4. It's cold today (rare)


However, during winter, one usually does not hear the third one:

1. It's hot today (rare)
2. It's warm today
3. ---------------
4. It's cold today

There is always a difference in temperature among *hot*, *warm*, *cool*, and *cold* in the same locality but in different seasons, in different localities but in the same season, or in different localities and different seasons experienced by speakers of the same language. Our interest here is the usage of these four weather expressions in the same locality and in the same season but by speakers of different languages: English and Chinese.

In Chinese, there are also four weather expressions. They are: * rè, nwǎnhwō, lyǎngkwai*, and * lěng*. If we put the four weather expressions in English and in Chinese side by side, we have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rè</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warm</td>
<td>nwǎnhwō</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cool</td>
<td>lyǎngkwai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cold</td>
<td>lěng</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The four expressions in Chinese are near equivalents to the four in English as items, but not quite. In Ann Arbor, *warm* can be said to have a higher temperature than *cool*. Between the two poles of *hot* and *cold*, *warm* is closer to *hot* and *cool* is closer to *cold*, regardless of the fact whether the temperature is moving from *hot* toward *cold* (getting cooler or colder) or from *cold* toward *hot* (getting warm). We may indicate them in the following way:

```
hot     |      |
|________|      |
| warm   |      |
| cool   |      |
| cold   |      |
```

In Chinese, *lyǎngkwai* (cool) and *nwǎnhwō* (warm) are experienced differently. From *rè* (hot) to *lěng* (cold), the state of *warm = cooler* is *lyǎngkwai*. Thus we have:
EXPERIENCE CLASSIFICATION

From lěng (cold) to rè (hot), the state of cool = warmer is nũănhwò.

Thus we have:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{rè} \\
\text{nwănhwò} \\
\text{lěng}
\end{array}
\]

We may represent cool, warm, lyángkwai, nũănhwò in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rè</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warm</td>
<td>lyángkwai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cool</td>
<td>lěng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relationship of these four items in English and in Chinese can be so approximated.\(^3\)

---

\(^3\)If we put Tagalog, English, and Chinese side by side, we have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tagalog</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mainit</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rè</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>warm</td>
<td>lyángkwai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cool</td>
<td>nũănhwò</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maginâw</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>lěng</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Yao Shen, "Some Functions of Pattern Practice," The MST English Quarterly (Manila, the Philippines), VIII, 1 (1958), p. 44.
If we look at the distribution of these items in English, we can say that within the two poles of *hot* and *cold*, there are two directions: from *hot* to *cold*, and from *cold* to *hot*. Between the two points, there are *warm* and *cool* making a total of four reversible points of reference among themselves. Between *rè* and *lèng*, there are in Chinese two continuous non-reversible directions. *lyángkwai* and *nwănhwò* are in seasonal complementary distribution with no reference to each other. Each has its specific relation to *rè* and *lèng*. Although there are four weather expressions, there are only three points of reference with *lyángkwai* and *nwănhwò* indicating the change of direction of temperature. We can now represent the four items in English and in Chinese in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rè</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warm</td>
<td>lyángkwai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cold</td>
<td>lèng</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

English speakers learning to control Chinese often do not use *lyángkwai* and *nwănhwò* in their proper relationship to *rè* and *lèng*. The foregoing analysis shows us why. There is a similarity between the four weather expressions in English and in Chinese as items. But speakers of each language experience each set differently. Experiencing the weather is an act common to English speakers and Chinese speakers. But the classification of this experience between the two peoples is different and thus the linguistic distribution of the items between the two languages is different.
Example 2. Grammar: nouns.

There are nouns and verbs in Chinese. A noun is a syntactic word which can be placed in apposition with a D-AN\(^4\) compound, as rén in jéi ge rén 'this man', shuěi in yī bēi shuěi 'a cup of water'.\(^5\) A verb is a syntactic word which can be modified by the adverb bù (except that the verb yòu takes méi) and can be followed by the phrase suffix le.\(^6\) For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. jéi</td>
<td>běn</td>
<td>shū 'this book'</td>
<td>yào 'want'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bù yào 'not want'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. jéi</td>
<td>jāng</td>
<td>hwār 'this picture'</td>
<td>yào le 'wanted'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. lái 'come'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bù lái 'not come'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lái le 'came'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our interest in each example is the noun.

In utterances, except in citation forms, Chinese nouns may occur with or without the AN. For example:

AN

tā yào shū ~ tā yào běn shū 'He/she wants a book.'
tā yào hwār ~ tā yào jāng hwār 'He/she wants a picture.'
tā yào byāu ~ tā yào ge byāu 'He/she wants a watch.'

Chinese nouns are not immediately preceded by a number. When a number occurs, the sequence is "number, AN, noun".\(^7\)

\(^4\)Yuen Ren Chao, *Mandarin Primer* (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1948), p. 46. Chao uses the National Romanization in his Primer, so that his forms are ren, jeyg ren, shoei, i-bei shoei, yeou, and mei.

\(^5\)"D-AN compounds are subordinate compounds of determinatives and auxiliary nouns, as i-tian 'one day,' jey-kuay 'this piece.'" Chao, *Primer*, p. 43. Our spelling is yī liān and jéi kwài. "Determinatives and Auxiliary Nouns.  Determinatives consist of numerals and demonstratives, interrogatives, and a few other bound words ... An auxiliary noun or AN is a bound word forming, when preceded by numerals or certain other determinatives, a substantive compound, which we have called a D-AN compound." Chao, *Primer*, p. 45.

\(^6\)Chao, *Primer*, p. 47.

\(^7\)"yan leang-jin...‘two catties of salt’" is "bookkeeping style". Chao, *Primer*, p. 46. Our spelling is yán lyāng jin.
For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>Noun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yī</td>
<td>bèn</td>
<td>shū</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lyŏng</td>
<td>jāng</td>
<td>hwār</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sān</td>
<td>ge</td>
<td>byāu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'one book'
'two pictures'
'three watches'

Whether the meaning of the number is singular or plural, the form of the AN and that of the noun following the AN remain the same.

In English, there are also nouns and verbs. Both nouns and verbs may be distinguished from each other syntactically as well as morphologically. Morphologically, nouns may occur without an ending or (with the exception of a small number,) may occur with the \( |z| \) ending. For example:

Without an ending With \( |z| \) ending

book book-s
picture picture-z
watch watch-iz

They ordered a book. They ordered books.
They ordered a picture. They ordered pictures.
They ordered a watch. They ordered watches.

Morphologically, verbs may also occur without an ending or (with the exception of modal auxiliaries) may occur with the \( |z| \) ending or (with the exception of strong verbs) the \( |d| \) ending. For example:

Without an ending With \( |z| \) ending With \( |d| \) ending

book book-s book-t
picture picture-z picture-d
watch watch-iz watch-t
want want-s want-id

They book passages. He books passages. He booked passages.
They picture the situations well. He pictures the situations well.
They watch boats. He watches boats. He watched boats.
They want friends. He wants friends. He wanted friends.

Our interest in each example is the noun.
In contrast to the kind of nouns that may occur without an ending or (with the exception of a small number,) may occur with the \( z \) ending, there is another kind of noun in English. These nouns may also occur without an ending or may occur with the \( z \) ending. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Without an ending</th>
<th>With ( z ) ending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>soup</td>
<td>soup-s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tea</td>
<td>tea-z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>juice</td>
<td>juice-iz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They ordered soup.  
They ordered tea.  
They ordered juice.

They ordered soups.  
They ordered teas.  
They ordered juices.

However, when they occur with the \( z \) ending, they are classified with book-s, picture-z, watch-iz, as count nouns. When they occur without the \( z \) ending, they are referred to as mass nouns.

Mass nouns may be preceded by a construction containing "a number followed by a count noun". For example:

soup one bowl of soup
soup two bowl-z of soup
tea three cup-s of tea
juice four glass-iz of juice
In such cases, if the number is plural in meaning, the count noun is plural in meaning and in form. The form of the mass noun remains unchanged.

Count nouns can also be preceded by a construction containing "a number followed by a count noun". For example:

- book
- picture
- watch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count noun</th>
<th>Mass noun</th>
<th>Count noun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>one</td>
<td>set</td>
<td>one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>set-s</td>
<td>two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>collection-z</td>
<td>three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>box-iz</td>
<td>four</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similar to the formation of the construction preceding a mass noun, when the number is plural in meaning, the count noun immediately following the number is plural in meaning and in form. The form of the count noun following the construction, however, can have the \( z \) ending. The contrast between the count noun and the mass noun can be seen in the following comparison.

In English, a count noun can be immediately preceded by a number and a mass noun cannot. In Chinese, no noun can be immediately preceded by a number. We can put the English nouns and Chinese nouns side by side in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a. two books</td>
<td>1b. lyăng bên shū</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a. three pictures</td>
<td>2b. sân jăng hwâr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a. two bowls of soup</td>
<td>3b. lyăng wăn tâng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a. three cups of tea</td>
<td>4b. sân bêî chá</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

English speakers learning to control Chinese orally often produce *lyăng shâ and *sân hwâr for lyăng bên sha and sân jăng hwâr*. In other words, the AN is left out. However, they do not produce *lyăng tâng and *sân chá for lyăng wăn tâng and sân bêî chá*. We shall proceed to discover what native habit is
interfering the production of the satisfactory forms in the foreign language.

It is unanimously accepted that in foreign language teaching, when there is one form in the native language but more than one in the foreign language, there is unquestionable learning difficulty. For example: native speakers of Chinese find it difficult to master book ≠ books for shū. On the other hand, it is sometimes thought that when there is more than one form in the native language and only one form in the foreign language, there is no learning difficulty. For example: native speakers of English have no trouble in producing shū for book ≠ books. The first statement is an axiom; the second, however, is a gross generalization.

As a case in point, there are two distributions of nouns in English, and there is one in Chinese. Book:shū; picture:hwăr; watch:byău; soup:tāng; tea:chá; juice:jī are all nouns. In English, nouns belong to two kinds of experience: book, picture, watch; soup, tea, juice. These two function in two different ways; they have two grammatical distributions. All Chinese nouns may or may not belong to the same kind of experience; but grammatically they function the same way: there is only one grammatical distribution. At first glance it seems that there would be no problem for English speakers to produce the Chinese counterparts for two books and three pictures, since there is only one distribution of nouns in Chinese. But there is a problem.

Comparing the two languages, we find that 1b. lýăng bēn shū and 2b. sān jāng hwăr are similar to 1a. two books and 2a. three pictures in meaning experience, and that 3b. lýăng wăn tāng and 4b. sān bēi chá are similar to 3a. two bowls of soup and 4a. three cups of tea in experience classification. Hence between the two languages there is some similarity in meaning experience and in experience classification. But there is a difference in grammatical distribution between the two pragmatic similarities. 1b. and 2b. are similar to 1a. and 2a. in meaning experience but similar to 3a. and 4a. in experience classification.
The meaning experience and the experience classification of ǒuyáng ｂěn ｓｈǔ and sān ｊｉāｎｇ ｈｗａｒ both occur in English. However, in meaning experience, they are similar to that of count nouns; in experience classification, they are similar to that of mass nouns.

In the two languages, similar meaning experience has different experience classification. Different experience classification calls for different linguistic distribution. Similar meaning experience, similar experience classification, and similar linguistic category between languages constitute learning problems when there is difference in classification of similar meaning experience and thus difference in distribution in similar linguistic category.
Example 3. **Meaning and grammar: two quantity question expressions.**

Two expressions in English that lexically indicate quantity and grammatically ask questions are *how much* and *how many*. *How much* implies uncountability and occurs before mass nouns. *How many* signals countability and precedes count nouns. For example:

**How much tea**
**How many book-s**

There is a construction which contains *how many* followed by a plural count noun and which occurs before a non-plural mass noun or a plural count noun. In either case, *how many* indicates countability, and the immediately following noun is the particularizer. For example:

**How many cup-s of tea**
**How many pound-z of tea**
**How many box-iz of tea**

**How many set-s of book-s**
**How many collection-z of book-s**
**How many case-iz of book-s**

When a native speaker of English asks for quantity, he signals a choice between countability and uncountability by selecting *how many* for the former and *how much* for the latter.

Similarly Chinese has two expressions that lexically indicate quantity and grammatically ask questions: *dwōshāu* and *ji*. *dwōshāu* asks for two or more new digits. It is a free form and may immediately precede a noun. For example:

**dwōshāu chá** 'How much tea?'
**dwōshāu shū** 'How many books?'

*ji* asks for one new digit (from *yī* 'one' through *shǐ* 'ten')\(^8\), i.e., monomorphemic numbers or numbers with one *dǐ*\(^9\). *ji* is a bound form and is bound to an ordinal signal immediately pre-

---

\(^8\) *dǐ* is spelled *tzyh* in the National Romanization. "Chinese scholars recognize two kinds of word-like subunits in speech. The commonest small change of everyday speech is the monosyllable or *tzyh* ... In short, *tzyh* plays the same social part in Chinese life as a word plays in English... we shall call *tzyh* a *morpheme." Chao, *Primer*, p. 33.

\(^9\) Hereafter "one digit" means "one new digit from *yī* 'one' through *shǐ* 'ten'."
ceding it, or to AN or the name of the digit immediately following it.

For example:

dì ji
jǐ běi chá  'Number which?'
jǐ běn shū  'How many books?'
shǐ jǐ běi chá  'Ten and how many cups of tea?'
shǐ jǐ běn shū  'Ten and how many books?'
jǐ shǐ běi chá  'How many tens of cups of tea?'
jǐ shǐ běn shū  'How many tens of books?'

When a native speaker of Chinese asks for quantity, he chooses between an answer with one digit, and an answer with two or more digits. If the former is expected, he selects jǐ; if the latter, he uses dwōshǎu. Lexically both jǐ and dwōshǎu express quantity. Grammatically both express interrogation. jǐ asks about a one-digit number and is a bound form. dwōshǎu asks about a number with two or more digits and is a free form.

The relationship between *how many*, *how much* and jǐ, dwōshǎu is shown in the following diagram.

![Diagram showing the relationship between *how many*, *how much*, and jǐ, dwōshǎu.](image)

English speakers learning Chinese often fail to select the bound form jǐ and use it as a bound form when one digit is expected in the answer, and the free form dwōshǎu when two or
more are expected. Chinese speakers learning English frequently neglect to correlate *how many* with count nouns and *how much* with mass nouns.

There is similarity between *how many*, *how much* and *ji*, *dwōshāu* in that they are all quantity question expressions. But as lexical items, they are different in meaning distribution. As grammatical items, they are different in linguistic distribution.

Between any two languages, there can be differences and similarities. This phenomenon can also occur between the student's native language and his foreign language. In foreign language teaching, a teacher not only must be constantly aware of the differences between the students' native language and the foreign language he is teaching but also must study the apparent similarities in the two languages in detail. Differences undoubtedly cause errors in foreign language production. Similarities, as we have seen, can also cause mistakes. For within similarities, there can be different distributions. Different distributions are also error-causing factors.

Foreign language learning is a discipline. The learner must say what the native speakers of that language say, strange as some of the linguistic features may seem to him. It is also essential that he attempts to categorize as the native speakers do, unusual as some of the ways may appear to him. For between peoples, similar meaning experience can have different experience classification; between languages, similar linguistic categories can have different linguistic distributions. In order to speak a foreign language properly, the learner must master the linguistic distributions of that language. Such mastery can be more easily attained if he attempts to understand the way native speakers classify experience. And similarities with different distribution can thus be acquired with less difficulty.